Neurosurgery



AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF INCIDENCE OF CRANIAL NERVE INJURY IN MILD HEAD INJURY

Dhandayuthapani velayuthampillai	Assistant Professor Of Neurosurgery, Department Neurosurgery, Thanjavur Med College, Thanjavur.	ical
Muthuraman	Assistant Professor Of Neurosurgery, Department Neurosurgery, Thanjavur Med	ical
pichaiah	College, I hanjavur.	

ABSTRACT INTRODUCTION: About 85% of the road traffic accidents occur in the developing countries being a major share of global burden. India accounts for about 10% of road accident fatalities worldwide. Cranial nerves injuries should be given adequate importance to avoid neurological morbidities that lead to compromise in quality of life.

AIM : The present study was undertaken to quantify magnitude of cranial nerve injury, distribution of various cranial nerve involvement and outcome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: It is a prospective analytical study; study period was from september 2013 to February 2015 in the department of neurosurgery, Thanjavur medical college. Thousand consecutive patients admitted in our hospital trauma ward with mild head injury were studied. Regardless of age and sex, Patients with GCS 12 and less, pre-existing cranial nerve injuries were excluded

After initial rapid cranial nerve injures assessment and ruling out the need for emergency neurosurgical intervention, submitted for detailed clinical neurological examination. HRCT and MRI obtained to analyze nature of injuries. Patients were kept under monthly follow up to find out neurological recovery.

RESULTS: In this study the incidence of cranial nerve injuries in mild head injury is about 14.8. Road traffic accidents being the single leading cause for the cranial nerve injuries. The middle age male population has the highest predilection for head injury. Among mild head injuries-31.9% had GCS-15, 50 % had GCS-14 and 18.9% had GCS-13. In this study about 148 patients out of 1000 patients had cranial nerve injuries and about 26 patients had multiple cranial nerve injuries which is about-2.6% of the total sample and 17.5% of patients who had cranial nerve injuries. **Conclusion:** The incidence of cranial nerve injuries. Lower cranial nerve (Nine, ten, eleven and twelve) injuries were very rarely seen in mild head injuries. Road traffic accidents are the prime mode of injury and middle age male population were commonly injured. This study also emphasizes the value of meticulous clinical examination in head injury patients.

KEYWORDS : Head injuries, cranial nerve injuries,

INTRODUCTION

Road traffic accidents play a significant role in world wide mortality rate, which is about 2.1%¹⁴. About 85% of the road traffic accidents occur in the developing countries being a major share of global burden. India accounts for about 10% of road accident fatalities worldwide

An injury to head may cause various types of impact over the brain and calvarium. Although cranial nerves injuries is not of prime concern in emergency department, cranial nerves injuries should be given adequate importance to avoid neurological morbidities. The present study was undertaken to quantify magnitude of cranial nerve injury, distribution of various cranial nerve involvement, radiological correlation and outcome.

Aim of the study

Quantify magnitude of cranial nerve injury, distribution of various cranial nerve involvement, radiological correlation and outcome

MATERIALS AND METHODS

It is a prospective analytical study; study period was from september 2013 to February 2015 in the department of neurosurgery, Thanjavur medical college. Thousand consecutive patients admitted in our hospital trauma ward with mild head injury were studied.

After initial rapid cranial nerve injures assessment and ruling out the need for emergency neurosurgical intervention, all thousand patients were submitted for detailed clinical neurological examination. Methods adapted from DeJong's The Neurological examination and Localization in Clinical Neurology by PAUL W. BRAZIS MD¹⁶ HRCT and MRI obtained to analyze nature of injuries. Patients were kept under monthly follow up to find out neurological recovery.

Inclusion criteria

• Regardless of age and sex, patients who were willing to participate in the study with mild head injury.

Exclusion criteria

- · Patients with GCS 12 and less
- Patients with pre-existing cranial nerve injuries.

Approval for the study was obtained from the college ethical committee.

Patients who were subjected for study submitted for detailed clinical neurological examination on daily basis till discharge. Patients were kept under monthly follow up to find out neurological recovery and HRCT, MRI and necessary electrophysiological monitoring done

Observation

Incidence of cranial nerve injuries, Distribution of various cranial nerve injuries, and Outcome of various cranial nerve.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using chi-square test. Multivariate analysis of variance (chi-square test) is a statistical test procedure for comparing multivariate means of several groups. A statistically significant difference was indicated by a p-value of less than 0.05.

