Original Resear	Volume-8   Issue-2   February-2018   PRINT ISSN No 2249-555X Dental Science THE PSYCHOLOGY OF AN ORTHODONTIC PATIENT AND ITS EFFECT ON THE TREATMENT OUTCOME
Shobhit Singh Tomar*	M.D.S Orthodontics, Assistant Professor Govt. Bundelkhand Medical College Sagar M.P. *Corresponding Author
Garima Singh	M.D.S Orthodontics, Senior Consultant Orthodontist, Rama Dental Care
which the significant impact on treatment and unfortunately, it is often und	ivation to seek orthodontic treatment appears to be strongly related to the individual's perceptions of the extent to neir dental facial appearance deviates from sociocultural norms. Orthodontist-patient relationship may have a outcome and patient satisfaction, thus improving the overall quality of care. Effective communication is crucial erestimated in a busy clinical practice. Aim of part one of this article is to review the psychological aspects that are nent variables in clinical orthodontics, including compliance with treatment, oral hygiene, management of

orthodontic pain and discomfort, and oral habits. Due to the complex nature of the psychology of orthodontic treatment, it is difficult to determine the extent of the influence that the orthodontist-patient relationship may have on these variables, with effective communication and an awareness

KEYWORDS : Compliance, Counseling, Orthodontics, Psychology, Questionnaire Survey.

of the psychological issues plays important role in enhancing the orthodontist-patient relationship. .

This paper examines the role of psycho-social factors as determinants of health behaviors. It analyses the development of orthodontic treatment attitudes and behaviors from the patient, and social perspectives. The aim of this article is to present the practitioner with a structure to understand patient's attitude while undergoing orthodontic treatment to comply with orthodontic treatment.

Professional assessment for the need of orthodontic treatment largely

depends on whether the malocclusion has or will have adverse effects on the oral health and/or the social or psychological well being of an

individual<sup>1</sup>. The motivation to seek orthodontic treatment appears to be strongly related to the individual's perceptions of the extent to which

their dental facial appearance deviates from sociocultural norms.

Individual's level of satisfaction with their facial appearance may have

important implications for their self esteem. Although there are substantial reports on social or psychosocial aspects of malocclusion

among the population of the industrialized parts of the globe, there are

few systematic studies in the literature or vice a versa, on the area to

shed light on the actual effects of malocclusion on the individual's

In an attempt to understand patients' response to dental health advice, it

is suggested that the development of dental health attitudes,

perceptions and behaviors should be included to health education

programs. Being aware of the psycho-social determinants of a patient's

health behaviors does provides a basis for an understanding of the

difficulties patients may experience when complying with dental

health care which ultimately effects the treatment outcome<sup>6</sup>.

#### PREDICTING PATIENTS COMPLIANCE

INTRODUCTION

perception of self'.

The patient's desire for orthodontic treatment should be evaluated as this is the most important factor among the predictors for patient compliance. Frequencies of broken appliances and oral hygiene maintenance were the most popular clinical predictors of compliance.<sup>7</sup> The unpopular methods of predicting patient compliance were sex, socio-economic status and demographic background of the patient's family. Patients psyche is difficult to measure at the beginning of orthodontic treatment, and further research should aimed to construct an instrument or method that will evaluate patient's desire for orthodontic treatment before starting the treatment.

#### IMPROVING PATIENT COMPLIANCE

The orthodontist believed that verbally praising patient for compliant behavior is the best method to improve compliance. Educating the patient about the consequences of poor compliance and as effecting treatment goals is also found to be popular, negative methods such as ridiculing the child for poor compliance and scolding, were found to be worst methods for improving patient compliance. Other negative reinforcement's items like parental pressure & scolding were also found unpopular.<sup>8</sup>

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

A Questionnaire survey was conducted in the Department of Orthodontics and Dent facial Orthopedics Sharad Pawar Dental College DMIMS (Deemed university) Wardha Maharashtra.

A 14-item questionnaire to access psychosocial or emotional effects of malocclusion in orthodontic patients was given to 302 patients. The subjects consisted of 128 (42.4%) males and 174 (57.6%) females with age range of 6-40 years and mean age of 13.82  $\pm$  8.01 (SD) years, respectively. Questionnaires To eliminate biased responses from the subjects, the replies were kept anonymous. Samples were selected between august and December 2008 seeking orthodontic or who were already undergoing the treatment. All of these were willing to participate in the study.

