Medicine



PREVALENCE OF METABOLIC SYNDROME IN TYPE 2 DIABETIC PATIENTS IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTER IN NORTHERN INDIA

Dr. Deepak Gahlan* Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Maharaja Agrasen Medical College, Agroha-125047, Hisar, Haryana, India. *Corresponding Author

Dr. Rajesh Rajput

Senior Professor, Department of Endocrinology and Medicine VI, Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak-124001, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT Background: Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) has been associated with increase prevalence of non-communicable disorders such as T2DM and cardiovascular diseases. The presence of MetS in patients with diabetes mellitus is reported to be associated with poor glycemic control and an increased risk of diabetic complications.

Aims and Objective: This study aimed at determining the prevalence of MetS and its individual components and the most critical predictors of MetS in T2DM patients in a tertiary care center in Northern India.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted at Endocrine OPD of Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak, a tertiary care centre in northern India which included 410 T2DM patients. Their socio-demographic and relevant clinical variables were recorded. MetS was defined according to the International Diabetes Federation criteria.

Results: Prevalence of MetS among Indian diabetic patients was 76.17% and was 1.6 times more common in females as compared to males (78.7% vs. 48.7%). In females, central obesity followed by raised fasting blood sugar, in males raised fasting blood sugar followed by high serum triglycerides level were the most prevalent risk factors for MetS. The major predictors for MetS among patients of T2DM were age (40-60 years), female sex, BMI (25.0-29.9 Kg/m²) and low education level (up to 10° class and illiterate).

Conclusion: There was a high prevalence of MetS in patients of T2DM. The risk factors for MetS were age, female sex, obesity and low education level. Therefore, screening for MetS among T2DM patients needs to be done on regular basis, so that risk of diabetes related complications and cardiovascular morbidity associated with this syndrome can be lessened.

KEYWORDS : Metabolic Syndrome, type 2 diabetes mellitus, predictors, International Diabetes Federation criteria

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common chronic disease and leading cause of mortality worldwide. The global prevalence of diabetes will increase to double in the next 30 years due to population expansion, urbanization, increasing obesity, aging and physical inactivity. ¹ India has the largest number of diabetic population in the world ¹ and it is expected that there will be 79.4 million diabetic populations in India by 2030. ¹ Moreover, Asian Indian have high rates of premature coronary artery disease.²

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) refers to a group of metabolic risk factors that includes central obesity, glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinemia, low HDL cholesterol, high triglycerides and hypertension.³ It is estimated that approximately 20-25 % of the world's adult population have the MetS⁴ and they have two- three times higher risk of heart attack or stroke, ⁵ five- fold greater risk of developing type 2 diabetes ⁶ and collection of metabolic abnormalities in diabetes patient is associated with development of additional cardiovascular disease risk factors. ^{7,8} Furthermore, it was found that the risk of the Cardiovascular mortality rate is increased when more components of the MetS are evident.⁹

The prevalence of MetS in diabetic patients appears to vary by nations and there is limited data from developing countries. In a large epidemiological study from Chennai, MetS was identified 25.8% by IDF criteria, 23.2% by WHO criteria and 18.3% by ATPIII criteria in diabetic patients. ¹⁰While in a study from Mumbai, the prevalence of MetS among urban Indian diabetic patients was 77.2% by using NCEP-ATPIII criteria and was significantly higher in women (87.71%) as compared to men (69.33%). ¹¹ In another study, the prevalence of MetS was 41% in diabetic males and 58% in diabetic females by using NCEP-ATPIII criteria. ¹² However, the prevalence of MetS among Southern Indian T1DM patients was 22.2% and was associated with increased risk of diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy.

Due to varying prevalence rate of diabetes and scarce amount of data on MetS in Indian population, the exact disease burden still remains unclear. Furthermore there is limited study on MetS by using IDF criteria in Northern India. Therefore, the present study was aimed at determining the prevalence rate of MetS and risk factors of MetS in type 2 diabetic patients in Pt. B.D. Sharma, PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana, India, a tertiary care center in Northern India using the International Diabetes Federation criteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted in Endocrine Department of Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana, India. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute. After taking informed and written consent, a total of 410 participants were included in the study.

Inclusion criteria

Patients above 18 years of age Patients of either sex Patients having T2DM irrespective of their duration of illness or diabetic treatment.

Exclusion criteria

Patients with chronic medical or surgical illness other than DM Patients on long-term treatment for other medical illness Patients who were terminally ill Patients having renal, neurological, or cardiovascular dysfunction who require immediate hospitalization for serious illness Patients who were on corticosteroids or any psychotropic drug.

Measures

A semi-structured proforma was used to obtain sociodemographic variables of patients and relevant past medical history and duration of illness, treatment taken for diabetes. Anthropometric measurements including weight, waist circumference, body mass index (BMI) were recorded. Blood pressure was measured using a sphygmomanometer. Blood pressure was recorded in the sitting position in the right arm. Two readings were taken 5 minutes apart and the mean of the two was taken as blood pressure. Fasting and postprandial plasma glucose level, glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and lipid profile were done.

