



INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY CONTRA β GENERALIZED CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

R. Kulandaivelu	Department of Mathematics, Dr.N.G.P. Institute of Technology, Tamilnadu, India
S. Maragathavalli*	Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Udumalpet, Tamilnadu, India. *Corresponding Author
K. Ramesh	Department of Mathematics, CMS College of Engineering and Technology, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT In this paper we have introduced intuitionistic fuzzy contra β generalized continuous mappings and some of their basic properties are studied.

KEYWORDS : Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, intuitionistic fuzzy β generalized closed set, intuitionistic fuzzy β generalized continuous mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy β $a_{T_{1,2}}$ space and intuitionistic fuzzy β $b_{T_{1,2}}$.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [11] and later Atanassov [1] generalized this idea to intuitionistic fuzzy sets using the notion of fuzzy sets. On the other hand Coker [4] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Dontchev [5] has introduced contra continuous functions in topological spaces. Erdal Ekici and Etienne [6] have introduced fuzzy contra continuities in fuzzy topological spaces. In this paper, we introduced intuitionistic fuzzy contra β generalized continuous mappings and studied some of their basic properties. We arrived at some characterizations of intuitionistic fuzzy contra β generalized continuous mappings.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: [1] Let X be a non empty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS in short) A in X is an object having the form $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) / x \in X\}$ where the functions $\mu_A(x): X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A(x): X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non-membership (namely $\nu_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set A , respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$. Denote the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X by $\text{IFS}(X)$.

Definition 2.2: [1] Let A and B be IFS's of the form

$$A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) / x \in X\} \text{ and}$$

$$B = \{x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) / x \in X\}. \text{ Then}$$

- $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$,
- $A = B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$,
- $A^c = \{x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) / x \in X\}$,
- $A \cap B = \{x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_B(x) / x \in X\}$,
- $A \cup B = \{x, \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \wedge \nu_B(x) / x \in X\}$.

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = x, \mu_A, \nu_A$ instead of $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) / x \in X\}$. Also for the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = x, (\mu_A, \nu_A), (\mu_B, \nu_B)$ instead of $A = x, (A/\mu_A, B/\nu_B), (A/\nu_A, B/\mu_B)$. The intuitionistic fuzzy sets $0_x = \{x, 0, 1 / x \in X\}$ and $1_x = \{x, 1, 0 / x \in X\}$ are respectively the empty set and the whole set of X .

Definition 2.3: [4] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT in short) on a non empty X is a family τ of IFS in X satisfying the following axioms:

- $0_x, 1_x \in \tau$
- $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$, for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$
- $G_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{G_i / i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$.

In this case the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS in short) and any IFS in τ is known as an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS for short) in X .

The complement A^c of an IFOS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS for short) in X .

Definition 2.4: [4] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A = x, \mu_A, \nu_A$ be an IFS in X . Then the intuitionistic fuzzy interior and an intuitionistic fuzzy closure are defined by

$$\text{int}(A) = \{G / G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\},$$

$$\text{cl}(A) = \{K / K \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}.$$

Note that for any IFS A in (X, τ) , we have $\text{cl}(A^c) = [\text{int}(A)]^c$ and $\text{int}(A^c) = [\text{cl}(A)]^c$.

Definition 2.5: [7] An IFS $A = (x, \mu_A, \nu_A)$ in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be a

- intuitionistic fuzzy semi closed set (IFSCS for short) if $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$,
- intuitionistic fuzzy pre-closed set (IFPCS for short) if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq A$,
- intuitionistic fuzzy α -closed set (IF α CS for short) if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq A$,
- intuitionistic fuzzy γ -closed set (IF γ CS for short) if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$.

The respective complements of the above IFCSs are called their respective IFOSs.

The family of all IFSCSs, IFPCSs, IF α CSs and IF β CSs (respectively IFOSOs, IFPOSoS, IF α OSoS and IF γ OSoS) of an IFTS (X, τ) are respectively denoted by IFSC(X), IFPC(X), IF α C(X) and IF γ C(X) (respectively IFSO(X), IFPO(X), IF α O(X) and IF β O(X)).

Definition 2.6: [8] An IFS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized closed set (IFGCS in short) if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFOS in X .

