Original Research Paper

Management

IMPACT OF BRAND IMAGE ON CUSTOMERS BUYING BEHAVIOUR-AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Dr.D.Rajasekar	Associate Professor, AMET Business School, AMET University
Dr.R.Srinivasan	Assistant Professor, AMET Business School,AMET University

ABSTRACT The target of this exploration think about is to examine the effect of brand picture on respondents mark decision, wellspring of data, factors influencing purchasing decision and level of fulfillment. The specimen of the examination is 100 respondents of Andhra Pradesh. Four schools were chosen for this exploration ponder. Through an organized survey information were gathered and with the assistance of SPSS, examination was made. The outcomes uncovered that estimations of relapse examination demonstrates that there is 33.7% fluctuation of purchasing conduct of respondents clarify by the effect of commercial on purchasing conduct, promotion's effect on mark picture and brand picture affect on mark unwaveringness. The consequences of Pearson connection demonstrates that respondents purchasing conduct is altogether 36% corresponded with respondents faithfulness and 49% with notice affect on mark picture. The respondents purchasing conduct is likewise 38.8% emphatically and essentially corresponded with ad. The aftereffects of connection additionally deciphering that the all relationship is sure and high noteworthy at 0.01 level. There is a down to earth connection between mark picture and buyer purchasing conduct. Advertisers can use the discoveries so as to think of different promoting methodologies so as to target respondents in more exact way.

KEYWORDS :

INTRODUCTION

Brands are constantly considered as a best apparatus for promoting and business system. As the correspondence frameworks are getting propelled step by step, it contracted the separations, along these lines connecting markets through streams of data crosswise over business sectors. This pattern expanded the opposition levels too. "A brand is characterized as a particular name, image or plan or, all the more normally some mix of these-that is utilized to recognize a specific merchant's item" (Doyle, 2002). Amid the youthful phase of buyer conduct, purchasers' constrained involvement with present day advertising makes them rely upon trustworthy brands and track records. Now and again buyers depend on cost as a sign of value. In this way the brand impact is essential to contemplate. Brands represented 33% to one-portion of every one of customers' looks of plan to buy. In Indian landmass, the shopper's desires of item quality when all is said in done have been consistently rising, owning to the expansion in wage and sociological strengths, which have provoked elevated requirements of a superior way of life. Indian purchasers today are searching for stylish and social incentive rather than simply concentrating on the essential needs of warmth and the defensive capacity of items. Retail chains are presently swarmed with individuals, yet few of the customers are not kidding purchasers. Indian buyers are anxious to perceive what is accessible, particularly from among outside items. So , a brand, so, can be characterized as a merchant's guarantee to give reliably a one of a kind arrangement of attributes, favorable circumstances, and administrations to the purchasers/buyers. It is a name, term, sign, image or a mix of all these wanted to separate the products/administrations of one vender or gathering of merchants from those of contenders.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Writing audits Brand Awareness, Recognition and Knowledge Brand learning comprises of Brand mindfulness and Image Agarwal and Rao,(1996; Pappu and cooksay (2005). Past specialists FranzRudolf Esch et al. (2006) have watched thatBrand Image has coordinate impact on client buys while mark mindfulness has roundabout effect. Brand mindfulness is characterized by Keller (1993) as, how a purchaser can easily European Scientific Journal February 2015 version vol.11, No.5 ISSN: 1857 – 7881 (Print) e - ISSN 1857-7431 157 remember the brand when he is expected for buy an item/mark. He additionally expressed that brand mindfulness is specifically connected with Brand Image and Brand Awareness can't be made without the assistance of Brand Image. Specialists Franz-Rudolf Esch el al. (2006) contended that to assess mark mindfulness mark review is an apparatus by which we can without much of a stretch measure and anticipate mark mindfulness. As for Brand Recognition Keller (2003) expressed brand unwaveringness, mark mindfulness, saw quality and brand picture as the basic parts. Brand Familiarity demonstrates clients' past information of and involvement with a brand Jing Hu et al.

