Bal OF APPIN	Medicine
	" DIABETES AWARENESS IN PATIENTS IN AND AROUND REWA MADHYA PRADESH"
Dr Pradeep Nigam	Associate Professor, Medicine SSMC & SGMH Rewa
Dr Beerbhan Singh*	Assistant Professor, Medicine SSMC & SGMH Rewa *Corresponding Author
Dr Santosh Patel	Rmo Medicine, SSMC & SGMH Rewa
decrease, and insulin secretion varies widely among individua diabetes do not become evident i Type 2 diabetes mellitus is the r not a single disease process but i of hyperglycemia. Many factors reflects the heterogeneous gene major metabolic abnormalities urban areas while it is 3-9% in ru retinopathy and nephropathy.6 In India, Thirty five million peo people the economic impact of patient's knowledge of the self-of first step for the primary and sec accordingly.7-8 Aims and Objectives: To study reiterate pointers to early diagr patient. Material and Methods: The pri Rewa from April 2017 to March excluded.	rs appear after the triggering event but before diabetes become clinically overt. Beta cell mass then begins to progressively declines, although normal glucose tolerance is maintained. The rate of decline in beta cell mass als, with some patients progressing rapidly to clinical diabetes and others evolving more slowly. Features or until a majority of beta cells are destroyed (70–80%). most common form of diabetes and is the major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Type 2 diabetes is instead represents a heterogeneous constellation of disease syndromes, all leading to the final common pathways, alone or in combination, can cause hyperglycemia; thus, the complexity of the pathogenesis of type 2 diabete etic, pathologic, environmental, and metabolic abnormalities that can exist in different patients. Above three coexist in type 2 diabetes, 2-5 each contributing to the hyperglycemic state. The prevalence of T2DM is 11% in ural areas1. There is also a corresponding increasing in the diabetic related complication for example neuropathy ople have diabetes—a number expected to more than double by 2025, disproportionately affecting working agore the key to achieve therapeutic goals in ambulatory care. Being aware of various aspects of the disease is the condary prevention. If the health care providers are aware in community they can plan their preventive measure of various aspects of the disease is the nosis, and therefore decrease morbidity associated with diabetes and factors affecting the awareness level in the solit associated S.G.M. Hospital h 2018. Patients with known signs and symptoms of Diabetes Mellitus.

The patients were there after subjected to complete clinical examination and laboratory investigations and Questionnaire based on awareness of knowledge, self care and complications of Diabetes Mellitus and Data collected were analyzed by appropriate statistical methods. The questionnaire included demographic variable like age, sex, marital status, education etc. Questions on awareness regarding DM were set under three headings namely knowledge of DM, self care practices and knowledge regarding complications of DM. The questionnaire was translated in Hindi language. The time taken by each patient to complete the questionnaire ranged from 10-15 minutes. The

responses were in the paper format of "Yes", "No", "Don't know". These were analyzed as correct, don't know, wrong and were scored as 2, 1, 0 points respectively. Scores were calculated separately for knowledge, self care practices and complications and were also compared with demographic variables.

Our questionnaire was focused on three broad headings,

Knowledge of DM", "Self Care practice" and "Knowledge regarding Complications of DM". (table no. 01)

Table - 01 Questionnaire and descriptive response to selected questions

S.No.	Question		Patient's Responses		
		Yes No. %	No	Don't Know	
			No. %	No.%	
	Knowledge of diabetes				
	Is the diabetes mellitus life style related disease?		13(2.6%)	267(53.41%)	
2	Is it important to do both fasting as well as post prandial blood sugar level for diagnosis and monitoring?	348(69.6%)	11(2.2%)	141(28.2%)	
	Apart from blood sugar level are you aware about HbA1c?	57(11.4%)	14(2.8%)	429(85.8%)	
	Do you have to take medicine for diabetes whole life?	121(24.2%)	11(2.2%)	368(73.6%)	
5	Do you know about insulin?	299(59.8%)	4(.8%)	197 (39.4%)	
	Self care practice				
5	Are you aware of self-monitoring of blood glucose in diabetes?	193(38.6%)	12(2.4%)	295(59%)	
7	It is necessary to consume high fiber diet in DM care?	379(75.8%)	16(3.2%)	105(21%)	
8	Is foot care necessary in DM?		11(2.2%)	107(21.4%)	