Metabolic Syndrome Definition

According to the new International Diabetes Federation (IDF) definition,¹⁴MetS is defined as when a person must have:

Central obesity (Waist Circumference with ethnicity specific value for South Asian population, Male ≥ 90 cm and Female ≥ 80 cm); **plus any two of the following four factors:**

(1) Raised triglycerides $\geq 150 \text{ mg/dL}$ (1.7 mmol/L) or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality; (2) Reduced HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L) in males and < 50 mg/dL (1.29 mmol/L) in

females or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality; (3) Raised blood pressure systolic BP \geq 130 or diastolic BP \geq 85 mm Hg or treatment of previously diagnosed hypertension; and (4) Raised fasting plasma glucose (FPG) \geq 100 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L) or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes. If above 5.6 mmol/L or 100 mg/dL, OGTT is strongly recommended but is not necessary to define presence of the syndrome.

STATISTICALANALYSIS

The data collected during the study was entered in the Microsoft Excel format and was analyzed using SPSS version 17 version Microsoft

Table 1 Sociodemographic and clinical profile of the participants

software. A descriptive statistical analysis was done for continuous and categorical variables. Differences in characteristics between participants were tested with unpaired *t*-test for normally distributed variables and with the Chi-square test for categorical variables. Binary logistic regression model was used to examine the association between predictor variables and risk of depression. Results were expressed as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals. The *P* values were two-tailed, and probability level of significant difference was set at <0.05.

RESULTS

Variables	Total (%) 410 (100 0)	Male (%) 197 (48 0)	Female (%) 213 (52 0)	p value
Age (in years)	54.73±9.99	56.19±9.37	53.38±10.36	0.004
Marital status (%)				<0.001
Married	391 (95.4)	194 (98.5)	197 (92.5)	
Unmarried	5 (1.2)	3 (1.5)	2 (0.9)	
Widow	14 (3.4)	0	14 (6.6)	
Residential area (%)				0.045
Rural	131 (32.0)	72 (36.5)	59 (27.7)	
Urban	279 (68.0)	125 (63.5)	154 (72.3)	
Education level (%)				<0.001
Illiterate	69 (16.8)	14 (7.1)	55 (25.8)	
Literate	341 (83.2)	183 (92.9)	158 (74.2)	
Type of family (%)				0.184
Nuclear	176 (42.9)	76 (38.6)	100 (46.9)	
Joint	234 (57.1)	121 (61.4)	113 (53.1)	
Life style (%)				0.843
Sedentary	231 (56.3)	110 (55.8)	121 (56.8)	
Physically active	179 (43.7)	87 (44.2)	92 (43.2)	
Smoking (%)				<0.001
Yes	124 (30.2)	124 (61.9)	0	
No	286 (69.8)	73 (38.1)	213 (100.0)	
Alcohol (%)	(((1(1))	(((22.0)		<0.001
Yes	66 (16.1)	66 (33.0)		
NO W ()	344 (69.8)	131 (67.0)	213 (100.0)	0.092
waist (cm)	95.76±10.69	94.81±10.63	96.64±10.70	0.083
Height (cm)	161.32±9.93	166.80±9.28	156.26±7.53	<0.001
Weight (kg)	67.35±10.07	69.32±10.17	65.53±9.63	<0.001
BMI (kg/m²)	25.96±3.79	24.95±3.36	26.89±3.93	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)	134.95±19.75	133.31±18.73	136.46±20.58	0.107
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	85.96±14.13	84.95±12.42	86.89±15.52	0.166
Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl)	157.43±52.47	153.36±53.24	161.19±51.59	0.132
Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl)	230.40±62.80	225.18±65.28	235.23±60.17	0.106
Serum triglyceride(mg/dl)	201.00±62.01	199.02±63.69	202.83±60.51	0.535
Serum HDL (mg/dl)	43.21±8.63	41.92±8.00	44.40±9.04	0.004
HbA1c (%)	9.65±2.06	9.49±2.16	9.81±1.96	0.129
Duration of diabetes (years)	6.78±5.52	7.09±6.11	6.50±4.91	0.282
Type of therapy for diabetes (%)				0.427
Oral therapy	281 (68.5)	139 (70.6)	142 (66.7)	
Insulin therapy	129 (31.5)	58 (29.4)	71 (33.3)	
History of anti-hypertensive drugs (%)		`	i í	0.156
Yes	139 (33.9)	60 (30.5)	79 (37.1)	
No	271 (66.1)	137 (69.5)	134 (62.9)	

A total of 410 type 2 diabetes patients were enrolled in the study. There were 213 females (52.0%) and 197 males (48.0%) in the study group. The overall mean age of the population was 54.73 ± 9.99 years, whereas the ages of the males and females were 56.19 ± 9.37 and 53.38 ± 10.36 respectively, which is statistically significant (p=0.004). Similarly marital status, education level and residential area (urban) were statistically significant with p value <0.001, <0.001 and 0.045 respectively. Smoking habits and alcohol intake were also found to be statistically significant with p value <0.001 for both. The overall mean

value of BMI was 25.96±3.79 kg/m2, and the mean BMI of females was significantly higher (P < 0.001) than that of males, moreover height (161.32±9.93) and weight (67.35±10.07) were statistically significant (p<0.001). Though the waist circumference of females was higher, there was no statistical significance. In general, 43.7% of the participants were physically active, 44.2% being males and 43.2% being females, which is also not statistically significant. In biochemical parameters, only HDL cholesterol (43.21±8.63) was statistical significance between the males and females (p=0.004).