RESULTS

TOTAL SAMPLE	1000	%
PATIENTS WITH CRANIAL NERVE	148	14.8%
INJURIES		
PATIENTS WITH SINGLE CRANIAL	122	12.2%
NERVE INJURIES		
PATIENTS WITH MULTIPLE CRANIAL	26	2.6%
NERVE INJURIES		

INCIDENCE OF CRANIAL NERVE INJURY-TABLE-1

INCIDANCE OF CRANIAL NERVE BASED ON GCS	NO	%
PATIENTS CRANIAL NERVE INJURIES WITH GCS 13	28	18.91%
PATIENTS CRANIAL NERVE INJURIES WITH GCS 14	74	50%
PATIENTS CRANIAL NERVE INJURIES WITH GCS 15	46	31.09%

CORRELATION BETWEEN ASSOCIATED SCAN FINDING

CRANIAL NERVE INJURIES ON VARIOUS GCS-TABLE-2

SEX RATIO	MALE FEMALE RATIO				
	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE			
TOTAL SAMPLE	853:147	85.3%:14.7%			
CRANIAL NERVE INJURED	129:19	12.9%:1.9 %			
SINGLE CRANIAL NERVE	103:19	84.4%:13.6%			
INJURED					
MULTIPLE CRANIAL NERVE	26:0	26%:0%			
INJURED					

ANALYSIS OF SEX DISTRIBUTION-TABLE-3

	NUMBER OF
NAME OF THE CRANIAL NERVE INJURED	PATIENTS
	INJURED
OLFACTORY NERVE	22
OPTIC NERVE	32
OCULOMOTOR NERVE	22
TROCHLEAR NERVE	13
TRIGEMINAL NERVE	06
ABDUCENS NERVE	24
FACIAL NERVE	48
VESTIBULOCOCHLEAR NERVE	14
GLOSSOPHARYNGEAL NERVE	00
VAGUS NERVE	00
ACCESSORY NERVE	00
HYPOGLOSSAL NERVE	00

DISTRIBUTION OF CRANIAL NERVE INJURIES-TABLE-4

CRANIAL NERVE INVOLVED	NUMBER OF PATIENTS
II,III,IV&VI	2
II&III	6
II&VI	4
III,IV&VI	3
VII&VIII	11

DISTRIBUTION OF MULTIPLE CRANIAL NERVE **INJURIES-TABLE-5** ANALYSIS OF OLFACTORY NERVE

TOTAL OP ANUAL NEDVE DURING

INCIDENCE OF OL FACTORY NERVE IN JURY	TABLE-6
OLFACTORY NERVE INJURY	22
TOTAL CRANIAL NERVE INJURY	148

NCIDENCE OF OLFACTORY NERVE INJURY

CT SCAN	NUMBE	RECOVE	ERYSTAT	p-	ODDS
FINDING	R OF	US		VALUE	RATIO
	PATIEN	NUMBEPERCEN			[CI]
	TS	R	TAGE		
OCCIPITAL					
BONE	05	03	60%	0.611	1.698
FRACTURE					
NASOETHMOID					
AL COMPLEX	13	05	38.5%	0.193	0.313
FRACTURE					
OTHER SCAN	04	02	750/	0.260	2 750
FINDING	04	03	1570	0.209	5.750
TOTAL NUMBER	22	11	500/		
OF PATIENTS	22	11	50%		

CORRELATION BETWEEN SCAN FINDING AND **OUTCOME-TABLE-7**

ASSOCIATED	TOTAL	RECO	VERY	P-	ODDS
FINDING	NUMBE	STA	TUS	VALUE	RATIO
	RS	NUMBE PERCEN			[C-I]
		RS	TAGE		
CSF RHINORRHOEA	09	00	0%	0.002	
EXTRA DURAL HAEMOTOMA	03	00	0%	0.002	
FRONTAL CONTUSION	09	04	44.4%	0.665	0.686[0.1 24- 3.784]

ANALYSIS OF OPTIC NERVE

AND OUTCOME-TABLE-8

TOTAL CRANIAL NERVE INJURY	148
TOTAL OPTIC NERVE INJURY	32
ISOLATED OPTIC NERVE INJURY	20
ASSOCIATED WITH OTHER NERVES	12

