

Effect of Impulse on Modeling Price Fluctuations in Single Commodity Markets

KEYWORDS

Impulsive functional differential equation, uniformly asymptotically stable, second method of Lyapunov.

ABIMBOLA L.A (MRS)

THE POLYTECHNIC IBADAN, NIGERIA, MATH/STATISTICS DEPARTMENT

ABSTRACT We investigate effect of impulse on the model of price fluctuation in single commodity market. We established that impulsive functional differential equation is more adequate as a modeling tool. We show the roles of impulses in changing the behavior pattern of solution of impulsive functional differential equation. Using second method of Lyapunov, we are able to establish the stability of the model.

1. INTRODUCTION:

In a single good market, there are three variables: the quantity demanded q_d , the quantity supplied q_s and the price p. The equilibrium is attained when the excess demand is zero $q_d-q_s=0$, that is the market is cleared. But generally the market is not in equilibrium and at an initial time t_0 the price p_0 is not at the equilibrium value \bar{p} , that is $p_0\neq\bar{p}$. In such a situation the variables q_d , q_s and p must change over time and are considered as function of time. The dynamic question is given sufficient time, how as the adjustment process $p(t)\to\bar{p}$ as $t\to\infty$ to be described?

The dynamic process of attaining equilibrium in a single market model is tentatively described by differential equations, on the basis of considerations on price changes governing the relative strength of the demand and supply forces. For the sake of simplicity, the rate of price change with respect to time is assumed to be proportional to excess demand q_d-q_s . Moreover, the definitive relationships between the market price $\,p$ of a commodity, the quantity demanded and the quantity supplied are assumed to exist. These relationships are called the demand and supply curve, occasionally modeled by demand function $q_d=q_d(p)$ or a supply function $q_s=q_s(p)$. In a case where the rate of price change with respect to time is assumed to be proportional to the excess demand, the differential equation belongs to the class

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{dp}{dt} = f(q_s(p), q_d(p)), \dots \dots (1.1)$$

of differential equations . The question that arises is about the nature of the time path, resulting from equation (1.1). In [9] Muresan studied special a case of fluctuation model with time delay of the form

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \left(\frac{a}{b + p^q(t)} - \frac{c p^r(g(t))}{d + p^r(g(t))}\right) p(t) \qquad \dots \dots \dots (1.2)$$

where a,b,c,d,r>0, $q\in [1,\infty)$, $g\in C[R_+,R_+]$, and proved that there exist a positive bounded unique solution.

Rus and lancu [10] generalized the model equation (1.2) and studied the model of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{dp}{dt} = F(p(t)), p(t-\tau))p(t), & t \ge 0 \\
p(t) = \varphi(t), & t \in [-\tau, 0]
\end{bmatrix} \dots (1.3)$$

They proved the existence and uniqueness of the equilibrium solution of the model considered and established some relations between this solution and coincidence points.

2. IMPULSIVE FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: An empirical time series analysis [4,12] of German macroeconomic data emphasized to model capital intensity subject to short term perturbations at certain moments of time. It is unlikely to have a regular solution of equation (1.3). The solution must have some jumps that follows a regular pattern. An adequate mathematical model for a long term planning will be the following impulsive functional differential equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dp}{dt} = F(p(t), p_t)p(t), & t \ge 0, & t \ne t_i \\ \Delta p(t_i) = p(t_i + 0) - p(t_i) = p_i(p(t_i), & i = 1, 2, \dots. \end{cases} \dots (2.1)$$

Where $t_0 \in R_+$; $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots \dots$, $\lim_{i \to \infty} t_i = \infty$; Ω be a domain in R_+ ,

Containing the origin ; $F: \Omega X PC\{[-\tau,0],\Omega\} \to R$; $p_i: \Omega \to R$, $i=1,2,\cdots\cdots$ are functions that characterize the magnitude of the impulsive effect at the time t_i . $p(t_i)$ and $p(t_i+0)$ are respectively the price levels before and after the impulse effects and for $t \geq t_0$, $p_t \in PC\{[-\tau,0],\Omega\}$ is designed by $p_t(s) = p(t+s)$, $-\tau \leq s \leq 0$

