COLOPLASTY: AN ALTERNATIVE TO GASTRIC PULL-UP IN BENIGN DISEASES OF ESOPHAGUS
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ABSTRACT
Restoration of swallowing in a patient with dysphagia due to nondilatable corrosive stricture of esophagus remains a surgical challenge. Organs available for replacement are stomach, jejunum, or colon. Jejunum is useful to replace a small segment, whereas stomach and colon are required for a long-segment replacement. In cases where the stomach is also injured, colon remains the only option. The route of colonic interposition has also been a subject of debate over the years. The choice of the colon as an esophageal substitute results primarily from the unavailability of the stomach. However, given its durability and function, colon interposition keeps elective indications in patients with benign or malignant esophageal disease who are potential candidates for long survival. The choice of the colonic portion used for esophageal reconstruction depends on the required length of the graft, and the encountered colonic vascular anatomy, the last being characterized by the near-invariability of the left colonic vessels, in contrast to the vascular pattern of the right side of the colon. Accordingly, the transverse colon with all or part of the ascending colon is the substitute of choice, positioned in the isoperistaltic direction, and supplied either from the left colic vessels for long grafts or middle colic vessels for shorter grafts. Technical key points are: full mobilization of the entire colon, identification of the main colonic vessels and collaterals, and a prolonged clamping test to ensure the permeability of the chosen nourishing pedicle. Transposition through the posterior mediastinum in the esophageal bed is the shortest one and thereby offers the best functional results. When the esophageal bed is not available, the retrosternal route is the preferred alternative option. The food bolus traveling mainly by gravity makes straightness of the conduit of paramount importance. The proximal anastomosis is a single-layer hand-fashioned end-to-end anastomosis to prevent narrowing. When the stomach is available, the distal anastomosis is best performed at the posterior part of the antrum for the reasons of pedicle positioning and reflux prevention, and a gastric drainage procedure is added when the esophagus and vagus nerves have been removed. In the other cases, a Roux-en-Y jejunal loop is preferable to prevent bile reflux into the colon. To construct a colon interposition graft that is long enough, we examined a procedure in which the colon is transected proximally at the site of the cecum and the right colic artery is transected, in addition to ligation of the middle artery. Here we examined the series of 20 procedures for post-corrosive esophageal strictures treated with retrosternal colonic interpositions.
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INTRODUCTION
Colon interposition has been used since the beginning of the 20th century as a substitute for esophageal replacement. Colon interposition is mainly chosen as a second-line treatment when the stomach cannot be used, when the stomach has to be resected for oncological or technical reasons, or when the stomach is deliberately kept intact for benign diseases in young patients with long-life expectancy. During the surgery the vascularization of the colon must be carefully assessed, as well as the type of the graft (right or left colon), the length of the graft, the surgical approach and the route of the reconstruction. Early complications such as graft necrosis or anastomotic leaks, and late complications such as redundancy depend on the quality of the initial surgery. Despite a complex and time-consuming procedure requiring at least three or four digestive anastomoses, reported long-term functional outcomes of colon interposition are good, with an acceptable operative risk. Thus, in much selected indications, colon interposition could be seen as a valuable alternative for esophageal replacement when stomach cannot be considered.

Inability to swallow following a corrosive stricture of the esophagus is one of the most distressing symptoms that patients experience. Treatment of these benign esophageal strictures following corrosive ingestion has remained a challenge. The treatment options include dilatations (blind, retrograde endless string, and endoscopic) or creating a new passage (replacement). While endoscopic dilatations are meant for patients with short-length strictures, long or multiple strictures require surgical intervention in some form or the other in the long run. Among the jejunal interposition, use of gastric or reversed gastric tube and colonic interposition, the result of the use of colon as the organ for replacement has been found to be satisfactory in most studies. Controversy exists as to the route of colonic graft placement—antesternal, retrosternal, and esophageal bed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A total 20 patients were operated for retrosternal esophageal coloplasty during the period of January 2018 to December 2019 in Department of surgery, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad.

All the patients were diagnosed with benign esophageal stricture due to corrosive injuries. None of the patients had malignant change in the esophagus.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE
In all patients, we constructed a long colon graft supplied exclusively by the inferior mesenteric artery. Initially, we mobilized the ascending colon, the right flexure, and the transverse colon. Using transillumination, we then clamped the middle colic artery, the right colic artery, and the connection between the ileocolic and right colic artery temporarily using vascular clamps. In this situation, only the inferior mesenteric artery feeds the ascending and transverse colon. If blood supply remained adequate after prolonged trial clamping, we started constructing the long colonic interposition graft. The right and middle colic arteries were divided and ligated as centrally as possible. Then we transected the remaining mesentery of the right colon up to the level of the transverse colon. By transecting the ascending colon just above the cecum, we obtained a fairly long and mobile colon graft that could be passed easily up into the thorax and to the cervical region. We did not mobilize the left flexure and the descending colon, and we did not identify the left colic artery and the branching ascending arteries of the left flexure. Distal transection of the colon was not performed at this stage of the procedure.

