



## NEONATAL MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY IN A NEONATAL INTENSIVE CARE UNIT: A HOSPITAL BASED PROSPECTIVE STUDY

<b>Yudhvir Singh Kotwal*</b>	Block Medical Officer, Department of Hospital Administration, SKIMS Soura, Srinagar (J&K), India- 190011, *Corresponding Author
<b>Farooq Ahmed Jan</b>	Additional Professor & Medical Superintendent, Department of Hospital Administration, SKIMS Soura, Srinagar (J&K), India- 190011
<b>Ghulam Hassan Yattoo</b>	Assistant Professor & Head of Department, Department of Hospital Administration, SKIMS Soura, Srinagar (J&K), India- 190011
<b>Sangita Kotwal</b>	Consultant Pediatrician, Sub District Hospital Baderwah, Doda (Jammu and Kashmir), India- 182221

**ABSTRACT** **BACKGROUND:** India accounts for 1.2 million deaths every year which is one fourth of global neonatal deaths and thus faces the biggest newborn health challenge of any country in the world. It has been observed that improved level of newborn care can bring down the mortality rates. Knowing the common causes of morbidity among the neonates will help in devising strategies to reduce neonatal mortality. A study of Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) was undertaken at SKIMS Srinagar to determine the causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital in Indian State of Jammu & Kashmir.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS:** A descriptive case series hospital based prospective study was conducted over a period of one year from with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2013 in NICU of SKIMS Srinagar by administering a predesigned standardized questionnaire proforma to one or both parents of admitted neonates in a NICU of Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS) Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir-India.

**RESULTS:** Neonatal Jaundice (NNJ) (26.7%) was the most common cause of morbidity and admission to NICU followed by Septicemia (19.1%) Prematurity (12.5%), Birth Asphyxia (7%) and Respiratory Distress Syndrome (RDS) (5.7%) whereas Prematurity (24.2%) followed by Septicemia (18.2%), Birth Asphyxia (11.1%), Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (10.1%) and Respiratory Distress Syndrome (9.1%) were the top five major contributors to the neonatal mortality

**CONCLUSIONS:** The study found that Neonatal Jaundice (NNJ) was the commonest causes of morbidity and admission and Prematurity was the most common cause of neonatal mortality in NICU in a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital in Indian State of Jammu & Kashmir

### KEYWORDS :

#### INTRODUCTION

World over, four million newborn babies die in the first month of life—99% in low and middle-income countries every year.<sup>[1]</sup> In India, 26 million babies are born every year, and 1.2 million die in the first four weeks of life, which accounts for a quarter of global neonatal deaths. India thus faces the biggest newborn health challenge of any country in the world.<sup>[2]</sup> India carries the single largest share (around 25-30%) of neonatal deaths in the world. Neonatal deaths constitute two-thirds of infant deaths in India; 45% of the deaths occur within the first two days of life.<sup>[3]</sup> The common cause of neonatal mortality in India are asphyxia, prematurity and low birth weight, infections like pneumonia and gastroenteritis and a variety of surgical problems. It has been observed that improved level of newborn care can bring down the mortality rates.<sup>[4]</sup> While high infant mortality rates were recognized by the British medical community at least as early as the 1860s, modern neonatal intensive care is a relatively recent advance.<sup>[5]</sup>

The neonatal intensive care units have a role in developing countries like India; although neonatal intensive care is among the more expensive services that any health care systems can provide.<sup>[6]</sup> The cost of establishing a neonatal intensive care unit runs into crores of rupees in India in which equipment cost formed two-thirds of the establishment cost and ancillary personnel salary comprised the largest proportion of the running costs.<sup>[7]</sup> Neonatal intensive care is cost intensive and rational use of neonatal unit services by targeting its utilization for the very low birth weight neonates and maintenance of community based home-based newborn care is required.<sup>[8]</sup>

High neonatal mortality rate in a country reflects the poor availability of quality and quantity of infrastructure and utilization of neonatal care of that country. Improved neonatal care can lead to increased infant survival. In developing countries where budgetary constraints limit technological advances, the judicious implementation of neonatal

intensive care measures can result in reduction of morbidity and mortality. To determine the burden of neonatal disease, understand patient needs, planning and organization the present study was undertaken at SKIMS Srinagar to determine the morbidity and mortality pattern of among the neonates admitted to a NICU. The present study will help us to find out gaps if any in the required infrastructure for NICU of SKIMS Srinagar. The study was undertaken with the objective to determine the morbidity and mortality pattern of among the neonates admitted to a NICU

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

This descriptive case series, hospital based prospective study was conducted over a period of one year from with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2013 in NICU of SKIMS Srinagar. The information/ data was collected in a predesigned proforma and the information like the date & time of admission, date & time of birth, age at admission, gender, gestational age, place of delivery, weight at admission, residential address, dwelling place and diagnosis. All the treatment, including supportive treatment was recorded. The progress of the patient and eventual outcome following the management of the child were also recorded.

