| Original Resear | Volume-8 Issue-12 December-2018 PRINT ISSN No 2249-555X Pathology "STUDY OF COMPARISON OF CYTOMORPHOLOGY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY OF SALIVARY GLAND TUMOURS" |
|-------------------------|---|
| Dr. V. Sandeep Kumar | Post Graduate, Department of Pathology, Father Muller Medical College, Mangalore, Karnataka |
| Dr. Lawannahash C | |

| Dr. Jayaprakash C. | Professor and Head, Department of Pathology, Father Muller Medical College, |
|--------------------|---|
| S* | Mangalore Karnataka. *Corresponding Author |
| Dr. Hilda | Professor and Ex- Head, Department of Pathology, Father Muller Medical College, |
| Fernandes | Mangalore Karnataka. |
| | |

ABSTRACT Salivary glands are exocrine organs that include both minor and major salivary glands ; widely distributed throughout the mouth and oropharynx. Salivary glands neoplasms account for 6% of all head and neck tumors.¹. They are known for their complexiety owing to the heterogeneity of the cells of origin; compounded by their ability to differentiate and modify into various morphological subtypes. Nevertheless the frequent overlap of microscopic features among various neoplasms pose significant diagnostic dilemma which sometimes may even not be resolved by immunohistochemical studies . FNAC slides of all the patients who presented to our hospital with salivary gland swelling over a period of 1 year from 1/7/2016 to 1/7/2017 were retrieved and reviewed. The available histopathology slides of individual cases will also be reviewed.

Cytological study was done based on adequacy, distribution, cellular features and background material. The histomorphological features like, predominant patterns, cell shape and size, nuclear shape, chromatin pattern, nucleoli, mitosis, cytoplasmic vacuolation, lymphoid aggregates and secondary changes were studied.

Finally, the cytological features were correlated with the histopathological findings. The statistical analysis was done by frequency, percentage, sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and Chi² test

KEYWORDS:

INTRODUCTION

Salivary glands are exocrine organs that include both minor and major salivary glands; widely distributed throughout the mouth and oropharynx. Salivary glands neoplasms account for 6% of all head and neck tumors. They are known for their complexiety owing to the heterogeneity of the cells of origin; compounded by their ability to differentiate and modify into various morphological subtypes. Nevertheless the frequent overlap of microscopic features among various neoplasms pose significant diagnostic dilemma which sometimes may even not be resolved by immunohistochemical studies .Over all this study is intended to know the accuracy of cytology compared with histopathology diagnosis of benign and malignant tumors. We had a total of 36 cases in whom pleomorphioc adenoma was the commonest seen in 30.6cases. we had two cases that were not corelationfg in both of them the FNA diagnosis was not possible either due to no opinion possible or it was a non diagnostic aspirate.the sensitivity of our FNA was 100 % and the specificity was 0%. Positive Likelihood Ratio was 1 and the Positive Predictive Value 89.47%

AIMS AND OBJECTIVE :

- To study the cytological and histomorphological findings of various salivary gland tumours.
- 2) To correlate the cytohistomorphological findings of the same.

MATERIALS & METHODS:

FNAC slides of all the patients who presented to our hospital with salivary gland swelling over a period of 1 year from 1/7/2016 to 1/7/2017 were retrieved and reviewed. The available histopathology slides of individual cases will also be reviewed.

Cytological study was done based on adequacy, distribution, cellular features and background material. The histomorphological features like, predominant patterns, cell shape and size, nuclear shape, chromatin pattern, nucleoli, mitosis, cytoplasmic vacuolation, lymphoid aggregates and secondary changes were studied.

Finally, the cytological features were correlated with the histopathological findings. The statistical analysis was done by frequency, percentage, sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and Chi² test

RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

A validation retrospective analysis study was done and threes were

our observations. in the study we had 36 cases,19 males and 14 females.