INCIDENCE OF OPTIC NERVE INJURES-TABLE-9

LEVEL OF	NUM	LE	LEVEL OF RECOVERY STATES						P-
VISION	BER	COMPLETE PARTIAL STAT					TIC	VAL	
	OF	NO	%	NO	%	NO		%	UE
	PATI	110	/0	110		110		/0	
	ENTS								
PERCEPTION	05	01	20%	00	0%	04	8	0%	<
OF LIGHT									0.05
ABSENT									
PERCEPTION	08	01	12.5%	02	25%	05	62	2.5%	
OF LIGHT									
PRESENT									
HAND	11	04	36.4%	01	19.1%	06	54	1.5%	
MOVEMENT									
FINGER	08	06	75%	01	12.5%	01	12	2.5%	
COUNT									
TOTAL CRANI	AL NE	RVE	INJURY	(1	48
TOTAL OCULOMOTOR CRANIAL NERVE INJURY 22							22		
ISOLATED OC	ULOM	OTPI	R NERV	E IN	JURY			1	1
ASSOCIATED WITH OTHER NERVES 11								1	

CORRELATION BETWEEN VISUAL PERCEPTION ON ADMISSION AND OUTCOME-TABLE-10

NATURE OF	TOTAL		p-					
FRACTURE	NUMBE	COM	PLETE	PAR	TIAL	STA	TIC	VALU
	R	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	E
NORMAL CT	02	01	50%	0	0%	01	50%	< 0.05
MULTIPLE	10	01	10%	01	10%	08	80%	
COMPLEX								
FRACTURE								
MEDIAL	08	04	50%	02	25%	02	25%	
WALL ORBIT								
FRACTURE								
LATERAL	12	08	66.7%	01	8.3%	03	25%	
WALL ORBIT								
FRACTURE								

CORRELATION BETWEEN NATURE OF ORBIT FRACTURE AND OUTCOME-TABLE-11

ANALYSIS OF OCULOMOTOR NERVE INJURIES **INCIDENCE OF OCULOMOTOR INJURY-TABLE-12**

NATURE	TOTAL		REC	OVER	Y STA	TUS		P-
OF	NUMB	COMPLETE		PARTIAL		STATIC		VAL
FRACIURE	EK	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	UE
NORMAL CT SCAN	03/22	03	100%	00	0%	00	0%	< 0.05
ORBITAL FRACTURE	14/22	04	28.6%	03	21.4%	07	50%	
OTHERS	05/22	03	60%	01	20%	01	20%	

CORRELATION BETWEEN SCAN FINDING AND OUT **COME-TABLE-13**

ANALYSIS OF TROCHLEAR NERVE INJURIES

TOTAL CRANIAL NERVE INJURY	148
TOTAL TROCHLEAR NERVE INJURY	13
ISOLATED TROCHLEAR NERVE INJURY	08
ASSOCIATED WITH OTHER NERVES	05

INCIDENCE OF TROCHELEAR INJURY-TABLE-12

NATURE	NUM		REC	OVER	Y STA	TUS		P-
OF	BER	COMI	PLETE	PAR	ГIAL	STA	VALU	
FRACTURE	OF	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	E
	PATIE							
	NTS							
NORMAL	02/13	02	100%	00	0%	00	0%	< 0.05
CT SCAN								
ORBITAL	08/13	01	12.5%	02	25%	05	62.5%	
BONE								
FRACTURE								
OTHERS	03/13	03	100%	00	0%	00	0%	

CORRELATION BETWEEN SCAN FINDING AND OUT COME-TABLE-13

ANALYSIS OF TRIGEMINAL NERVE INJURIES:

NERVE INJURED	CAUSE OF INJURY	NUMBER OF PATIENTS
SUPRAORBITAL	FRONTAL BONE FRACTURE	2
INFRAORBITAL	MAXILLA FRACTURE	2
MANDIBULAR	MANDIBULAR FRACTURE	2

Volume-7 | Issue-9 | September-2017 | ISSN - 2249-555X | IF : 4.894 | IC Value : 79.96

CORRELATION BETWEEN SCAN FINDING AND OUT COME-TABLE-14

ANALYSIS OF ABDUCENS NERVE INJURIES:

TOTAL CRANIAL NERVE INJURY	148	
TOTAL ABDUCENS NERVE INJURY	24	
ISOLATED ABDUCENS NERVE INJURY	15	
ASSOCIATED WITH OTHER NERVES	09	

