Original Resear	Volume - 7 Issue - 6 June - 2017 ISSN - 2249-555X IF : 4.894 IC Value : 79.96			
anal OI Applice Real CODUL * 42102	Gynaecology Bacterial Vaginosis in Preterm Delivery and its relationship with Birth Weight			
Sunita Shivaji Gaikwad	Associate professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rajiv Gandhi Medical college, Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Hospital, Thane Belapur road, Kalwa, Thane, MS (400605) India			
Dr. Pavan Sable	Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Seth G.S. Medical College & K.E.M. Hospital, Mumbai, MS (400012) India			
Dr. Milind Ramchandra Ubale	Associate professor, Department of Microbiology, Rajiv Gandhi Medical college, Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Hospital, Thane Belapur road, Kalwa, Thane, MS (400605) India			
ABSTRACT Aim: Ba Study Design: Hospital based P Methodology: The study was co relevant history, investigations we Results: Total 21 patients were multipara (56.5%) and in 33-36 with presence of Bacterial Vagir Conclusions: Low birth weigh screening) and control of Bacter	cterial Vaginosis in Preterm Delivery and its relationship with Birth Weight etting: Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at a Tertiary Care Hospital, Mumbai rospective Observational study onducted on Preterm Delivery patients admitted in Tertiary Care Institute in Year 2016 (N=80). Each woman after vere studied for the presence of Bacterial Vaginosis based on Amsel's criteria.5 diagnosed of Bacterial Vaginosis Infection (N=80). The mean age of patients was 27 Years. Most of them were weeks of gestation (82.5%). Around 24% of neonates had birth weight < 1.5 Kg. The association of birth weight tosis in preterm infants was statistically not significant (p>0.05). t is common in preterm neonates with maternal Bacterial Vaginosis infection. Preventive measures (including ial Vaginosis infection in pregnancy is necessary.			

KEYWORDS : Bacterial Vaginosis, Preterm delivery, Amsel's criteria

Introduction

Bacterial Vaginosis is the most common lower genital tract disorder among women of reproductive age (pregnant and non-pregnant) and the most prevalent cause of vaginal discharge and malodour^{1,2}.

Bacterial Vaginosis is a polymicrobial syndrome resulting in a decreased concentration of lactobacilli and an increase in pathogenic bacteria, mainly anaerobic or microaerophiles. These organisms include *Gardnerella vaginalis, Mobiluncus species, Bacteroides and Prevotella species, and Mycoplasma* species^{3,4}.

Bacterial Vaginosis can be diagnosed using Amsel⁵, Spiegel⁶ or Nugent criteria⁷. Metronidazole is the drug of choice in the treatment of Bacterial Vaginosis.⁸ The majority of cases of Bacterial Vaginosis are asymptomatic and remain unreported and untreated⁹.

It has been associated with a significant number of obstetric and gynaecologic complications, such as preterm labour and delivery, preterm premature rupture of membranes, spontaneous abortion, chorioamnionitis, postpartum endometritis, post- Caesarean delivery wound infections, postsurgical infections, and subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease.¹⁰⁻¹⁶

Bacterial vaginosis is very common, with the exact prevalence varying widely. In India, the reported prevalence of Bacterial Vaginosis among the preterm labour varies between 20-30%.^{17,18,19}

Since, Bacterial Vaginosis can be associated with pregnancy complications as well as adverse neonatal outcome, we undertook this study to know the prevalence of Bacterial Vaginosis in preterm deliveries and its relationship with birth weight.

Material and Methods

It was a Hospital based Prospective Observational study of 80 preterm pregnant women attending department of Obstetrics and Gynecology (N=80) registered for a period of one year. Inclusion criteria were - a) gestational age less than 37 weeks, b) regular uterine contractions (four or more in 20 minutes or eight or more in 60 minutes) each lasting more than 40 seconds, c) cervical dilatation equal to or greater than 1 cm but less than 4 cm, d) intact amniotic membranes. These women were screened for Bacterial Vaginosis after taking written informed consent. Exclusion criteria were - cervical incompetence, cervical surgery, placenta previa, abruptio placenta, uterine abnormality, multiple pregnancy, polyhydramnios, Rh isoimmunization, etc.

Using a sterile vaginal speculum, vaginal swab was taken from the lateral vaginal wall or posterior fornix, avoiding contamination with cervical mucous The diagnosis of Bacterial Vaginosis rests on the presence of three of the following four findings: (1) a thin, dark or dull gray homogenous malodorous discharge that adheres to the vaginal walls; (2) an elevated vaginal pH level (\geq 4.5); (3) a positive Whiff test (fishy odor is noted on adding KOH to the discharge); or (4) the presence of clue cells (epithelial cells with adherent organisms) on wet mount microscopic evaluation. Bacterial Vaginosis was diagnosed if three or more of the criteria (Amsel's criteria)5 were present. After delivery, materno-foetal complications were noted; birth weight of a baby is recorded.