Table 1: showing the site of the FNA

site of fna

| ValidFloor of mouth12.8Hard palate12.8Infra auricular swelling12.8Intra parotid Lymphnode12.8Paratracheal region12.8Parotid gland2569.4Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0correlationValidno2yes3487.8Total36100.0FrequencyPercentValidno2yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA2ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMACELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC1ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|
| ValidFloor of mouth12.8Hard palate12.8Hard palate12.8Infra auricular swelling12.8Paratracheal region12.8Paratracheal region12.8Parotid gland2569.4Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0correlationValidno2yes3487.8Total36100.0FRA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA2ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Hard palate12.8Infra auricular swelling12.8Intra parotid Lymphnode12.8Paratracheal region12.8Parotid gland2569.4Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0correlationValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Infra auricular swelling12.8Intra parotid Lymphnode12.8Paratracheal region12.8Parotid gland2569.4Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0correlationFrequencyValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMACELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Intra parotid Lymphnode12.8Paratracheal region12.8Parotid gland2569.4Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0CorrelationFrequencyValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIALADENOMA2ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Paratracheal region12.8Parotid gland2569.4Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0correlationValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FRA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIALADENOMA2CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Parotid gland2569.4Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0correlationValidno2partial correlation25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA2ACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Submanadibular gland616.7Total36100.0correlationValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL2.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Total36100.0correlationValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL12.8CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| correlationValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA25.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | 100.0 | | | |
| FrequencyPercentValidno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA25.6KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Validno25.6partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| partial correlation25.6yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL2ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA22.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| yes3487.8Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID12.8MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| Total36100.0FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL23.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA23.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA23.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOIDMYOEPITHELIOMA2.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| FNA DIAGNOSISFREQUENCYPERCACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL23.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA23.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA23.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOIDMYOEPITHELIOMA2.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| ACINIC CELL CARCINOMA25.6ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL22.8ADENOMA22.8CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA22.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | ENT | | | |
| ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA12.8BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL22.8ADENOMA22.8CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOIDMYOEPITHELIOMA2.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| BASAL CELL ADENOMA25.6CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL2.8ADENOMA2CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC1ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID2.8MYOEPITHELIOMA2.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL ADENOMA12.8CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID MYOEPITHELIOMA12.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIAL ADENOMA CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID MYOEPITHELIOMA KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE 1 2.8 | | | | |
| ADENOMA CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID MYOEPITHELIOMA KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE 1 2.8 | | | | |
| CELLULAR PLEOMORPHIC12.8ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOIDMYOEPITHELIOMA2.8KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE12.8 | | | | |
| ADENOMA/PLASMACYTOID MYOEPITHELIOMA KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE 1 2.8 | | | | |
| MYOEPITHELIOMA | | | | |
| KERATINIZING SCC/HIGH GRADE 1 2.8 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| MEC | | | | |
| MEC SUGGESTED HPE TO R/O 1 2.8 | | | | |
| PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA | | | | |
| MUCOCELE/RETENTION CYST 1 2.8 | | | | |
| MUCOEPIDERMOID CARCINOMA 1 2.8 | | | | |
| NO ATYPIA/ MALIGNANCY 1 2.8 | | | | |
| NO OPINION POSSIBLE 1 2.8 | | | | |
| NON DIGNOSTIC ASPIRATE 1 2.8 | | | | |
| PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA 11 30.6 | | | | |

INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH

27

| PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA/BASAL CELL ADENOMA | 1 | 2.8 |
|---|----|------|
| PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA/MYOEPITHELIOMA | 1 | 2.8 |
| PLEOMORPHIC ADENOMA/WARTHINS TUMOUR | 1 | 2.8 |
| POLYMORPHOUS LOW GRADE ADENO CA/ BASAL CELL ADENOMA | 1 | 2.8 |
| ROUND CELL TUMOR | 1 | 2.8 |
| SIALADENOSIS | 1 | 2.8 |
| WARTHINS TUMOR | 5 | 13.9 |
| TOTAL | 36 | 100 |

We had a total of 36 cases in whom pleomorphioc adenoma was the commonest seen in 30.6cases. we had two cases that were not corelationfg in both of them the FNA diagnosis was not possible either due to no opinion possible or it was a non diagnostic aspirate.the sensitivity of our FNA was 100 % and the specificity was 0%. Positive Likelihood Ratio was 1 and the Positive Predictive Value 89.47%