INCIDENCE OF ABDUCENS NERVE INJURY-TABLE-15

NATURE	NUM		REC	OVER	Y STA	TUS		p-
OF	BER	COMF	PLETE	PAR	ΓIAL	STA	TIC	VALU
FRACTURE	OF	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	Е
	PATIE							
	NTS							
NORMAL	06/24	04	66.7%	01	16.7%	01	16.7%	>0.05
CT SCAN								
ORBITAL	07/24	04	57.1%	01	14.3%	02	28.6%	
BONE								
FRACTURE								
OTHERS	11/24	05	45.5%	02	18 3%	04	36.4%	

CORRELATION BETWEEN SCAN FINDING AND OUT COME-TABLE-16

ANALYSIS OF FACIAL NERVE INJURIES

TOTAL CRANIAL NERVE INJURY	148
TOTAL FACIAL NERVE INJURY	48
ISOLATED FACIAL NERVE INJURY	37
ASSOCIATED WITH OTHER NERVES	11

INCIDENCE OF FACIAL NERVE INJURY-TABLE-17

NATURE OF	TOTAL		INITIAL GRADING									P-		
TEMPORAL BONE	NUMB	GRAI	DING I	GRAD	ING II	GRAD	ING III	GRAD	ING IV	GRAD	ING V	GRAD	ING VI	VALUE
FRACTURE	ER	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	
TRANSVERSE	17	00	0%	03	17.6%	11	64.7%	03	17.6%	00	0%	00	0%	0.002
HORIZONTAL	18	00	0%	00	0%	01	5.6%	13	72.2%	04	22.2%	00	0%	0.003
OBLIQUE	09	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	05	55%	04	44.4%	0.001
NORMAL CT SCAN	04	00	00	03	75%	01	25%	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	0.002

CORRELATION BETWEEN TEMPORAL BONE FRACTURE AND INITIAL PRESENTATION-TABLE-18

NATURE OF	TOTAL		FINAL GRADING										P-	
TEMPORAL BONE	NUMP	GRAI	DING I	GRAD	GRADING II GRADING III C			GRADING IV GRADING V			GRADING VI		VALUE	
FRACTURE	ER	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	1
TRANSVERSE	17	05	29.4%	09	52.9%	03	17.6%	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	0.002
HORIZONTAL	18	00	0%	08	44.4%	10	55.6%	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	0.003
OBLIQUE	09	00	0%	00	0%	04	44.4%	02	22.2%	03	33.3%	00	0%	0.001
NORMAL SEAN	04	02	50%	02	50%	00	00%	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	0.002

CORRELATION BETWEEN TEMPORAL BONE FRACTURE AND FINAL PRESENTATION-TABLE-19

ANALYSIS OFVESTIBULOCOCHLEAR NERVE

TOTAL CRANIAL NERVE INJURY	148
TOTAL VESTIBULOCOCHLEAR NERVE INJURY	14
ISOLATED VESTIBULOCOCHLEAR NERVE INJURY	03
ASSOCIATED WITH OTHER NERVES	11

INCIDENCE OF VESTIBULOCOCHLEAR NERVE-TABLE-20

NATURE OF	TOTA		RECOVERY STATUS							
FRACTURE	L	COMI	PLETE	PAR	TIAL	STA	VAL			
		NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	UE		
TRANSVERSE	03	03	100%	00	00%	00	0%	0.032		
HORIZONTAL	03	02	66.7%	01	33.3%	00	0%	0.023		
OBLIQUE	08	00	0%	02	25%	06	75%	0.004		

ANALYSIS OF TEMPORAL BONE FRACTURE AND OUTCOME-TABLE-21

00/0	00	0/0	00	0/0		0	070	0.002				
TYPE O	F TOTA	1	RECOVERY STATUS									
HEARI	N L	COMI	PLETE	PAR	ΓIAL	ST	VALU					
G LOS	S	NO	%	NO	%	NO	%	E				
CONDU TIVE	^{IC} 04	03	75%	01	25%	00	00%	0.088				
SENSOI NEURA	RI L 06	03	37.5%	02	25%	03	37.5%	0.881				
MIXEI	04	00	0%	01	25%	03	75%	0.184				

ANALYSIS OF TYPE OF HEARING LOSS AND OUTCOME-TABLE-22

DISCUSSION

In this study the incidence of cranial nerve injuries in mild head injury is about 14.8%. RTA being the single leading cause for cranial nerve injuries. The middle age male population has the highest predilection for head injury.