On arrival in neonatal unit, baby was examined by PG Resident/ Senior Resident and then by neonatologist/paediatrician. The neonates were followed from the time of admission up to the time of discharge or death or LAMA. Neonatal information was collected at time of admission and finalized after discharge/death. Diagnosis was made primarily on the basis of clinical findings and the diagnostic support from laboratory and radiology was taken to confirm the clinical diagnosis and the diagnosis was modified based if necessary based on the result of the patient's laboratory and radiology findings or clinical response to instituted therapy. The WHO criteria and Modified Wigglesworth classification was used to find single cause for

admission/ death and each neonate was labeled with at least one diagnosis according to modified Wigglesworth classification. Primary disease was considered as final diagnosis even the baby developed complications of primary disease or having more than one disease.

WHO definitions were used for prematurity, low birth weight (LBW), very low birth weight (VLBW), extreme low birth weight (ELBW) and congenital malformation. Meconium aspiration syndrome was diagnosed on basis of history, clinical and radiological findings. Birth asphyxia syndrome was diagnosed clinically plus APGAR score. Sepsis evaluation was based on clinical and laboratory indices like complete blood counts (CBC), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C- reactive protein (CRP) and Cultures collectively. Neonatal jaundice was diagnosed by assessing Serum Bilirubin level along with G6PD estimation in case of males. Pneumonia was diagnosed mainly on examination and radiological findings. Neonatal period was defined as from birth to 28 days in term neonates and 44 weeks of gestation in preterm. Diagnosis of Pre-maturity was clinical or based on WHO definition for pre-maturity (live born neonates delivered before 37 weeks from 1st day of last menstrual period) and low birth weight with birth weight less than 2500 grams.

Weight of neonates are measured using electronic weighing machines having gram as smallest division. Gestational age was calculated from last menstrual period (LMP) and clinical assessment was made by modified Ballard scoring.

This NICU is a level-III NICU center is a tertiary care center, where most of the babies referred are high-risk babies. The NICU has facilities of intensive care, ventilation, and exchange transfusion. Facilities available in the NICU include 10 incubators, 2 resuscitaires, and 5 locally constructed phototherapy units.

The NICU has 18 cots/ beds, with a nurse: Patient ratio which varies from 1:7 in the morning shift to 1: 15 during the afternoon and night shifts. In addition, there are usually, 2 consultants, 1 or 2 senior residents, 2 junior residents, and 3 or 4 interns covering the neonatal unit depending on the rotations.

The inclusion criteria was all the sick neonates brought alive to neonatal unit with definitive symptomatology and diagnosis. Neonates brought dead to the neonatal unit were excluded from the study. Approval for the study was obtained from the hospital's ethical committee. The data thus collected was analyzed by SPSS version 20 and the frequency and percentages of various parameters of morbidity and mortality were calculated.

**RESULTS AND OBSERVATION**

The data analysis showed that there were 1017 neonates admitted to NICU during the one-year period of study i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> January-31<sup>st</sup> December 2013. The average length of stay (ALS) of the neonates admitted to NICU was 5.7 days. The average age on admission of the neonates was 4.84 days. The age wise distribution of admitted neonates revealed that about four-fifth (79.4%) of the neonates were in the age group of 0-7 days. About one-fifth (20.6%) of the neonates admitted were in the late neonatal period. Majority of neonates were males (58%). The ratio of males (58%) and female (42%) neonates was 1:0.7. It was observed that the average gestational age of the neonates admitted to NICU was 36.15 weeks. The minimum and maximum gestational age of the neonates was 25 and 43 weeks respectively. Majority (60.6%) of the neonates were born at full term of gestation. The study showed that the average weight on admission of the neonates was 2525.7 grams. The minimum and maximum weight of the admitted neonate was 920 and 4350 grams respectively. Majority (58.3%) of the neonates were of normal weight (2500-3500 grams). Most (90%) of the neonates were born in health institutions. The study also showed that two-third (71.8%) of the neonates belonged to joint families whereas about one-third (28.2%) belonged to nuclear type of families. The distribution of neonates according to dwelling place revealed that two-third (68.3%) of the neonates admitted to NICU belonged to the families living in rural dwelling areas whereas approximately one-third (31.7%) belonged to the families living in urban dwelling areas