9	Should the feet clean with warm water daily?	263(52.6%)	13(2.6%)	224(44.8%)
10	Can you prevent DM by exercise?	307(61.4%)	4(0.8%)	189(37.8%)
	Knowledge Regarding complication of DM			
11	Unmanaged DM can cause eye problems or even blindness?	318(63.6%)	17(3.4%)	165(33%)
12	Uncontrolled DM can affect your kidneys?	287(57.4%)	4(0.8%)	209(41.8%)
13	Uncontrolled DM can cause stroke?	231(46.2%)	9(1.8%)	260(52%)
14	Uncontrolled DM can cause Ischemic heart disease	243(48.6%)	8(1.86%)	249(49.8%)
15	Is sex desire reduce due to DM?	279(55.8%)	14(2.8%)	207(41.4%)

Statistical Analysis:

Descriptive and inferential statistics like mean, median, standard deviation, paired' test, correlation, coefficient and chi-square will be used for data analysis and presented in the form of tables, graphs and diagrams. SPSS 16 version was used. Independent t test and one way ANOVA were applied at 5% level of significance.

younger age groups, urban population and with low literacy and also in patients with past history of hypertension and tobacco addiction. Among 500 patients, highest number of diabetic patient 186 (37.2%) were in 46-60 years of age group followed by 181 (36.2%) in >60 year age group, 111 (22.2%) in 30-45 year Age group and the least 22 (4.4%) in less than 30 years age group. 306 (61.2%) patients were 61.2% male and 194 (38.8%) patients were female. 301(60.2%) and 199 (39.8%) patients belong to rural and urban residency respectively. [TABLE-02]

Results:

In present study we found the prevalence of diabetes was more among [TABLE-02] Table No. 02 Comparison of awareness score in patient with Demographic variable

S. No.	Characteristics	Category	Frequency (%)	Mean Score ±SD		
	Age (Years)	<30 yrs.	22(4.4%)	21.45±4.35		
		30-45 yrs.	111 (22.2%)	22.98±3.70		
		46-60 yrs.	186(37.2%)	22.77±3.04		
		>60 yrs.	181 (36.0%)	22.14±2.95		
		F = 2.28 P value = 0.078699 (One way ANOVA)*				
	Sex	Male	306 (61.2%)	22.64±3.23		
		Female	194 (38.8%)	22.32±3.26		
		$t = 1.0423 \text{ p} = 0.148 \text{A}(\text{Independent t test})^*$				
3	Marital Status	Unmarried	12(2.4%)	20.5±4.73		
		Married	483 (96.6%)	22.56±3.19		
		Widow	5(1%)	23±3.93		
		F = 2.43, p = 0.088(One w	ay ANOVA)*			
	Occupation	Unemployed	175 (35.0%)	20.4±4.73		
	_	Employed	171(34.2%)	22.03±2.96		
		Retired	03(.6%)	18.33±2.08		
		Farmer	151(30.2%)	21.08±2.98		
		F= 11.2009, p = 0.000018(One way ANOVA) T			
	Education	Illiterate	113(22.6%)	21.27±2.72		
		Literate	387(77.4%)	23.25±3.30		
		Primary School	165(33%)	22.11±2.61		
		Secondary	144(28.8%)	22.35±3.15		
		Graduate	73(14.6%)	23.54±3.36		
		Postgraduate	5 (1.0)	25±4.94		
		t=6.805, p value < 0.00001 (Independent t test) Ŧ				
	Family History of DM	Yes	219 (43.8%)	23±2.71		
		No	281 (56.2%)	22.6±3.21		
		t=2.826 P value 0 .00245 (Independent t test)Ŧ				
	Body Mass Index (BMI)	Underweight (<18.5)	28(5.6%)	24.0 ±2.35		
		Normal (18.6-23)	211(42.2%)	21.2±3.6		
		Pre-obesity (23.1-27.5)	216(43.2%)	23.3±3.68		
		Obesity (>27.5)	45(9.0%)	23.35±3.35		
		F value 0.51774, P value 0.670(One way ANOVA)*				
	Duration since diagnosis	Up to 1 yr.	120 (24.0%)	22.10±3.68		
		1 yr. to 5 yrs.	244(48.8%)	23.45±3.83		
		6 yrs. to 10 yrs.	92 (18.4%)	23.75±4.60		
		>10 yrs.	44(8.8%)	24.5±3.67		
		F = 4.01198, p value 0.007(One way ANOVA) F				
9	Urban / Rural	Urban	301(60.2%)	22.13±3.14		
		Rural	199 (39.8%)	23.10±3.30		
		t = 3.31062, p value 0.000499(Independent t test) T				
)	Family H/O Hypertension	Positive	369 (73.8%)	22±3.31		
-		Negative	131 (26.2%)	22.15±3.01		
		t=2.166,p value 0.0153(Inc	< , ,	22110-0101		

Among 500 patients 387 (77.4%) patients were literate and 113 (22.6%) patients were illiterate. The most patients were educated up to primary education 165 (33%) followed by 144 (28.8%), 73 (14.6%), 5 (1.0%) to secondary education, graduate and post graduate respectively. 289 (57.8%) patients have abnormal BMI and 9% patients had obesity. Also 5.6% patients were underweight.