Let $p_0 \in CB\{[-\tau, 0], \Omega\}$, $p(t) = p(t; t_0, p_0), p \in \Omega$, the solution of the equation (2.1)

Satisfying the initial conditions $\begin{bmatrix} p(t;t_0,p_0) = p_0(t-t_0), & t-\tau \leq t \leq t_0 \\ p(t_0+0,t_0,p_0) = p_0(0) & \dots \end{cases}$ (2.2)

 $J^+(t_0,p_0) \ the \ maximal \ interval \ of \ type \ [t_0,\beta) \ in \ which \ the \ solution \ p(t;t_0,p_0) \ \ is \ define$ and $by \ ||p_0||_\tau = \max_{t \in [t_0-\tau,t_0]} ||p_0(t-t_0)|| \ \ the \ norm \ of \ the \ function \ p_0 \ \in \mathcal{C}B\{[-\tau,0],\Omega\}$

Let us assume the following conditions:

 H_1 - The function F is continuous on Ω X PC{ $[-\tau, 0], \Omega$ }

 H_2 — The function F is locally lipschitz continuos with respect to its second argument on $\Omega X PC\{[-\tau,0],\Omega\}$

$$H_3$$
 - There exist a constant $m > 0$ such that $|F(p, p_t)| \le m < \infty$ for (p, p_t) $\in \Omega X PC\{[-\tau, 0], \Omega\}$

$$H_4 - p_i \in [\Omega, R], i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$H_5$$
 – The functions $(I+p_i): \Omega \to \Omega$, $i=1,2,\cdots$, where i is the identity in Ω

$$H_6 - t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots, \lim_{i \to \infty} t_i = \infty$$

 H_7 — Let there exist a piecewise continuous function $V:[t_0,\infty) X\Omega \to R_+$ which belong to class V_0 and for which $V(t,p^*(t))=0$, $t\geq t_0$

Under the assumption of hypothesis H_6 we define

$$G_k = [(t, P): \tau_{k-1}(p) < t < \tau_k(p), p \in \Omega, k = 1, 2, ...,$$
(2.3)

Let
$$p_i \in CB\{[-\tau, 0], \Omega\}$$
, $p^*(t) = p^*(t, t_0, p_i)$, $p^* \in \Omega$ is the solution of equation (2.1)

Satisfying the initial conditions
$$\begin{bmatrix} p^*(t;t_0,p_1) = p_1(t-t_0), & t_0-\tau \le t \le t_0 \\ p^*(t_0+0,t_0,p_1) = p_1(0) \end{bmatrix}(2.4)$$

For a function $V \in V_0$, and some , $t \geq t_0$ we shall use also the class

$$\Omega_1 = [p \in PC[t_0, \infty), \Omega]: V(s, p(s)) \le V(t, p(t)), \quad t - \tau \le s \le t]$$

REMARK: Corollary 1 and theorem (1) are going to be useful in establishing the stability of our model equation

<u>Corollary 1</u>: Assume that (i) condition $H_1 - H_6$ holds

(ii) The function $V \in V_0$ is such that $V(t+0,p+I_k(p)) \le V(t,p)$, $p \in \Omega, t=t_k$ k=1,2,...

and the inequality $D_{2.5}\left(V,t,\;p(t)\right)\leq 0$, $t\neq t_k$, $k=1,2,\cdots\cdots$, is valid for $t\in[t_0$, ∞), $p\in\Omega_p$

Then
$$V(t, p(t, t_0, \varphi_0)) \le V(t_0 + 0, \varphi_0(0)), t \in [t_0, \infty)$$

<u>Theorem 1</u>: Assume that (i) condition $H_1 - H_6$ holds

(ii) The function G : $[t_0,\infty)$ X $R_+\to R$ is continuous in each of the sets $[t_{k-1},t]$ X R_+ , k=1,2,...