#### STASTICALANALYSIS:

The data was analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequency distribution, percentage ratio for each of the variables, mean age, and standard deviation), while chi-square tests were used to test for gender differences with the variables. The Critical level of statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

#### Questionnaire for orthodontic patient

- 1. Age group: a) 6-10 yrs b) 11-15 yrs c) 16-20 yrs d) 21-25 yrs e) 26-30 yrs f) 31-35 yrs
- 2. sex: a).Male

b) Female

- 3. Occupation(if applicable)-
- 4. What is the problem?

#### 5. Why you need the treatment?(tick one or more)

a) Aesthetics b) function c) stable occlusion

d) Psychological reason e) any other-

### 6. For how long have you noticed the problem?-

7. Since the time you noticed the problem did you find it difficult to accept the condition?

a) Yes	b) No	c) don't know
--------	-------	---------------

8. How long was it before you felt that you had accepted the

INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH 21

## condition?(tick one answer only)

- a) I still haven't accepted it
- b) Immediately
- c) Within 6 months
- d) Within a year
- e) It took over a year
- f) uncertain

#### 9. How the condition has affected you?(tick one answer only)

- a) Made me more confident
- b) Didn't affect my confidence
- c) Made me less confident
- d) Don't know

## 10. Why you are here for treatment?

- a) I myself want my teeth to be corrected.
- b) My parents want the treatment to be done.
- c) I am undergoing treatment because

#### 11. How you got to know the problem?

- a) I myself found out.
- b) My parents found out.
- c) My friends told me.
- d) Any other.

# 12. You know your treatment will take time, what you think about it

- a) Treatment time is too much
- b) Treatment time is adequate
- c) Treatment time is too less as compared to the problem
- d) Don't know

# 13. Your doctor has informed you about the retention phase and the appliance you have to wear after your treatment is completed, will you follow the instructions?

- a) Yes, I will wear the appliance religiously, I want to retain my end result of treatment
- b) May be if I will be comfortable with it
- c) I will wear it for some time
- d) I don't want to wear but I have to because doctor has advocated for it.
- e) I don't think it is necessary

# 14. Do you think your chief complaint will be solved with the treatment you are having/about to begin?

- a) Yes
- b) No
- c) This the best I can do for my problem

d) Any other

AGE	GENDER				TOTAL	
GROUP	Μ	ALE	FE	MALE		
(YEARS)	n	%	n	%	n	%
6—10	34	56.6	26	43.3	60	19.8
11-15	39	40.6	57	59.4	96	31.7
16-20	16	27.5	42 72.5		58	19.2
21-25	21	40.3	31 59.7		52	17.2
26-30	17	54.3	14	45.7	31	10.2
31-35	1	25.0	03	75.0	4	1.3
36-40	-	-	01 100.0		1	0.3
Total	128	42.4	174 57.6		302	100.0

#### Table 1: age and distribution of subjects

TIME TAKEN		GENDER				
	M	MALE		ALE		
	n	%	n	%	n	%
IMMEDIATELY	36	25.9	45	27.6	81	26.8
WITHIN 6 MONTH	<b>S</b> 9	6.5	14	8.6	23	7.6
WITHIN A YEAR	12	8.6	9	5.5	21	7.0
OVER A YEAR	17	12.2	25	15.3	42	13.9
STILL NOT	58	41.7	61	37.4	119	39.4
ACCEPTED						
UNCERTAIN	7	5.1	9	5.6	16	5.3
Total	128	46.02	163	53.98	302	100
22 INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH						СН

Table 2: Distribution of tome taken to accept the malocclusion by the subjects in relation to gender

Volume-8 | Issue-2 | February-2018 | PRINT ISSN No 2249-555X

MOTIVATIONAL	GENDER				TOTAL	
FACTOR	MALE		FEMALE		1	
	n %		n	%	n	%
AESTHETICS	73	61.9	75	40.8	148	49.0
FUNCTION	12	10.2	24	13.0	36	11.9
PSYCHOLOGICAL	10	8.5	16	8.7	26	8.6
FACTORS						
STABLE	9	7.6	19	10.3	28	9.3
OCCLUSION						
OTHER REASONS	4	3.3	2	1.1	6	2.0
NO RESPONSE	10	8.5	28	15.1	58	19.2
TOTAL	118	39.1	184	60.9	302	100.0