DISCUSSION

In the known history of Medicine, the oldest reference of salivary glands and more specifically of saliva, was in old Mesopotamia, in clay tablets, in 2,500 BC, at Akka Library, created by the Syrian king Assurbanipal.³ The myriad of neoplastic process that evolve from these glands makes it much important to assess and anlayse on timely basis. Clinical examination and imaging of salivary gland lesions often fail to distinguish benign from malignant etiology. However ,FNAC helps to categorize these lesions into inflammatory, benign and malignant, and can also be used as a tool for preoperative diagnosis of neoplasms and substantiate suitable management. The diagnostic use of needle aspiration technique was first described in 1847 by Kun.⁴

The incidence of various salivary gland lesions have been timely updated in literature . According to study conducted by Thangam et al, Pleomorphic Adenoma was noted to be most common; accounting for 66.66% of all tumours and Warthin's tumour holding the second position, constituting 6.25% of all tumours.⁵ In a study conducted by Anita omhare et al the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of preoperative FNA cytology of salivary gland tumours were 88.2%, 97.1%, 88.2%, and 97.1%, respectively; however, overall diagnostic accuracy for all salivary gland lesions was 95.3%, indicating good results

Christopher C. Griffith et al stated ⁷, had a higher incidence of salivary gland neoplsams in females as compared to our study we had a male dominance . A total of 25 (8.5%) of 294 aspirate smears were categorized as nonneoplastic in our study 16 cases were benign .

Ritu Jain et al staed that of the 80 cases, majority (67.5%) involved the parotid gland.this is similar to our study.

In our study we had a 100 % sensitivity with a 89% PPV. other studies quote a wide-range of sensitivity (62-97.6%) and specificity (94.3-100%) of cytologic diagnosis⁸

CONCLUSION

We concluded that FNAC is relable in detecting the lesions of the salivary gland

REFERENCES:

28

- Barnes L. Pathology and genetics of head and neck tumours. Lyon: IARC Press; 2010. Dwivedi N, Agarwal A, Raj V, Chandra S. Histogenesis of salivary gland neoplasms. Indian Journal of Cancer. 2013;50(4):361. Thompson RC. Assyrian medical texts. Proc R Soc Med. 1924;17(Sect Hist Med):1-34.
- lacob A, Zazgyva A, Ormenişan A, Mezei T, Sin A, Tilinca M. Effectiveness of fine-needle aspiration cytology in the diagnosis of lateral cervical nonthyroid tumors. 4. Medicine. 2016;95(31):e4448.
- R T, Kotasthane V, Kotasthane D, G K, Kannan N. Cytological and Histomorphological Correlation of Salivary Gland Lesions- An Experience at Rural Tertiary Healthcare 5. Hospital. ANNALS OF PATHOLOGY AND LABORATORY MEDICINE. 2017;4(2):A219-A223.
- Omhare A, Singh S, Nigam J, Sharma A. Cytohistopathological Study of Salivary Gland Lesions in Bundelkhand Region, Uttar Pradesh, India. Pathology Research 6. International. 2014;2014:1-5
- Griffith CC, Pai RK, Schneider F, et al. Salivary gland tumor fine-needle aspiration cytology: a proposal for a risk stratification classification. Am J Clin Pathol. 7. 2015-143(6)-839-53
- Jain R, Gupta R, Kudesia M, Singh S. Fine needle aspiration cytology in diagnosis of salivary gland lesions: A study with histologic comparison. Cytojournal. 2013;10:5.

Volume-8 | Issue-12 | December-2018 | PRINT ISSN No 2249-555X

- Published 2013 Jan 31. doi:10.4103/1742-6413.109547 9 Kocjan G, Nayagam M, Harris M. Fine needle aspiration cytology of salivary gland
- lesions: Advantages and pitfalls. Cytopathology. 1990;1:269–75. Mihashi H. Kawahara A. Kage M. Koiiro M. Nakashima T. Umeno H. et al. Comparison 10. of preoperative fine-needle aspiration cytology diagnosis and histopathological diagnosis of salivary gland tumors. Kurume Med J. 2006;53:23–7
- Jayaram G, Verma AK, Sood N, Khurana N. Fine needle aspiration cytology of salivary gland lesions. J Oral Pathol Med. 1994;23:256-61

INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH