Original Research Paper



Orthopaedic

Evaluation of study of Fracture of Proximal Humerus treated by Operative vs Conservative Methods.

Dr. I C Chenchaiah

Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences, Eluru - 534 005, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh.

Dr. D Rakesh Naik

Post Graduate, Department of Orthopaedics, Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences, Eluru – 534 005, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh.

ABSTRACT

Background: Clinical study of 30 cases on proximal humerus fractures treated by both surgically and conservatively. **METHODOLOGY:**

Criteria for the study:

- 1. All closed proximal humerus fractures
- Young adults age group above 20
- 3. All genders

Conditions which are not taken into the study:

- 1. Compound fractures
- 2. Pathological fractures
- 3. Adults of age group less than 20.

KEYWORDS: PROXIMAL HUMERUS FRACTURE, PROXIMAL HUMERUS LOCKING COPRESSION PLATE, PERCUTANEOUS PINNING.

Introduction:

Humerus is the largest and longest bone of the upper limb.the proximal humerus is uniquely adapted to allow for the large range of motion of the shoulder which is ball and socket type of joint.

Proximal humerus is divided in to following parts 1)head 2) anatomical neck 3) greater tuberosity 4) lesser tuberosity 5) surgical neck 6) intertubercular sulcus.

The major arterial supply of proximal humerus is from axillary artery and its lateral branches the anterior and posterior humeral circumflex artery.

The orientation of rotator cuff attachment to the humerus is important to understand the displacement of tuberosities in proximal humerus fractures. The other two important muscles which must be considered in relation to proximal humerus are deltoid and pectoralis major muscle.

Proximal humerus fractures occur most commonly following fall on out stretched hand and road traffic accidents. Most common in old age due to osteoporosis. The incidence is about 5-6% of all fractures and 35-40% of all humerus fractures. 70-80% of proximal humerus fractures occur most commonly in patents of age group above 60 years.

Fractures in younger age group adolescents is most commonly following direct trauma to shoulder in road traffic accidents, sports injuries, and fall from height.

The quality of bone stock determines the fracture configuration and fracture displacement.

Treatment of proximal humerus fractures was first described by Hippocrates.who proposed a method of weight traction to aid fracture reduction and bone healing. Management of this fracture has been a controversy since many years. For undispalced and minimal displaced fractures of proximal humerus are treated conservatively, because fracture occurs in metaphyseal bone region and the healing time is very less.

Displaced fractures and in osteoporosis techniques of internal fixation with less disruptive soft tissue dissection,and minimal fixation with wireand non absorbable sutures have been successful. With a low complication rate AO type locking plates are also being

used, but they require more muscle stripping and may lead to infection. However some of the cases are prone for malunion, a vascular necrosis of humeral head. Nonunion.axillary nerve injury and marked stiffness of shoulder have been noted. Producing significant disability for the individual.

Hence treatment of proximal humerus fractures demands a knowledge of anatomy surgical indication, appropriate techniques and implants available. The results of both methods of treatment differ with selection of the patient and treatment procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS;

Patients attending to hospital between June 2015 and May 2017. proximal humerus fracture 30patients were selected for study, after excluding the patients who come under exclusion criteria, among 30 patients 20 were male patients 10 were female patients. Most common age group was 40 -60 the usual mechanism of injury was road traffic accident.

All patients on admission were clinically assessed and stabilized hemodynamically. radio graphs were taken in two planes anteriorly and lateral views. Preliminary j slab was applied to the fracture limb and immobilized to relive pain and discomfort. Depending up on the patients general condition, age and profession it was decided whether to treat conservatively or surgically.

In patients with fragments displaced >1cm or angulated>45 degree, good bone quality and with no co morbid conditions surgical fixation was done. Goal of internal fixation was stable reduction and allowing early motion.

Clinical and radiological union was evaluated by neers scoring system every 6 months and then 1 year after surgery.Routine laboratory investigations were done for all patients undergoing surgery, After obtaining fitness for anesthesia and surgery,routine antibiotic and anti-inflammatory drugs were given after surgery.

