Original Resea	Volume - 7 Issue - 8 August - 2017 ISSN - 2249-555X IF : 4.894 IC Value : 79.96
Stal OF Applica Production Report	Gynaecology COMPARISON OF SUBLINGUAL VERSUS VAGINAL MISOPROSTOL 600 μg FOR CERVICAL RIPENING IN FIRST TRIMESTER ABORTION
S Karpagam	MD, Assistant Professor, Dept. of O&G KAPV Govt medical college, Trichy. - Corresponding author
P Bakiavathy	MD, Assistant Professor, Dept. of O&G KAPV Govt medical college, Trichy.
ABSTRACT Object termina two groups. The first group rece and sublingual placebo before e to the vaginal (4.10±1.20 hrs) r route for cervical ripening.	ives: Comparing sublingual and vaginal misoprostol600 μ g for cervical ripening in first trimester pregnancy ation. Materials and methods: 200 women of first trimester pregnancy for termination were randomly divided in fived 600 μ g sublingual misoprostol and vaginal placebo and the second group received 600 μ g vaginal misoprostol evacuation. Results: The mean time taken for cervical ripening was less in sublingual (2.80±0.80 hrs) as compared oute and it was statistically significant (P < 0.0001). Conclusion: sublingual misoprostol is better than the vaginal

KEYWORDS : Misoprostol, Sublingual, Vaginal, First Trimester Termination

Introduction

The most essential step in vacuum aspiration (VA) is Cervical dilatation. Prostaglandins (PGs) are more effective over mechanical methods in cervical dilatation [1] [2]. Although misoprostol (PGE₁) was first introduced as a gastric ulcer protective agent, it became popular for its effect on non-traumatic cervical ripening. Misoprostol is a PGE1analogue available in a tablet form that is stable at room temperature, inexpensive and available in different dosage forms [3]. Comparative studies of sublingual and vaginal misoprostol for cervical ripening have been carried out in different dosages [4–7]. The present study was carried out to compare the effectiveness of $600 \,\mu g$ of misoprostol through sublingual and vaginal routes for cervical ripening in first trimester abortion prior to VA.

Materials and methods:

This study was a randomized, prospective double blinded study. It was done at KAPV Govt medical college hospital from February 2015 to August 2015 after approval from the Medical Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained from the patients. All first trimester abortions including medical, threatened, incomplete and missed abortion were included. Ultrasonography confirmed the clinical diagnosis. Subjects with history of previous uterine surgery, contraindications to prostaglandins, hemoglobin <9 g/dl were excluded.

200 Women were randomly assigned to group A and B (100 patients in each group)

Group A - received 600 μ g sublingual misoprostol and vaginal placebo Group B -received 600 μ g vaginal misoprostol and sublingual placebo

the effects were noted at 1-2 h interval

The two groups were assessed for efficacy of cervical dilatation measured with Hegar's dilator. The severity of preoperative abdominal pain experienced by the subject was assessed as Score 1 - no pain, Score 2 - mild pain and Score 3 - severe pain requiring analgesics. Preoperative vaginal bleeding ranging from 0 to 3 (0 for no bleeding, 1 for minimal spotting, 2 for bleeding like menstrual flow, and 3 for severe bleeding) were noted [8]. The abortion was carried out by suction evacuation under general anesthesia. Their pulse rate, BP, temperature and other side effects associated with misoprostol were recorded.

The patients were discharged from the hospital 3-4 h after the completion of the procedure if all the parameters were normal and no complication observed.

Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. All outcomes were assessed using Chi-squared test and independent t-test, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. statistical analyses were done using SPSS version 16.0 statistical software.

Results:

118

Demographic characteristics and obstetric profile of both the groups

were comparable (Table 1).

*						
Table 1. Subject Characteristics						
	Group A (sublingual)	Group B (vaginal)	P value			
Age(years)	28.50±4.8	27.70±6.20	>0.05			
Gravida	2.20±1.20	$2.50{\pm}0.70$	>0.05			
Parity	1.20±1.00	0.90±1.10	>0.05			
Gestational	9.10±2.1	8.70±2.60	>0.05			
Age(weeks)						

The mean time taken for Cervical ripening was significantly lower in the Sublingual group (2.80 ± 0.80) compared to vaginal group (4.10 ± 1.20) (P<0.0001). The sublingual group had significant cervical dilatation (P<0.0001) and less time duration for procedure (P<0.0001) as compared to the vaginal group. **(Table 2).**

Table 2. comparison of different parameters					
	Group A (sublingual)	Group B (vaginal)	P value		
Time taken for Cervical	2.80±0.80	4.10±1.20	< 0.0001		
ripening(h)					
Cervical dilatation(mm)	9.10±1.20	8.40±0.70	< 0.0001		
Duration of procedure(min)	5.50±0.41	8.70±1.56	< 0.0001		

The mean Perioperative blood loss was found to be more in the vaginal group compared to sublingual group $(33.80 \pm 9.20 \text{ ml vs } 32.70 \pm 5.30 \text{ ml})$ but difference was not significant (p >0.05). The mean perioperative abdominal pain score of the sublingual group was significantly lower (*P*<0.05) compared to the vaginal group. The gastrointestinal adverse effects were observed in the sublingual group but the difference was not significant

No complication occurred in either of the two groups during surgery or in the post-operative period.

