

### **INTRODUCTION:**

Rhinosporidium Seeberi has worldwide distribution being more prevalent in Southern India, Srilanka and South East Asia, although cases have been reported in South America, Africa and United States.[1,2] It generally presents as swollen, pink or red polyps in the nasal cavity or the ocular conjunctiva. Treatment is mainly surgical combined with medical therapy.

### **MATERIALAND METHODS:**

This is a prospective study for a period from April 2017 to December 2018 conducted in the department of Otorhinolaryngology (ENT) of Gitam Institute of Medical Sciences and Research (GIMSR). The clinical data with respect to clinical presentation, age, sex, habitat, type of water used for bathing were recorded. 12 cases with diagnosis of Rhinosporidiosis were analyzed and results are documented.

#### **RESULTS:**

The commonest clinical presentation was nasal obstruction with headache 5/12(41.66%) followed by nasal obstruction, headache with bleeding in 6/12(50%) of cases. Lesions were more confined on the left side of the nose 8/12(66.66%). Duration of lesion was  $\leq 4$  months in 9/12 (75%) of cases and  $\geq 4$ months in 3/12(25%) with one cases presenting with destruction of the bone. On clinical examination 7/12(58.33%) presented as polyp, 5/12(41.66%) as fungating lesion7/12(58.33%). The size varied from 2 to 3cm and all of them were negative for HIV. Lesions were common in the age group 11 to 30 years 9/12(75%) and their profession was 6/12(50%) were students and 6/12(50%) were daily wage labor. Male: Female ratio was 2:1.Majority of the cases was from tribal area 7/12(58.33%), semi-urban 5/12(41.66%) who used both well and pond water 10/12(83.33%). (Table 2)

### **DISCUSSION:**

Rhinosporidiosis was first identified in 1892. In 1900 Guillermo Seeber of Argentina described the lesion in a 19 years old farm worker who had impaired breathing due to a nasal mass. The organism causing rhinosporidiosis was initially termed as "Coccidium seeberi" a protozoan by Wernicke. Ashworth in 1923 felt that it was a fungus as its life cycle was similar to a fungus and renamed it as Rhinosporidium Seeberi.[3] The taxonomic classification of R. Seeberi for a long time was controversial. Recent genetic analysis of the 18 SrRNA gene in R. Seeberi suggests that it belongs to DRIPs clade (Dermocystidium, rosette agent, Ichthyophomis and P. Sorospermium) an aquatic protistan parasite about which relatively little has been discovered.[4,5] There is no known vector for Rhinosporidium. The natural host is not certain, although the recent classification of R. Seeberi as an aquatic protistan parasite, as well as the similarity of the organism to member of a related genes that infects salmon fish, suggest that it naturally resides in some type of fish.[5] The disease is known to occur in several animals such as horses, dogs, cat, mules and wild ducks.[6] Nasal infection generally occurs after swimming or bathing in stagnant fresh water ponds, lakes or rivers that contain the organism

and generally more prevalent during the winter. Eye infection believed to occur from dust or air and occur primarily during summer months. The nose and the nasopharynx are the common sites of infection, occurring in about 70% of cases. Infection of eye is seen in roughly 10% of cases. Infection of the skin, ears, genitals and rectum has been observed with the development of wart like lesions in these areas, although these sites are rarely affected.[7] Chandrashekharayya SH et al[8] analyzed 17 cases of rhinosporidiosis who commonly presented with nasal obstruction, epistaxsis and nasal mass. Duration of symptoms varied from 6 months to 10 years. Age of patients varied from 16 years to 52 years with male preponderance. The source of infection was pond water. In the present study the commonest clinical presentation was nasal obstruction with headache (41.66%) and unilateral lesions on left side of nose (66.66%). Duration of lesion varied from 2months to one year. Lesions were common in the age group 11 to 30 years (75%) with male preponderance2:1. Majority of the cases was from tribal area (58.33%) using both well and pond water (83.33%).

## **CONCLUSION:**

Rhinosporiodosis is most easily and definitively diagnosed via microscopic observation. Antimicrobial treatments have proven to be ineffective; the only treatment that is known clinically successful against rhinosporidiosis is surgical excision. Education of population residing near stagnant water that is at risk of infection should be undertaken to prevent the spread of the disease. Public projects which result in creation of standing water should be checked for R. Seeberi contamination and also fresh water fish. Identification of infection in a large scale should evoke effective preventive measures.

# Table1 Clinical features (12cases)

	· /	
1.Symptoms	No of cases(N=12)	%
Nasal block(NB)	11/12	91.6
NB with bleeding(B)	6/12	50%
NB with headache(HA)	5/12	41.66%
2.NB with B&HA		
3.Duration	No of cases(N=12)	%
$\leq$ 4 months	9/12	75%
$\geq$ 4 months	3/12	25%
4.Side	No of cases(N=12)	%
Left	8/12	66.66%
Right	4/12	33.33%
5.Presentation		
Polyp	5/12	41.6%
Fungating mass	7/12	58.33%

## Table 2: Demographic parameters in rhinosporidiosis(12 cases)

1.Age distribution	Number of Cases(12)	%
1-15yrs	1	8.33%
16-30yrs	9	70%
31-45yrs	2	16.6%

46

2.Sex distribution		
Male	8	66.66%
Female	4	33.33%
Ratio=2:1		
3.Habitat		
Tribal	7	58.33%
Rural	4	33.33%
Semi-urban	1	8.33%
4.Profession		
Students	2	16.6%
Daily wage Labor	10	83.33%
5.Water source		
Well & Pond	7	58.33%
Pond	5	41.66%

# Histopathology



Fig. 1: Section showing squamous epithelium with multiple sporangia (H&E, 200X)



Fig. 2: Section showing pseudo stratified columnar epithelium with multiple sporangia (H&E, 200X)



Fig. 3: Section showing sporangia with spores surrounded by chronic inflammatory cells (H&E, 400X)



Fig. 4: Section showing sporangia with spores surrounded by chronic inflammatory cells (PAS stain 400X)

### **REFERENCES:**

- Mohan H, Chander J, Dhir R, Singhal U. Rhinosporidiosis in India: a case report and review of literature. Mycoses. May-Jun 1995; 38(5-6): 223-5. 1.
- Capoor MR, Khanna G, Rajni, Barra K, Nair D, Venkatchalam VP, et al. Rhinosporidiosis in Delhi, north India: case series from a non-endemic area and mini-review. Mycopathologia. Aug 2009; 168(2): 89-94.

# Volume-9 | Issue-2 | February-2019 | PRINT ISSN - 2249-555X

3.

- Ashworth JH. On Rhinosporidium seeberi (Wernicke 1903) with special reference to its sporulation and affinities. Trans R Soc Edinburgh. 1923; 53: 301-342. Herr RA, Ajello L, Taylor JW, Arseculeratne SN, Mendoza L. Phylogenetic analysis of Rhinosporidium seeberi's 18S small-subunit ribosomal DNA groups this pathogen among members of the protoctistan Mesomycetozoa clade. J Clin Microbiol. 1999 Sep; 37(9): 2750-4. 4.
- 5. Fredricks DN, Jolley JA, Lepp PW, Kosek JC, Relman DA. Rhinosporidium seeberi: A human pathogen from a novel group of aquatic protistan parasites. Emerg Infect Dis. May-Jun 2000; 6(3): 273-82.
- Arora Ritu et al. "Oculosporidiosis in Northern India."Indian Pediatrics. May 2001.38(5):540-3. 6.
- Das S, Kashyap B, Barua M, Gupta N, Saha R, Vaid L. Nasal rhinosporidiosis in humans: new interpretations and a review of the literature of this enigmatic disease. Med 7. Mycol. Apr 2011; 49(3): 311-5.
- Mycol. Api 2011, 49(5), 511-5. Chandrashekharayya S. H. S. S Suligavi Sachin Chougule, S. S Doddamani. Nasal Rhinosporiodosis Revisited. Clinical Rhinology: An International Journal.2010; 3(2): 8. 73-75

47