Check x rays were taken on 2 post-operativedays and discharged following suture removal after 10 days. Patients were advised to follow up every 6 weeks for first 6 months and then 1 year after surgery. Shoulder range of motion exercises were taught during every

OPERATIVE PROCEDURS:in all the operative cases brachial

plexus bloc k was given and patient in supine position on fracture table.

1. Percutaneos pinning:

Fixing of reduced fracture fragments by percutaneous pinning using K WiresAfter reduction of fracture, arm is held in adduction and internal rotation and if fracture reduction is stable. Two pins are passed directing proximally above the deltoid insertion through the shaft and in to head and tuberosity, with both the pins in perpendicular planes. Third pin applied proximally from above into greater tuberosity, in to distal fragment.

. Percutaneously fix the reduced fracture fragment using 2mm k wireswith arm in adduction and internal rotation. The reduced fracture fragments are then internally fixed with 2.7mm or 4mm cannulated screws.pins are removed after radigarphic evidence of fracture stability which is seen after 4-6 weeks.



Fracture of Right Proximal : Fracture Stabilized with k Wires Humerus

2. Open reduction and internal fixation with plating:

The unstable two part and three part fractures require stable reduction and internal fixation. Ideally greater tuberosity is approached through lateral incision and surgical neck by deltopectoral incision.

On exposure of fracture site the biceps tendon acts as a guide interval between two tuberosities and the rotator interval between the anterior part of supra spinatus and superior edge of sub scapularis.

In greater tuberosity fractures the head is internally rotated by pull of subscapularis muscle and tuberosity is displaced proximally and posteriorly.

This is reduced with bone holding forceps and fixed to humerus with no20 stain less steel wire, non-absorbable sutures, tension band wiring or screws.

In two part or three part fractures extension of delto pectoral approach may be required. In case of good bone quality this can be fixed with an AO T-plate on lateral aspect of humerus or a blade plate in osteoporotic bones.

PROXIMAL HUMERUS LOCKING COMPRESSION PLATE;

proximal humerus locking plate is anatomical and shaped to accomdate the junction of the humeral head and the shaft. In the area of the humeral head the plate has in addition to the holes for the locking head screws, small holes in order to fix the rotator cuff with sutures or circlage wires.

The srew holes of the plates in the area of the humeral head have been designed exclusively for the insertion of locking head screws for safe fragment fixation. The plate seats very firmly in the bone due to the screw orientation and the locked screw anchorage.

FIXATION PRINCIPLES; locked plating using locking screws. Screws lock to the plate,forming a fixed angle construct. Bone healing is achived indirectly by callus formation when using locking screws exclusively.

Once the locking screws engage the plate no further tightening is possible.there fore the implant locks the bone segments in their relative positions regardless of degree of reduction. stability under load by locking the screws to the plate ,the axail force is transmitted over the length of the plate.the risk of a secondary losss of the intra operative reduction is reduced.



 $Fracture \, of \, Left \, Proximal \, Humerus \, : \quad Fracture \, Stabilized \, with \\ Plating \,$

DISCUSSION:

Fractures of proximal humerus Fractures are one of the most common injuries encountered by orthopaedic surgeon.incidence also has increased in the last few years due to change in life style and increase in road traffic accidents.

Anatomical reduction and good rehabilitation is a strong predictor for good functional out come.earlier these fractures were consideredsimple and were managed by plaster cast techniques, slings, and slab etc. proximal humerus have gained more importance because of its complexity and complications. Anatomical reduction and rehabilitation is most important part of fracture management

Most of the proximal humerus fractures are non-displaced and stable; these are treated non operatively successfully with early rehabilitation. But severely displaced and communicated fractures need surgical management for best shoulder function.