(2012). This information can be founded on substantial/immaterial traits and the connections among them Keller (1993). Brand Mascot additionally assume critical part in mark acknowledgment process. A solid mascot advertising exertion empowers to make media introduction and fervor, produce goodwill for the brand, and go about as an envoy for the brand by giving a voice to the organization's social honesty. They play the part of a 'representative', with their own Facebook pages and this identity would be more engaging than the brand's page. Brand mascots can fabricate engagement considerably more adequately with portable and online networking, by Michal Clements (2013). They are toon images and organizations utilize them to make their items unmistakable. Brand Associations Brand affiliations, memory, information, mindfulness and review has been seen in the past examinations Aaker (1991) Keller (1993) and Yasin et al. (2007), and the analysts approve that further value of a brand is all things considered bolstered by client's relationship towards the brand, which add to a particular brand picture. Scientist Edo Rajh (2002) noticed that the energy of brand affiliation is associated with the capability of their linkage with the Brand Node. He additionally expressed that at whatever point the quality of the brand affiliation increments, there is dependably a possibility of upgraded ability of reviewing that specific brand. The idealness of brand affiliations is related with the clients' convictions that brand traits and advantages will suit their necessities and needs with fulfillment. He likewise watched that the uniqueness of brand affiliations is associated with the extension to which mark relationship of one brand are not shared by whatever other adversary brands. Brand Image and Loyalty Previous creators Keller (2003) and Biel (1992) expressed that a constructive Brand Image makes when a client relate him/herself with a specific brand with interesting affiliation and that individual could prescribe that brand to someone else and hold an inspirational state of mind towards that brand. This brand European Scientific Journal February 2015 release vol.11, No.5 ISSN: 1857 – 7881 (Print) e - ISSN 1857-7431 158 Image directly affects the buy conduct of the consumer.Asim Nasar et al. (2012) expressed in their examination think about that various organizations give significant thought for building brand picture and use capital for detailing and executing advertising techniques and practices. They promote contended that Brand picture is a standout amongst the most intense perspectives that set up the notoriety of a particular brand in the commercial center. Without a positive and solid Brand Image, organizations can't get an awesome piece of the piece of the pie. For that, organizations as often as possible watch their promoting and marking methodologies and practices. Bondesson (2012) expressed that faithfulness can be measured through different strategies. He additionally expressed that one can gauge Brand Loyalty with the assistance of measuring buy intension and suggestion. He additionally watched that brand value to a great extent concentrates on two sorts of brand quality. One is mark dependability, which is exactly caught by such measures as suggestion,

inclination, and buy expectation. Second one is clients' readiness to pay a value premium for a particular brand then again Fouladivand et al., (2013) guaranteed that brand unwaveringness can impact both decidedly and adversely on the nature of a brand

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY
The accompanying are the destinations of the investigation.
• To look at the effect of promotions on purchasing conduct.
• To look at the effect of promotions on mark picture
• To look at the effect of brand picture on mark unwaveringness

METHODLOGY
A self-organized survey was intended to pick up the vital data from the understudies of four designing schools from Andhra Pradesh. Around 47 things were created in the poll were methodicallly appropriated utilizing an advantageous testing strategy from four building universities. The poll utilizes a 5-point scale that going from "emphatically deviate" to "firmly concur".

With the end goal of information investigation, Karl Pearsons coefficient of Rank Correlation was ascertained to look at the connection between factors. Relapse examination was ascertained. One way ANOVA test was utilized by utilizing SPSS programming.

RESULTS:
Pearson connection was utilized to look at the relationship and effect of brand picture. Survey was circulated to four building universities for accumulation of information. Every one of the respondents were understudies. Out of 150 surveys circulated, 100 were incorporated into the investigation, the rest were dismissed because of different reasons.

The relapse examination table is given beneath.

Table 1 Regression Analysis Results

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std.Error
1	0.58	0.337	0.33	0.687

a. Predictors: (Constant), impact of advertisement on buying behavior, impact of advertisement on brand image , impact of brand image on respondent's loyalty

R square value shows the variance in dependent variable explained by the independent variables. In this table, R square value shows that there is only 33.7% variance in buying behavior of respondents explained by the impact of advertisement on buying behavior, advertisement impact on brand image and brand image impact on brand loyalty.

Table 2 ANOVA TEST

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	65.61	3	21.87	46.382	0
Residual	129.196	274	0.472		
Total	194.806	277			

a. Predictors: (Constant), impact of advertisement on buying behavior, impact of advertisement on brand image , impact of brand image on respondents loyalty.
b. Dependent Variable: impact of brand image on respondents 'buying behavior

Table 3 Coefficients

Model	Beta	t	Sig.	VIF
Constant		4.7	0	
Impact of brand image on loyalty	0.217	4.082	0	1.16
Impact of Advertizments on Brand Image	0.384	7.27	0	1.156
Impact of advertizement on buying behaviour	0.173	3.12	0.002	1.27

Regression Equation
Respondents' buying behavior = 0.217 respondents loyalty + 0.384 advertisement on brand image + 0.173 advertisement on buying behavior.

