Original Resea	Anaesthesiology COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TRUVIEW EVO2 LARYNGOSCOPE WITH MACINTOSH LARYNGOSCOPE FOR LARYNGOSCOPY AND INTUBATION IN ADULTS RECEIVING GENERAL ANAESTHESIA FOR ELECTIVE SURGERIES
Dr Vikram	Junior resident III Department Of Anaesthesiology Government Medical College
Sonawane	Aurangabad
Dr Rajashri B.	Associate Professor Department Of Anaesthesiology Government Medical College
Sonwane*	Aurangabad *Corresponding Author
ABSTRACT INTR	ODUCTION: Though macintosh laryngoscope is a standard for intubation, new laryngoscopes are being

ABSTRACT INTRODUCTION: Though macintosh laryngoscope is a standard for intubation, new laryngoscopes are being introduced to reduce the disadvantages of macintosh. Trueview EVO2 laryngoscope has some advantages over macintosh laryngoscope.

MATERIALAND METHODS: For this randomized, prospective study, 60 patients were allocated to either of the two groups and laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation done in Group A by macintosh laryngoscope and in Group B by truview EVO2 laryngoscope. The time taken for intubation, number of intubation attempts, POGO score., Cormack-lehane grading, rescue technique for intubation, haemodynamics and side effects were studied

RESULTS: POGO score of 100% was seen in maximum number of patients in group B compared to group A. Cormack lehane grade I was seen in maximum number of patients in group B Number of attempts taken were more in group B and statistically significant. Rescue technique like use of bougie, external pressure were used more in group A compared to group B. Mean time for laryngeal intubation was more in group B compared to group A. Haemodynamics were comparable between the groups. Minor complications seen and they were comparable.

CONCLUSION: Truview EV02 laryngoscopy is associated with improved view of glottis, stable hemodynamic parameters, less complications but at the cost of longer intubation time and increase number of attempts compared to Macintosh laryngoscope.

KEYWORDS : Truview Evo2 Laryngoscope, Mcintosh Laryngoscope, Pogo Score, Cormack-lehane Grading

INTRODUCTION:

One of the first skill anaesthesiologists must master is direct visualization of the vocal cords to safely and successfully intubate the trachea of surgical patients under general anaesthesia.¹ To maximize the likelihood of successful intubation at first attempt, to limit duration and number of attempts of laryngoscopy and intubation and to prevent cant intubate cant oxygenate situations,² ther is a strive for better laryngoscope.

Macintosh laryngoscope is the standard laryngoscope used since its introduction in 1943. There has been progression from macintosh to videolaryngoscope. Truview EVO2 optical laryngoscope has been introduced in year 2004 with 42 degree angled tip which allows to see around the scope which is not possible with direct laryngoscopy by macintosh.

Videolaryngoscopy reduces intubaion failures, makes intubation easier, improves glottis view,^{3,4} reduces the number of laryngoscopies and reduces incidence of laryngeal and airway trauma but with no evidence of fewer attempts to intubate or whether intubation takes less or more time.³ Other systematic reviews found that videolary ngos copy reduces the risk of difficult oral tracheal intubation and esophageal intubation and increased first attempt success in ICU patients⁵ and in emergency intubations⁶ while some studies found truview less advantageous than macintosh.⁷

The rationale behind the study was to find out the efficacy and safety of macintosh laryngoscope compared to truview laryngoscope for laryngoscopy and intubation in patients receiving general anaesthesia for elective surgeries

MATERIALAND METHODS:

This prospective randomized controlled trial was conducted after taking ethical committee approval. Patients undergoing elective surgical procedure under general anaesthesia with endotracheal intubation was the study population. Sample size of 30 was taken and convenient sample used. Inclusion criteria was patients of both gender of age 18-60 yrs, weight 50-70kg, ASA grade I andII, mallampatti grade I and II. Exclusion criteria was ASA grade III and IV, Mallampatti grade III and IV, risk of gastric aspiration, patient of laryngeal and thyroid surgery, hypertensive and ischemic heart disease patient. After taking informed written consent, patients were allocated to Group A or Group B by simple randomization method. In Group A, oroendotracheal intubation was done by macintosh laryngoscope and in Group B, by truview EVO2 laryngoscope(Truphatek International).

