The practice of Physiotherapy is evolving in a rapidly changing healthcare environment. An ever-expanding body of knowledge, an increasing well-informed population, changing roles of many healthcare professionals and a demand for accountability all present challenges in relation to delivering any healthcare program. Physiotherapy education programs can no longer attempt to teach students everything they need to know. Consequently, programs need to reflect this in their approach to teaching and adopt a more student-centered learning, which focuses on students knowing how to learn rather than knowing everything there is to learn. Physiotherapy graduates need to be effectively prepared to cope with future demands. Current graduates are expected to work independently within a short time of commencing employment. Physiotherapy graduates are expected to make decisions about complex clinical cases and be able to justify their decisions based on scientific evidence. The need for this level of performance of new graduates raises the question of whether traditional methods of instruction can effectively prepare students for current practice environment.

The PBL tutorial process is central to problem-based learning, in which firstly the students are presented with a problem, and secondly they discuss the problem in a small group PBL tutorial. The facts of the case are clarified, and the exact nature of the problem is defined by them. The students are supposed to brainstorm ideas based on their prior knowledge, while they identify what they need to learn to work on the problem. They reason through the problem, and specify an action plan for working on the problem. Students are allowed to engage in independent study on their learning issues outside the tutorial, which might include library, databases, the web and resource people. Subsequently, they come back together on the problem, and present their solution to the problem. Ultimately, they review what they have learned from working on the problem.

In this type of tutorial the role of the tutor is to facilitate a challenging learning process, not to give content knowledge. The students all contribute to the discussion of the problem and the work of the tutorial and some students take on additional role such as chairperson, reader, or scribe.

The need for this level of performance of new graduates raises the question of whether traditional methods of instruction can effectively prepare students for current practice environment.
team members to write on the board if they want to illustrate a point, while co-ordinate electronic team communication.

The reader is supposed to read the problem aloud at the start of the tutorial, and re-read the problem again when the team and/or reader decides that this would be useful. They should continue to read the problem by drawing the team’s attention to key elements of the problem.

The timekeeper are requested to help the team to manage the time in tutorials, and remind the team at key stages about how much time is left in the tutorial.

**CONTEXT OF THE STUDY**

In Indian scenario, dependency of students on the private tuition classes till higher secondary education is more prevalent, which in turns, transform them into passive learner. As an academician, rather than passive, the stimulation of active learning is warranted. This should be complemented by alternative forms of teaching and learning, such as e-learning, self-directed learning, problem-based learning (PBL) and collaborative projects. Owing to the physiotherapy curriculum design which is mostly based on the didactic & laboratory lectures, there is limited scope for active participation and interaction with students for the teachers. Hence, the overall goal of the study was to promote active learning method & student's better learner lifelong. The specific objective was to assess the level of understanding of the third year students regarding the clinical test.

**METHODS**

Study was conducted in P.E.S. Modern College of Physiotherapy, Shivajinagar, Pune from July 2014 to December 2014. The ethical consent was obtained from the institutional ethics committee of P.E.S. Modern College of Physiotherapy, Shivajinagar, Pune. Total 20 students belonging to third year Bachelor of Physiotherapy (B.P.Th) degree program were recruited for the study. These students were divided into 2 groups (10 students in each group) Group A and Group B based on their college roll numbers.

**PROCEDURE**

Group A was allotted with 1 PBL scenario for clinical test and time was given for gathering the information from the resources available for them viz. library books, internet, resource person (seniors) or peer group and they were instructed not to discuss the scenario with group A students. After a set time students along with the facilitator had a discussion on scenario.

**PBL SESSION**

Group B students were having the didactic lecture on the same topic with other teacher in another classroom. Once the groups undergone through problem based learning and didactic lecture sessions a surprise theory test was be carried out after 2 days to assess the students understanding level of the clinical test in the form of structured SAQs.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was designed to investigate the effect of Introduction of Problem Based Learning in Physiotherapy to promote active learning & make students better learner lifelong. One of the principles of PBL is the stimulation of self-directed learning. Being able to efficiently seek out and evaluate information resources is vital to PBL. Students would need to be equipped with the necessary tools and skills of effective information gathering before embarking in a PBL tutorial. This would empower the students with the skills required and allow them to become competent problem solvers.

There is a link between development of self-directing and the enhancement of the student's information literacy. Forging stronger links with the librarians would be of importance to implementing PBL further. Before the start of this project students and the faculty were little heisted to change the teaching learning method in the field of physiotherapy. After sensitizing them regarding the Problem Based Learning they were ready to participate actively in the study.

While comparing difference of individual improvement in PBL (3.7+1.16) and didactic(1.2+1.13) group studies it was noticed PBL group had significant (p<0.005) improvement compared to didactic group using unpaired t test. (Graph 2)

**TABLE 1: WITH GROUP COMPARISON OF MEAN AND SD PRE-POST SAQ SCORES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Within Group SAQ Scores Mean + SD</th>
<th>Between Group SAQ Scores Mean + SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>PBL 4+0.81</td>
<td>Didactic 4.4+0.84</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>PBL 7.7+1.25</td>
<td>Didactic 5.6+1.07</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS**

The scores obtained after the evaluation of SAQs were compared for the groups and the result was concluded as further. The results of pre (4+0.81) and post (7.7+1.25) PBL scores had significant difference using paired t test (p<0.05) suggesting there was improvement in the students undergoing PBL studies. Also results of pre (4.4+0.84) and post (5.6+1.07) didactic scores had significant difference using paired t test (p<0.05) suggesting there was improvement in the students undergoing didactic studies. (Table 1, Graph 1)
clinical test and asked them to gather the information within the stipulated time. On the day of PBL discussion group A students had PBL discussion in one room with the allotted facilitator and at same time group B students with the other facilitator in another room. Both the groups were given the structured SAQs before and after the sessions.

It was observed that all students of group A were having active participation in the discussion and everyone was giving their idea in the discussion. While in group B only few students participated in the interaction with the facilitator. In a PBL curriculum the content is integrated across courses and modules, therefore the School must communicate clearly within itself and with other departments and Collaborate fully with one another. Individual teachers cannot simply design and teach a course in isolation. The need for working in teams and meeting frequently to review course content and objectives and to ensure the integration of content across all modules within the School would need to be addressed. Both staff and students felt that some modules were not conducive to PBL. Further discussions as to the particular modules would need to be considered.

The students of group A enjoyed the PBL learning a lot as they were allowed to put forward their ideas and got the chance to defend it also. Also they enjoyed the gathering information on given scenario. They felt to be responsible for concluding the scenario. While group B students are not enjoying the didactic lecture that much as it’s a one way communication with the students. Also it was observed that group A students had more knowledge of the scenario when they were discussing compared to the group B students.

CONCLUSION

Problem-based learning has a role to play in preparing graduates to cope with future demands and should gradually be implemented. Developing and harnessing the skills needed in confronting real-world challenges, and the ability to deal with complexity is vital to graduates today.
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