**Pediatrics** 



# ENDOSCOPIC TREATMENT OF REFLUX WITH DEFLUX –TERTIARY CARE CENTER EXPERIENCE

Dr. Pradnya S Bendre	Mch Pediatric Surgery, Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Bai Jerbai Wadia Hospital for Children, Parel, Mumbai, India		
Dr. Amol Ramesh Nage*	<b>sh</b> Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Bai Jerbai Wadia Hospital for Children, Parel, Mumbai, India. *Corresponding Author		
Dr. Flavia H Dsouza	Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Bai Jerbai Wadia Hospital for Children, Parel, Mumbai, India.		
Dr. Parag Karkera	Associate Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Bai Jerbai Wadia Hospital for Children, Parel, Mumbai, India.		

**ABSTRACT Background.** A number of bulking agents have been used for the endoscopic correction of Vesicoureteral reflux in children. We present our long-term results of endoscopic use of dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer (Deflux®) for VUR treatment in children.

**Patients and methods.** Between 2014 and 2017, 40 children underwent endoscopic subureteral injection of Deflux® in 50 ureters. 30 children had unilateral reflux and 10 had bilateral reflux. Median age was 5-years (6-months to 14.9-years) 3month postoperatively, a voiding cystourethrogram was performed. Age, sex, grade of reflux and treatment results were recorded and evaluated. Successful reflux correction was defined as downgrading or disappearance on follow-up VCUG

**Results.** No intra- or postoperative complications had been noticed. In 32 ureters (80%), VCUG showed no VUR 3month postoperatively. Two children received a 2nd Injection (two successful). In 6 ureter reflux persist(15%) & were converted to open surgery.

**Conclusion.** Endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux can be recommended as a first-line therapy for most cases of vesicoureteral reflux, because of the short hospital stay, absence of complications and the high success rate.

**KEYWORDS**: Vesicoureteral reflux; Deflux

## Introduction:

Vesicoureteric reflux (VUR) is a common problem encountered by pediatric urologists. Traditionally, if medical management with lowdose antibiotic prophylaxis failed, the only alternative was ureteral reimplant surgery [1]. Since Matouschek's initial description of the subureteric injection technique in 1981 [2] and the first clinical series reported by O'Donnell and Puri in 1984 [3], it has evolved into a therapeutic alternative to ureterocystostomy.

The recent surgical treatment modalities of VUR disease are open and endoscopic surgery.

The endoscopic treatment may be chosen as an alternative to open surgery because of low morbidity and mortality rates, lower cost, short term of hospital stay, and similar results to open surgery [4]).

We present the recent results of endoscopic treatment using the subtrigonal injection of Deflux for VUR in children by the same operator at our institute.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed all cases of subtrigonal injection performed with Deflux from 2014 to 2017 at bai jerbai wadia hopital. All patients who entered into the study had vesicoureteric reflux, as determined by voiding cystourethrogram (VCUG).

### Indications

All the patients of vur needing surgical intervention as per conventional indications were given option of endoscopic as well as open surgery.

However in following instances deflux was preferred Infants of vur with breakthrough UTI, presence of bowel bladder dysfunction, secondary vur and parental preference.

The technique comprises a subureteric or intra-ureteric transurethral injection of Deflux with a pediatric cystoscope. A 20-gauge needle is inserted 2 to 3 mm proximal to the ureteral orifice and delivers the material underneath the ureter at the 6 o'clock position for the subureteric technique. Alternatively, for the intra-ureteric technique,

the needle is inserted in the floor of the intravesical ureter, which is visualized by directing the cystoscope water flow at the orifice to be treated. This latter technique was usually used for high-grade reflux with wide opengaping orifices.9 The injection proceeded until we obtained a "bulge" with an elevated, inverted crescent shape of the orifice. Patients were maintained on their antibiotic prophylaxis until reflux was documented to be absent on postoperative cystogram. Patients were subjected to VCUG at 3month postoperative Patients who failed initial injection were offered continued observation, a second injection or ureteroneocystostomy.

Primary outcome comprised reflux status (resolution v. non resolution) The outcomes were analysed statistically

# RESULTS

Between January 2014 and January 2017, 40children were treated minimally invasively with dextranomer/hyaluronic acid. 10 children had bilateral and 30 children had unilateral reflux. Consequently subureteral injection was performed in 50 ureters in total.

Medium operating time was 12 minutes (6-20 minutes). There was no case of perioperative complications. In our study 4 had grade II reflux, 20 had grade III reflux, and 16 had grade IV reflux and 10 had grade v reflux. All patient were put on chemoprophylaxis.

VCUG performed after 3 month in all patient Out of 40 ureter 32 (80%), postoperative MCU showed no reflux. The success rates with regard to the grade of reflux are depicted in Table 1

No of patient	Downgrading of reflux	Disappeara nce of reflux	Persistence of reflux	Disappearance after 2 <sup>nd</sup> inj
40	2	32	6	2
percentage	5%	80%	15%	5%

6 children were re-injected using the HIT-technique. Two children were reflux- and infection-free after the 2nd injection, which equates to a success rate of 33%.