Discussion:

The previous studies were conducted with prostaglandins (PGs) and mifepristone in first trimester abortion for a desirable outcome. [9,10]. Mifepristone is expensive and not available in many countries. [11]. Misoprostol is the PG of choice as it is cheap and stable at room temperature and available in different dosage forms. [12].

The present study observed that the cervical dilatation achieved with misoprostol was favorable among the sublingual group compared to the vaginal group. The observed difference can be attributed to the different absorption kinetics and subsequent systemic bioavailability with the sublingual and vaginal routes. Our results were consistent with the observations by Saxena *et al.* [13] and Tang *et al.* [14]. Shaguffa Parveen et al [4] also concluded that sublingual misoprostol is an effective and favorable cervical ripening agent for first trimester abortion as compared to vaginal and oral dosage forms.

The total duration of surgery was less in the sublingual group because of the better cervical ripening and dilatation achieved in this group. These results are consistent with Parneet Kaur et al. [15] Perioperative blood loss in our study was more in the vaginal group, which could be attributed to the sustained peak plasma concentration in this route. These results are consistent with Shagufta Parveen et al [4]. pain score was found to be significantly lower in the sublingual group. Saxena et al. [13].

The side effects such as nausea, diarrhea and vomiting are more common with the sublingual route of administration. Tang OS et al [12]

Conclusion:

This study concluded that sublingual misoprostol600 µg is an effective and favorable cervical ripening agent for first trimester abortion as compared to vaginal misoprostol. The operative time is also decreased with sublingual route and no significant difference in side effects experienced by the subjects.

References:

- Niinimäki M, Jouppila P, Martikainen H, Talvensaari-Mattila A. A randomized study comparing efficacy and patient satisfaction in medical or surgical treatment of miscarriage. Fertil Steril. 2006; 86:367-72.
- Kulier R, Gülmezoglu AM, Hofmeyr GJ, Cheng LN, Campana A. Medical methods for first trimester abortion. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004;2:CD002855. 2
- Ho PC, Blumenthal PD, Gemzell-Danielsson K, Gómez Ponce de León R, Mittal S. 3 Tang OS. Misoprostol for the termination of pregnancy with a live fetus at 13 to 26 weeks. Int J GynaecolObstet. 2007;99(Suppl 2): S178-81
- 4 Saxena P, Salhan S, Sarda N. Sublingual versus vaginal route of misoprostol for cervical ripening prior to surgical termination of first trimester abortions. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2006; 125:109-13.
- Caliskan E, Filiz T, Yucesoy G, Coskun E, Vural B, Corakci A. Sublingual versus vaginal 5. misoprostol for cervical ripening PRIOR TO manual vacuum aspiration under local
- anaesthesia: A randomized study. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2007; 12:372–7 Shagufta Parveen, Zaffar Abbas Khateeb, S.M. Mufti. Comparison of sublingual, 6.
- Snaguna rarveen, Zamar Aobas Khateeb, S.M. Muttt. Comparison of sublingual, vaginal, and oral misoprostol in cervical ripening for first trimester abortion. Indian J Pharmacol. 2011 Apr; 43(2): 172–175. Forozan Milani, Seyede Hajar Sharami, and Saeedeh Arjimand. Comparison of Sublingual and Vaginal Misoprostol for Second-Trimester Pregnancy Terminations, J Family Reprod Health. 2014 Mar; 8(1): 41–44. 7.
- Vimala N, Mittal S, Kumar S, Dadhwal V, Sharma Y. A randomized comparison of 8 sublingual and vaginal misoprostol for cervical priming before suction termination of first-trimester pregnancy. Contraception. 2004; 70:117–20. McKinley C, Thong KJ, Baird DT. The effect of dose of mifepristone and gestation on McKinley C. Thong KJ, Baird DT. The effect of dose of mifepristone and gestation on the provide the second s
- 9 the efficacy of medical abortion with mifepristone and misoprostol. Hum Reprod. 1993; 8:1502-5
- Thong KJ, Baird DT. Induction of abortion with mifepristone and misoprostol in early 10. pregnancy. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1992; 99:1004-7.
- Tang OS, Lau WN, Ng EH, Lee SW, Ho PC. A prospective randomized study to compare the use of repeated doses of vaginal with sublingual misoprostol in the management of 11. first trimester silent miscarriages. Hum Reprod. 2003; 18:176-81. Tang OS, Miao BY, Lee SW, Ho PC. Pilot study on the use of repeated doses of
- 12 sublingual misoprostol in termination of pregnancy up to 12 weeks gestation: Efficacy and acceptability. Hum Reprod. 2002; 17:654–8.
- Saxena P, Sarda N, Salhan S, Nandan D. A randomised comparison between sublingual, 13. oral and vaginal route of misoprostol for pre-abortion cervical ripening in first-trimester pregnancy termination under local anaesthesia. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2008; 48:101-6
- Tang OS, Mok KH, Ho PC. A randomized study comparing the use of sublingual to 14. vaginal misoprostol for pre-operative cervical priming prior to surgical itermination of pregnancy in the first trimester. Hum Reprod. 2004; 19:1101–4. Parneet Kaur, Manjeet Kaur, balwinder Kaur, Manjit Kaur MOhi†; KhushPreet Kaur,
- 15. Preeti. Comparative Study of Sublingual versus Vaginal Misoprostol on Preoperative Cervical Priming in First Trimester Abortion. Indian Journal of Clinical Practice, Vol. 23, No. 9 February 2013.