**(Table-1). Table-1: Showing socio-demographic distribution of neonates**

Characteristic Age of the neonate on admission	Variable	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
	0-7 days	808	79.4
8-14 days	124	12.2	
15-21 days	64	6.3	
22-28 days	21	2.1	
Neonatal Period	Early (0-7 days)	808	79.4
	Late (8-28days)	209	20.6
Gender	Male	590	58.0
	Female	427	42.0
Gestational Age at birth	Pre-term (<37 weeks)	392	38.5
	Full Term (37-42 weeks)	616	60.6
	Post-term (>42 weeks)	9	0.9
Weight on admission	High Weight (>4000g)	17	1.7
	Normal Weight (2500-4000g)	593	58.3
	Low Weight (1500-2499g)	335	32.9
	Very Low Weight (1000-1499g)	68	6.7
	Extremely Low Weight (<1000g)	4	0.4
Place of delivery	Health Institution	915	90
	Home	102	10
Type of family	Joint Family	730	71.8
	Nuclear Family	287	28.2
Dwelling Place	Rural	695	68.3
	Urban	322	31.7
Grand Total		1017	100

Neonatal Jaundice (NNJ) (26.7%) was the most common cause of morbidity and admission to NICU followed by Septicemia (19.1%) Prematurity (12.5%), Birth Asphyxia (7%) and Respiratory Distress Syndrome (RDS) (5.7%) (Table-2).

**Table-2: Showing morbidity pattern among neonates admitted to NICU**

Disease	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Neonatal Jaundice (NNJ)	272	26.7
Septicemia	194	19.1
Prematurity	127	12.5
Birth Asphyxia	71	7.0
Respiratory Distress Syndrome (RDS)	58	5.7
Hypernatremic Dehydration	43	4.2
Hypoglycemia	40	3.9
Seizure Disorder	35	3.4
Transient Tachypnoea of Neonates (TTN)	33	3.2
Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (MAS)	30	2.9
Congenital Anomalies	26	2.6
Pneumonia	24	2.4
Polycythemia	19	1.9
Meningitis	14	1.4
Diarrhea	8	0.8
Others	23	2.3
Grand Total	1017	100

The data was analyzed for outcome of the total admitted neonates during the study period. It was observed that out of 1017 neonates admitted most were discharged (90.07%) whereas as 9.73% expired and only 0.2% left against medical advice (LAMA) (Table-3).

**Table-26: Outcome of the neonates who were admitted to NICU**

Outcome	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Discharged	916	90.07
Expired	99	9.73
LAMA	2	0.2
Grand Total	1017	100

Prematurity (24.2%) followed by Septicemia (18.2%), Birth Asphyxia (11.1%), Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (10.1%) and Respiratory Distress Syndrome (9.1%) were the top five major contributors to the neonatal mortality (Table-4).

**Table-27: Showing mortality rate among neonates admitted to NICU**

Disease	Total	Expire d	Mortality Rate
Neonatal Jaundice (NNJ)	272	2	2.0
Septicemia	194	18	18.2
Prematurity	127	24	24.2
Birth Asphyxia	71	11	11.1
Respiratory Distress Syndrome (RDS)	58	9	9.1
Hypernatremic Dehydration	43	2	2.0
Hypoglycemia	40	1	1.0
Seizure Disorder	35	1	1.0
Transient Tachypnoea of Neonates (TTN)	33	3	3.0
Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (MAS)	30	10	10.1
Congenital Anomalies	26	6	6.1
Pneumonia	24	2	2.0
Polycythemia	19	1	1.0
Meningitis	14	1	1.0
Diarrhea	8	0	0.0
Others	23	8	8.1
Grand Total	1017	99	100

## DISCUSSION

Accurate data on the neonatal disease volume and pattern are useful for many reasons. It is important for the providers of care, investigators, local and national health administrators, and for decision makers to design interventions for prevention and treatment and to implement and evaluate health care programs.

The data from NICUs of hospitals in India is very limited and there are very few published reports from these hospitals. Perhaps this is the first published data concerning Neonatal Intensive Care Unit issue in Jammu & Kashmir. This is a hospital-based study and may not present what is going on in the community. So, the results of this study should be compared cautiously with other similar studies, because NICU of SKIMS Srinagar doesn't have a birthing site and only out-born neonates are admitted here.