(iii) $B_k \in C[R_+, R_+]$ and $\varphi_k(u) = u + B_k(u) \ge 0, k = 1, 2, ...$ are nondecreasing with respect to u

(iv) The maximal solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} = G(t, u(t)), t \neq t_k \\ u(t_0) = u_0 \geq 0 \\ \Delta u(t_k) = B_k(u(t_k), t_k > t_0, k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \dots (2.5)$$

is defined in the interval $[t_0, \infty)$,

(v) The function $V \in V_0$ is such that $V(t_0 + 0, \varphi_0(0)) \le u_0$,

$$V(t_0 + 0, p, I_k(p)) \le \varphi_k(V(t, p), \quad p \in \Omega, t = t_k, k = 1, 2, ...$$

and the inequality

$$D_{2.5}^+ \, V \big(t, x(t) \big) \leq \varphi_k (V(t,x) \,, x \, \in \, \Omega, \ t = \, t_k \,, k = 1, 2, \cdots \,, \text{is valid for } t \, \in [t_0 \,, \infty), \in \, \Omega_x$$
 Then $V \big(t, x(t, t_0, \varphi_0) \big) \leq u^+(t, \, t_0, \, u_0), \ t \, \in [t_0 \,, \infty)$

<u>Theorem 2</u>: Assume that condition (i) $H_1 - H_6$ holds

(2) There exist a function $V \in V_0$ such that H_7 holds

$$a(|p - p^*(t)| \le V(t, p), (t, p) \in [t_0, \infty) X \Omega, a \in k \dots (2.6)$$

 $V(t+0,p+I_k(p)) \leq V(t,p), p \in \Omega$, then the solution $p^*(t)$ of equation (1.4) is stable.

Proof:

Let $\varepsilon>0$, it follows from the properties of the function V that $\exists~a~constant~\delta=~\delta(t_0,\varepsilon)>0$

such that if $x \in \Omega$. $||x|| < \delta$, then $\sup_{||x|| < \delta} V(t_0 + 0, x) < a(\varepsilon)$

Let
$$\varphi_0 \in PC\{[-r,0],\Omega]: \ \left||\varphi_0|\right|_r < \delta$$
 , then $\left|\left|\varphi_p(0)\right|\right| \ \leq \ \left|\left|\varphi_0|\right|_r < \delta$

and
$$V(t_0 + 0, \varphi_0(0)) < a(\varepsilon)$$
(2.7)

Let $p(t) = p^*(t; t_0, \varphi_0)$ be the solution of problem (2.1), since all the conditions of corollary 1

are met, then $V(t, x(t; t_0, \varphi_0) \le V(t_0 + 0, \varphi_0(0)), t \in [t_0, \infty) \cdots \cdots (2.8)$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) there follows the inequality

$$a(|p - p^*(t)| \le V(t, p(t, t_0, \varphi_0)) \le V(t_0 + 0, \varphi_0(0)) < V(t, p)$$

Whence we obtain that $|p^*(t; t_0, \varphi_0)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } t \ge t_0$. This implies that solution $p^*(t)$ of equation (2.1) is stable \blacksquare

Theorem 3: Let the condition of theorem (2) hold and let a function $b \in k$ such that

 $V(t,P) \le b(|p-p^*(t)|), \quad (t,p) \in [t_0,\infty) \ X \Omega \quad \cdots \cdots (2.9)$, then the solution $p^*(t)$ of problem (2.1) is uniformly stable.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given choose $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that $b(\delta) < a(\varepsilon)$.

Let $\varphi_0 \in PC\{[-r,0],\Omega\}$: $||\varphi_0||_r < \delta$ and $p^*(t) = p^*(t; t_0,\varphi_0)$ be the solution of problem (2.1) and (2.2). As in theorem 2, we prove that

$$a(|p(t;t_0,\varphi_0,|) \le V(t,p(t,t_0,\varphi_0)) \le V(t_0+0,\varphi_0(0)), \quad t \ge t_0 \cdots (2.10)$$

From (2.9) and (2.10) we get to the inequalities

$$a(|p(t;t_0,\varphi_0,|) \le V(t_0+0,\varphi_0(0)) \le b|\varphi_0(0)|) \le b(||\varphi_0||_r) < b(\delta) < a(\epsilon)$$

From which it follows that $|p(t; t_0, \varphi_0, t| < \varepsilon$, for $t \ge t_0$