On day of surgery, patients preoxygenated with100% oxygen for 3 mins. Premedication given with inj. Midazolam 0.02mg/kg and inj. Fentanyl 2ug/kg and inj. Ondansetron 0.08 mg/kg. Patient induced with inj. Propofol 2-2.5 mg/kg and after confirming mask ventilation, muscle relaxant inj. Vecuronium 0.1mg/kg given. Trachea intubated with appropriate size of endotracheal tube by an anaesthesiologist according to group allocation of patient. Placement of endotracheal tube confirmed by capnography and chest auscultation. Anaesthesia maintained with intermittent positive pressure ventilation, with 50% oxygen and 50% nitrous oxide and inj. Vecuronium 0.02mg/kg and inhalation agent isoflurane. During laryngoscopy 6L/min of oxygen administered via oxygen port of truview EVO2 laryngoscope to prevent fogging. The outcome measured were time taken for intubation, number of attempts at intubation, POGO score, Cormacklehane grading, rescue techniques used in case of difficult intubation like bougie, external pressure over thyroid cartilage or both, haemodynamic changes in heart rate and mean arterial pressure post intubation for 10 mins at interval of 1 min. Intubation time noted from introduction of laryngoscope to confirmation of placement of tube. POGO score measured as view of glottis at laryngoscopy (0-100%).

Surgery was allowed to commence only after collection of last heamodynamic data at 10 mins post intubation. Complications like trauma to lips, trauma to pharynx, tooth fall, loosening of tooth,secretions, laryngospasm, bronchospasm, desaturtion, oesophageal intubtion was noted. At end of surgery reversal done with inj neostigmine and inj glycopyrolate. Patient extubated after fulfilling extubation criteria.

STATISTICALANALYSIS:

Data was entered using MS excel and analysed using SPSS software. Appropriate statistical test like unpaired t-test for comparison of mean between two groups, chi-square test used as per the data. The p value less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

RESULTS:

Demographic parameters like age, gender, ASA grade, Mallampatti grade were comparable in between the two groups.(table 1)

Table 1:

Parameters	Group A Mean ±SD	Group B Mean ±SD	P value
Age(years)	38.93±12.43	39.42±12.67	0.8088
Male	20(44.44%)	21(46.67%)	0.832
Female	25(55.56%)	24(53.33%)	
Weight(kg)	60.00±6.87	58.80± 7.54	0.521

16

ASA			
Grade I	25(55.56%)	26(57.78%)	0.1000
Grade II	20(44.44%)	19(42.22%)	
MPC			
Grade I	24(53.33%)	22(48.89%)	0.673
Grade II	21(46.67%)	23(51.11%)	

Table 2: Outcome parameters

Sr.no.	Parameters	Group A	Group B	P value
1.	POGO score			
	33%	23(51.11%)	3(6.67%)	< 0.001
	100%	24(49.89%)	42(93.33%)	
2.	Cormack lehane grade			
	Grade I	21(46.67%)	42(93.33%)	< 0.001
	Grade II	16(35.56%)	3(6.67%)	
	Grade III	8(17.78%)	0(0%)	
3.	Number of attempts	1.06±0.252	1.36±0.484	< 0.0006
4.	Rescue technique Bougie External pressure None	7(15.56%) 15(33.33%) 23(51.11%)	10(22.22%) 0(0%) 35(77.78%)	<0.001
5.	Mean time for laryngeal intubation	42.17 sec	55.51 sec	