Table 2 Prevalence of individual components of Mets

	Male (%) 197 (48.0)	Female (%) 213 (52.0)	Total (%) 410 (100.0)	p value
Central Obesity/ Waist Circumference (Male ≥90cm, Female ≥80cm)	133 (67.5)	207 (97.1)	340 (82.9)	<0.001
Fasting blood sugar (≥100 mg/dl)	174 (88.3)	195 (91.5)	369 (90.0)	0.277
Serum triglyceride(≥150 mg/dl)	154 (78.1)	174 (81.6)	328 (80.0)	0.374
Serum HDL (Male <40 mg/dl, Female<50 mg/dl)	78 (39.5)	172 (80.7)	250 (60.9)	<0.001

	Volume-	-7 Issue-12 December-20	017 ISSN - 2249-555X II	F : 4.894 IC Value : 86.18
Systolic BP (≥130 mmHg)	111 (56.3)	137 (64.3)	248 (60.4)	0.099
Diastolic BP (≥85 mmHg)	84 (42.6)	93 (43.6)	177 (43.1)	0.835
Mets	96(48.7)	163(78.7)	259(76.1)	

After applying the IDF criteria, the prevalence rate of MetS was found to be 76.1%, while prevalence rates of MetS in male and female was 48.7% and 78.7% respectively. Hence females were having higher prevalence of MetS as compared to males. Overall, raised fasting blood sugar was the commonest component (90.0%) of the MetS, followed by central obesity (82.9%) and high serum triglycerides (80.0%), while hypertension was the least prevalent component (systolic BP 60.4% and diastolic BP 43.1%). In females, central obesity was the most common component (97.1%), followed by raised

fasting blood sugar (91.5%) and high serum triglycerides (81.6%). In males, raised fasting blood sugar was the commonest component (88.3%) of the MetS, followed by high serum triglycerides (78.1%) and central obesity (67.5%). Hypertension was the least prevalent component of MetS in both female and male groups. Central obesity and low HDL cholesterol were the only components that showed statistically significant difference between males and females (p<0.001).

