| Original Resear   | <b>Zoology</b>   | Volume-8   Issue-12   December-2018   PRINT ISSN No 2249-555X   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| K Rudrama devi*   | Department of Zoology, Osmania   | University, Hyderabad *Corresponding Author   |  |  |
| Minny Jael .P   | Department of Zoology, Osmania   | University, Hyderabad   |  |  |
| in work<br>micronucleus assay in coal indu<br>Telangana, India and 60 individu<br>cavity and analysed for nuclear<br>significant increase in the freque<br>observed in smokers exposed gr | place results to harmful health effects. In the<br>astry workers buccal epithelial cells. A total<br>uals were served as controls having same diet<br>abnormalities. A high frequency of karyoly<br>ency of micronucleus was observed in expos | I industry are exposed to larger amounts of cement dust particulates<br>the present study assessment of genetic damage was evaluated using<br>of 80 subjects were selected from Singareni collaries kothagudem,<br>social economic background. The buccals were collected from oral<br>sis was noted in exposed group with longe duration of exposure. A<br>ed group when compared with control data. A synergistic effect was<br>to f coal dust in coal industry workers, the present studies indicate<br>loping cancer. |  |  |
| KEYWORDS : Coal, micronuclei, buccal cells  |  |   |  |  |
| INTRODUCTION:   | fi   | xed in 1:3 acetic acid and methanol fixative for 10 min slides are air  |  |  |

Introduction Coal is one of the most abundant minerals in nature and constitute the largest fossil fuel source used for the generation of energy (León-Mejía et al. 2011). Coal production is prominent in various countries, e.g. the Russian Federation, Brazil, South Africa, Australia and others. Working in coal mines, despite all achievements of modern science and technology, still remains among the most dangerous occupations to the health. Coal-mining is characterised by long-term contact with various harmful occupational agents, such as coal dust, (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAC), ionising radiation, dampness, din and heavy metals. The influence of such agents leads not only to an increase in the risk of initiation of various chronic cardiovascular diseases, nervous diseases (vibrational angioneurosis, sensorineural hearing loss and muscle-toning syndrome) and pulmonary diseases [chronic dust-induced bronchitis (CDB), coal-workers' pneumoconiosis (CWP) and lung cancer] but also to genotoxic risk [Rohr et al, 2013]. Genotoxic risk is caused by the exposure to PAH, heavy metals and ionising radiation. DNA damage resulting from exposure to genotoxic agents can be registered at the chromosomal level using methods of assessing chromosomal aberrations in human peripheral blood lymphocytes [Vijender Reddy et al, 1994; Vijender Reddy and Rudrama Devi, 1996; Snigiryova et al, 2011]. In the present investigation studies were carried out in coal miners using micronucleus assay in buccal epithelial cells.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS:

# Subject Recruitment and Sample Collection:

The study was conducted on 80 workers aged 16- 60 years from Telangana region of india.. The control groups consisted 60 people, aged 16-66 with no history of exposure to clastogenic and/or aneugenic agents and socio-economic level also similar to that of experimental subjects. At the time of sample collection all the coal workers signed a term of informed consent and replied to Questionnaires elaborated to determine the profile and habits of study population. The protocol has been approved by local ethical committee. The exposed workers to coal the duration of service was taken more than five years. The study was carried out in 80 coal exposed workers. The control group consists of 60 healthy individuals with no exposure to any toxicant or any other chemicals participants are informed about the study, asked to sign the consent form and complete the questionnaires to obtain necessary information ion their life style and personal habits (age, working duration smoking habits, health etc.)

## Analysis of micronucleus assay:

Prior to buccal cell collection the tannery workers were advised to rinse their mouth thoroughly with water to remove unwanted debris. Sterile wooden spatula was used to obtain cell samples from buccal mucosa. The mucosa was transfer to Eppendff of tubes with PBS at Ph. 7.0 and centrifused for 10 min at 1500 rpm. Supernatant was removed and replaced with fresh PBS solution. This process was repeated thrice and the pellet was smeared on clean slides. Smears were air dried and

fixed in 1.3 acetic acid and methanol fixative for 10 min slides are air dried and stained with 2% Giemsa for 10 Min. the slides are observed under microscope. Scorning criteria for buccal cytome assay from each sample threeslides were scored Nuclear abnormalities were classified according to the Tolbert et. al. [1992). These criteria are intended to classify buccal cells into categories that distinguish between "Normal and Abnormal" based on their aberrant nuclear morphology. The abnormal morphologies are due to the DNA damage and cell death.

