



A study of the efficacy of Digital lectures for learning gross anatomy

Dr. Shruti Mamidwar

Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, GMC, Chandrapur.

Dr. Abhilasha M

Vahane, Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, GMC, Nagpur.

ABSTRACT

The current study investigates the level of students' learning and attitudes towards the teaching and learning process when using digital lectures to teach gross anatomy to year 1 medical students.

Methodology: The study sampled year 1 medical students of cohorts 2015 and 2016. The year 1 medical students in 2015 were taught gross anatomy of the heart by didactic classroom lectures while those in 2016 were taught with digital lectures using the same content. A review session was conducted for the 2016 cohort. A 19-item survey was distributed amongst students to investigate their attitudes and feedback. The data were analysed using SPSS

Results: The 2015 cohort had a mean score of 47.65 for short essay questions and 51.19 for multiple choice questions, while the 2016 cohort scored an average of 36.80 for short essay questions and 49.22 for multiple choice questions. The difference in scores for each type of question was found to be significant. Using a 5-point Likert scale, students gave an average of 4.11 when asked if they liked the teaching and learning process and would like it to be applied further.

KEYWORDS : Digital lectures.

Introduction:

Didactic lecturing is often criticised but still constitutes a major mode of teaching and constitutes "a defining element of most university courses [1]." Even with the introduction of new methods such as problem-based learning, team-based learning, and the use of simulation when teaching medical students, the net effect has been limited [2]. Medical knowledge is expanding every day but there is a limited learning time for medical students. This calls for adoption of methods to make the lessons more compact and better use the students' time. One such method is to use digital media [3], presenting the lecture material synchronized with audio and video feed to the students. These digital lectures can be made available to the students online [4]. Doherty et al. [5] have discussed the use of tablet computing in learning. Tablets, with their long battery life, relatively large screens, large storage capacity, and fast processor speeds, bring new possibilities to medical education. Students can now learn anatomy using three-dimensional interactive anatomy models which are available online. Many institutions are conducting online courses using digital lectures [6] and research is being conducted to explore the implementation and efficiency of digital lectures in everyday teaching and learning [7,8]. Technological advances support the efficient development of digital lecturing material by making both the necessary hardware [9] and the appropriate software widely available for easy viewing of digital lectures.

According to Demetriadis and Pombortsis [4], the three formats of technology-delivered lectures are: digital lectures—delivered synchronously or asynchronously; live digitized lectures—a digital version of the live event; and e-lectures—any digital learning resource in lecture format, captured in the studio. Though these methods have advantages within higher education, few authors have highlighted potential concerns related to learning issues, staff development and timetable coordination [10,11,12]. These issues can be addressed, as suggested by Prober and Khan [2] in 2013, if every organization makes video content of their own core curriculum.

Until 2015, the first-year students in our medical faculty were taught gross anatomy of the heart in the cardiovascular block through classroom lectures. In 2016, the classroom lectures were replaced with video lectures. Thus, the students were presented only with digital lectures of the same content. This study was conducted to investigate, firstly, if the digital lectures are as efficient as traditional lectures for our students' learning and, secondly, the level of students' satisfaction and their attitudes towards the use of digital lectures.

Materials and methods:

The study was conducted in medical college in central India. The study was given ethical approval by the university Research Review

Committee. The same lecturer from the anatomy department who had been teaching gross anatomy of the heart to our medical students in previous years conducted the preparation and delivered both didactic and digital lectures, following and covering the learning objectives which were predefined by the subject experts of our medical school and keeping the content of lectures similar. All students from the cohorts of 2015/2016 (n=197) and 2016/2017 (n=200) were included.

The digital-lectures were made using the video converter feature of PowerPoint 2007 software. The lecturer first prepared the gross anatomy lecture slides and then added narration to each slide, finally using the video converting the slide presentation into video presentation using PowerPoint. The subject of gross anatomy of the cardiovascular system was split into six smaller topics (digital lectures) which were (1) mediastinum (10 minutes and 30 seconds), (2) pericardium (8 minutes and 40 seconds), (3) external and internal structure of heart (15 minutes), (4) cardiac skeleton and valves (12 minutes and 40 seconds), (5) cardiac conduction system and nervous supply to heart (9 minutes), and (6) coronary blood supply (17 minutes and 30 seconds).

The total duration of the videos was 1 hour and 20 minutes. The emphasis was given to remembering the facts and understanding the conceptual knowledge, focussing on the first two cognitive levels of Bloom's revised taxonomy [13].

Students in cohort 2016/2017 were asked to anonymously answer a Likert-scale feedback questionnaire using "survey monkey" (an online survey tool). The questionnaire was adopted from [4] with prior permission from the authors. The questionnaire contained 19 items under three main sections. Section I included students' attitudes towards the quality and use of digital lectures. Section II and section III included questions on positive and negative aspects of the use of digital lectures.

Results:

A total of 197 and 200 students took the end-of-module examination from cohorts 2015/2016 and 2016/2017, respectively. Subject experts other than the author evaluated the answer scripts. The results of the cardiovascular gross anatomy component is presented in Table 1 as a percentage for each category of question. Significant differences between the two cohort groups were assessed using an independent two-tailed Student t-test.

1. Questionnaire result

The students of the 2014/2015 cohort were given the survey. Out of the 200 participants, 153 students completed the entire survey (76.5%). A 5-point Likert scale was used (1, strongly disagree; 2, disagree; 3,

undecided; 4, agree; 5, completely agree).

Table 2 shows the students' responses to the attitude questionnaire regarding the digital lectures (n=153). Tables 3 and 4 show the students' responses to the attitude questionnaire regarding positive and negative aspects of the digital lecture experience, respectively (n=153).

Discussion:

The results of the present study are in concordance with the meta-analysis study published by the Department of Education which had concluded that “on average, students in online learning conditions performed modestly better than those receiving face-to-face instruction,” with larger effects if the online learning was combined with face-to-face instruction [14].

In the present study, we strove to establish flexibility and cohesiveness of the various learning events. In implementing this approach, we explored the efficiency of short digital lectures as learning material within the context of specific blended learning activities. In the present study, the quantitative data suggests that there is a significant difference in the learning outcomes of the two cohorts, as measured by results of the end-of-module examination used to determine the students' level of understanding. Our data contradicts the general view that digital lectures produces results on par with traditional teaching, as it shows a significant increase in academic performance when compared to results of face-to-face lectures [15]. The results for the summative assessment show statistically significant improvement compared to other studies [4].

The qualitative data also suggests that digital lectures seem to be an acceptable alternative to face-to-face delivery, as is agreed by other studies [15,16], even though the students are strongly in favour of having both. Students also voted strongly in favour of developing an online e-lecture library as has been suggested by Prober and Khan [2], which gives them an opportunity to better reflect on the content of the lecture and also to revisit the lectures anytime. They also strongly agreed with the convenience of the ability to keep copies of these presentations for use in the future.

Our study shows that our students favored the digital format of lectures which is in conjunction with several previous studies [17,18,19]. This study provides evidence that digital lectures can be safely used as students' introductory learning material for gross anatomy teaching, thus increasing flexibility of knowledge acquisition and enhancing motivation, which can lead to better results.

Tables:

TABLE 1: Students' Responses to the Attitude Questionnaire Regarding Digital Lectures (n=153)

Item no.	Question	Average rating
1	I consider the digitized lecture that I viewed as a positive learning experience.	4.34/agree
2	Technically, the quality of the e-lecture was satisfactory.	4.10/agree
3	I think that learning from digital lectures is in no aspect inferior compared to learning from a live lecture.	3.58/undecided
4	I think it is important to develop online e-lecture libraries.	4.55/strongly agree
5	I think that viewing a digital lecture at home as many times as I wish gives me the opportunity to better reflect on the content of the lecture.	4.55/strongly agree
6	I believe that combining digital lectures and classroom meetings for discussion can be a better learning experience.	4.47/agree
7	Personally, I like the idea and I would like it to be applied.	4.11/agree

Table 2: Students' Responses to the Attitude Questionnaire Regarding Positive Aspects of the Digital Lecture Experience (n=153)

Item no.	Question	Average rating
1	Watching the e-lecture as many times one wishes results to better learning.	4.54/strongly agree
2	Ample time to get prepared and pose better stated questions.	4.17/agree
3	Better study conditions at home (feel more comfortable, better able to concentrate, do not get tired as in classroom, follow one's own pace).	4.36/agree
4	With digitized lectures available one does not miss the lecturing event.	4.32/agree
5	Able to review the e-lecture material without bothering the teacher.	4.12/agree
6	Feel motivated by the use of audiovisual technology.	4.11/agree
7	e-Lecture enables participation and so it is more interesting.	4.14/agree

Table 3: Students' Response to the Attitude Questionnaire Regarding Negative Aspects of the Digital Lecture Experience (n=153)

Item no.	Question	Average rating
1	Lack of immediate discussion.	3.46/undecided
2	Excessive comfort at home may promote procrastination.	3.56/undecided
3	More pleasant to attend a live lecture than a digitized one.	3.19/undecided
4	When digital lectures become an everyday routine, they may lose their appeal.	3.28/undecided
5	Better to have both live lectures and digital lectures (as supporting material).	4.54/strongly agree

References:

- Bell T, Cockburn A, McKenzie B, Vargo J. Digital lectures: if you make them, will students use them? Constraints on effective delivery of flexible learning systems. *Interact Multimed Electron J Comput Enhanc Learn*. 2001;3
- Prober CG, Khan S. Medical education reimagined: a call to action. *Acad Med*. 2013;88:1407-1410.
- Prober CG, Heath C. Lecture halls without lectures: a proposal for medical education. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366:1657-1659.
- Demetriadis S, Pombortsis A. E-lectures for flexible learning: a study on their learning efficiency. *Educ Techno Soc*. 2007;10:147-157.
- Doherty I, Sharma N, Harbutt D. Contemporary and future eLearning trends in medical education. *Med Teach*. 2015;37:1-3.
- Rui Y, Gupta A, Grudin J, He L. Automating lecture capture and broadcast: technology and videography. *Multimedia Syst*. 2004;10:3-15.
- Dev P, Rindfleisch TC, Kush SJ, Stringer JR. An analysis of technology usage for streaming digital video in support of a preclinical curriculum. *Proc AMIA Symp*. 2000. pp.180-184.
- Spickard A, 3rd, Alrajeh N, Cordray D, Gigante J. Learning about screening using an online or live lecture: does it matter? *J Gen Intern Med*. 2002;17:540-545.
- Joukov N, Fauster M, Chiueh TC. Design, implementation, and evaluation of a digital lectern system. Paper presented at: International Conference on Web-based Learning (ICWL 2003); 2003 August 18-20; Melbourne, Australia.
- Carville S, Mitchell DR. It's a bit like Star Trek': the effectiveness of video conferencing. *Innov Educ Train Int*. 2000;37:42-49.
- Freeman M. Video conferencing: a solution to the multi-campus large classes problem? *Br J Educ Technol*. 1998;29:197-201.
- Pitcher N, Davidson K, Napier JG. Videoconferencing in higher education. *Innov Educ Train Int*. 2000;37:199-209.
- Anderson LW, Krathwohl DR, Airasian PW, Cruikshank KA, Mayer RE, Pintrich PR, Raths J, Wittrock MC. A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: a revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. New York, USA: Longman; 2001.
- U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development; c2010. Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: a meta-analysis and review of online learning studies [Internet] [cited 2012 May 3]. Available from: <http://www2.ed.gov/rschstat/eval/tech/evidence-based-practices/finalreport.pdf>.
- Solomon DJ, Ferenchick GS, Laird-Fick HS, Kavanaugh K. A randomized trial comparing digital and live lecture formats. *BMC Med Educ*. 2004;4:27.
- Callas PW, Bertsch TF, Caputo MP, Flynn BS, Doheny-Farina S, Ricci MA. Medical student evaluations of lectures attended in person or from rural sites via interactive videoconferencing. *Teach Learn Med*. 2004;16:46-50.
- Mattick K, Crocker G, Bligh J. Medical student attendance at non-compulsory lectures. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2007;12:201-210.
- Whitten P, Ford DJ, Davis N, Speicher R, Collins B. Comparison of face-to-face versus interactive video continuing medical education delivery modalities. *J Contin Educ Health Prof*. 1998;18:93-99.
- Nikopoulou-Smyrni P, Nikopoulos C. Evaluating the impact of video-based versus traditional lectures on student learning. *Educ Res*. 2010;1:304-311.