Table 3 Sociodemographic and clinical profile of the patients of Mets

Variables	Total (%)	Male (%)	Female (%)	p value
	259 (76.1)	96 (48.7)	163 (78.7)	
Age (in years)				<0.001
20-40	29 (11.2)	6 (6.2)	23 (14.1)	
41-00	162(62.5)	53(55.2)	109 (66.9)	
<u>×01</u> Morital status (9/)	08 (20.5)	57 (58.5)	51 (19.0)	0.030
Married	244 (04 3)	04 (07 0)	150 (92 0)	0.030
Unmarried	4(15)	2(21)	2(12)	
Widow	(1.5)	0	11 (6 8)	
Residential area (%)			11 (010)	0.040
Rural	65 (25.1)	26 (27.1)	39 (23.9)	
Urban	194 (74.9)	70 (72.9)	124 (76.1)	
Education Level (%)				<0.001
Illiterate	48 (18.5)	5 (5.2)	43 (26.4)	
Up To 10 th class	112(43.2)	38 (39.6)	74 (45.4)	
Up To 12 th class	32 (12.4)	15 (15.6)	17 (10.4)	
Graduate	44 (17.0)	28 (29.2)	16 (9.8)	
Post Graduate	23 (8.9)	10 (10.4)	13 (8.0)	
Type of family (%)				0.223
Nuclear	107 (41.3)	35 (36.5)	72 (44.2)	
Joint	152 (58.7)	61 (63.5)	91 (55.8)	
Life style (%)				0.634
Sedentary	157 (60.6)	60 (62.5)	97 (59.5)	
Physically active	102 (39.4)	36 (37.5)	66 (40.5)	
Smoking (%)	(0, (22, 2))	(0, ((2, 5)))	0	<0.001
res No	00(23.2) 100(76.8)	00(02.3)	0	
NO	199 (70.8)	30 (37.3)	105 (100)	<0.001
Vec	31 (12 0)	31 (32 3)	0	<0.001
No	228 (88 0)	51(52.5) 65(677)	163 (100)	
Waist (cm)	98 51+9 55	100 04+8 37	97 61+10 11	0.048
Height (cm)	160 34±9 32	167 31±7 31	156 23±7 83	<0.001
Weight (kg)	68 20±10 23	72.39±10.08	65 74±9 52	<0.001
$BMI (kg/m^2)$	00120-10120	12109-10100	00171-5102	0.018
<18.5	0	0	0	
18.5-22.9	42 (16.2)	20 (20.8)	22 (13.5)	
23.0-24.9	46 (17.8)	24 (25.0)	22 (13.5)	
25.0-29.9	120 (46.3)	38 (39.6)	82 (50.3)	
≥30.0	51 (19.7)	14 (14.6)	37 (22.7)	
Systolic BP (mmHg)	136.45±19.06	135.17±17.77	137.21±19.79	0.406
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	87.08±14.30	87.46±12.63	86.85±15.23	0.743
History of anti-hypertensive drugs				0.673
(%)				0.075
**				0.075
Yes	96 (37.1)	34 (35.4)	62 (38.0)	0.075
Yes No	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9)	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6)	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0)	0.075
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl)	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66	0.041
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl)	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64 245.60±53.66	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57	0.041 0.228
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl)	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64 245.60±53.66 216.06±51.22	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83	0.041 0.228 0.412
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl)	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64 245.60±53.66 216.06±51.22 41.22±5.71	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%)	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64 245.60±53.66 216.06±51.22 41.22±5.71	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 09 (61.1)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5)	$34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53\pm42.64 245.60\pm53.66 216.06\pm51.22 41.22\pm5.71 27 (28.1) 69 (71.0) $	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5)	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64 245.60±53.66 216.06±51.22 41.22±5.71 27 (28.1) 69 (71.9)	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5) 2 (0.8)	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64 245.60±53.66 216.06±51.22 41.22±5.71 27 (28.1) 69 (71.9)	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7 7-9	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5) 2 (0.8) 87 (33.6)	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \ (35.4) \\ 62 \ (64.6) \\ 162.53 \pm 42.64 \\ 245.60 \pm 53.66 \\ 216.06 \pm 51.22 \\ 41.22 \pm 5.71 \\ 27 \ (28.1) \\ 69 \ (71.9) \\ 0 \\ 33 \ (34.4) \end{array}$	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1) 2 (1.2) 54 (33.1)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7 7-9 >9	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5) 2 (0.8) 87 (33.6) 170 (65.6)	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \ (35.4) \\ 62 \ (64.6) \\ 162.53 \pm 42.64 \\ 245.60 \pm 53.66 \\ 216.06 \pm 51.22 \\ 41.22 \pm 5.71 \\ 27 \ (28.1) \\ 69 \ (71.9) \\ 0 \\ 33 \ (34.4) \\ 63 \ (65 \ 6) \end{array}$	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1) 2 (1.2) 54 (33.1) 107 (65 7)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7 7-9 >9 Duration of diabetes	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5) 2 (0.8) 87 (33.6) 170 (65.6)	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \ (35.4) \\ 62 \ (64.6) \\ 162.53 \pm 42.64 \\ 245.60 \pm 53.66 \\ 216.06 \pm 51.22 \\ 41.22 \pm 5.71 \\ 27 \ (28.1) \\ 69 \ (71.9) \\ 0 \\ 33 \ (34.4) \\ 63 \ (65.6) \end{array}$	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1) 2 (1.2) 54 (33.1) 107 (65.7)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046 0.547
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7 7-9 >9 Duration of diabetes (years)	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5) 2 (0.8) 87 (33.6) 170 (65.6)	34 (35.4) 62 (64.6) 162.53±42.64 245.60±53.66 216.06±51.22 41.22±5.71 27 (28.1) 69 (71.9) 0 33 (34.4) 63 (65.6)	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1) 2 (1.2) 54 (33.1) 107 (65.7)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046 0.547 0.419
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7 7-9 >9 Duration of diabetes (years) 0-5	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5) 2 (0.8) 87 (33.6) 170 (65.6) 142 (54.9)	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \ (35.4) \\ 62 \ (64.6) \\ 162.53 \pm 42.64 \\ 245.60 \pm 53.66 \\ 216.06 \pm 51.22 \\ 41.22 \pm 5.71 \\ 27 \ (28.1) \\ 69 \ (71.9) \\ 0 \\ 33 \ (34.4) \\ 63 \ (65.6) \\ \hline \\ 48 \ (50.0) \end{array}$	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1) 2 (1.2) 54 (33.1) 107 (65.7) 94 (57.7)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046 0.547 0.419
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7 7-9 >9 Duration of diabetes (years) 0-5 6-10	96 (37.1) 163 (62.9) 170.18±48.15 250.73±52.40 219.52±51.94 42.35±7.14 92 (35.5) 167 (64.5) 2 (0.8) 87 (33.6) 170 (65.6) 142 (54.9) 62 (23.9)	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \ (35.4) \\ 62 \ (64.6) \\ 162.53 \pm 42.64 \\ \hline 245.60 \pm 53.66 \\ \hline 216.06 \pm 51.22 \\ \hline 41.22 \pm 5.71 \\ \hline 27 \ (28.1) \\ 69 \ (71.9) \\ \hline 0 \\ 33 \ (34.4) \\ 63 \ (65.6) \\ \hline \\ 48 \ (50.0) \\ 24 \ (25.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1) 2 (1.2) 54 (33.1) 107 (65.7) 94 (57.7) 38 (23.3)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046 0.547 0.419 0.419
Yes No Fasting plasma sugar (mg/dl) Postprandial plasma Sugar (mg/dl) Serum triglyceride(mg/dl) Serum HDL (mg/dl) Insulin therapy for diabetes (%) Yes No HbA1c (%) <7 7-9 >9 Duration of diabetes (years) 0-5 6-10 >10	$\begin{array}{c} 96 (37.1) \\ 163 (62.9) \\ 170.18 \pm 48.15 \\ 250.73 \pm 52.40 \\ 219.52 \pm 51.94 \\ 42.35 \pm 7.14 \\ 92 (35.5) \\ 167 (64.5) \\ 2 (0.8) \\ 87 (33.6) \\ 170 (65.6) \\ \\ 142 (54.9) \\ 62 (23.9) \\ 55 (21.2) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \ (35.4) \\ 62 \ (64.6) \\ 162.53 \pm 42.64 \\ \hline 245.60 \pm 53.66 \\ \hline 216.06 \pm 51.22 \\ \hline 41.22 \pm 5.71 \\ \hline 27 \ (28.1) \\ 69 \ (71.9) \\ \hline 0 \\ 33 \ (34.4) \\ 63 \ (65.6) \\ \hline \\ 48 \ (50.0) \\ 24 \ (25.0) \\ \hline 24 \ (25.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$	62 (38.0) 101 (62.0) 174.88±47.66 253.75±51.57 221.55±51.83 43.02±7.80 65 (39.9) 98 (60.1) 2 (1.2) 54 (33.1) 107 (65.7) 94 (57.7) 38 (23.3) 31 (19.0)	0.041 0.228 0.412 0.040 0.046 0.547