The POGO Score was significantly lower in group A compared to group B and was statistically significant (P< 0.001). 46.67% cases of group A belonged to Cormack and Lehane grade I as compared to 93.33% cases of group B. 35.56% cases of group A and 6.67% cases of group B belonged to Cormack and Lehane grade II. 17.78% cases of group A and no case of - group B belonged to Cormack and Lehane grade III. These values were statistically significant (P<0.001). Mean time for Laryngeal intubation in Group A was 42.17sec and in Group B it was 55.51sec. Mean intubation attempt required in group A was1.06±0.252 as compared to group B in which it was 1.36±0.484 which was statistically significant (P < 0.0006). Rescue technique were required in 48.89 % of cases in group A compared to 22.22% of cases in group B which showed statistical significance(<0.001). Requirement of rescue technique in the form of external pressure was 33.33% and bougie was required in 15.56% of cases in group A whereas only 22.22% of cases in group B required bougie for passage of tube .5 cases of group A had minor injury and 3 case of group B suffered minor injuries but this was not statistically significant(P-0.999). (table 2)

Graph 1: Heart rate at various intervals



There was rise in heart rate in both the group but significant rise was seen in patients in group A as compared to patienst in group B. Heart rate was maximally increased during one minute post intubation period with 29.79% in group A and 24.43%. in group B. It was also noted that heart rate came down to baseline value around 10min post intubation period in both group B and in group A.(graph 1)

Graph 2: Systolic blood pressure at various intervals



There was a rise in systolic blood pressure in both the group but significant rise was seen in patient in group A as compared to patient in group B. Systolic blood pressure was maximally increased during one minute post intubation period with 26.09% in group A and 16.85% in group B. It was also noted that systolic blood pressure came down to baseline value around 3min post intubation period in group B and in group A it took 5min for the same, which was statistically significant(P < 0.05)(graph 2)

DISCUSSION:

In our study demographic parameters of the patient in both group were comparable. Similar to our study, improved POGO score^{8,910} and improved cormack lehane grading ^{9,11-17,19,20} was seen with truviewscope. POGO score is a simple and an easy way to categorize laryngeal view and it has better inter physician reliability than Cormack Lehane grading. POGO is more sensitive than Mallampati grading and has been shown to have good inter and intra observer reliability. Truview evo2 is an angled indirect laryngoscope that provides an anterior refraction of 42° thus provides better laryngeal view.¹⁸

Agreeing with our study mean time for intubation was increased with truview scope ^{8,12,14,17,19-24} but few studies found equal time taken for intubation with both laryngoscopes ^{9-11,25} We experienced requirement of certain manipulative movements while negotiating the endotracheal tube under Truview evo2 vision even when the best of laryngeal view was available. This was the main reason for requirement of longer time for tracheal intubation under Truview vision as compared to conventional laryngoscopy. Laryngoscopy and intubation is performed in an indirect manner with Truview , seeing the ETT through the lens. The anaesthesiologist looks through the Truview lens and focuses on the vocal cords. Then the tube needs to be advanced blindly until its tip enters the Truview vision field. Performing this maneuver requires good eye-hand co-ordination and some practice. The field of vision is narrower and smaller , requiring more time to identify the pharyngeal and laryngeal structures. There is also angulated view of the larynx, which necessitates the use of stylet to direct the tracheal tube to the glottis opening. Mandatory use of stylet and the relative inexperience with the Truview evo2 blade may be the reason for the difference in duration of intubation.

One study was congruent with ours regarding increased number of attempts with truview⁹ but other studies found more number of attempts with macintosh ^{10,20-22,26} and equal number of attempts in both groups.^{12,13,16,19,25} Increase number of attempts required for intubation in Truview Evo2 laryngoscope was may be due to relative inexperience with the newer device.

Similar to our study, more rescue techniques needed with macintosh in other studies 7,13,20 The angle between the laryngeal axis and line of vision is $42\pm12^{\circ}$ in neutral position. Truview evo2 is an angled indirect laryngoscope that provides an anterior refraction of 42° thus eliminating the need for external laryngeal manipulations which is required frequently in Macintosh laryngoscope.