The incidence of proximal humerus fractures is 1-3% of all fractures in this institution. During the period between June 2015 to April 2017. Where 30 patients of proximal humerus fractures e have been treated with either conservatively or surgically and assessed the outcome using neers shoulder scoring system. Out of 30 patients 15were treated conservatively with j slaband 15 patients treated surgically, where 4 were treated with percutaneous kwires, 3 were treated with cancellous screws and 8 with plating.

Most common age group of patients in this study was 40-60 years with average age being 50 years compared to 45 years in GERBER.C et al studyand 58 years in SAMEER AGARWALetal study. In this study there is significant male dominance with ratio of 1.7:1SAMEER AGARWAL et al. Most common mode of injury in this study was RTA (66%).

In this study right side involvement is more9(20 out of 30). GERBER C et al also reported right side predominance (18 out of 34).30% out of 30 patients in this studyhad evidence of osteoporosis.MA FAZALetal also reported osteoporosis was one of the common cause for increasing incidence of proximal humerus fractures.

Most common fracture in this study was 2 part fracture (17 out of 30), followed by un-displaced fractures (8 out of 30) patients.MA FAZAL etal study 13 out of 27 patients. Where as in FRANCESCO Muncibietal study most common type was 3 part fractures(31out of 41) followed by 2 part fractures. MA Fazaletal and Francesco muncibietal studies have treated all patients by philos locking plate and percutaneous kwires respectively.

Most common complication encounterd in this study with conservative management was stiffness of shoulder which was present in 06 out of 15 patients. And malunouin in 4cases and delayed union in 2 cases.

Complications encountered with management of fractures surgically, was stiffness in 2 patients' malunion in 1 case and delayed union in 1 case.

In Ramchander siwach study there was no ase of shoulder stiffness and most common complication in their study was malunion,8 out of 25 patients.

Final outcome in this study was excellent in 14 out of 30cases (46.66%) satisfactory in 13 out of 30 cases (43.33%) and poor in 3 out of 30 cases (10%), where as in Richard j Hawkins study final outcome was excellent in 8 out of 15 cases. (53.3%).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION:

There are several methods available for the management of proximal humerusfractures. Our choice of treatment was based on patient age, general condition, co morbid conditions, bone quality, degree of displacement of fracture and neers classification.

In our study 30 cases of proximal humerus fractures were treated. 15 cases were treated conservatively in which 6 had stiffness of shoulder, 4 had malunoin 2 had delayed union.

15 cases were treated surgically in which 3 patients had stiffness of shoulder.1had malunoin 1 had delayed union.

Therefore more complication was seen with conservative management, when compared to surgical management.

From this sample study we conclude that operative procedures remains better option for fracture proximal humerus when compared to conservative management. Because of less complication and early mobilization.

REFERENCES:

- Bucholz-rock wood and green fractures in adults -7thedition 2010-proximal humerus
- 2. Johannes.k.M.Fakler, MDetal-current concepts in the treatment of proximalhumerusfractures. Jan 2008 volume 31.
- Clifford pc .fractures of the neck of the humerus; A REVIEW OF THE LATE RESULTS. 3. INJURY 1980; 12; 91-95.
- M.E.Muller R Schneider "AO Manual of internal fixation" 3rd ediotion.1991; 124-125.
- $Richard\,F\,Kyle\,current\,te chniques in\,proximal\,fractures" JBJS\,1997;79B\,Supp\,1V.\\$ Herbert Resch, "Perctaneous pinning of 3-4part fractures if the proximal 6. humerus. IBIS march 1997.
- Cambells "operative orthopedics" 10th edition vol3; 2989-3002.
- NeerCS. displaced proximal humeral fracture;part 1:classification and evaluation.J Bone Joint surg (Am)1970;52-A;1077-89.
- Richard.JHawinsRobert.H.Bell . evinGurr;the three part fractureof proximal part of humerusIBIS(am) 1986:68-A:1410-14.
- Roc wood and Masten"the shoulder" W.B. Saunders Company1993;2nd edition.337-