The majority of patients 244 (48.8%) had diabetes for 1-5 years, followed by 120 (24.0%) were up to 1 year, 92 (18.4%) were between 6 to 10 yrs. 44 (8.8%) patients were more than 10 years duration.

Most of patients 218 (43.60) presented with Polydipsia / polyuria followed by 82 (16.40%) with Increased appetite, Tingling numbness [65(13.00%)], Weakness [60 (12.0%)], weight loss were [49 (9.80%)] and Burning Maturation [26 patients (5.2%)].

Majority of patients do not know about diabetes (267) 56.08% and followed by "Yes" (220) 41.8% and "No" (13) 2.12%.". the majority of patients had "Knowledge regarding Complications of DM" 54.32% followed by "Don't know" 43.68% and "No" 2.08%." the patients were aware of self care practices in diabetes and responded to questionnaire as "yes", "do not know" and "no" respectively 60.96%, 36.8% 2.24% [TABLE–03]

Table No. 03

S.No.	Question	Patient's Responses		
		Yes %	No %	Don't Know %
1	Knowledge of DM	41.8%	2.12%	56.08%
2	Self-Care practice	60.96%	2.24%	36.8%
3	Knowledge regarding Complications of D M		2.08%	43.68%

Majority of patient's awareness score with respect to "Knowledge of DM" was "Good" (74.6%) followed by "Fair" (24.4%) and "Poor" (1.0%).". Majority of patient's awareness score with respect to "Knowledge of self-care practice" was "Good" (80.8%) followed by "Fair" (18.8%) and "Poor" (0.4%)". Majority of patient's awareness score with respect to "Knowledge of complication" was "Good" (73.4%) followed by "Fair" (26.4%) and "Poor" (0.2%)" [TABLE–04]

Table No. 04 Distribution of respondents of according to awareness score

	Category	Patients	Family member
		No % n=500	No % n=500
Knowledge of Diabetes	Good (7-10)	373(74.6%)	318 (63.6%)
among the group	Fair (4-6)	122 (24.4%)	172 (34.4%)
	Poor (0-3)	5 (1.0%)	10 (2.0%)
Knowledge of self-care	Good (7-10)	404 (80.8%)	392 (78.4%)
practice for diabetes	Fair (4-6)	94 (18.8%)	108 (21.6%)
among the group	Poor (0-3)	2 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Knowledge of	Good (7-10)	367 (73.4%)	388 (77.6%)
Complications	Fair (4-6)	132 (26.4%)	112 (22.4%)
	Poor (0-3)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)

Discussion:

78

In present study of 500 diabetic cases had mean age of 53.98 ± 14.46 yrs. Our results were comparable with **Patel et al**⁹, who carried out study on 622 newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients & reported the mean age of 47.7 ± 10.9 years. **Abdollahiet al**¹⁰ reported Mean age of 48.8 ± 11.8 years in their study.

In our study the predominant patients were male with male to female ratio of 1.6 :1. These observations were comparable with studies carried by **Dutta et al**¹¹ where Male to Female ratio was 1.5:1. **Patel et al**² study reported prevalence of DM was 62% in males and 38% in females. Another study by **Thakkar et al**¹² reported prevalence of DM was 58% in males and 42% females. Similarly **Santoshet al**¹³ reported prevalence 58.23% and 41.76% in male and female respectively. All these studies show more prevalence of diabetes among males than in females similar to our study.

The predominant patients were from urban area compaired to rural area. This could be due to easier access to hospital for urban population, lower literacy amd low socioeconomic condition. These findings were comparable with **Ramachandranet al**¹⁴who reported

that age-standardized prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) in urban India in 2000 was 12.1% and 14.0%, respectively. Again **Ramachandranet al**¹⁵ reported prevalence of 18.6% in urban and 9.2% in rural population.

