Vasoconstrictors and Fluid Preloading during Combined Spinal and Epidural Anesthesia
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ABSTRACT

Background: The most common complication encountered with combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia is hypotension. Several interventions can be planned for prevention of hypotension after combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia. We compare fluid preloading with prophylactic vasoconstrictors (Ephedrine) in reducing the hemodynamic side effects of combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia.

Methodology: The comparative study was carried out on 60 patients in age group of 20 –65 yrs. Patients classified under class 1-3 as per ASA classification. Patients were randomly allocated to the two groups. GROUP-1 patients had received crystalloid preloading (Ringer Lactate) 20 minutes before procedure at a rate of 15ml /kg and GROUP-2 patients had received prophylactic ephedrine intravenously 5mg, 5mg at 1st and 2nd minute and 1mg at every minute thereafter for 15 minutes after block. HR, BP were recorded immediately after placing patient in supine position and then at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120 minutes. In both Group 1 and Group 2 sustained fall in systolic blood pressure was observed from baseline.

Results: In Group 1 the fall in BP was more and the difference was also statistically significant. There were comparable sequential rise in mean pulse rate in both the groups, although this was statistically non-significant. In Group 1 nine patients showed hypotension out of which four (44.5%) were managed by fluid challenge alone and rest five patients needed ephedrine. There were three hypotensive patients in Group 2; two out of them (66%) were managed by i.v. fluid boluses alone.

Conclusion: Study showed that vasoconstrictor (ephedrine) is a more effective method in reducing the incidence and severity of fall in systolic blood pressure as compared to volume preloading.
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Introduction

Spinal & epidural anaesthesia is being widely utilized in orthopaedics, obstetric & lower limbs and lower abdominal surgeries. Spinal anaesthesia, introduced by August Bier 1898, was first major regional technique in clinical practice. It is simple to institute, rapid in its effect and produces excellent operating conditions. With introduction of epidural block, options are there to supplement the block. But, because of need of large doses of local anesthetic drugs, potential risk of systemic toxicity and hypotension is there with this technique. The combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia (CSEA) technique is gaining popularity day by day in obstetric analgesia, major lower limb and lower abdominal surgeries, total hip replacement and total knee replacements.

The most common complication encountered with combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia is hypotension which is due to sympathetic nervous system blockade. As a result, decreased systemic vascular resistance and peripheral pooling of blood occurs which decreases cardiac output. In some cases, these cardiovascular effects may manifest as profound hypotension & bradycardia. Even a mild drop in blood pressure is significant in high risk patients such as the elderly and in those with underlying organ dysfunction in whom the auto-regulatory mechanism may be abnormal. Several interventions can be planned for prevention of hypotension after combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia. Here, in our study, we are trying to compare fluid preloading with prophylactic vasoconstrictors (Ephedrine) in reducing the hemodynamic side effects of combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia.

Material and methods

The study was a comparative study carried out on 60 patients in age group of 20-65 years (of either sex), undergoing lower abdomen and lower limbs surgeries in department of anaesthesia SMS Hospital & Medical College, Jaipur with prior permission of ethical committee of the institute. The study was double blind and the 60 patients were randomly allocated in two groups using opaque envelope method. Absolute aseptic condition & equipments to manage forthcoming events were prime necessity for conduction of combined spinal & epidural (CSE) block. A separate theatre was arranged for this which was well equipped with all the resuscitation measures. Patients classified under class 1-3 as per ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) classification, were included in this study. Preoperative assessment of the patients was done a day before surgery. Patients with history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, low BP, respiratory diseases, epilepsy, cardiac patients, spinal injuries, or spinal defects were excluded. Special investigations were done in patients as deemed necessary. A written informed consent was taken from patients for CSE block and procedure was explained to the patients.

As the patients were brought to the operation theater the blood pressure, pulse rate, ECG and Sp O₂ were checked and recorded. The patients were randomly divided in 2 groups of 30 pts each:

GROUP-1: Those patients who had received crystalloid preloading (Ringer Lactate) 20 minutes before procedure at a rate of 15ml /kg.

GROUP-2: Those patients who had received prophylactic ephedrine intravenously 5mg, 5mg at 1’ and 2” minute and 1mg at every minute thereafter for 15 minutes after block. Two (18 G) intravenous line were secured. No premedication were given to the patients. Baseline heart rate and blood pressure were monitored before preloading in group 1 & group 2 subjects. Parameters (HR, BP) were recorded immediately after placing patient in supine position and then at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120 minutes. An infusion of Ringer lactate at rate of 2ml /kg/hr was given during whole study period (surgical procedure) and rate was not be altered during study period. However, minute to minute monitoring was done to assess any haemodynamic changes and early institution of corrective therapy. Hypotension was defined as any decreased of systolic blood pressure...
The patients were monitored for spO₂, ECG, any reactive hypertension [SAP>30% of baseline] nausea, vomiting, any discomfort, respiratory depression etc. Supplement O₂ was given by venti-mask. Unpaired ‘t’ test and chi square test were used to analyze the data recorded from the subjects.

**Results**

Mean age in the ringer lactate preloaded group (Gp1) was 43.45±15.52 and the ephedrine group (Gp2) was 40.30±13.95. Mean weight in Group 1 was 61.50±8.45 and in Group 2 was 63.70±6.04. Both the groups were comparable with respect to age and weight of the patients (table 1).

Similarly, in Group 1 and Group 2 the mean onset of analgesia was 9.42±2.45 and 9.5±2.8, and the mean duration of surgery was 123.75±38.14 and 129.00±36.40 respectively. Both the groups were also comparable in respect of these two parameters (table 2).