The remaining 4 children with unsuccessful injection (one patient with reflux grade III and one patient with reflux grade IV and 3patient with grade IV ) underwent re implantationr

### Table 2-rRetrovesical dia ureteric diameter vs reflux o

Sr no	Lower ureteric dia	Reflux	Disapperance of reflux	Persistence of reflux
1	4-6mm	8	8	0
2	6-8mm	22	21	1
3	8-10mm	10	8	2
4	>10mm	10	7	3

We evaluated retrovesical dia as predictor for success and need of multiple injection. Discussion The concept of subureteral injection was introduced by O'Donnell and Puri in the 1980s to create a less invasive treatment for VUR [5]. Endoscopic treatment is based on the principle of creating a solid support behind the intravesical ureter and elongating the intramural length of the ureter [6]

In children biologic materials that are non-allergenic and do not migrate should be used [7].

Deflux® consists of dextranomer microspheres in a gel of stabilized non-animal hyaluronic acid. The micro-particleshave a size of 80-250 um and therefore do not migrate into surrounding tissue or organs The success rate VUR depend on many factors grade of vur associated bladder dysfunction whether primary of secondary vur .Present study report success rate of of 80% which is comparable to the data from the current literature [8-9,12, 13]. Kirsch et al. were able to obtain a 72% success rate; Puri et al. reported a success rate of 86% [10, 11].

The limitations of our study include that the data collected in the charts may be biased. Cost factor remains main issue compare to open sugery limiting his use inpresent set up.

#### **Conclusion:**

The endoscopic treatment of VUR with Deflux is a feasible outpatient procedure, requires minimal operating room time and causes low morbidity. It demonstrated a cure rate of approximately 80% of patients. The use of dextranomer/ hylauronic acid copolymer produces an adequate support of the posterior ureter and promotes resolution of VUR Further experience with the material and increased use of intraureteral injection may improve our cure rates.

# REFERENCES

- W. H. Cerwinka, H. C. Scherz, and A. J. Kirsch, "Endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral W. H. Cerwinka, H. C. Scherz, and A. J. Krisch, Endoscopic treatment of vestconterent reflux with dextranomer/ hyaluronic acid in children," Advances in Urology, vol.2008, Article ID 513854, 7 pages, 2008.
  E. Matouschek, "Treatment of vesicorenal reflux by transurethral Teflon injection," Urologe A, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 263–264, 1981.
  B. O'Donnell and P. Puri, "Treatment of vesicoureteric reflux by endoscopic injection of Teflon," BritishMedical Journal, vol. 288, no. 6436, pp. 7–9, 1984.
- [2]
- [3]
- [4]. Benoit RM, Peele PB, Docimo SG: The cost-effectiveness of dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer for the management of vesicoureteral reflux. 1: substitution for surgical management. J Urol. 2006; 176: 1588-92; discussion 1592.
- O'Donnell B, Puri P. Endoscopic correction of primary vesicoureteric reflux: results in 94 ureters. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1986;293:1404-6. [5].
- Matouschek E. Treatment of vesicorenal reflux by transurethral teflon-injection (author's transl). Urologe A 1981;20:263-4. [6].
- Stenberg AM, Sundin A, Larsson BS et al: Lack of distant migration after injection of a [7]. 125iodine labeled dextranomer based implant into the rabbit bladder. J Urol 1997; 158 (5): 1937-1941.
- Kirsch AL Perez-Brayfield M. Smith EA et al: IUrol 2004: 171 (6 Pt 1): 2413-2416
- Holmdahl G, Brandström P, Läckgren G et al: The Swedish reflux trial in children: II. [9]. Vesicoureteral reflux outcome. JUrol. 2010; 184 (1): 280-285. [10]. Lackgren G, Wahlin N, Skoldenberg E et al: Long-term follow-up of children treated
- with dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer for vesicoureteral reflux. J Urol 2001; 166 (5): 1887-1892.
- [11]. Puri P, Chertin B, Velayudham M et al: Treatment of vesicoureteral reflux by endoscopic injection of dextranomer/hyaluronic Acid copolymer: preliminary results. J Urol 2003; 170 (4 Pt 2): 1541-1544; discussion 1544.
- [12]. Stenberg A, Lackgren G: A new bioimplant for the endoscopic treatment of vesicoureteral reflux: experimental and short-term clinical results. J Urol 1995; 154 (2 Pt  $2) \cdot 800 - 803$
- [13]. Wadie GM, Tirabassi MV, Courtney RA et al: The deflux procedure reduces the incidence of urinary tract infections in patients with vesicoureteral reflux. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2007; 17 (3): 353-359.