In this study about 148 patients out of 1000 patients had cranial nerve injuries and about-26(2.6%) patients had multiple cranial nerve injuries. Contrary to the existing literature olfactory is not the commonest nerve injured in our study¹. This is already highlighted by Puravpatel et al¹, who stated that tertiary care population show less incidence of traumatic olfactory nerve injury. The olfactory nerve

injury in this study was about 2.2% of the total sample and among who sustained to have cranial nerve injury it was about 14.8%. This is the second most common isolated cranial nerve injury in this study.

Optic nerve is the second most commonly involved cranial nerve in mild head injury as per our study. This is about 3.2% of total study population and 21.6% of the persons who had cranial nerve injuries. Optic nerve has the strongest association with multiple cranial nerve injuries. Optic nerve is most commonly injured due to the complex orbital bone fracture extending from orbital roof to optic canal, seen in about 31.25% seen in our study. In patients who had medial and lateral wall of the orbital bone fracture, the optic nerve was injured about 35.5% and 25% respectively. Poor outcome was observed in patients who had poor vision at the time of injury. Patients who had lost the vision due to multiple complex fractures showed no recovery¹¹.

The incidence^{1,24,9} of oculomotor nerve injury was about 2.2% in total study population and 14.8% of patient who sustained cranial nerve injury in this study. Out of 22 patients 11 patients had isolated oculomotor nerve injury, which is about 50% and in remaining 50% that is 11 patients had multiple cranial nerve injuries. In the latter sub group, two patients with oculomotor nerve injury also had optic, trochlear, and abducens nerve injury. Three patients had associated trochlear and abducens nerve injury. Remaining six patients had optic nerve injury. The above results of this study compared with other studies^{1,4}(A. F. Coello et al and Puravpatel et al).

Trochlear nerve injury was seen in 1.3 % of the total study population and 8.78% of the sub group with cranial nerve injuries. Isolated trochlear nerve injury seen in 61.5% (08 patients) and 38.5 % (5patients) of patients had multiple cranial nerve injuries. In the latter sub group, two patients had associated optic, oculomotor and abducens nerve injury and three patients had associated oculomotor and abducens nerve injury.

Orbital bone fracture is the single most common cause for trochlear nerve injury, which is about 61.5% (8/13 patients), followed by other CT findings in about 23.07% (3 patients) and normal CT findings in about 15.30% (2patients).

Binocular diplopia is the commonest symptom associated with trochlear nerve injury, and middle aged male patients were common victims. Skull bone fracture associated with trochlear injury had a poor outcome, which was about 12.5% (1/8 patient). Patients who had no fractures regardless of other findings in the CT had a better outcome. The above factors were statistically significant. This study results compares well with A. F. Coello et al and Puravpatel et al^{1,4}.

In the available literature Trigeminal^{1, 2, 4} nerve is one of the least common nerves to be injured, as seen in this study. In this study the peripheral branches of trigeminal nerve were only injured in all six patients. None of the above patients showed any improvement.

Connel et al, suggested that the trigeminal nerve is the most vulnerable when the skull base fracture extends to the middle cranial fossa and involving the foramen ovale and foramen rotundum where the nerve is fixed proximally at meckel's cave.

Traumatic abducens nerve injury^{1.4,9} was one of the common nerves to be involved seen in 2.4% (24 patients) of the total sample population and in about 16.21% (24 of 148 patients) of those who had cranial nerve injury. 15 patients (62.5%) had isolated sixth nerve weakness and 9 patients (37.5%) had multiple cranial nerve injury. Of the nine patients, two were associated with optic, oculomotor, and trochlear nerve injuries. Three of the nine multiple cranial nerve injured patients were associated oculomotor and trochlear nerve injuries. Four of the nine multiple cranial nerve injured patients had associated optic nerve injury. Orbital bone fracture is the most common reason for abducens nerve injury seen in about (45.8%) eleven out of twenty four patients. This study results correlated well with other studies made by A. F. Coello et al and Puravpatel et al^{1.48}.

Facial nerve injury was the commonest nerve to be involved, seen in 4.8% (48 patients) of the total sample population and about 32.4% (48 of 148 patients) of those who sustained cranial nerve injury. 37 patients (77.08%) had isolated seventh nerve weakness and 11 patients (22.92%) had multiple cranial nerve injury. All the patients who had multiple cranial nerve weakness had associated eighth nerve injury.