Most of the MetS patients were in the 41-60 years age group (62.5%) which was statistically significant (p<0.001), moreover female (66.9%) were more in this group as compared to male (55.2%) and 20-40 age group had the lowest prevalence (11.2%). The prevalence of MetS was significantly higher (p=0.030) for married male (97.9%) as compared to married female (92.0%). Present study also reported that the urban diabetic patients (74.9%) were having significant prevalence of MetS as compared to rural diabetic patients (25.1%) (p=0.040). Based on the education level, highest prevalence of the MetS was up to 10th class(43.2%) followed by illiterate (18.5%) which was statistically significant too (p<0.001). Smoking habits and alcohol intake were also found to be statistically significant with p value <0.001. The overall prevalence of MetS was higher in patients with BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m2 (46.3%) as compared to normal BMI diabetic patients (16.2%) and also BMI of females were significantly higher ($\vec{P} < 0.018$) than that of males, moreover height (160.34±9.32), weight (68.20±10.23) and waist (98.51±9.55) were statistically significant (p<0.001,<0.001 and 0.048 respectively). But the waist circumference of males (100.04 ± 8.37) was higher than females (97.61 ± 10.11) in patients of MetS as compared to overall study group. Patient is on insulin therapy for diabetes also found to have statistically significant prevalence of MetS (p=0.046). In biochemical profile, fasting plasma sugar (170.18± 48.15) and HDL cholesterol (42.35±7.14) were statistical significance between the males and females (p=0.041 and 0.040 respectively). Though the prevalence of MetS was higher with $HbA_1c > 9$, but there was no statistical significance (p=0.547). Similarly five year duration of diabetes was found to be associated with high prevalence of MetS, but it is not statistically significant (p=0.419).

 Table 4 Predictors of MetS in patients of diabetes mellitus (results of logistic regression analysis)

Independent variables	OR	95% CI for OR		p value
		Lower	Upper	
Sex	0.59	0.34	1.01	0.047
Age (in years)	0.10	0.04	0.27	<0.001
Education Level	0.51	0.39	0.68	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.94	1.17	3.20	0.009

The independent risk factors for MetS among the patients of T2DM were calculated by applying binary logistic regression analysis. For MetS Odd Ratio was significantly higher for BMI with the value of 1.94, followed by 0.59 for sex and 0.51 for education level, while it was lowest for age 0.10. Obesity increased the odds of one having the MetS, as obese patients were almost 2 times [1.94 (1.17–3.20)] more likely to develop the MetS, as compared with normal weight diabetic patients.

DISCUSSION

The MetS is a set of the most hazardous cardiovascular diseases risk factors: diabetes and raised fasting plasma glucose, abdominal obesity, high triglyceride cholesterol, low HDL cholesterol and high blood pressure. 15-17 The findings of the present study suggested that prevalence of MetS was 1.6 times more common in females as compared to males (78.7% vs. 48.7%), while overall prevalence was 76.1%. A varying rate of prevalence of MetS has been found in the studies done in various parts of the world as well as in India. In an urban population based study in Mumbai which include 5088 type 2 diabetes patients (2908 men and 2180 women) by using NCEP-ATPIII criteria, the prevalence of MetS among diabetic patients was 77.2% and was significantly higher in women (87.7%) as compared to men (69.3%). Another study conducted at a tertiary care center in Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh showed the prevalence of MetS was 45.8% based on NCEP-ATPIII criteria and the prevalence of MetS was also higher in females (58.0%) than in males (41.0%). ¹² A Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES) consisting of largest epidemiological study group (i.e. 26 001 diabetic patients) by Deepa et al. ¹⁰ showed the prevalence of MetS was 25.8%, 23.2% and 18.3% by IDF, WHO and ATPIII criteria respectively. In a study on 451 type 1 diabetes patients attending a tertiary diabetes centre in Chennai, South India, prevalence of MetS was 22.2%. ¹³ The total age adjusted prevalence rates of MetS among 1061 Nepalese type 2 diabetic patients were 80.3%, 73.9%, 69.9% and 66.8% according to Harmonized, NCEP-ATPIII, WHO and IDF definitions respectively and significantly higher in females as compared to males according to all four definitions. ¹⁸ A study from Pakistan by Imam et al. 19 reported 79.7% prevalence of MetS in diabetic patients. Results of the Singapore National Health Survey showed a higher prevalence of MetS among the Asian Indians (28.8%)

compared to Malays (24.2%) and Chinese (14.8%).²⁰ While study from USA by Bruno et al.²¹ showed prevalence of 75.6% and Foucan et al.²² found a 77.0% prevalence of MetS in diabetic Indian immigrants in the USA. In a study by AlSaraj et al.²³ from Ireland, prevalence of MetS was 61% and T2DM (69.5%) had more prevalence of MetS as compared to TIDM (22.2%). However, studies from various African countries reported the prevalence of MetS varying from 24.0% to 62.5%, which also showed female preponderance in prevalence of MetS. ²⁴⁻²⁷ The varying rates of prevalence may be accounted for methodological differences that were used in studies such as Harmonized, NCEP-ATPIII, WHO and IDF definitions of MetS. Female preponderance in prevalence of MetS may be due a relatively sedentary lifestyle, low education level, high BMI, lack of awareness and self-determination about their own health status.

The prevalence rate of MetS was significantly higher in diabetic patients with age group 41-60 years (62.5%) as compared to \geq 61 years (26.3%) and 20-40 years (11.2%). Thus present study showed that the prevalence of MetS increases with age (40-60 years) and deceases after 61 years of age. Pokharel et al.¹⁸ in their Nepalese study on T2DM patients had been observed similar association by using IDF criteria. Similar results were also reported in studies by Nsiah et al.²⁵ and Unadike et al. ²⁷ But Adediran et al. ²⁸ in their study found that the majority of those with MetS were over 60 years with a mean age of 59 ± 12 years, while in the Saudi Arabian study, the mean age of MetS patient was 60 ± 13 years.²⁹ These studies reported the fact that MetS is more common amongst the elderly which was not similar to our findings. This is expected because diabetic patients of age group 40-60 years are having relatively sedentary life style, poor adherence to specific dietary guidelines and stressful work environment. Also there are age related processes that favor increase predisposition of MetS such as gradual decrease in the basal metabolic rate, decreased growth hormone secretion, hypogonadism, stress induced hypercortisolism, abdominal fat deposition and concomitant insulin resistance.³⁰On the other hand, the sharp decline of the prevalence at high age group might be due to increased frequency of death of diabetic patients who were most susceptible to obesity related mortality such as coronary artery diseases and cerebrovascular accidents.¹⁰

This study showed the prevalence of MetS was significantly higher in diabetic patients with low education level (43.2% for up to 10^{m} class and 18.5% for illiterate) as compared to graduation (17.0%), up to 12^{m} class (12.4%) and post graduation (8.9%). These findings were consistent with a previous study by Nsiah et al. ²⁵ which found that the diabetic patient those who were in junior high school (56.3%) and with primary school education (14.9%) had higher prevalence as compared to tertiary level education (12.6%) and senior high school (3.4%). Similar association was also reported by Moebus et al. ³² in their study. This could be due to their ignorance of good dietary habits, like eating a large amount of high carbohydrate diet, saturated fatty foods and low fiber diet as well as irregular exercising and sedentary life style in diabetic patients with low education level.

In this study we also found the prevalence rate of MetS significantly higher in diabetic patients with BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m2 (46.3%) as compared to normal BMI (18.5-22.9 kg/m2) diabetic patients (16.2%) and furthermore MetS was more prevalent in obese diabetic females than that of obese males. Similar association of high BMI and development of MetS in the diabetic population had already been observed in their study by Nsiah et al. ²⁵ They found a high prevalence of MetS in obese diabetic patients (40.23%) and obese persons were 5 times more likely to have MetS, compared to normal weight persons as well. ²⁵ Pokharel et al. ¹⁸ also reported similar results in their study on Nepalese population. This may be because South Asians, including Indian people, are having greater amount of visceral fat, high waist circumference, dyslipidemia and insulin resistance even at younger age as compared to their Western counterpart which predisposes them to very high risk of MetS, T2DM and cardiovascular diseases than any other population in the world. ³³

Our study also addressed the prevalence of individual component of MetS (Table 2). In the present study, raised fasting blood sugar was found to be the commonest component of MetS in the entire type 2 diabetes study population, followed by central obesity and raised serum triglycerides, while hypertension was found to be the least prevalent component of MetS in diabetic patients. These results are not similar to other Indian studies by Surana et al.¹¹ and Yadav et al.¹² which reported hypertension as the commonest component of MetS in their

FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND SPONSORSHIP

No financial support was received to carry out the research.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1047-53. 1
- 2 Anand SS, Yusuf S, Vuksan V, Devanesen S, Teo KK, Montague PA, et al. Differences in risk factors, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease between ethnic groups in Canada: the study of health assessment and risk in ethnic groups (SHARE). Lancet
- 2000:356:279-84 Reaven GM. Banting lecture 1988: role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes 3. 1988-37-1595-1607
- International Diabetes Federation (IDF). 2007. Diabetes alas. Belgium: International 4. Diabetes Federation
- 5. Zimmet PZ, George K, Alberti MM, Shaw JE. Main streaming the metabolic syndrome:
- Adefinitive definition. Med Aus 2005;184(4):175-6. Stern M, Williams K, Gonzalez-Villalpando C, Hunt KJ, Haffner SM. Does the metabolic syndrome improve identification of individuals at risk of type 2 diabetes 6. and/or cardiovascular disease? Diabetes Care 2004;27(11):2676-81. Sattar N, Gaw A, Scherbakova O, Ford I, O'Reilly DS, Haffner SM, et al. Metabolic
- 7. syndrome with and without c-reactive protein as a predictor of coronary heart disease and diabetes in the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study. Circulation 2003;108:414-9.
- Golden SH, Folsom AR, Coresh J, Sharrett AR, Szklo M, Brancati F. Risk factor grouping related to insulin resistance and their synergistic effects on subclinical atherosclerosis: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Diabetes 2002;51:3069-
- Hu G, Qiao Q, Tuomilehto J, Balkau B, Borch-Johnsen K, Pyorala K, for the DECODE Study Group. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in non diabetic European men and women. Arch Intern Med 2004:164:1066-76
- Deepa M, Farooq S, Datta M, Deepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome using WHO, ATP III and IDF definitions in Asian Indians: the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-34). Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2007;23:127-34
- Surana SP, Shah DB, Gala K, Susheja S, Hoskote SS, Gill N, et al. Prevalence of the 11. metabolic syndrome in an urban Indian diabetic population using NECP ATP III guidelines. JAssoc Physicians India 2008;56:865-8. Yadav D, Mishra M, Tiwari A, Bisen PS, Goswamy HM, Prasad GBKS. Prevalence of
- Dyslipidemia and Hypertension in Indian Type 2 Diabetic Patients with Metabolic Syndrome and its Clinical Significance. Osong Public Health Res Perspect 2014;5(3):169-75
- Billow A, Anjana RA, Ngai M, Amutha AK, Pradeepa R, Jebarani S, et al. Prevalence 13. and clinical profile of metabolic syndrome among type 1 diabetes mellitus patients in southern India. J Diabetes Compli 2015;29(5):659-64. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. IDF Epidemiology Task Force Consensus Group. The
- 14. metabolic syndrome new worldwide definition. Lancet 2005;366:1059-62. www.idf.org/metabolic_syndrome, website of the International Diabetes Federation.
- 15
- The Metabolic Syntrome. Diabetes Voice special issue, May 2006, 51. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. Metabolic syndrome-a new world-wide definition. A 17.
- Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. Diabet Med 2006:23(5):469-80.
- Pokharel DR, Khadka D, Sigdel M, Yadav NK, Acharya S, Kafle RC, et al. Prevalence of 18. metabolic syndrome in Nepalese type 2 diabetic patients according to WHO, NCEPATP III, IDF and Harmonized criteria. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2014;13:104-16.
- Imam SK, Shahid SK, Hassan A, Alvi Z. Frequency of the metabolic syndron 19. diabetic subjects attending the diabetes clinic of a tertiary care hospital. J Pak Med Assoc 2007;57:239-42.
- 20 Tan CE, Ma S, Wai D, Chew SK, Tai ES. Can we apply the national cholesterol education program adult treatment panel definition of the metabolic syndrome to Asians? Diabetes Care 2004:27:1182-6
- Bruno G. Merletti F. Biggeri A. Bargero G. Ferrero S. Runzo C. et al. Metabolic 21. syndrome as a predictor of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in type 2 diabetes: The Casale Monferrato study. Diabetes Care 2004;27:2689-94. Foucan L, Deloumeaux J, Donnet JP, Bangou J, Larifla L, Messerchmitt C, et al.
- 22. Metabolic syndrome components in Indian migrants with type 2 diabetes. A matched comparative study. Diabetes Metab 2006;32:337-42.
- AlSaraj F, McDermott JH, Cawood T, McAteer S, Ali M, Tormey W, et al. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in patients with diabetes mellitus. Ir J Med Sci 23. 2009;178(3):309-313
- Mogre V, Salifu ZS, Abedandi R. Prevalence, components and associated demographic and lifestyle factors of the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes 24 Metab Disord 2014;13:80. Nsiah K, Shang VO, Boateng KA, Mensah FO. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in
- 25.
- Island, Shang VO, Boateig KA, Mensan IO, Frevalence of inclusion synthetic in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Int JAppIBasic Med Res 2015;5(2):133-8.
 Felix-Val K, Titty WK, Owiredu WK, Agyei-Frimpong MT. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its components among diabetes patients in Ghana. J Biol Sci Variance 2016 (2016) (20 26. 2008:8:1057-61.
- Unadike BC, Akpan NA, Peters EJ, Essien IO, Essien OE. Prevalence of the metabolic 27. syndrome among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Uyo, Nigeria. Afr J Endocrinol Metab 2009;8(1):7-9.
- 28 Adediran OS, Edo AE, Jimoh AK, Ohwovoriole AE. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among Nigerians with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Int 2007;15:13-14 Akbar DH. Metabolic syndrome is common in Saudi type diabetic patients. Diabetes Int 29.
- 2002:12:47-9. Kassi E, Panagiota Pervanidou P, Kaltsas G, Chrousos G. Metabolic syndrome: 30.
- definitions and controversies. BMC Med 2011;9:48. Cameron AJ, Magliano DJ, Zimmet PZ, Welborn T, Shaw JE. The metabolic syndrome 31.
- in Australia: prevalence using four definitions. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;77:417-8. Moebus S, Hanisch JU, Aidelsburger P, Bramlage P, Wasem J, Jöckel KH. Impact of 4 different definitions used for the assessment of the prevalence of the metabolic 32.
- syndrome in primary healthcare: The German Metabolic and Cardiovascular Risk Project (GEMCAS). Cardiovasc Diabetol 2007;6:22.
- Ahmed A, Khan TE, Yasmeen T, Awan S, Islam N. Metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes: comparison of WHO, modified ATPIII & IDF criteria. J Pak Med Assoc 2012;62:574-9._
- Chandalia M, Garg A, Lutjohann D, von Bergmann K, Grundy SM, Brinkley LJ. Beneficial effects of high dietary fiber intake in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N 34. Engl J Med 2000;342:1392-8.

study. While in Nepalese study results were found to be different, as central obesity was the most prevalent component according to WHO and IDF criteria and low HDL cholesterol according to NCEP ATPIII and Harmonized criteria and on the other hand, hypertension was found to be the least prevalent component according to all four criteria.¹⁸ Nsiah et al.²⁵ and Unadike et al.²⁷ in their studies from Africa were also found hypertension as major component of MetS. In females, central obesity was the most common component followed by raised fasting blood sugar and high serum triglycerides, whereas hypertension was the least common component of the MetS. These findings were consistent with previous studies, where central obesity was found to be the most frequent component of the MetS in the diabetic study group. ^{11, 12, 18, 25} This could be due to less participation of women in physical activities, sedentary lifestyle, regular intake of high fat diet and refined carbohydrates, low education level and lack of concern about their own health. Moreover, in males the most prevalent component of MetS was raised fasting blood sugar, followed by high triglycerides levels and then central obesity, yet hypertension was the least common component. In contrast to this study, previous studies were reported the hypertension as the most prevalent component of MetS^{12,25,26} that was followed by high triglycerides levels.^{25,26} This may be attributed to increase of family responsibilities, stressful work environment, smoking habits, alcohol intake and most importantly managing the chronic illness like diabetes which adds to their financial as well as emotional burden, which ultimately leads to poorly controlled blood sugar levels.

Further, in our study, only two components of Mets, central obesity and lowered HDL cholesterol were showed statistically significant prevalence in T2DM patients (table 2). Similar results had been shown by Nsiah et al.²⁵ in their study. It is a well known fact that low HDL cholesterol levels are associated with higher risk of coronary heart diseases or cardiovascular diseases. ³⁴ In fact, each individual component of MetS are high risk factors for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Moreover, adults with T2DM, the presence of MetS is associated with fivefold increase in CV risk independent of age, sex, smoking status and HbA1c.³⁵ Therefore, it is essential that assertive therapy be aimed at controlling hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension is required. Significant benefits of such a multifactorial intervention have been already documented by the Steno-2 study on T2DM patients.²

On the whole, there was a high prevalence of MetS among T2DM by using IDF criteria that was similar to the study of Pokharel et al. While Deepa et al. ¹⁰ showed a low prevalence as compared to our study. Through logistic regression analysis, our study has shown that four factors; BMI, sex, education level and age have odd ratios of 1.94, 0.59, 0.51 and 0.10, respectively in the causation of MetS. It was also found that the obese patients were almost twofold more likely to develop the MetS, as compared with normal weight diabetic patients. BMI and low education level are the two modifiable risk factors among type 2 diabetics study group. Therefore, precise information regarding the commonest risk factors of MetS will provide guidance for prevention and treatment of T2DM and its fulminant complications in the near future.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed a high prevalence of MetS in patients with T2DM. The presence of risk factors for MetS among patients of diabetes predicts a causal relationship and deserves attention from clinicians. Therefore, screening for MetS among diabetic patients needs to be done at each clinical contact, particularly in obese, middle and older age, female sex and those with low education status. Efforts should be intensified in educating patients about lifestyle modifications and treatment offered to these patients help to reduce insulin resistance and eventually this will lessen the cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with this syndrome.

LIMITATIONS

The present study shows prospective clinical inference but there are certain limitations. This was a cross-sectional study and hence, cannot be used to establish long-term conclusions. Due to small sample size and single-cited study; the results cannot be generalized to general population setting. Therefore, multicentral and longitudinal studies in different geographical areas need to be considered to establish causal relationship between MetS and T2DM. Notwithstanding these limitations, this preliminary study was able to reveal important aspects of MetS among diabetic patients.

- 35. Bonora E, Targher G, Formentini G, Calcaterra F, Lombardi S, Marini F, et al. The metabolic syndrome is an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetic subjects. Prospective data from the Verona diabetes complications study. Diabet Med 2004;21(1):52-8.
- Gaede P, Vedel P, Larsen N, Jensen GV, Parving HH, Pedersen O. Multifactorial intervention and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2003;348:383-93.