In Group 1, the fall in systolic blood pressure was observed after 5 minutes of 115.1 ± 8.14 mmHg, from baseline value of 123.6 ± 5.6 with mean change of 8.5 mmHg. Sustained maximum decrease in SBP was observed till 15 minutes as 103.90 ± 8.2 with mean change of 19.7 mmHg. After 20th min onwards a less decrease from baseline value was recorded. In Group 2 the fall in systolic blood pressure observed after 5 minutes of CSEA was 2.2 mmHg. Maximum fall was recorded as 9.3 mmHg at 15 minute from baseline value. The decline in the blood pressure values at different time intervals in group 1 was more than in group 2 and the difference was also statistically significant (p value < 0.05), (table 3).

In Group 1, mean pulse rate changed form baseline of 81.9 ± 10.9 to a maximum of 96.5 ± 13.5 at 45 minute. In Group 2 (ephedrine group) mean pulse rate increased from baseline of 89.4 ± 12.5 to maximum of 103.3 ± 11.5 at 25 minute after CSEA. The difference in pulse rate among two groups was statistically non-significant (i.e. p value > 0.05) at most of the time intervals at which recording was done, (table 3).

In Group 1 Nine patients showed hypotension out of which 4 (44.5%) were managed with fluid bolus alone that is 2ml/kg i.v. bolus of Ringer Lactate stat, and repeated up to 3 times. Rest five patients needed ephedrine 6mg for management of episodes of hypotension.

There were three hypotensive patients in Group 2, two out of them (66%) were managed by i.v. fluid boluses alone. Only one patients (33%) required ephedrine 6mg for treatment of hypotension.

Nausea was complained by three and one patients in group 1, 2 respectively. Other minor untoward reactions like vomiting, rigor, restlessness were complained by in a very few patients. None of late post operative complication reported.

**Discussion**

Effect on systolic blood pressure

Hypotension during spinal anaesthesia is the result of sympathetic blockade leading to decreased venous return, as cardiac output must be maintained at much higher levels than normal to compensate for decrease in systemic vascular resistance.

In both Group 1 and Group 2 sustained fall in systolic blood pressure was observed from baseline. In Group 1 nine patients showed hypotension out of which four (44.5%) were managed with fluid bolus alone and rest five patients needed ephedrine. There were three hypotensive patients in Group 2, two out of them (66%) were manage by i.v. fluid boluses alone.

Intravenous pre loading is the most popular non-pharmacological method. Early studies had impressive results 
and it became established as an accepted standard of care. However, more recent controlled studies have questioned the efficacy of pre-loading. Some had shown that it reduced the severity of hypotension and some showed that preloading have minimal effect on the incidence of hypotension. The study conducted by Datta et al also showed that incidences of hypotension and hypoxemia were significantly lower in group of patients where intravenous ephedrine was given. The incidence of hypotension in the crystalloid and ephedrine infusion groups in the study conducted by Gajraj et al and Bhagat et al was higher than our study.

Pulse

There were comparable sequential rise in mean pulse rate in corresponding readings till 25 minutes in both the groups, although this was statistically non-significant. Critchley et al observed significant (12%) increase in heart rate and (10%) increase in stroke index and CVP in a similar study in ephedrine group. That represented predominant effect of ephedrine on beta 1 receptor resulted in increased heart rate. Alpha receptor effect of ephedrine

---

### Table 1: Comparison of ringer lactate preloading group (Gp1) and ephedrine group (Gp2) with respect to age and weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Ringer lactate preloading (Gp1) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Ephedrine (Gp2) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Unpaired ‘t’ test (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>43.45±15.52</td>
<td>40.30±13.95</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (in kgs)</td>
<td>61.50±8.45</td>
<td>63.70±6.04</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Comparison of ringer lactate preloading group (Gp1) and ephedrine group (Gp2) with respect to onset of analgesia and duration of surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Ringer lactate preloading (Gp1) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Ephedrine (Gp2) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Unpaired ‘t’ test (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset of analgesia</td>
<td>9.40±2.45</td>
<td>9.50±2.58</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery</td>
<td>123.75±38.14</td>
<td>129.00±36.40</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 3: Distribution of Systolic blood pressure among ringer lactate preloading group (Gp1) and ephedrine group (Gp2) subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Systolic Blood Pressure (Gp1) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Unpaired ‘t’ test (p-value)</th>
<th>Pulse rate (Gp1) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Unpaired ‘t’ test (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base line</td>
<td>123.60±56</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.50±8.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 5 min</td>
<td>115.10±8.1</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>89.10±10.9</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 10 min</td>
<td>107.90±8.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>88.50±17.8</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 15 min</td>
<td>103.90±8.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>91.50±19.3</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 20 min</td>
<td>107.70±6.9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>91.60±17.1</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 25 min</td>
<td>109.60±3.8</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>92.65±15.8</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 30 min</td>
<td>110.20±6.2</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>95.75±14.8</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 40 min</td>
<td>108.10±3.9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>96.50±13.5</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 50 min</td>
<td>106.40±4.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>95.70±13.4</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 60 min</td>
<td>113.71±4.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>94.92±10.8</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 4: Problems suffered by ringer lactate preloading group (Gp1) and ephedrine group (Gp2) subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Ringer lactate preloading (Gp1)</th>
<th>Ephedrine (Gp2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension required i.v. fluid bolus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension required ephedrine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was insufficient to maintain CVP and systemic vascular resistance index, which were indices of venous and arterial vasoconstriction.

**Conclusion**

As matching was done between the lactate preloading group (Gp1) and ephedrine group (Gp2) with respect to age, weight, duration on anaesthesia, onset on analgesia and height of block, the study concludes that the use of vasoconstrictors (ephedrine) is a more effective method in reducing the incidence and severity of fall in systolic blood pressure as compared to volume preloading.
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