In accordance to our study, **Valdes et al**¹⁶, **Maty et al**¹⁷ found that low education is significant predictor of type 2 diabetes mellitus. In a cross sectional study of **Tang et al**¹⁸ people with less than high school diploma were almost twice as likely to report having diabetes as those with a bachelor degree or more.

Our study we found around 43% had positive family history of DM which was comparable to **Abdollahiet al**¹⁶ finding on 152 newly detected diabetic patients who reported 41.4% positive family history. **Ranganayaet al**¹⁹ reported that positive family history in 1/3 of newly detected diabetics. **Krahulecet al**²⁰ found 44.7% of newly detected diabetics had first degree relative with diabetes.

The observed average BMI was 23.27 ± 3.45 kg/m² in present study was comparable to average BMI of 30 ± 5.34 kg/m² in **Metriveliet al**²¹study. In studies done by **Mishra et al**²² and **Wang et al**²³average BMI were 22.75\pm4.03 kg/m² and 25 kg/m² respectively.

Awareness was more than 52 % in all three aspects that have been measured in patients. Similarly, **Mehta et al**²⁴ observed that majority of the subjects (82.1%) had knowledge about the disease. In our study, awareness regarding fasting and post prandial blood sugar, high fiber diet, foot care and ophthalmic complications was observed to be high in patients. **Chandalia et al**²⁵ conducted a case control study, where it was observed that, diabetics had more awareness about footwear, self-care (foot care) and knowledge of symptoms relating to diabetic foot than the non-diabetic controls though even in the diabetics the total average score was 57% indicating that there was scope for improving knowledge about prevention of diabetic foot disease.

In our study 54.32% patients were aware regarding renal, cardiac, ophthalmological and cerebral complications of Diabetes Mellitus. In the study conducted by **Mehta et al**²⁴ 42.9% were familiar with complications of diabetes. In a study conducted by **Mohan et al**²⁶ regarding awareness and knowledge of Diabetes in Chennai, only 19.0% of whole population knew that diabetes could cause complications. Among those aware of complications, foot problems (23.0%) and kidney disease (17.4%) were the most commonly reported complications. However **Munninarayanet al**²⁷ observed that 74.2% were aware that diabetes could produce some complications.

In our study 88.6 % of respondents were not aware of HbAlc. Similarly, in a study conducted by **Gulabaniet al**²⁸ 94.1% patients didnot know about glycosylated hemoglobin (HbAlc).

Females and unemployed individuals have lower awareness in our study. Similarly, **Gulabaniet al**²⁸observed that the mean score in men was 2.84 points higher than that in women and the difference was found to be statistically significant. However, in study conducted in Nigeria it is observed that female patients are more knowledgeable.

Self-employed status, higher education, family history of DM and long duration of sickness had positive effect on the scores. Similarly **Adibe MO**²⁹ observed that patients who were attending or stopped at secondary school and patients who had lived many years with diabetes (>10 years) were more likely to be knowledgeable. Similar association with education and duration of disease was also observed in other studies.

Age, marital status and BMI had no effect on the scores. However Adibe MO observed that younger patients were more knowledgeable. Awareness level about management of Diabetes by medication and life style measures was observed to be relatively good, though knowledge about complications of Diabetes Mellitus were observed to be quite poor. **Deepa M et al**³⁰ in Chennai observed that even among selfreported diabetic subjects, knowledge about Diabetes including awareness of complications of Diabetes was poor (40.6%).

Self-care and practice related to Diabetes awareness among Diabetics found to be 60.96. Self-care and practices related to diabetes prevention among diabetics revealed that out of 95 diabetics, only 69.5% of diabetic subjects were using medication regularly. 68.4 were using regular footwear, 61.1 % have their blood Glucose monitored

regularly, 55.8% made specific dietary changes, 34.7% consulted the physician regularly and 27.4% of diabetic subjects were doing regular exercise. Only 2.1% diabetic subjects had stopped, smoking alcohol intake and only 1.1% of diabetic subjects were making efforts to control weight. Studies by Kauret al³¹ in Chandigarh observed that 63.3% of diabetic patients were poor in practicing foot care through regular washing & monitoring of blood sugar was infrequent (46.7%). In our study 54.32% patients were aware of knowledge regarding complication of Diabetic Mellitus. Uncontrolled diabetes can affect Eye problem, kidney, heart, CNS. A study conducted by **Deepa M**, et al^{3^2} Knowledge of organs affected by diabetes in the four regions.(Jharkhand, Maharashtra, Tamilnadu and Chandigarh). Among the general population who answered in the affirmative for the question "Do you think diabetes can affect? (n=2946), the most common organs reported were the feet(54.0%), eyes(52.3%), kidneys (36.3%), heart(33.6%) and nerves (18.7%). Other reported complications included lung problems (19.6%), brain diseases (26.6%) and stomach disorders (16.9%). Among other subjects, the knowledge of Diabetic complications was comparatively better (eyes-73.5%, feet-61.3%, kidneys-47.9%, heart-45.1% and nerve problems-26.8%). It is disturbing that even among subjects with diabetes; this basic knowledge was still so poor.