We brought the colon up to the cervical region through retrosternal cave created by an opening in the diaphragm. Then we performed the
cervical anastomosis using a modified two-layer end-to-end technique. After pull-through of the colonic graft, we constructed the anastomosis between the stomach and the colon to reconstruct the passage in the 20 patients. We transected the colon, which descended from inside the thorax into the abdomen, in front of the stomach and constructed an end-to-side anastomosis between the colon and the stomach. Reconstruction was completed by connecting the eecum to the transverse colon by an end-to-end anastomosis. The expanded colonic interposition graft was then attached to the hiatus esophagus. A similar procedure was originally described in two patients by Lees to create a particularly long colonic graft. However, a larger series by Osborne et al revealed a graft-related complication rate of 35% when the ascending colon was part of a graft supplied by the left colic artery. In the latter report, the complete colon, including the splenic flexure, was mobilized. Based on our preliminary results, this complication rate appears to be significantly improved if the splenic flexure remains untouched. Intraoperative temporary clamping demonstrated in each case that arterial blood supply and venous drainage, even of the proximal parts of the colon by the left colic artery and vein, were adequate. This potent collateral circulation allows creation of a long colon graft that primarily includes the ascending and the transverse colon, eliminating the need to mobilize the left flexure to move the graft to the cervical region. The cervical region is between the esophagus and the colon interposition graft can usually be created easily because there is little tension between the fixed left colonic flexure and the proximal end of the graft. The second advantage of our method is that the distal anastomosis of the graft can be performed later at a variable site and can, therefore, be adjusted exactly to the patient’s anatomy. To complete the reconstruction, we transected the transverse colon at the site where we wanted to perform the anastomosis between the colon and the stomach or small bowel. Finally, the eecum was connected to the transverse colon. It should be noted that the individual anatomy of the colic vasculature may sometimes prevent use of the whole right colon or of its most proximal portion as an interposition graft. Thus, in a few patients, multiple middle colic arteries are present with marginal arteries missing at this site or venous collaterals are absent between the ascending and transverse colon. However, such rare anatomic variations should be identified easily during intraoperative preparation and trial clamping. With our technique, the frequency of major complications was 27% and that of anastomotic leakage was 7%. Postoperative graft perfusion was excellent in each patient. Two risk factors may have contributed to the postoperative complications and the one death.

Despite the above limitations, the results of our series suggest that the described modifications represent an alternative to established procedures for creating a colon interposition graft. Our method may be particularly helpful when a long colonic interposition graft is required. Also Proper selection of patients is much more important in such operative interventions.
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No complications resulted from insufficient blood supply or insufficient venous drainage. Minor complications (pneumonia without respiratory insufficiency) occurred in three patients. In two of these patients, the cause of the pulmonary inflammation was recurrent microaspiration of oral nutrients. Major complications were found four times: two anastomotic leaks (minor), two developed deep vein thromboses, and one case of pneumonia, which was complicated by a septic state and respiratory insufficiency. The latter patient subsequently died, resulting in sigle mortality in this case series. The cause of death was pneumonia with septicemia. The anastomotic leakage, found in two patient, was evident on the fifth postoperative day through neck incision, which was managed conservatively as it was minor leak. Oral nutrient intake was not delayed. All the patients were continued with feeding jejunostomy for the nutritional support. The average duration of postoperative artificial ventilatory support was 2±1.5 days; eight patients were extubated immediately after surgery. Length of stay on the intensive care unit averaged 5-7 days. The total hospital stay averaged 16-20 days with an exception of death.

DISCUSSION

Almost a century ago, Kelling and Vuillet introduced the use of the colon as an esophageal substitute. Since then, several modifications to this approach have been described, using the left, the right, or the transverse colon as an interposition graft. Interposition of the left colon became the most popular procedure. It requires wide mobilization of the entire colon, ligation of the middle colic artery, and transection of the colon at the right flexure and somewhere between the left flexure and the stomach, depending on the patient's anatomy. This preference for left colic interposition is based on the vascular anatomy and its natural variation in the colon. According to several autopsy studies, the arterial anastomoses (marginal artery) between the ileocolic and right colic vessels are absent in up to 70% of patients, whereas the collaterals between the left and right colic artery are mostly sufficient. Corresponding differences can be found with venous collaterals in the colon. In the left colon, the marginal venous anastomoses are excellent, but ileocolic venous collaterals are insufficient in 20% to 30% of patients. In patients scheduled for colon interposition, a discontinuity of the superior–inferior mesenteric artery anastomosis at the left flexure was seen in 48%; discontinuity of the marginal artery between the middle and right colic arteries was seen in 30% of patients. In all patients in whom we planned an esophageal reconstruction with a modified colonic interposition graft, we could create such a graft. In no patient did we have to change the reconstructive procedure because of insufficient intraoperative blood supply. During routine postoperative interventions.