In congruence to our study, more pressor response seen with macintosh ^{14,27} and in other study with truviewscope ¹⁹ but many studies had similar haemodynamic changes with both blades ^{12,15,17,24} All important structures of relevance during direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation such as epiglottis and glottis are anteriorly placed. This necessitates application of moderate force to visualize glottis during laryngoscopy. Reducing this force by using a laryngoscope designed for anterior viewing would thus be helpful in reducing hemodynamic response to orotracheal intubation. Exposure of the glottis during laryngoscopy requires elevation of the epiglottis by forward and upward lifting of the laryngoscop blade. This is associated with increase in heart rate and blood pressure secondary to sympathetic discharge. Any laryngoscopy technique requiring lesser lifting force would proportionally reduce the sympathetic discharge and hence change in heart rate and blood pressure. ^{24,28}

There were minimal or no side effects in other studies ^{9,12,15,16,19,25} with both laryngoscopes while one study found incisor damage with macintosh.¹⁰ Less number of complications may be, due to, not taken cases having MPC III and above.

CONCLUSIONS:

Truview Evo2 laryngoscopy is associated with improved view of

INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH 17

glottis, stable hemodynamic parameters, less complications but at the cost of longer intubation time and increase number of attempts compared to Macintosh laryngoscope. Practice and familiarity is required to achieve good eye-hand co-ordination with Truview Evo2 blade.