In rural area the awareness about knowledge of diabetic mellitus was lower than in Urban areas more so in female similar to study result of Deepa M et al³².

Also Deepa M et al³² in their study reported lower awareness of diabetes in illiterates as in our study compared to individuals with primary education and above (literates)

Conclusion:

Implementation of universal screening and primary prevention programs to detect diabetes at an earlier stage before development of complications. Diabetes is another popular example where prevention is bound to be better than cure. We need to reach out to individuals who are not diagnosed from community. In the study awareness is not even in all aspects of the disease we need to develop a comprehensive health education programme where knowledge, self-care and complication of the disease are covered. The study reflects need for increasing diabetes awareness activities in the form of mass campaigns in both urban and rural areas. Media and Non-Government Organization's should come forward to take up this daunting task of removing misbelieves, ignorance and instituting diabetes preventive measures in the community as per determinants the region. As it is impractical to screen an entire population, we can aim to screen 'risk groups' such as those with a high BMI or positive family history. This study shows a higher prevalence amongst these 'risk groups'. Study also reported that prevalence diabetes was more in younger age groups, urban areas, low educational status, past history of hypertension and addiction of tobacco so screening should be focused over these areas. Promotion of lifestyle modification will be the best primordial preventive measure which, in the long term, will considerably reduce the disease burden. There should be implementation of universal screening and primary prevention programs to detect diabetes at an earlier stage before development of complications.

Conflict of interest: Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- Standards of medical care in diabetes-2012 American Diabetes Association. Diabetes 1. Care. 2012;35 (Suppl 1):S11-63.
- 2. Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus: Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care.1997; 20 (2): 1183-97.
- Diabetes Care. 1997; 20 (2): 1183-97. Harris MI, Flegal KM, Cowie CC, Eberhardt MS, Goldstein DE, Little RR, Weidmeyer HM, Byrd-Holt DD: Prevalence of diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, and impaired glucose tolerance in U.S. adults. Diabetes Care. 1998;21 (1):518-24. Eriksson J, Franssila-Kallunki A, Ekstrand A, Saloranta C, Widén E, Schalin C, Groop L. Early metabolic defects in persons at increased risk for non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. New England Journal of Medicine. 1989 Aug 10;321(6):337-43. Leahy JL: Natural history of -cell dysfunction in NIDDM. Diabetes 3
- 4
- 5. Care. 1990:13(2):992-1010.
- Update 2015 IDF. International Diabetes Federation.21 March 2016 P. 13 Retrieved. 6.
- 8
- Update 2015 IDF, International Diabetes Federation.21 March 2016 P. 13 Retrieved. Rosenfeld L. Insulin: discovery and controversy. ClinChem 2002;48(12):2270-88. Adeghate E, Schattner P, Dunn E. An update on the etiology and epidemiology of diabetes mellitus. Annals of New York AcadSci 2006,1084:1–29. Patel M, Patel IM, Patel YM, Rathi SK. A hospital based observational study of type 2 diabetic subjects from Gujarat India. Journal of Health Population and Nutrition.2011;29(3):p265. Abdollahi A, Malekmadani M, Mansoori M, BostakM, Abbzadeh MR, MIrshahi A. Prevalence of diabetic retinonathy in patients with newly diagnosed type II diabetes. 9.
- 10 Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in patients with newly diagnosed type II diabetes mellitus Acta. MedicaIranica 2006; 44(6); p415.