Petrous part of the temporal bone fracture is the single most reason for traumatic seventh nerve injury, seen in 34 patients (91.7%). Rest four patients had normal scan constituting 8.3% of the patients. Based on the nature of fracture, temporal bone fracture is classified as 1.Transverse, 2.Horizontal and 3.oblique. 17 (31.45%) patients with transverse fracture presented with facial nerve weakness. 18 (37.5%) of patient with horizontal fracture showed facial nerve weakness. 9(18.75%) of patients with oblique fracture presented with facial nerve weakness.

Based on the House Brackmann's grading system lesser grade better recovery

This study results compares well with others studies by A. F. Coello et al and Puravpatel et al $^{\rm L4}$

The traumatic Vestibulocochlear nerve injury accounts for 1.4% (14) of the total study population and 9.45% of the patients who had sustained cranial nerve. Facial nerve was the only other cranial nerve weakness associated with eighth nerve injury (78.5%) the rest (21.5%) three patients had isolated eighth nerve injury.

In the patients with eighth nerve injury, eight patients had oblique temporal bone fracture, most did not recover, 25% patients had partial recovery. Three patients with horizontal fracture had a good outcome with 2 of them recovering completely and the other recovering, albeit with residual deafness. The last subgroup of three patients with transverse temporal bone fracture had a complete recovery. These results relate well with A. F. Coello et al and Puraypatel et al ^{1,4,7}.

In our study, the ninth, tenth, eleventh and twelveth cranial nerve injuries were not reported and the available literature also shows very minimal representation.

Conclusion

- The incidence of cranial nerve injury in mild head injury is around 15%.
- In olfactory nerve injury CSF rhinorrhea (dural tear) is the single most negative prognostic factor in this study.
- In optic nerve injury visual evoked potential is the most important indicator for optic nerve recovery.
- Cranial nerve injury (III, IV&VI) associated with skull bone fracture had a relatively poor outcome and was found to be statistically significant.
- 5. Trigeminal nerve injury is relatively uncommon.
- 6. The commonest cranial nerve involved is seventh cranial nerve.
- 7. Oblique type of temporal bone fracture is commonly associated Vestibulocochlear nerve injury.
- Lower cranial nerve (Nine, ten, eleven and twelve) injuries were very rarely mild head injury.
- RTA- prime mode of injury and middle age male population were commonly injured.
- This study also emphasizes the value of meticulous clinical examination in head injury patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the Dean of Thanjavur Medical College, thanjavur for permitting me to conduct this study in the Department of neurosurgery. I thank Prof. Dr.Ramasamy, MS, MCh, Head of Department of neurosurgery and my Associate Prof. Dr.MathiyasartharMCh for helping and guiding me during this study. I am greatly thankful to my Assistant Professors, Dr.Muthuraman, who have given their valuable time in guiding me in many aspects of this study and in honing my surgical skills. My gratitude to the Professor and Assistant Professors of all other Units. I thank my fellow postgraduates who helped me in conducting this study. I am thankful to all my patients for successful completion of this study.

REFERENCES

- Cranial nerve injury after minor head trauma by A. F. Coello et al. Clinical article J Neurosurg 113:547—555.2010
- Post-traumatic Cranial Nerve by Injury Purav Patel et al Original Article Indian Journal of Neuro trauma 2005, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 27-32
 Post-traumatic cranial neuropathies by Keane JR, Baloh RW the Neurology of Trauma.
- Philadelphia Saunders, 1992: 849-68.
- Trauma to the cranial nerves. By BhatoeNeurotrauma 4:89—100, 2007
 Trauma to the cranial nerves and brainstem by Chung SM, FentonGA. Schmidt
- Neurotrauma. New York 1996.pp621—638.
 Sixth cranial nerve palsy following closed head injury by G J Hollis JAccidEmnerg
- Med. 1997 May: 14(3): 172—175. 7. Traumatic third nerve palsy by J. S. ELSTON From MoorfieldsEyeHospital, City Road,

- 8.
- London British Journal of Ophthalmology, 1984,68,538-543 Post Traumatic Facial Paralysis Treatment Options and Strategies by Keki E Ture M S. FABC'NS(USA), Nootan Kumar Sharma, Joy Verghese, Sanjeev Desai Original Article Indian Journal of Neurotrauma, 2005, Vol. 2, No. 1, Visual outcome in optic nerve injury patients without initial light perception ORIGINAL ARTICLE A Agarwal, AK Mahapatra Year : 1999 | Volume : 47 | Issue : 4 | Page : 233-236 9.
- Post-traumatic anosmia. By Sumner D. Brain 1964; 87:107-20 Handbook of Clinical Neurology Vol24: 10.