#### Table 3: Motivational factor seeking orthodontic care by gender

ACTIVITY	EFFECT				TOTAL		
	MALE		FEMALE				
	n	%	% n %		n	%	
MORE CONFIDENT	4	2.9	5	3.0	9	3.0	
UNAFFECTED	52	38.0	61	37	113	37.4	
LESS CONFIDENT	57	41.6	69	41.8	126	41.7	
UNCERTAIN	24	17.5	30	18.2	54	17.9	
TOTAL	137	45.4	165	54.6	302	100.0	

Table 4: Distribution of the effects of malocclusion on self confidence

ACTIVITY	EFFECT					
	NO		NOT		RESTRICTE	
	RESI	PONSE	RESTRICTED		D	
	n	%	n	n %		%
CHOICE OF FOOD	34	11.2	210	69.5	61	20.1
EATING IN	40	13.2	198	65.5	64	21.1
PUBLIC						
GOING OUT IN	25	8.2	251	83.1	26	8.7
PUBLIC						
LAUGHING IN	12	3.9	151	50	139	46.02
PUBLIC						
FORMING CLOSE	49	16.2	201	66.55	52	18.2
RELATIONSHIP						
<b>ENJOYING FOOD</b>	23	7.6	240	79.4	39	12.9
AS MUCH						

#### Table 5: Distribution of activities restricted due to malocclusion as reported by the subjects

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION:**

Concerning the people the subjects discussed their malocclusions with before coming for treatment; parents had the highest percentage of 64.7%, followed by dentists (35.3%). Most of the subjects (57.5%) presented for orthodontic care within 1-5 years after noticing the problem, followed by 23.5% who reported for treatment after 6-10 years of noticing the malocclusion. About 11% came for care between the ages of 11-15 years and 1.8% after 16 years. The remainder (5.9%) could not remember the time lapse.

Concerning the perceived effects of malocclusions on the general appearance of their faces, 54.8% felt their malocclusions affected their faces negatively, while 45.2% did not think their facial appearances were affected. Close to 40% of those whose facial appearances were negatively affected said they were displeased, while 6.8% were upset by such effects.

The age and gender distribution of the patients is shown in Table 1 with well over half of them belonging to age 15 years and below. More females sought orthodontic treatment than males. The majority of subjects needed orthodontic care for aesthetics (49.0%). Functional reasons accounted for 11.9%, while psychological reasons gave 8.6% as shown in Table 2. Table 3 shows the distribution of the time taken to accept the malocclusion by the subjects with the majority (39.4%) yet to accept their malocclusions. No statistically significant (p>0.05) gender differences were observed.

For level of confidence, over 40% of the participants reported feeling less confident as a result of malocclusion, while 3% claimed they felt more confident. Close to 38% claimed no difference in confidence as shown in Table 4. Thinking back on their initial feelings when they first noticed the malocclusions, 34.4% said they felt sad, 6.3% were angry, 26.7% had depression, and 35.3% were unconcerned.

Regarding confidence, 21.1% reported having less confidence eating in public, while 3.0% and 37.4% indicated feeling more confident and no difference in confidence, respectively. Over 8.7% felt less confident meeting people publically, and 83.1% said it did not make any difference. Laughing in the public was a problem for 46.1%, while 3.9% and 50% claimed feeling more confident and no difference in confidence, respectively. About 18.2% felt less confident to form close relationships, and 66.5% said they experienced no difference. Table 5 shows the distribution of activities restricted due to malocclusion as reported by the subjects. Laughing in public was mostly affected (46.02%).

Regarding wearing of retention plate in the post treatment phase 63.9% subjects were willing to wear the retention plate regularly, 13.2%did not think it is necessary to wear it.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The need for orthodontic treatment differs from the orthodontic treatment differs from the orthodontist's point of view and patients perception for an orthodontist, the goal is to achieve ideal occlusion which will help maintain the health of surrounding structures and TMJ & then looks or esthetics. But from patients perceptive the importance of taking orthodontic treatment is more psychosocial.

The motivation for seeking orthodontic treatment is more due to the desire for having more attractive face. They are not concerned if the occlusion is not stable or TMJ health is good Today a person is judged on face value so indirectly how the person looks decides his self esteem. Three factors are necessary for developing a good or poor self esteem

- 1. What a person thinks he looks like.
- 2. What other thinks how he/she look.
- 3. What the person thinks, others think about his looks.

Self esteem matters in developing interpersonal relationship as today there is more orientation towards looks in developing personal relationships, therefore facial esthetics does matter a lot in designing orthodontic treatment. If patient gets a secured feeling that orthodontic treatment may help in improving his looks hence he/she will be more cooperative and will follow all instructions.