Theorem 4: Assume that

- (i) Conditions $H_1 H_6 holds$
- (ii) There exist a function $V \in V_0$ such that H_7 holds

$$a(|p - p^*(t)| \le V(t, p) \le b(|p - p^*(t)|, (t, p) \in [t_0, \infty) \times \Omega, a, b \in k, p \in \Omega, t = t_i, i = 1, 2, ...$$

$$V(t + 0, p + I_k(p)) \le V(t, p) \quad(2.11)$$

and the inequality
$$D_{(2,1)}^+V(t,p(t)) \le -c(|p(t)-p^*(t)|), \quad t \ne t_i, \quad i=1,2,..,$$
 (2.12)

Then the solution $p^*(t)$ of problem (2.1) is uniformly asymtotically stable.

Proof: 1. Let $\alpha = constant > 0$, $[p \in \mathbb{R}^n, \{|p - p^*(t)| \le \alpha\}] \subseteq \Omega$.

For any $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ denote $V_{t,\alpha}^{-1} = [p \in \Omega : V(t+0, p) \le a(\alpha)]$

from (2.8), we deduce
$$V_{t,\alpha}^{-1} \subset [p \in R^n : |p - p^*(t)| \leq \alpha] \subset \Omega$$
.

From condition (2) of theorem 4, it follows that for any $t_0 \in R$ and function $\varphi_0 \in PC$ { $[-r, 0], \Omega$ }:

$$\varphi_0(0) \in V_{t,\alpha}^{-1}$$
, we have $p(t; t_0, \varphi_0) \in V_{t,\alpha}^{-1}$, $t \ge t_0$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen. Choose $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon)$ so that $b(\eta) < a(\varepsilon)$ and let $t > \frac{b(a)}{c(\varepsilon)}$. If we assume that for each $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$, the inequality $|p(t; t_0, \varphi_0)| \ge \eta$ is valid then from (2.6) and (2.12) we get

 $V(t,p(t;t_0,\varphi_0) \leq V(t_0+0,\varphi_0(0)) - \int_{t_0}^t c|p(s;t_0,\varphi_0)|)ds \leq b(\alpha) - c(\eta)T < 0$ which contradict (2.11). The contradiction obtained shows that there exist $t^* \in [t_0,t_0+T]$ such that $|p(t^*;t_0,\varphi_0)| < \eta$

Then from (2.6) and (2.11) it follows that $t \ge t^*$ (hence for any $t \ge t_0 + T$, then the following inequality hold. $a(|p(t;t_0,\varphi_0)|) < \varepsilon$ for

$$t \geq t_0 + T$$
.

2 Let $\lambda = constant > 0$ be such that $b(\lambda) < a(\alpha)$. Then if $\lambda = constant > 0$ be such that

$$b(\lambda) < a(\alpha)$$
. Then if $\varphi_0 \in PC\{[-r,0],\Omega\}$: $(||\varphi_0||)_r < \delta$: $||\varphi_0||_r < \delta$

(2.11) implies
$$V(t_0 + 0, \varphi_0(0)) \le b(||\varphi_0(0)||) \le b(||\varphi_0($$

 $\varphi_0 \in PC$ { $[-r,0],\Omega$ }: $\varphi_0(0) \in V_{t_0,\alpha}^{-1}$. From what we proved in item 1, it follows that the $p^*(t)$ of problem (2.1) is uniformly attractive and since theorem 3 implies uniform stability, then the solution $p^*(t)$ is uniformly asymtotically stable \blacksquare

Examples:

- 1. Let a,b,c and d>0, a linear demand function $q_d=a-b_p$ and a linear supply function $q_s=-c+d_p$ be given and the function $f=\alpha(q_d-q_s), \quad \alpha>0$ They can be put into equation (1.1), given the linear non-homogenous differential equation $\frac{dp}{dt}=\alpha(a+c-p(b+d)=p\alpha\left(\frac{a+c}{p}-(b+d)\right), \text{ corresponding to a the special type of differential equation (1.1). It complementary and particular solutions are immediate.}$
- 2. Let $\frac{dp}{dt} = \alpha \left(\frac{a+c}{p(t)} b d \, \frac{p(t-\sigma(t))}{p(t)}\right) p(t)$ (2.13) Be a special case of model studied by Markey and Blair [151] where $0 \le \sigma(t) \le \tau$, and τ is a constant . If at the moments t_1, t_2, \cdots