Scoring method and statistical analysis: To determine the frequency of vaiorus cell types, about 1000 cells were scored for the presence of micronuclei cell, binucleared cells, karryoorhectic and karyoloytic ells. All the data were expressed a the Mean Standard Deviation. The synergistic effect between smoking and exposure were tested with a two way analysis of variance. Multiple comparisons were made by using a least significant difference test. The error rate was accepted as 0.05 by student + test.

## RESULTS

Table 1 and 2 shows the main characteristics in controls cases studies. The mean age group of the selected workers belongs to the range from  $31.6\pm4.1$  to  $41.2\pm6.0$  in control group and from  $36.0\pm5.01$  and  $42.0\pm$ 7.14 in the exposed group they belonged to the similar social economic status. The characteristics of the studied group are mentioned in Table 1. The cytological observations reveals micronuclei and binucleated cells of buccal smears. The mean value of micronuclei in smokers was  $8.40 \pm 1.66$  as against  $5.72 \pm 1.43$  in non smoker exposed group. The mean value of binucleated cells in subjects without smoking was 9.20  $\pm$  0.68 as against 12.12  $\pm$  1.04\* in subjects with a habit of smoking higher cells of Karyorrhix cells (KRC). The values were significant higher in smoker of exposed subjects compared to non smokers exposed group. This indicating this habit of smoking enhanced the man values of KRC and KLC nuclear anomalies when compared to control values. The frequency of micornucleate cells, binucleate cells, Karyohexis and Karyolysis cells were compared in duration of exposure less than 5 years and in ten years exposure and it more significantly higher in ten years of service workers

#### Table 1: Demographic characteristics of study subjects

| Group       | No. of subjects | Age in years | Duration of exposure |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Control     | 60              | 43.33±2.3    | 19.5±1.8             |
| Exposed     | 80              | 42.52±2.8    | 18.8±1.91            |
| Non smokers | 45              | 41.11±4.01   | 19.5±1.27            |
| Smokers     | 35              | 42.83±5.01   | 18.3±2.60            |

## Table 2: Cytological observations in control and exposed group

| Individuals    | MNC        | BNC        | KRC             | KLC         |
|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Control        | $3.68 \pm$ | $4.60 \pm$ | $9.20 \pm 0.68$ | 30.20 ±0.42 |
| Smokers (N=28) | 0.46       | 0.82       | $7.20 \pm 1.80$ | 22.12 ±1.62 |
| Non Smokers    | $2.28 \pm$ | $2.60 \pm$ |                 |             |
| (N=32)         | 0.12       | 0.18       |                 |             |

| Exposed             | 8.40 ±     | 7.82 ±      | $12.12 \pm 1.04*$ | 42.32 ±          |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Smokers (N=35)      | 1.66*      | 1.01*       | $7.42 \pm 662$    | 1.06*            |
| Non Smokers         | 5.72 ±     | 5.62 ±1.06  |                   | $31.12 \pm 1.10$ |
| (N=45)              | 1.43       |             |                   |                  |
| Duration of         | $4.82 \pm$ | 4.24 ±      | $16.0 \pm 1.66$   | $3.40 \pm 1.28$  |
| exposure            | 1.62       | 0.28        | $22.0 \pm 1.48$   | $42.0 \pm 196$   |
| 5 years $(N = 35)$  | 9.40 ±     | $10.20 \pm$ |                   |                  |
| 10 years $(N = 45)$ | 0.60       | 1.80        |                   |                  |

\*P<0.05

## DISCUSSION:

Micronucleus test of exfoliated cells in epithelial tissue have been used to evaluate the genotoxic effects. Micronucleus is defined as microscopically visible, round or oval cytoplasmic chromatin mass next to the nucleus. Micronuclei originated from aberrant mitosis and consist of acentric chromosomes, chromatid fragments or whole chromosomes that have failed to be incorporated in to the daughter nuclei during mitosis. Micronucleus test is the most frequent technique used to detect chromosome breakage or mitotic interference associated events with increased risk for cancer[Curtis 1982]. As micronuclei derive from chromosomal fragment and whole chromosomes lagging behind in anaphase, the micronucleus assay can be used to show both clastogenic and aneugenic effects. Micronucleus formation is undoubtedly an important mechanism for chromosome loss [Ford1992]. service workers. constitute a challenge. There is an increased risk of initiation of pulmonary and nervous diseases, as well as various personal injuries, coal miners are exposed to genotoxic risk resulting from the influence of chemical and physical agents on the organism.

Cytogenetic tests permitting the detection of various types of DNA damage at the cellular and chromosomal level can be used for the assessment of such genotoxic risk. Currently, many cytogenetic tests are used for the investigation of coal miners. A number of articles describe the increased level of micronuclei (MN) and other cytogenetic abnormalities discovered using the cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay on peripheral bloo lymphocytes [León-Mejía et al, 2011; Rohr et al, 2013; Donbak et al, 2005], the micronucleus assay on exfoliated cells [Rohr et al, 2013; Kumar et al, 2011; Kvitko et al, 2012] and DNA damage discovered using the DNA-comet assay [León-Mejía et al, 2011; Rohr et al, 2013] in coal-miners in comparison with people who do not work in coal mines. Donbak et al. [2005] used the sister chromatid exchange (SCE) test and discovered an increased level of this marker in coal miners from Turkey. Thus, we can say that miners are characterised by an increased level of cytogenetic damages associated with a considerable genotoxic influence rations per 100 cells was very similar to results—5.82  $\pm$ 0.87%) Earlier we have reported the higher percentages of chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in coal miners Andhra Pradesh when compared with the control data. [Vijender Reddy et al, 1994, Vijender Reddy and Rudrama devi, 1996].

The micronuclei in exfoliated epithelial cells are useful biomarkers of occupational exposure to genotoxic chemicals. Cigarette smoking is one of the factor that may influence the rate of DNA damage such as incidence of micronuclei in humans [Celik et. al. 2003] reported that cigarette smoking significantly increase the frequencies of nuclear abnormalities in both controls and exposed subjects. Increase in exposure to toxic chemicals such as formaldehyde and benzeze induces a significant increase in the bucall cell micronuclei [Titenko Holland et. al. 1996, Suralles et al. 1997] copper smelters [Lewinska et. al 2007], shoe factory workers [Gian et. al. 2009].

The harmful effect of dust in various forms of human health have been already demonstrated [Gutherie 1992, Dong et al. 2006]. The MN Test scientifically approved is important in demonstrating the genotoxic effects of harmful substance on health [Nerseyan, 2005 Fenech et al. 2007] such as measuring genotoxicity in petrol station employees [Celik, 2003] agricultural workers [Pastor et. al, 2002] Cigarette smokers and tobacco users [Prioita et. al. 2006] workers exposed to pesticides [Pastor et al. 2002] timber dust [Celik and Kanik 2006] Ozone and Cancer patients [Chen et. al. 2006]

## **CONCLUSIONS:**

In conclusion, biomonitoring studies of workers exposed to coal dust are rather vague because each population has a different life style factors but same occupation in different areas under different climatic and environmental conditions and are exposed to indistinguishable mutagen. Since DNA damage is an important step in events leading

from carcinogen exposure to cancer diseases and subsequently the results of the present study have an impact on coal miner's progeny. Therefore, there is a need to educate those who work in coal mine industry about the potential hazard of occupational exposure and the importance of using protective measures.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author (KRD) thankful to University authorities and to Prof. V. Krishna Reddy, Head, Department of Zoology, Osmania University, Hyderabad for providing necessary laboratory facilities.