Data were tabulated using Microsoft Excel 2013 and analyzed using SPSS version⁹. Chi square tests is used and the probability of 5% was considered as statistically significant.

Results Table 1

Age of the Pregnant Woman (N=80)						
< 20 Years	1	1.25 %				
20 to 35 Years	73	91.25 %				
> 35 Years	6	7.5 %				
Weeks of Gestation						
<28	2	2.5 %				
29-32	12	15 %				
33-36	66	82.5 %				
Parity						
Primi	34	42.5 %				
Multipara	46	57.5 %				
Bacterial Vaginosis						
Present	21	26.25 %				
Absent	59	73.75 %				

Most of the pregnant women belong to age group 20 to 35 years (91.25%) with a mean of 27 years. Out of the total 82, 66 women in gestational age of 33-36 weeks (82.5%). Multiparity was more common (57.5%) and presence of Bacterial Vaginosis was detected in 21 women (26.25%).

Table 2							
PROM (N=80)							
Yes	28	35 %					
No	52	65 %					
Mode of delivery							
Vaginal	65	81.25 %					
LSCS	15	18.75 %					
Birth weight (Kg)							
< 1.5	19	23.75 %					
1.5 to 2	39	48.75 %					
2 to 2.5	22	27.5 %					

The PROM was seen in 35% of the total women, Vaginal delivery was common (81.25%). The outcome as measured by birth weight showed that most of the neonates weighed between 1.5 to 2 Kg (48.75%). Birth weight less than 1.5 Kg was seen in 23.75% of preterm births with Bacterial Vaginosis, whereas 27.5% of the total neonates had birth weight of 2 to 2.5 Kg.

Table 3

Bacterial	Bi	Total		
Vaginosis	< 1.5	1.5 to 2	2 to 2.5	1
Present	5	9	7	21
Absent	14	30	15	59
Total	19	39	22	80

(Chi Square test, X2=0.55, DF=2, p>0.05, Not significant)

The association between Bacterial Vaginosis in Preterm Delivery and birth weight was statistically not significant (p > 0.05). Birth weight below 1.5 Kg as well as more than 2.5 Kg was common in both groups.

Discussion

The global incidence of Bacterial Vaginosis is within the global range of 5-21 %.²⁰ The incidence may be more in developing countries. Approximately 7-10% of all births are preterm births in developing countries like India.

In our study, preterm births were more common in age group 20-35 years (91.25%). Similar finding are reported by other author²¹; the possible explanation is that maximum fertility is seen in this age group. Maximum preterm births were seen in multiparous women (57.5%), possibly due to cervical trauma due to repeated child birth, anemia, etc.22

The association of Bacterial Vaginosis and preterm labour was studied by many authors^{23,24}; in the present study 21 preterm deliveries were associated with Bacterial Vaginosis (26.25%).

In our study, birth weight above 2.5 Kg was not seen in Bacterial Vaginosis positive preterm deliveries. Birth weight less than 1.5 Kg was seen in 23.75% of preterm births with Bacterial Vaginosis, whereas 27.5% of the total neonates had birth weight of 2 to 2.5 Kg. The association between Bacterial Vaginosis in Preterm Delivery and birth weight was statistically not significant (p > 0.05). Low birth weight as an outcome of preterm delivery in Bacterial Vaginosis positive patients is documented by many authors.¹⁹

Conclusion

Low birth weight as an indicator of poor pregnancy outcome is common in preterm deliveries with Bacterial Vaginosis. Preventive measures (including screening) and control of Bacterial Vaginosis infection in pregnancy is necessary to prevent neonatal morbidity and mortality.

References

- Rein MF, Holmes KK. Non-specific vaginitis, vulvovaginal candidiasis, and 1. trichomoniasis: clinical features, diagnosis and management. Curr Clin Top Infect Dis 1983:4:281-315.
- Fleury FJ. Adult vaginitis. Clin Obstet Gynecol 1987;24:407-38. 3
- Hill GB, Eschenbach DA, Holmes KK. Bacteriology of the vagina. Scand J Urol Nephrol Suppl 1985;86:23–39. 4.
- Hillier SL. Diagnostic microbiology of bacterial vaginosis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993; 169:455-9
- 5. Amsel R, Totten PA, Spiegel CA, Chen KC, Eschenbach D, Holmes KK. Nonspecific vaginitis. Diagnostic criteria and microbial and epidemiologic associations. Am J Med 1983:74(1):14-22
- Spiegel CA, Amsel R, Holmes KK. Diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis by direct gram stain of vaginal fluid. J Clin Microbiol 1983;18 (1):170-7. 6.

- Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is 7. improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. J Clin Microbiol 1991;29(2):297-301.
- McDonald HM, O'Loughlin JA, Vigneswaran R, Jolley PT, McDonald PJ. Bacterial 8. vaginosis in pregnancy and efficacy of short-course oral metronidazole treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol 1994;84(3):343-8.
- Hay PE, Morgan DJ, Ison CA, Bhide SA, Romney M, McKenzie P, et al. A longitudinal 9. study of bacterial vaginosis during pregnancy. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1994;101 (12):1048-53
- 10. Wiesenfeld HC, Hillier SL, Krohn MA, Amortegui AA, Heine RP, Landers DV, et al. Lower genital tract infection and endometritis: Insight in to subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease. Obstet Gynecol 2002;100:456-63.
- Soper DE, Bump RC, Hunt WG. Bacterial vaginosis and trichomonas vaginitis are risk 11. factors for cuff cellulites after abdominal hysterectomy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990;163:1016-23.
- Korn AP, Bolan G, Padian N, Ohm-Smith M, Schacter J, Landers DV, Plasma cell 12 endometritis in women with symptomatic bacterial vaginosis. Obstet Gynecol 1995:85:387-90.
- Watts DH, Krohn MA, Hillier SL, Eschenbach DA. Bacterial vaginosis as a risk factor 13. for postcesarean endometritis. Obstet Gynecol 1990;75:52–8. Leitich H, Bodner-Adler B, Brunbauer M, Kaider A, Egarter C, Husslein P. Bacterial
- 14. aginosis as a risk factor for preterm delivery: a meta-analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003:189:139-47.
- Gravett MG, Hammel D, Eschenbach DA, Holmes KK. Preterm labor associated with subclinical amniotic fluid infection and with bacterial vaginosis. Obstet Gynecol 1986;67:229-37.
- 16. Hillier SL, Nugent RP, Eschenbach DA, Krohn MA, Gibbs RS, Martin DH, et al., for the A. COURTER, CARRING AND CA. NTOLIN MA, GIDDS KS, Martin DH, et al., for the Vaginal Infections and Prematurity Study Group. Association between bacterial vaginosis and preterm delivery of a low-birth-weight infant. N Engl J Med 1995;333:1737–42.
- 17. Kumar A, Khare J. Role of bacterial vaginosis in preterm labor. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2007; 57(5): Ali J, Borah SI, BarkatakiD, ImsongN. Association of bacterial vaginosis with preterm
- 18. labour. The New Indian Journal of OBGYN. 2016; 2(2): 93-73-16.
- Indu Lata, Yashodhara Pradeep, Sujata, Amita Jain. Estimation of the Incidence of Bacterial Vaginosis and other Vaginal Infections and its Consequences on 19. Maternal/Fetal Outcome in Pregnant Women Attending an Antenatal Clinic in a Tertiary Care Hospital in North India. Indian Journal of Community Medicine/Vol 35/Issue 2/April 2010, 285-289.
- Goldenberg et al, mercer B M et al: preterm prediction study, multiple marker test for 20. preterm labour: American journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2002: 184:643-51
- 21. Subha Ranjan, Ipsita Mohapatra. G Sahoo. Study of association of bacterial vaginosis and preterm labour in a tertiary care hospital.Perspectives in medical research 2015:3:3:6-10.
- Carey J C & Klebanoff et al, change in vaginal flora associated with an increased risk of 22. preterm birth; American journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2005:192:1341-6 Eschenbach DA, Gravett MG, Chen KC, Hayme UB. Bacterial vaginosis during
- 23. pregnancy: an association with prematurity and postpartum complications. In mardh PA, Taylor Robinson D,eds. Bacterial vaginosis. Stockholm : Almqvist and Wiksel. 1984;pp 214-18.
- 24. Kurki T. Sivonen A. Renkonen OV, Savia E. Ylikorkala O. Bacterial vaginosis in early pregnancy and pregnancy outcome. Obstet Gynecol 1992;80 (2):173-
- 25 Holst E, Goffeng AR, Andersch B. Bacterial Vaginosis and Vaginal Microorganisms in idiopathic Premature Labor and Association with Pregnancy Outcome. Journal of clinical Microbiology. 1994; 32(1): 176
- Shilpa M.N, A.P. Chandrashekar, G.S. Vijay Kumar, Rashmi P. Mahale. "Association of bacterial vaginosis with preterm labour". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2013; Vol2, Issue 32, August 12; Page: 6104-6110-86 26.
- Svare JA, Schmidt H, Hansen BB, Lose G. Bacterial vaginosis in a cohort of Danish 27. pregnant women: prevalence and relationship with preterm delivery, low birthweight and perinatal infections. BJOG. 2006; 113(12):1419-25.
- Hillier SL, Martius J, Krohn M, Kiviat N, Holmes KK, Eschenbach DA. A case controlled study of chorioamniotic infection and histologic chorioamnionitis in prematurity. N Eng1 J Med 1988; 319:972-8. Holst E, Brandberg A. Treatment of bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy with a lactate gel. Scand J Infect Dis 1990; 22:625-6.
- 29