This one-year prospective study was done in order to document the most common type of diseases with which the neonates are admitted, treatment/ interventions the neonates received and outcome of those neonates admitted in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) of SKIMS Srinagar.

It was found that a total of 1017 neonates were admitted in the NICU during the period of study i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> Jan-31<sup>st</sup> Dec 2013. Only outborn neonates are admitted in SKIMS Srinagar. The volume of patients admitted were similar to various national and international studies.

A study conducted by Aijaz N, et al. (2012)<sup>[9]</sup> at Karachi found that the average length of stay (ALS) was 6.5 days whereas the average length of stay (ALS) of the neonates admitted to NICU in our study was 5.7 days which is lesser. Aijaz N, et al. (2012)<sup>[9]</sup> also found that the average age of admission was 3.5 days whereas it was 4.84 days in our study.

A study by Anjum ZM and Shamoon M (2009)<sup>[10]</sup> found that 85% neonates were in the age group of 0-7days followed by 6% in 8-14 days age group. The age wise distribution of admitted neonates in our study revealed that most the neonates were in the age group of 0-7 days (79.4%) group followed by 12.2% in 8-14 days age group. Thus

the findings of our study were similar to the study by Anjum ZM and Shamoon M (2009)<sup>[10]</sup>.

In our study it was observed that about one-fifth (79.4%) of the admitted neonates were in the early neonatal period group and about one-fourth (20.6%) presented in late neonatal period for admissions which is similar to a study by Anjum ZM and Shamoon M (2009)<sup>[10]</sup>. These findings are logical and expected as neonates in early neonatal period are at risk of contracting diseases and at risk neonates are identified by healthcare workers immediately if they are born in hospitals.

Our study also showed that males (58%) outnumber their female (42%) counterparts. It is consistent with local literature reported by Kumar MK, et al. (2012)<sup>[11]</sup> (60% male versus 40% female) and international studies from Pakistan by Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>[12]</sup> (59.55% male versus 40.5% female) and by Ugwu GiMG (2012)<sup>[13]</sup> of Nigeria (54.3% male versus 45.7% female).

The ratio of males (590) and female (427) neonates was 1:0.7 almost similar to a study conducted by Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>[14]</sup>. In contrast to our findings Aijaz N, et al. (2012)<sup>[9]</sup> found that the females babies outnumbered their males counterpart with a ratio of 2:1.3.

The average age of gestation of neonates was 36.15 week which was similar to a study conducted by Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>[14]</sup> in which it was 35.6 ± 3.4 weeks.

In this study about two-third of the neonates were of full term (60.6%) gestation and one-third were preterm (38.5%) which was similar to another study conducted by Gauchan E, et al. (2011)<sup>[15]</sup> in which there were 67.5% term babies and 31.3% preterm babies. In contrast to our findings a study conducted by Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>[12]</sup> found that 42.8% neonates were preterm. Our findings are understandable because probably Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY) & Janani Shishi Sawasthaya Karyakram (JSSK) Scheme of National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) has enhanced the Ante-natal check up, hospital deliveries and neonatal care among the general population.

The average weight of the neonates on admission in our study was 2525.7 grams which was similar to a study conducted by Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>[14]</sup> in which it was 2420 ± 808 gm. The weight parameter analysis revealed that the number of neonates having weight Extremely Low Weight (<1000 grams), Very Low Weight (1000-1499 grams) and Low Weight (1500-2499 grams), Normal Weight (2500-4000 grams) and High Weight (>4000grams) was 0.4%, 6.7% and 32.9%, 58.3% and 1.7% respectively. The results of our study are comparable to a similar study done by Hussain S (2014)<sup>[16]</sup> which revealed that 2.25% were <1000 grams, 12.2% were between 1000-1499 gram, 39.35% were between 1500-2499 grams, 42.25% between 2500-4000 grams, and 3.95% were more than 4000gram. The weight parameter analysis by Rahim F, et al. (2007)<sup>[17]</sup> found that the number of babies with Extremely Low Birth Weight (ELBW), Very Low Birth Weight (VLBW) and Low Birth Weight (LBW) was 0.17% and 4.66% and 41.20% respectively.