 $(t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots ... < t_i < t_{i+1} < \cdots \ and \ \lim_{i \to \infty} i = \infty)$ the above equation is subject to impulsive perturbations then the adequate model mathematical model is the following impulsive equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dp}{dt} = \alpha \left[\frac{a+c}{p(t)} - b - d \frac{p(t-\sigma(t))}{p(t)} \right] p(t) \\ \Delta p(t_i) = -\delta_i \left(p(t_i) - \frac{a+c}{b+d} \right), & i = 1, \dots \end{cases}$$
 (2.14)

where $t_0 \in R_+$, p(t) represent the price at moment t, $\delta_i \in R$ are constants. i = 1, 2, ...

obviously $p^* = \frac{a+c}{b+d}$ is an equilibrium of (2.14). Let there exist a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $d \le b - \beta$ and the inequalities $0 < \delta_i$ < are valid for i=1,2,...., then p^* is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Given

$$\begin{split} V(t,p) &= \frac{1}{2} \; (p-p^*)^2 \; . \, then \; the \; set \; \Omega_1 = [p \; \in PC\big[[t_0,\infty),(0,\infty)\big] : (p(s)-p^*)^2 \; \leq (p(t)-p^*)^2 \\ & \qquad \qquad t-\tau \leq s \leq t, for \; \; t \geq t_0 \; , t \; \neq \; t_i, \qquad we \; have \\ & \qquad \qquad D_{(2.14)}^+ \; V\big(t,p(t)\big) = \; \alpha(p(t)-p^*)[a-bp(t)+c-dp(t-\sigma(t))] \end{split}$$

Since p^* is an equilibrium of (2.14), we have

$$D_{(2.14)}^+ V(t, p(t)) = \alpha(p(t) - p^*)[-bp(t) - p^*) - dp(t - \sigma(t)) - p^*]$$

From the last relation for $\ t \geq t_0$, $t \neq t_i$ and $p \in \Omega_1$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{split} D_{(2.14)}^+ \, V \big(t, p(t) \big) & \leq [-b+d] \, (p-p^*)^2 \, \leq -\alpha \beta (p-p^*)^2 \, , \\ also \, if \, \, 0 < \delta_1 < for \, all \, i = 1, 2, \ldots, then \\ V \big((t_i+0), p(t_i+0) \big) & = \frac{1}{2} \, \big[(1-\delta_i) p(t_i) + \delta_i p^* - p^* \big]^2 \, < V \big(t_i, p(t_i) \big). \end{split}$$

Since all conditions of theorem 4 are satisfied p^* is uniformly asymptotically stable.

4 <u>CONCLUSION</u>: From the example given in section 3, we observe that if the constant δ_i are such that $\delta_i < 0$ or $\delta_i > 2$, then condition 4 of theorem 3 is not satisfied and we cannot make any conclusion about asymptotic stability of p^* . This example demonstrate the utility of second method of Lyapunov. The main characteristic of the method is the introduction of a function, namely Lyapunov function which defines a generalized distance between p(t) and p^* .

By means of piecewise continuous function we give the conditions for uniform asymptotic of p^* . A technique is appllied, based on certain minimal subset.

REFRENCES:

- C.W. Cobb and P.H. Douglas, A theory of production, American Economic Review 18 (1928), pp. 139–165
- J.E. Cohen, Population growth and earth's human carrying capacity, Science 269 (1995), pp. 341–346.
- D. Dejong, B. Ingram and C. Whiteman, Keynesian impulses versus Solow residuals: identifying sources of business cycle fluctuation, Journal of Applied Econometrics 15 (2000), pp. 311–329.
- G.-F. Emmenegger and I.M. Stamova, Shock to capital intensity make the Solow equation an impulsive differential equation, International Journal of Differential Equations and Applications 6 (2002), pp. 93–110.
- L. Fanti and P. Manfredi, The Solow's model with endogenous population: a neoclassi- cal growth cycle model, Journal of Economic Development 28 (2003), pp. 103–115.