- Dutta A, Naorem S, Singh TP, Wangjam K. Prevalence of Peripheral Neuropathy In Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetics Mellitus. Int J DiabDevCtries 2005;25:p30. 11. 12.
- 13.
- Newly Diagnosed 1ype 2 Diabetics Mellitus, Int J DiabDev Crites 2005;2:5:p30.
 Thakkar B, ArotaK, Vekariya R, Lulania M, Agnohorti AS et al. Prevalence of micro albumunuria in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus. NJIRM 2011; 2(4): p22-25.
 Santhosh YL, Vasanth Kumar and Ramanth KV. Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Rural Terrary Care South Indian Hospital. Der Pharmacia Sinica, 2011, 2(2): 74-78.
 Ramachandram A, Snehalatha C, Kapur A, Vijay V, Mohan V, Das AK, et al. High membrane dicks travenesiti in prior in India N. Diabetes
- Ramachandara A, Shchahard M, Kaya Y, Hoy Y, Hohan Y, Das AR, Cu H. Tigh prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. Diabetologia 2001;44:1094–101 Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V; Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme (IDPP). The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme
- shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). Diabetologia. 2006 Feb;49(2):289-
- Valdes S, Botas P, Delgado E, Alvarez F, Cadorniga FD. Population-based incidence of type 2 diabetes in northern Spain: the Asturias Study. Diabetes Care 2007; 30(9):2258-16.
- 17. Maty SC, Everson-Rose SA, Haan MN, Raghunathan TE, Kaplan GA. Education, income, occupation, and the 34-year incidence (1965-99) of Type 2 diabetes in the Alameda County Study. Int J Epidemiol 2005;34(6):1274-81.
- Tang M, Chen Y, Krewski D. Gender-related differences in the association betw socioeconomic status and self-reported diabetes. Int J Epidemiol.2003;32(3):381-5. 18. ociation between
- Ranganayakulu K, Pruthvi B, Subramanian R, Prakash J.Clinical profile and 19. complications in newly detected type-2 diabetics (Age group 20-60 years). APICON 2008
- 20. Krahulec B, Vozar J. Incidence of risk factors and vascular complications in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus. VnttLek 2002; 48(11): p1031. Metreveli D, Bibilashvili N, Gudushauri I. Prevalence of chronic cardiovascular
- 21. complications in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients. Endocrine abstracts 2006; 11(4): p269.
- Mishra A, Chowbey P, Makker BM, Vikram NK, Wasir JS, Chadha D, et al, Consensus Misma A, Chowdey F, Maker JM, Yikham KK, Wash JS, Chadna S, et al. Consensus statement for diagnosis of obesity, addominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome for Asian Indians and recommendations for physical activity, Medical and Surgical Management, JAPI 2009;57:p164-170. Wang Y, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Hu FB.Comparison of abdominal
- 23. adiposity and overall obesity in predicting risk of type 2 diabetes among men. Am J ClinNutr. 2005;81:555–63. Mehta RS, Karki P, Sharma SK. Ri associated health problems, reasons for admission
- 24. and knowledge profile of diabetes patients admitted in BPKIHS. DEV. COUNTRIES.2005;25:70-74.
- Chandalia HB, Singh D, Kapoor V, Chandalia SH, Lamba PS. Footwear and foot care 25 knowledge as risk factors for foot problems in Indian diabetics. Int J Diabetes DevCtries 2008; 28(4): 109-113.
- Mohan D, Raj D, Shanthirani CS, Datta M, Unwin NC, Kapur A, et al. Awareness and knowledge of diabetes in Chennai--the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study 26 [CURES-9]. JAssoc Physicians India. 2005;53:283-7. Muninarayana C, Balachandra G, Hiremath SG, Iyengar K, Anil NS. Prevalence and
- 27. awareness regarding diabetes mellitus in rural Tamaka, Kolar. Int J Diabetes DevCtries. 2010;30(1):18-21.
- Gulabani M, John M, Isaac R. Knowledge of diabetes, its treatment and complications amongst diabetic patients in a tertiary care hospital. Indian J Community Med. 2008;33:204-6.
- Adibe MO, AguwaCN,UkweCV,OkonJM,Udeogaranya OP. Diabetes self knowledge among type 2 diabetic Outpatients in south-eastern nigeria. Int J Drug Dev.& Res. 29 2009:1(1):85-104.
- Mohan V, Mathur P, Deepa R, Deepa M, Shukla DK, Menon GRet al. Urban rural 30. differences in prevalence of self-reported diabetes in India--the WHO-ICMR Indian NCD risk factor surveillance. Diabetes Res ClinPract. 2008;80(1):159-68.
- 31. Kaur K, Singh MM, Kumar, Walia I. Knowledge and self-care practices of diabetics in a resettlement colony of Chandigarh. Indian J Med Sci. 1998;52(8):341-7