REFERENCES

- Burkle CM, Zepeda FA, Bacon DR, Rose SH. A historical perspective on use of the 1. laryngoscope as a tool in anesthesiology. Anesthesiology-philadelphia then hagerstownapr 1:100(4):1003-6
- Kelly FE, Cook TM. Seeing is believing: getting the best out of videolaryngoscopy. Lewis SR, Butler AR, Parker J, Cook TM, Schofield-Robinson OJ, Smith AF. 3. Videolaryngoscopy versus direct laryngoscopy for adult patients requiring tracheal intubation: a Cochrane Systematic Review. BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia. 2017 Sep 1;119(3):369-83.
- Niforopoulou P. Pantazopoulos I. Demestiha T. Koudouna E. Xanthos T. Δ Video-laryngoscopes in the adult airway management: a topical review of the literature. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica. 2010 Oct;54(9):1050-61. De Jong A, Molinari N, Conseil M, Coisel Y, Pouzeratte Y, Belafia F, Jung B, Chanques
- G, Jaber S. Video laryngoscopy versus direct laryngoscopy for orotracheal intubation in the intensive care unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intensive care medicine. 2014 May 1;40(5):629-39.
- Rombey T, Schieren M, Pieper D. Video versus direct laryngoscopy for inpatient 6. emergency intubation in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International. 2018 Jun; 115(26):437. Malik MA, Maharaj CH, Harte BH, Laffey JG. Comparison of Macintosh, Truview
- 7 Wank War, Manag CH, Hate DH, Larby GO. Comparison of Machinesh, Havrew EVO2®, Glidescope®, and Airwayscope® laryngoscope use in patients with cervical spine immobilization. British journal of anaesthesia. 2008 Nov 1;101(5):723-30.
 Wang PK, Huang CC, Lee Y, Chen TY, Lai HY. Comparison of 3 video laryngoscopes
- 8 with the Macintosh in a manikin with easy and difficult simulated airways. The American journal of emergency medicine. 2013 Feb 1;31(2):330-8.
- Bharti N, Arora S, Panda NB. A comparison of McCoy, TruView, and Macintosh laryngoscopes for tracheal intubation in patients with immobilized cervical spine. Saudi 9 journal of anaesthesia. 2014 Apr;8(2):188.
- Aleksandrowicz D, Gaszyński T. Airway Management with Cervical Spine Immobilisation: A Comparison between the Macintosh Laryngoscope, Truview Evo2, and Totaltrack VLM Used by Novices—A Manikin Study. BioMed Research 10 International. 2016;2016.
- Arora S, Sayeed H, Bhardwaj N. A comparison of Truview EVO2 laryngoscope with 11. Macintosh laryngoscope in routine airway management: A randomized crossover clinical trial. Saudi journal of anaesthesia. 2013 Jul;7(3):244.
- 12. Saxena A, Madan M, Shrivastava U, Mittal A, Dwivedi Y, Agrawal A, Puri R. Role of the Truview EVO2 laryngoscope in the airway management of elective surgical patients: A comparison with the Macintosh laryngoscope. Indian journal of anaesthesia. 2013 May;57(3):276.
- 13. Kulkarni AP, Tirmanwar AS. Comparison of glottic visualisation and ease of intubation
- with different laryngoscope blades. Indian journal of anaesthesia. 2013 Mar;57(2):170. Bag SK, Kumar VH, Krishnaveni N, Ravishankar M, Velraj J, Aruloli M. A comparative study between TruviewPCD laryngoscope and Macintosh laryngoscope in viewing glottic opening and ease of intubation: A crossover study. Anesthesia, essays and researches. 2014 Sep;8(3):372. Shrestha S, Arora S, Jain D, Rattan V, Sharma RK. Truview EVO2 laryngoscope reduces
- 15. intubation difficulty in maxillofacial surgeries. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2015 Oct 1;73(10):1919-e1.
- Dwivedi Y, Shukla V, Srivastava U, Saxena A, Gupta A. Comparison of Airtraq and Truview EVO2 with Macintosh Laryngoscope for Endotracheal Intubation by Experienced Anaesthesiologists: A Controlled Clinical Trial. J Anesth Crit Care Open 16 Access.2015;3(4):00105. Kurnaz MM, Sarıtaş A. Comparison of the effects of Truview PCD™ video
- 17. laryngoscopy and Macintosh blade direct laryngoscopy in geriatric patients. Journal of clinical anesthesia. 2016 Dec 1;35:268-73. Assessment of laryngeal view: percentage of glottic opening score vs Cormack and Lehane grading. Can J Anaesth. 1999 Oct:46(10):987-90
- Chandra A, Singh M, Agarwal M, Duggal R, Gupta D. Evaluation and comparison of haemodynamic response and ease of intubation between Truview PCD TM, McCOY and Macintosh laryngoscope blades. Indian Journal of Clinical Anaesthesia. 2019 Apr;6(2):209-14. Shah V. True view video laryngoscope offers better laryngoscopic view and intubation in
- 20 patients anticipated for difficult Intubation. Annals of anaesthesia and pain medicine 2011.2(1):1005
- 21. Gaszynska E, Gaszynski T. Truview EVO2 and standard macintosh laryngoscope for Guszynaki F, Guszynaki F, Hirovi Z V Sama sufficient micromost internet momental internet internet intrached intr
- 22 EVO2 PCD and Macintosh laryngoscopes. Resuscitation. 2016 Sep 1;106:e26-7
- Bakshi SG, Vanjari VS, Divatia JV. A prospective, randomised, clinical study to compare the use of McGrath®, Truview® and Macintosh laryngoscopes for endotracheal 23 intubation by novice and experienced Anaesthesiologists. Indian journal of anaesthesia. 2015 Jul;59(7):421.
- 24. Tempe DK, Chaudhary K, Diwakar A, Datt V, Virmani S, Tomar AS, Mohandas A, Mohire VB. Comparison of hemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and intubation with artery bypass grafting: A randomized prospective study. Annals of cardiac manesthesia. 2016 Jan; 19(1):68. Mingir T, Kitapcioglu D, Turgut N, Yumru C. The Accuracy of Tests Used to Predict
- 25 Difficult Airway and a Comparison of Macintosh Laryngoscope to Video Laryngoscope for Intubation. Kuwait Medical Journal. 2015;47(3):201-9.
- Michailidou M, O'Keeffe T, Mosier JM, Friese RS, Joseph B, Rhee P, Sakles JC. A 26 comparison of video laryngoscopy to direct laryngoscopy for the emergency intubation of trauma patients. World journal of surgery. 2015 Mar 1;39(3):782-8.
- Ramesh T Timanaykar, Lakesh K Anand, Sanjeev Palta A randomized controlled study to evaluate and compare Truview blade with Macintosh blade for laryngoscopy and 27 intubation under general anesthesia Year :2011, Volume :27, Issue :2, Page :199-204 Sachidananda R, Umesh G. A review of hemodynamic response to the use of different
- 28 types of laryngoscopes. Anaesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care. 2019 Jan 24:201-8