#### REFERENCES

- Abou Taleb A.N.M., A.O.Musaniger, R.B.Abdel Moneim; J.Roy. 1995 Soc.Health, 2, 378-383 1.
- Celik A, Cava T and ErgeneGozukara S 2003. Cytogenetic Biomonitoring in petrol 2. station cytotoxicity investigation shape mineral dusts. Sheng Wu Yi Xue Gong Cheng
- 3 damage in buck and peripheral lymphocytes of young healthy individuals exposed to ozone. Mutagenesis 21:131., Chen C, Arjomandi A, Qin H, Balmes J, Tager I and Holland N 2006. Cytogenetic
- Donbak, L., Rencuzogullari, E., Yavuz, A. and Topaktas, M. 2005. The genotoxic risk of 4. underground coal miners from Turkey. Mutat. Res., 588, 82–87. Dong F, Deng J, Pu ZX and John H 2006. Pulmonary alveolar macrophage cytotoxicity 5.
- investigation shape mineral dusts. Sheng Wu Yi Xue Gong Cheng XueZaZhi 23 848 51 6
- Dong F, Deng J, Pu ZX and John H 2006. Pulmonary alveolar macrophage attention Micronucleus test in exfoliated buccal cells. Mutagenesis 18: 417421. 7 Guthrie Jr GD 1992. Biological effects of inhaled minerals. Am Mineral 77: 225 243.
- Kumar, A., Yadav, A., Giri, S. K., Dev, K., Gautam, S. K., Gupta, R. and Aggarwal, N. 2011. Effect of genetic polymorphism of GSTM1 and GSTT1 genotypes on cytogenetic 8.
- Sonn Encode generation of the polymorphism of control and control and control and control of the polymorphism of the polymo 9 coal dust during mining. Genet. Mol. Biol., 35, 1060–1068.
- León-Mejía, G., Espitia-Pérez, L., Hoyos-Giraldo, L. S., Da Silva, J., Hartmann, A., Henriques, J. A. and Quintana, M. 2011. Assessment of DNA damage in coal open-cast 10. mining workers using the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus test and the comet assay. Sci. Total Environ., 409, 686–691.
- 11.
- Levinenska AJ, Savian A, Talaksa G, Boeniger MF, Suruda A and Schulte PA 1997. The Uility of epit Micronuclei in the assessment of intermittent exposure. Biomarkers 2. Pastor S, Creus A, Xamena N, Siffel C and Marcos R 2002. Occupational exposure to pesticides damage : Results of a Hungarian population study using the micronucleus assay in lymphocytes EnvrionMol Mutagen 40 : 101 - 109.
- Prioia NK, Paszkiewicz GM, Nasca MSS, Franke GE and Paul JL 2006. Smoking and smokeless to associate human buccal cell mutations and their association with oral cancer A review. Cancer Biomarkers Prevent 15: 1061 1077.
- Rohr, P., da Silva, J., da Silva, F. R., Sarmento, M., Porto, C., Debastiani, R., Dos Santos, C. E., Dias, J. F. and Kvitko, K. 2013 .Evaluation of genetic damage in open-cast coal mine workers using the buccal micronucleus cytome assay. Environ. Mol. Mutagen., 54, 65-71
- Rohr, P., Kvitko, K., da Silva, F. R., et al. 2013. Genetic and oxidative damage of peripheral blood lymphocytes in workers with occupational exposure to coal. Mutat. Res., 758, 23–28.
- Kess, 159, 27–26. Snigityova, G. P. 2011. Biological dosimetry on the strength of cytogenetic analysis. Bull. Russian Scientific Center Russian Ministry Health Radiol., 11, 18–24 chromosomal structual changes. J. Med. Genet., 13, 103–122. Surralle's J. Carbonell E, Marcos R, Derassi F, Antoccia A and Tanzarella C, 1992. A 16.
- 17 case study on the improvement of micronucleus test in cultured human lymphocytes Mutagenesis, 7:407-4010.
- Titenko Holland N, Moore LE, Smith MT 1994. Measurement and characterization of micronuclei in exfoliated human cells by uorescence in Situ hybridization with a centrometric probe. Mut Res. 313:39-50.
- Tolbert PE, Shy CM and Allen JW 1992. Micronuclei and other nuclei anomalies in buccal smear development. Mutation research 271; 69-77 19
- Vijender Reddy V., C. Jyothsna and K.Rudrama Devi. 1994. Genotoxic studies of coal in 20. occupationally exposed individuals". Proceedings of the 3rd National Symposium on Environment, Thriuvananthapuram, March, 277-278.
- Vijender Reddy V., K. Rudrama Devi, 1996. Sister chromatid exchanges in occupationally coal exposed population of kothagudam region". Trends in Life Sciences, 11(1), P39-42. 21.