Our study also revealed that most of the admitted neonates were delivered in health institutions (90%) and only small number were delivered at home (10%). The findings of our study are comparable the findings of Rahim F, et al. (2007)<sup>[17]</sup> and Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>[12]</sup> studies. Rahim F, et al. (2007)<sup>[17]</sup> at Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar found that 27.03% of the neonates were homes delivered whereas Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>[12]</sup> at Sir Ganga Ram Hospital Lahore found that only 3.9% were delivered at home. Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>[14]</sup> found that most of the babies were born in hospital (83%) whereas Jan AZ, et al. (2013)<sup>[18]</sup> found that 66% were delivered at hospital, 28% were delivered in home and 6% were delivered at other place. The findings of more number of health institution deliveries in our study are probably due to Janani Suraksha Yojana & Janani Shishu Sawasthaya Karyakram Scheme of National Rural Health Mission.

It was also found in our study that approximately two-third (71.8%) of the neonates belonged to joint family background whereas about one-third (28.22%) were of nuclear family background which is understandable in keeping with the traditional family system i.e. joint family system in Kashmir. It was also found that about two-third (68.3%) of the neonates were of rural background whereas about one-third (31.7%) were of urban background which is logical in keeping



with the geographical situation of SKIMS Hospital. This finding is understandable as the rural population outnumbers the urban population in the catchment area of the NICU of SKIMS Srinagar.

It is reasonable to accept that the disease pattern in neonates can change with time and geographical location. Reporting of neonatal disease pattern from time to time contributes to identify deficiencies and assists health planners and workers to pay their due attention. In our study it was observed that most common indication for admission was Neonatal Jaundice (26.7%) similar to other studies by Gauchan E, et al. (2011)<sup>115</sup> and Narayan R (2012)<sup>119</sup>. This may probably be due to location of the population at higher altitude in all these studies. In contrast to our study Respiratory Distress Syndrome was the most common cause of admission in Aijaz N, et al. (2012)<sup>91</sup> study. Prematurity was the most common cause of admission in Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>144</sup> and Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>121</sup> studies. Birth asphyxia was the most common cause of admission in Anjum ZM and Shamoan M (2009)<sup>100</sup>, Quddusi AI, et al. (2012)<sup>120</sup> and Tabassum S, et al. (2013)<sup>121</sup> studies.

In our study it was also revealed that Neonatal Jaundice (26.7%), Septicemia (19.1%), and Prematurity (12.5%), Birth Asphyxia (7%), Respiratory Distress Syndrome (5.7%) were the top five most common indications for admission to NICU which constitutes about three-fourth of the total admissions. The other indications were Hypertremic Dehydration (4.2%), Hypoglycemia (3.9%), Seizure disorder (3.2%), Transient Tachypnoea of Neonates (3.2%), Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (2.9%), Congenital Anomalies (2.6%), Pneumonia (2.4%), Polycythemia (1.9%), Meningitis (1.4%) and others.

The type of diseases in our study are similar to other studies conducted by Islam MN, et al. (2000)<sup>122</sup>, Parkash J and Das N(2005)<sup>123</sup>, Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>144</sup>, Anjum ZM and Shamoan M (2009)<sup>100</sup>, Elhassan M. Elhassan, et al. (2010)<sup>124</sup>, Hoque M, et al. (2011)<sup>125</sup>, Gauchan E, et al. (2011)<sup>115</sup>, Prasad V and Singh N (2011)<sup>126</sup>, Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>121</sup>, Narayan R (2012)<sup>119</sup>, Kumar MK, et al. (2012)<sup>111</sup>, Aijaz N, et al. (2012)<sup>91</sup>, Quddusi AI, et al. (2012)<sup>120</sup>, Jan AZ, et al. (2013)<sup>118</sup>, Rahim F, et al. (2007)<sup>117</sup>, Syed R Ali, et al. (2013)<sup>127</sup>, Tabassum S, et al. (2013)<sup>121</sup>, and Hussain S (2014)<sup>116</sup>.

However, the pattern of disease in our study was not similar to the above-mentioned studies, which is probably due to different racial stock and climatic conditions of Kashmir. The major causes of morbidity were prematurity (60.7%), LBW (48.2%), jaundice (23.3%), SPA (10.8%), TTN (10.8%), RDS (6.4%) and sepsis (6.4%) in Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>144</sup>. Major indications of admission were birth asphyxia (30%), Neonatal sepsis (30%) and prematurity along with Jaundice, pneumonia, meningitis, congenital heart disease, diarrhea and hemorrhagic disease of newborn in Anjum ZM and Shamoan M (2009)<sup>100</sup> study. The commonest indications for admission were neonatal jaundice (24.7%); sepsis (21.4%) and perinatal asphyxia (19.2%) in Gauchan E, et al. (2011)<sup>115</sup> study. In Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>121</sup> study the main causes of admission were prematurity (23.5%), sepsis (21.9%), birth asphyxia (18%), JNN (11.3%) respectively. The most common disease was sepsis (19.9%), followed by Respiratory distress Syndrome (RDS) (18.9%), birth asphyxia (17.02%), meconium aspiration syndrome (15.2%), neonatal jaundice (9.44%), pneumonias (3.46), hyaline membrane disease (3.4%), congenital malformations (2.8%) and miscellaneous (4.3%) in Aijaz N, et al. (2012)<sup>91</sup> study. In Quddusi AI, et al. (2012)<sup>120</sup> the causes of neonatal admission were Birth asphyxia (34.5%), Sepsis (28.3%), Preterm RDS (10.4%), Jaundice (6.60%), Pneumonia (4.7%), Prematurity (3.7%), Meconium aspiration syndrome (2.07%), Respiratory distress syndrome (1.2%), Pulmonary hypertension (1.29%), Congenital heart diseases (1.01%), Meningitis (0.84%), Tetanus neonatorum (0.7%), Necrotizing enterocolitis (0.61%), Hemorrhagic disease of newborn (0.5%), Tracheoesophageal fistula (0.42%) and Miscellaneous (3.16%). In a study by Tabassum S, et al. (2013)<sup>121</sup> Birth asphyxia (B.A) (47.69%), Preterm babies (18.90%), sepsis (17.44%), Tetanus (1.35%), Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (MAS) (3.15%) and premature babies (5.17%) were the most common causes of admission to NICU. In Syed R Ali, et al. (2013)<sup>127</sup> study Prematurity, infections, Birth asphyxia and NNJ were the main causes of admission to the neonatal unit, at 27.9%, 20.33%, 13%, and 11.3% respectively.

It is essential to know the outcome of the admissions for evaluating the effectiveness of care provided in a hospital setting. There is a great

variation in neonatal mortality statistics between NICUs from different parts of the world. This variation probably reflects the difference in the attending population, antenatal care, admission criteria, specific exclusion & inclusion criteria and level of neonatal care.

In our study it was observed that out of 1017 neonates admitted, 916 (90.07%) of the neonates were discharged, 99 (9.73%) died and 2 (0.2%) left against medical advice (LAMA). However, there were only 63 (6.19%) were institutional deaths.

The neonatal mortality (9.73%) in NICU of SKIMS Srinagar is similar to a study conducted by Sarkar S, et al (2010)<sup>128</sup> (9.7%), and Tariq P, and Kundi Z (1999)<sup>129</sup> (9%). Unlike observations in our study higher rates have been reported by Arafa MA, and Alshehri MA (2003)<sup>130</sup> (22.4%), Kasirye-Bainda E and Musoke FN (1992)<sup>131</sup> (24.6%), Agbere AD, et al (1998)<sup>132</sup> (27%), Aijaz N, et al. (2012)<sup>91</sup> (13.8%), Islam MN, et al. (2000)<sup>122</sup> (20.6%), Kumar MK, et al. (2012)<sup>111</sup> (13.6%), Hoque M, et al. (2011)<sup>125</sup> (13.8%), Rahim F, et al. (2007)<sup>117</sup> (14.87%) Parkash J and Das N(2005)<sup>123</sup> (25.5%), Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>121</sup> (30.9%) and Prasad V and Singh N (2011)<sup>126</sup> (18.69%). Low neonatal mortality rates were found by Sankaran K, et al (2002)<sup>133</sup> (4%) & Zullini MT, et al (1997)<sup>134</sup> (6%) respectively.

The type of diseases contributing of to neonatal mortality provides us an indication for the area of neglect and the need to take corrective measures in this regard. Prematurity (24%) followed by Septicemia (18%), Birth Asphyxia (11%), Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (10%) and Respiratory Distress Syndrome (9%) were the top five major contributors to the mortality in our study. The most common cause of neonatal mortality was prematurity in our study which is similar to studies conducted by Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>144</sup>, Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>121</sup>, Prasad V and Singh N (2011)<sup>126</sup>, Narayan R (2012)<sup>119</sup>, and Syed R Ali, et al. (2013)<sup>127</sup>.

Studies by Anjum ZM and Shamoan M (2009)<sup>100</sup> and Hoque M, et al. (2011)<sup>125</sup> have found that birth asphyxia is the most common cause of neonatal mortality.

The type of diseases contributing to mortality in our study are similar to type of diseases observed by other studies conducted at different places nationally and internationally by Nahar J, et al. (2007)<sup>144</sup>, Anjum ZM and Shamoan M (2009)<sup>100</sup>, Prasad V and Singh N (2011)<sup>126</sup>, Hoque M, et al. (2011)<sup>125</sup>, Seyal T, et al. (2011)<sup>121</sup>, Narayan R (2012)<sup>119</sup>, Syed R Ali, et al. (2013)<sup>127</sup>.

The contribution of Prematurity, Septicemia, Congenital Heart Disease, Birth Asphyxia, Meconium Aspiration Syndrome, and Respiratory Distress Syndrome to mortality was not similar to the above mentioned studies which is probably due to different racial stock and climatic conditions of Kashmir.

#### Limitations of study

- i) Hospital based study and does not represent community data
- ii) We were unable to diagnose inborn errors of metabolism due to lack of diagnostic facilities

#### CONCLUSION

This study showed that Neonatal Jaundice (NNJ) (26.7%) was the most common cause of morbidity and admission to NICU followed by Septicemia (19.1%) Prematurity (12.5%), Birth Asphyxia (7%) and Respiratory Distress Syndrome (RDS) (5.7%). The neonatal mortality was 9.73%. Prematurity (24.2%) followed by Septicemia (18.2%), Birth Asphyxia (11.1%), Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (10.1%) and Respiratory Distress Syndrome (9.1%) were the top five major contributors to the neonatal mortality.

#### REFERENCES

1. Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J. Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team; 4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why? *Lancet* 2005; 365:891–900.
2. National Neonatology Forum. Washington (D. C.): National Neonatology Forum and Save the Children US; 2004. The state of India's newborns. ([www.savethechildren.org/publications/india\\_pdf/SOIN\\_Document.pdf.pdf](http://www.savethechildren.org/publications/india_pdf/SOIN_Document.pdf.pdf) Accessed 20 October 2012.)
3. United Nations Children's Fund: Toolkit for setting up of special care newborn units, stabilization units and newborn corners. New Delhi, India. 2008; p. 9. ([www.unicef.org/india/SCNU\\_book1\\_April\\_6.pdf](http://www.unicef.org/india/SCNU_book1_April_6.pdf), accessed on 20 August 2012).
4. Jain S, Bhakoo ON, Singh M. Neonatal Monitoring, Recommendations and Proceedings of the Seminar 1990. Indore: Chatham Hospital & Research Centre; 1990, pp 6-17.
5. Baines, M.A. (1862). Excessive Infant-Mortality: How Can It Be Stayed? ([www.neonatology.org/classics/baines.html](http://www.neonatology.org/classics/baines.html) Retrieved October 26, 2012.)
6. Blackman JA. Neonatal intensive care. Is it worth it? *Ped Clin North Am* 1991; 38:1497-1511.