Table 3 shows coefficient of regression equation. This table represents the significance of each variable in the regression equation. It shows the coefficient of respondents' loyalty is 0.217 which means that if respondents loyalty is increased by 1 unit, respondents' buying

behavior will increase by0.217 units. Similarly if advertisement on brand image is increased by 1 unit, respondents' buying behavior will increase by 0.384 units and if advertisement on buying behavior is increased by 1 unit then respondents' buying behavior will increase by 0.173 units. According to regression equation advertisement influences most on buying behavior of respondents.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION
The above results show that respondents buying behavior is correlated and influenced by advertisement, brand loyalty and brand image. Regression analysis shows that the 33% variation in teenagers buying behavior is explained by given factors. Further all three null hypotheses were rejected which shows that respondents buying behavior to some extend depends on the brand loyalty, advertisement and brand image. In the light of the analysis and interpretations it is suggested that companies that are targeting teenagers should focus more on teenager advertisements because it was found that most of the teens were influenced by advertisements.

REFERENCES
1. Aaker, J. L. (1997). Dimensions of Brand Personality. Journal of Marketing Research, 34(3), 347-356. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3151897
2. Allport, G., & Ross, J. (1967). Personal Religious Orientation and Prejudice. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2, 423-443.
3. Alserhan, B. (2010). On Islamic Branding: Brands as Good Deeds. Journal of Islamic Marketing, 1(2), 101-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17590831011055842 Ambler, T. (1997).
4. Ballester, E. D., & Aleman, J. M. (2005). Does Brand Trust Matter to Brand Equity? Journl of Product & Brand Management, 187-196. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/10610410510601058
5. Bendixen, M., Bukasa, K. A., & Abratt, R. (2004). Brand Image in the Business-to-Business Market. Industrial Marketing Management, 33, 371-380. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2003.10.001 Bravo, R., Montaner, T., & Pina, J. M. (2012).
6. Corporate Brand Image of Financial Institutions: A Consumer Approach. Journal of Product & Management, 21(4), 232-245. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/10610421211246649
7. Cannon, J. P., Perreault, W. D., & McCarthy, E. J. (2009). Pemasaran Dasar - Pendekatan Manajemen Global. Jakarta: Salemba Empat. Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The Chain of Effects from Brand Trust and Brand Business Management and Strategy ISSN 2157-6068 2014, Vol. 5, No. 2 www.macrothink.org/bms 73
8. Affect to Brand Performance: the Role of Brand Loyalty. Journal of Marketing, 65(April), 81-93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.81.18255 Cutler, B. (1991).
9. Religion and Marketing: Important Research Area or a Footnote in the Literature? Journal of Professional Services Marketing, 8, 153-164. http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J090v08n01_12 de Mooij, M. (2004).
10. Consumer Behavior and Culture: Consequences for Global Marketing and Advertising. California: Sage. Delener, N. (1994).
11. Religious Contrast in Consumer Decision Behavior Patterns: Their Dimension and Marketing Implications. European Journal of Marketing, 28, 36-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/03090569410062023
12. Delgado-Ballester, E., & Munuera-Aleman, J. L. (2005). Does Brand Trust Matter to Brand Equity? Journal of Product & Brand Management, 14(3), 187-196. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/10610420510601058 Deng, Z., Lu, Y., Wei, K. K., & Zhang, J. (2010).
13. Understanding Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty: An Empirical Study of Mobile Instant Message in China. International Journal of Information Management, 30, 289-300. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2009.10.001
14. DeShields, J., Oscar, W., Kara, A., & Kaynak, E. (2005). Determinants of Business Student Satisfaction and Retention in Higher Education: Applying Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory. International Journal of Educational Management, 19(2), 128-139. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09513540510582426
15. Essoo, N., & Dibb, S. (2004). Religious Influences on Shopping Behavior: An Exploratory Study. Journal of Marketing Management, 20, 683-712. http://dx.doi.org/10.1362/0267257041838728
16. Gorlow, L., & Schroeder, H. (1968). Motives for Participating in the Religious Experience. Journal of the Scientific Study of Religion, 7, 241-251. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1384632