Definition 2.6: [8] An IFS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized closed set (IFGCS in short) if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFOS in X .

Definition 2.7: [7] An IFS A in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi closed set (IFGSCS in short) if $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFOS in (X, τ) .

Definition 2.8: [8] An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi open set (IFGSOS in short) in X if the complement A^c is an IFGSCS in X .

The family of all IFGSCSs (IFGSOSs) of an IFTS (X, τ) is denoted by IFGSC(X) (IFGSO(X)).

Definition 2.9: [7] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy continuous (IF continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFO}(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$.

Definition 2.10: [7] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy semi continuous mapping (IFS continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFSO}(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$
- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy α -continuous mapping (IF α continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IF}\alpha\text{O}(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$
- (iii) intuitionistic fuzzy pre continuous mapping (IFP continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFPO}(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$
- (iv) intuitionistic fuzzy γ continuous mapping (IF γ continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IF}\gamma\text{O}(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$.

Definition 2.11: [10] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized continuous mapping (IFG continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFGC}(X)$ for every IFCS B in Y .

Definition 2.12:[10] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy semi-pre continuous mapping (IFSP continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFSPO}(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$.

Result 2.13:[9]Every IF continuous mapping is an IFG continuous mapping.

Definition 2.14:[8]A mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi continuous*(IFGS continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFGSCS in (X, τ) for every IFCS B of (Y, σ) .

Definition 2.15:[8]An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy alpha generalized open set (IF α GOS in short) in X if the complement A^c is an IF α GCS in X .

The family of all IF α GCSs (IF α GOSs) of an IFTS (X, τ) is denoted by $\text{IF}\alpha\text{GC}(X)$ (IF α GO (X)).

Definition 2.16:[7] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy continuous (IF continuous in short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFO}(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$.

Definition 2.17:[3] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy contra-continuous (IFC continuous in short) mapping if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFOS in X for each IFCS B in Y .

Definition 2.18: [3] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy contra continuous mapping (IFC continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFO}(X)$ for each IFCS B in Y
- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy contra α -continuous mapping (IFC α -continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IF}\alpha\text{O}(X)$ for each IFCS B in Y
- (iii) intuitionistic fuzzy contra pre-continuous mapping (IFCP continuous mapping for short) if $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{IFPO}(X)$ for each IFCS B in Y
- (iv) intuitionistic fuzzy contra γ -continuous (IFC γ continuous for short) mapping if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IF γ OS in X for each IFCS B in Y .

Result 2.19: [3] Every IF contra-continuous mapping is an IFC α continuous mapping and IFCP continuous mapping.

Definition 2.20: [8] An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy β $\alpha T_{1/2}$ (IF $\beta \alpha T_{1/2}$ in short) space if every IF β GCS in X is an IFCS in X .

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy contra β generalized continuous mappings

In this section we have introduced intuitionistic fuzzy contra β generalized continuous mappings. We investigated some of its properties.

Definition 3.1: A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy contra β generalized continuous mapping (IFC β G continuous mapping) if $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GOS in X for every IFCS A in Y .

Example 3.2:

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = x, (0.1, 0.1), (0.5, 0.6)$, $G_2 = y, (0.3, 0.1), (0.5, 0.6)$. Then $\tau = \{0, G_1, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, G_2, 1\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b) = v$. Then f is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.3: Every IF contra continuous mapping is an IFC β G continuous mapping but not conversely.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an IF contra continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y . By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFOS in X . Since every IFOS is an IF β GOS, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GOS in X . Hence f is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Example 3.4: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = x, (0.2, 0.1), (0.5, 0.6)$, $G_2 = y, (0.3, 0.1), (0.4, 0.3)$. Then $\tau = \{0, G_1, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, G_2, 1\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b) = v$. Then f is an IFC β G continuous mapping. But f is not an IF contra continuous mapping since $G_2^c = y, (0.4, 0.3), (0.3, 0.1)$ is an IFCS in Y but $f^{-1}(G_2^c) = x, (0.4, 0.3), (0.3, 0.1)$ is not an IFOS in X .