7. Narang A, Kiran PS, and Kumar P. Cost of Neonatal Intensive Care in a Tertiary Care Center. *Indian Pediatrics* 2005; 42: 989-997.
8. Priuja S, Manchanda N, Mohan P, Gupta G, Sethy G, Sen A, Hombergh H, and Kumar R. Cost of Neonatal Intensive Care Delivered through District Level Public Hospitals in India. *Indian Pediatr* 2013; 50: 839-846.
9. Aijaz, N., N. Huda and S. Kausar. Disease Burden of NICU, at a Tertiary Care Hospital, Karachi. *J. Dow Univ. Health Sci. Karachi* 2012; 6: 32-35.
10. Anjum ZM, Shamoon M. Pattern of Neonatal unit of Allied Hospital Faisalabad Pakistan. *Annals Punjab Med Col* 2009; 3: 129-31.
11. Mani Kant Kumar, Sachida Nand Thakur, Brish Bhanu Singh. Study of the Morbidity and the Mortality Patterns in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research* 2012; 6(2): 282-285.
12. Seyal T, Husnain F, Anwar A. Audit of Neonatal Morbidity and Mortality at Neonatal unit of Sir Gangaram Hospital Lahore. *Annals King Edward Med Coll* 2011; 1: 9-13.
13. G I McGill Ugwu. Pattern of morbidity and mortality in the newborn special care unit in a tertiary institution in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria: A two year prospective study. *Global Advanced Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 2012; 1(6):133-138.
14. Nahar J, Zabeen B, Akhter S, Azad K, Nahar N. Neonatal morbidity and mortality pattern in the special care baby unit of Birdem. *Ibrahim Med. Coll. J.* 2007; 1(2): 1-4.
15. Gauchan E, Basnet S, Koirala D P, Rao K S. Clinical profile and outcome of babies admitted to Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Journal of Institute of Medicine* 2011 August; 33(2):1-8
16. Hussain S. Neonatal Morbidity and Mortality Pattern in a Tertiary Care Neonatal Unit of a Teaching Hospital. *Ann. Pak. Inst. Med. Sci.* 2014; 10(1):7-11.
17. Rahim F, Mohammad AJ, Iqbal H. Patterns and outcome of admissions to neonatal unit of Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar. *Pak J Med Sci* 2007; 23: 249-53.
18. Jan AZ, Ahmad S, Zahid SB. Clinical audit of admission pattern and its outcome in a Neonatal ICU. *Gomal J Med Sci* 2013; 11: 31-6.
19. Narayan R. A study of pattern of admission and outcome in a neonatal intensive care unit at high altitude. *Sri Lanka Journal of Child Health* 2012; 41: 79-81.
20. Qudusti IA, Razaq A, Hussain S, Hussain A. Pattern of Neonatal Admission at the Children's Hospital and the Institute of Child Health, Multan. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2012; 24(2): 108-110.
21. Sana Tabassum, Muhammad Amin, Muhammad Akram and Muhammad Aman Ullah. Prevalence of Neonatal Diseases in Multan Region, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 2013; 12 (6): 544-548.
22. Islam MN. Situation of neonatal health in Bangladesh. *The Orion Medical Journal* 2000; 6:3-6.
23. Parkash J, Das N. Pattern of admission to neonatal unit. *J Coll Physician Surg Pak* 2005; 15:341-44.
24. Elhassan M. Elhassan, Ahmed A. Hassanb, Omer A. Mirghani, Ishag Adam. Morbidity and Mortality Pattern of Neonates Admitted into Nursery Unit in Wad Medani Hospital, Sudan. *Sudan JMS* 2010; 5(1):13-15
25. Hoque M, Haq S, Islam R. Causes of neonatal admissions and deaths at a rural hospital in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *South Afri J Epidemiol Infect* 2011; 26 (1):26-9.
26. Prasad V and Nutan Singh N. Causes of morbidity and mortality in neonates admitted in Government Medical College Haldwani in Kumaon Region (Uttarakhand) India. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences* 2011; 8(8): 1-4.
27. Syed R. Ali, Shakeel Ahmed and Heeramani Lohana. Disease Patterns and Outcomes of Neonatal Admissions at a Secondary Care Hospital in Pakistan. *Sultan Qaboos University Med J*, August 2013; 13 (3): 424-428.
28. Sarkar S, Sarkar D, Longia S, Sibi D. NICU Outcome in a Low Resource Teaching Hospital Setting. *Pediatric Oncall*. [serial online] 2010 [cited 2010 July 1]; 7. Art # 38. Available from [http://www.pediatriconcall.com/fordocor/Medical\\_original\\_articles/nicu\\_outcome.asp](http://www.pediatriconcall.com/fordocor/Medical_original_articles/nicu_outcome.asp)
29. Tariq P, Kundi Z. Determinants of neonatal mortality. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 1999; 49: 56-60.
30. Arafat MA, Alshehri MA. Predictors of neonatal mortality in the intensive care unit in Abha, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2003; 24: 1374-1376
31. Kasirye-Bainda E, Musoke FN. Neonatal morbidity and mortality at Kenyatta National Hospital newborn unit. *East Afr Med J.* 1992; 69: 360-365.
32. Agbere AD, Baeta S, Balaka B, Douti Y, Atakouma DY, Kessie K, Assimadi K. Neonatal mortality in the Tangio pediatric hospital, Dapaong (north Togo) in 1984-1985 and 1994-1995. *Bull Soc Pathol Exot.* 1998; 91: 315-317.
33. Sankaran K, Chien LY, Walker R, Seshia M, Ohlsson A; Canadian Neonatal Network. Variations in mortality rates among Canadian neonatal intensive care units. *CMAJ.* 2002; 166: 173-178.
34. Zullini MT, Bonati M, Sanvito E, Paulista Collaborative Group on Neonatal Care. Survival at nine neonatal intensive care units in Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Rev Panam Salud Publica.* 1997; 2: 303-309.