#### REFERENCES

- Sinha PK, Nanda RS, McNeil DW. Perceived orthodontist behaviors that predict patient satisfaction, orthodontist-patient relationship, and patient adherence in orthodontic treatment. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1996;110:370-7.
- Brown DF, Moerenhout RG. The pain experience and psychological adjustment to 2 orthodontic treatment of preadolescents, adolescents, and adults. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1991;100:349-56.
- Sergl HG, Klages U, Zentner A. Functional and social discomfort during orthodontic treatment-effects on compliance and prediction of patients' adaptation by personality 3
- variables. Eur J Orthod 2000;22:307–15. Haryett RD, Hansen FC, Davidson PO. Chronic thumb-sucking. A second report on treatment and its psychological effects. Am J Orthod 1970;57:164–78. Haryett RD, Hansen FC, Davidson PO, Sandilands ML. Chronic thumb-sucking: the 4
- 5. psychologic effects and the relative effectiveness of various methods of treatment. Am J Orthod 1967:53:569-85.
- Mahalski PA, Stanton WR. The relationship between digit sucking and behaviour problems: a longitudinal study over 10 years. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 1992;33:913–23. 7. Nanda RS, Kierl MJ. Prediction of cooperation in orthodontic 6. treatment. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1992;102:15-21
- Story RI. Psychological issues in orthodontic practice. Am J Orthod 1966;52:584–98. Zachrisson BU. Cause and prevention of injuries to teeth and supporting structures
- during orthodontic treatment. Am J Orthod 1976;69:285–300. Gorelick L, Geiger AM, Gwinnett AJ. Incidence of white spot formation after bonding 10
- and banding. Am J Orthod 1982;81:93-8. Mizrahi E. Enamel demineralization following orthodontic treatment. Am J Orthod 11.
- 1982;82:62-7
- Jarabak JR. Management of Orthodontic Practices. St Louis: CV Mosby; 1965. Clark JR. [Motivation, responsibility and principles of oral hygiene in orthodontic practice]. Mondo Odontostomatol 1977;19:45–55. 13
- Forte G, Richardson A. Patient compliance and medical audit in orthodontics. Br Dent J 14. 1990:169:374-5
- Hyperbolic and States and Stat 15 management of patient compliance. Angle Orthod 1998;68:115–22. Fields RS. Influencing patient cooperation. J Clin Orthod 1980;14:417–21
- 18 Milgrom P, Vignehsa H, Weinstein P. Adolescent dental fear and control: prevalence and theoretical implications. Behav Res Ther 1992;30:367-73
- Rubin RM. Recognition and empowerment: an effective approach to enlisting patient cooperation. J Clin Orthod 1995;29:24–6. 19

- Rotter JB. Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. Psychol Monogr 1966;80:1-28. 20.
- Tedesco LA, Albino JE, Cunat JJ. Reliability and validity of the Orthodontic Locus of 21. Control Scale, Am J Orthod 1985;88:396-401. Lee S-J, Ahn S-J, Kim T-W. Patient compliance and locus of control in orthodontic
- Treatment: a prospective study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2008;133:354–8. Jones M, Chan C. The pain and discomfort experienced during orthodontic treatment: a
- randomized controlled clinical trial of two initial aligning arch wires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1992;102:373–81.
- Jones ML, Richmond S. Initial tooth movement: force application and pain-a relationship? Am J Orthod 1985:88:111-6.
- Abu Alhaija ES, Aldaikki A, Al-Omairi MK, Al-Khateeb SN. The relationship between personality traits, pain perception and attitude toward orthodontic treatment. Angle Orthod 2010;80:1141-9
- Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychol Rev 1977;84:191–215. 26
- de Paula Junior DF, Santos NCM, da Silva ET, Nunes MF, Leles CR. Psychosocial impact of dental esthetics on quality of life in adolescents. Angle Orthod 009;79:1188-93
- Phillips C, Beal KNE. Self-concept and the perception of facial appearance in children 28. and adolescents seeking orthodontic treatment. Angle Orthod 2009;79:12-6. 29
- de Oliveira CM, Sheiham A. Orthodontic treatment and its impact on oral health-related quality of life in Brazilian adolescents. J Orthod 2004;31:20–7, discussion 15. 30
- Fox RN, Albino JE, Green LJ, Farr SD, Tedesco LA. Development and validation of a measure of attitudes toward malocclusion. J Dent Res 1982;61:1039-43.

23