Theorem 3.5: Every IFC continuous mapping is an IFC β G continuous mapping but not conversely.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an IFC continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in Y . Then by hypothesis, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFOS in X . Since every IFOS is an IF β GOS, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GOS in X . Hence f is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Example 3.6: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and let the IFS $G_1 = x, (0.3, 0.1), (0.5, 0.6)$, $G_2 = x, (0.7, 0.7), (0.1, 0.1)$ and $G_3 = y, (0.4, 0.5), (0.3, 0.3)$. Then $\tau = \{0, G_1, G_2, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, G_3, 1\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b) = v$. Then f is an IFC β G continuous mapping. But f is not an IFC continuous mapping since $G_3^c = y, (0.3, 0.3), (0.4, 0.5)$ is an IFCS in Y but $f^{-1}(G_3^c) = x, (0.3, 0.3), (0.4, 0.5)$ is not an IFOS in X .

Remark 3.7: IFC continuous mapping and IFC β G continuous mapping are independent of each other.

Example 3.8: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = x, (0.4, 0), (0.4, 0.8)$, $G_2 = y, (0.3, 0.2), (0.5, 0.1)$. Then $\tau = \{0, G_1, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, G_2, 1\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b) = v$. Then f is an IFC continuous mapping. But f is not an IFC β G continuous mapping since $G_2^c = y, (0.5, 0.1), (0.3, 0.2)$ is an IFCS in Y but $f^{-1}(G_2^c) = x, (0.5, 0.1), (0.3, 0.2)$ is not an IF β GOS in X .

Example 3.9: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = x, (0.4, 0), (0.4, 0.8)$, $G_2 = y, (0.6, 0.7), (0.1, 0.1)$. Then $\tau = \{0, G_1, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, G_2, 1\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b) = v$. Then f is an IFC β G continuous mapping. But f is not an IFC continuous mapping, since $G_2^c = y, (0.1, 0.1), (0.6, 0.7)$ is an IFCS in Y but $f^{-1}(G_2^c) = x, (0.1, 0.1), (0.6, 0.7)$ is not an IFOS in X .

Remark 3.10: IFCP continuous mapping and IFC β G continuous mapping are independent of each other.

Example 3.11: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = x, (0, 0.8), (0.4, 0)$, $G_2 = y, (0, 0.2), (0.7, 0.7)$. Then $\tau = \{0, G_1, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, G_2, 1\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b) = v$. Then f is an IFCP continuous mapping. But f is not an IFC β G continuous mapping since $G_2^c = y, (0.7, 0.7), (0, 0.2)$ is an IFCS in Y but $f^{-1}(G_2^c) = x, (0.7, 0.7), (0, 0.2)$ is not an IFGOS in X .

Example 3.12: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.6)$, $G_2 = y, (0.3, 0.2), (0.4, 0.5)$. Then $\tau = \{0, G_1, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, G_2, 1\}$ are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(a)$

= u and f(b) = v. Then f is an IFC β G continuous mapping. But f is not an IFCP continuous mapping since $G_2^c = y, (0.4, 0.5), (0.3, 0.2)$ is an IFCS in Y but $f^{-1}(G_2^c) = x, (0.4, 0.5), (0.3, 0.2)$ is not an IFPOS in X.

Theorem 3.13: A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an IFC β G continuous if and only if the inverse image of each IFOS in Y is an IF β GCS in X.

Proof: Necessity: Let A be an IFOS in Y. This implies A^c is an IFCS in Y. Since f is an IFC β G continuous mapping, $f^{-1}(A^c)$ is an IF β GOS in X. Hence f is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.14: Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a mapping and let $f^{-1}(A)$ be an IFROS in X for every IFCS A in Y. Then f is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then by hypothesis, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFROS in X. Since every IFROS is an IF β GOS, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GOS in X. Hence f is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.15: Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an IFC β G continuous mapping, then f is an IF contra continuous mapping if X is an IF β $aT_{1/2}$ space.

Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Y. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GOS in X, by hypothesis. Since X is an IF β $aT_{1/2}$ space $f^{-1}(A)$ is an IFOS in X. Hence f is an IF contra continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.16: If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping and $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an IF contra continuous mapping, then $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an IF β G continuous mapping.

Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Z. Then $g^{-1}(A)$ is an IFOS in Y, by hypothesis. Since f is an IFC β G continuous mapping, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))$ is an IF β GCS in X. That is $(g \circ f)^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GCS in X. Hence $g \circ f$ is an IF β G continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.17: If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an IF β G continuous mapping $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an IF contra continuous mapping, then $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Z. Then $g^{-1}(A)$ is an IFOS in Y, by hypothesis. Since f is an IF β G continuous mapping, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))$ is an IF β GCS in X. That is $(g \circ f)^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GCS in X. Hence $g \circ f$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.18: If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an IF continuous mapping, then $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an IF β G continuous mapping.

Proof: Let A be an IFCS in Z. Then $g^{-1}(A)$ is an IFCS in Y, by hypothesis. Since f is an IFC β G continuous mapping, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))$ is an IF β GCS in X. That is $(g \circ f)^{-1}(A)$ is an IF β GCS in X. Hence $g \circ f$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.19: Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$ be a mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent if X is an IF β $aT_{1/2}$ space.

- (i) $g \circ f$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping
- (ii) $cl(int(cl(g \circ f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq (g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ for every IFOS B in Z.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let B be any IFOS in Z. Then $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ is an IF β GCS in X by hypothesis. Since X is an IF β $aT_{1/2}$ space, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ is an IFCS in X. Therefore, $cl((g \circ f)^{-1}(B)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$. Now $cl(int(cl((g \circ f)^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq cl(cl((g \circ f)^{-1}(B))) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$. This implies $cl(int(cl((g \circ f)^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq (g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let B be an IFCS in Z. Then its complement B^c is an IFOS in Z. By hypothesis $cl(int(cl((g \circ f)^{-1}(B^c)))) \subseteq (g \circ f)^{-1}(B^c)$. Hence $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B^c)$ is an IFCS in X. Since every IFCS is an IF β GCS $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B^c)$ is an IF β GCS in X. Therefore, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ is an IF β GOS in X. Hence $g \circ f$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.20: Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be a mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent if X is an IF β $aT_{1/2}$ space.

- (i) $g \circ f$ is an IFC β G continuous mapping.
- (ii) $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B) \subseteq int(cl(int((g \circ f)^{-1}(B))))$ for every IFCS B in Z.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let B be an IFCS in Z. By hypothesis, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ is an IF β GOS in X. Since X is an IF β $aT_{1/2}$ space, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ is an IFOS in X. Therefore, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B) = int((g \circ f)^{-1}(B))$. But $int((g \circ f)^{-1}(B)) \subseteq int(cl(int((g \circ f)^{-1}(B))))$. This implies $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B) = int(cl(int((g \circ f)^{-1}(B))))$ for every IFCS B in Z.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let B be an IFCS in Z. By hypothesis $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B) \subseteq int(cl(int((g \circ f)^{-1}(B))))$. This implies $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ is an IFOS in X and hence $(g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$ is an IF β GOS in X. Therefore f is an IFC β G continuous mapping.

REFERENCES

1. K.T.Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87-96.
2. Biljana Krsteska and Erdal Ekici, Intuitionistic fuzzy contra strong precontinuity, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, 2007, 273-284.
3. D.Coker, An introduction to fuzzy topological space, Fuzzy sets and systems, 88, 1997, 81-89.
4. Dontchev, J., Contra continuous functions and strongly S-closed spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 1996, 303-310.
5. Erdal Ekici and Etienne E. Kerre, On fuzzy contra continuities, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 2006, 35-44.
6. M.E.El-Shafhi, and A. Zhakari., Semi generalized continuous mappings in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 15(1)(2007), 57-67.
7. H.Gurcay, D.Coker and A.Haydar, On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, jour. of fuzzy math., 5(1997), 365-378.
8. R.Kulandaivelu, S.Maragathavalli and K.Ramesh, Intuitionistic fuzzy π generalized semi pre continuous mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (Submitted)
9. Seok Jong Lee and Eun Pyo Lee, The category of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 2000, 63-76.
10. Young Bae Jun and Seok- Zun Song, Intuitionistic fuzzy semi-pre open sets and Intuitionistic semi-pre continuous mappings, jour. of Appl. Math and computing, 19(2005), 467-474.
11. L. A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8 (1965) 338-353.