Original Research Paper



Anaesthesiology

DEXAMETHASONE (8MG) AS AN ADJUVANT WITH BUPIVACAINE (0.5%) IN EPIDURAL ANAESTHESIA FOR LOWER LIMB SURGERY - A **COMPARATIVE STUDY OF 60 CASES**

Dr. Rinku Patel

3rd year Resident doctor Department of Anaesthesiology Shree M.P. Shah Medical College, Guru Gobind Singh Hospital, Jamnagar.

Dr. Nipa Nayak*

Associate Professor Department Of Anaesthesiology Shree M.P. Shah Medical College, Guru Gobind Singh Hospital, Jamnagar. *Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT INTRODUCTION: Surgical Anaesthesia and perioperative Analgesia delivered through an indwelling epidural

catheter is a safe and effective method for management of Perioperative pain. We planned to evaluate addition of dexamethasone 8 mg (2cc) to bupivacaine 0.5% plain(16cc) in epidural block in patients undergoing lower limb surgery to study sensory and motor blockade characteristics, postoperative analgesia and side effect profile.

METHOD & MATERIAL: All patients were divided into two groups-group BD(n=30), inj.Bupivacaine (0.5%) plain 16 ml with 2 ml Dexamethasone (8mg) via epidural catheter and Group B(n=30) Inj. Bupivacaine (0.5%) plain 16 ml with 2 ml normal saline via epidural catheter. Assessment of peri-operative pain was evaluated by using visual analogue scale.

RESULT: Intraoperative pulse rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and mean blood pressure changes in both Groups show no significant difference. The onset of sensory and motor block was earlier, duration of sensory and motor block was longer, duration of analgesia was longer in Group BD than Group B. There was statistical difference between onset and duration of sensory and motor block between two groups. None of the patients in both the groups required epidural top up dose or show any complications.

CONCLUSION: Addition of dexamethasone (8mg) to Bupivacaine 0.5% plain (16ml) when given epidurally influence the sensory and motor block by shortening the onset time, early peak effect and prolonging the duration of surgical anaesthesia and prolonged analgesia without affecting the other parameters and no complications perioperatively.

KEYWORDS: Dexamethasone, Bupivacaine, Epidural Anaesthesia, Lower Limb Surgery

INTRODUCTION

Uncontrolled perioperative pain may potentiate some of pathophy si ologies and increase morbidity and mortality for patients. uation of Perioperative pain may decrease perioperative morbidity and mortality. Surgical Anaesthesia and perioperative Analgesia delivered through an indwelling epidural catheter is a safe and effective method for management of Perioperative pain. [2] Increasing the duration of local anesthetic action is often desirable because it prolongs analgesia. Dexamethasone is a high-potency, long-acting glucocorticoid with little mineralocorticoid effect that has been used for prophylaxis of postoperative nausea. [3] Dexamethasone microspheres have been found to prolong the block duration in animal and human studies. [4,5]

Since 1952, Steroids were widely used epidurally for postoperative pain relief. Callery, Iveta et al (2008) and Sistla et al (2008) individually acknowledged the beneficial effects of steroids with regard to decreasing pain, nausea and vomiting following surgical trauma. Steroids have powerful anti-inflammatory as well as analgesic property. They relieve pain by reducing inflammation and blocking transmission in nociceptive C-fibers and by suppressing ectopic neural discharge. [6] Dexamethasone, a synthetic glucocorticoid has highly potent anti-inflammatory property without mineralocorticoid activity. It is also found to be safer and devoid of potential side effects.

Wang et al. (1998) gave dexamethasone epidurally after multiple epidural needle insertion. Thomas et al. (2006) showed preoperative epidural administration of dexamethasone 5mg, with or without bupivacaine, reduced postoperative pain and morphine consumption following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. [7] With this background, we planned to evaluate addition of dexamethasone 8 mg (2cc) to bupivacaine 0.5% plain(16cc) in epidural block in patients undergoing lower limb surgery to study sensory and motor blockade characteristics, postoperative analgesia and side effect profile.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After institutional research ethical committee approval & obtaining an informed written consent, 60 patients were included in a randomized prospective controlled comparative clinical study for planned lower limb surgeries in our hospital. All patients were divided into two groups-group BD(n=30) and Group B(n=30)

- GROUP BD= inj.Bupivacaine (0.5%) plain 16 ml with 2 ml Dexamethasone (8mg) via epidural catheter
- GROUP B= Inj. Bupivacaine (0.5%) plain 16 ml with 2 ml normal saline via epidural catheter

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Patients scheduled for lower limb surgeries.
- ASA Physical status I to III
- Age group 18 to 65 years of either sex of average height and weight

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Patients' refusal
- Uncooperative patients / Not able to understand pain assessment
- Patients with history of drug allergy
- Drug addict/Patient on long term steroid therapy
- Pregnancy
- Known case of TB/Peptic ulcer/Chronic inflammatory disease/Obesity
- Any absolute contraindication for epidural anaesthesia
- Bleeding disorder
- Anatomical abnormality of the spine
- Local sepsis around spine
- Psychiatric illness
- Neurological deficits

All patients were thoroughly assessed day before surgery and screened for any associated medical illness. Routine investigations were carried out and documented. Patients were also assessed for vitals. Thorough systemic examination was done in every patient. Airway assessment was done by Malampatti grading. Lumbar spine examination was done in every patient. This assessment is done in their respective wards and appropriate advice was given if any, on the day before surgery. Informed written consent was taken. They were also explained about assessment of pain with the help of Visual Analogue Scale. Under all aseptic and antiseptic precautions lumbar epidural was performed with 18 G touhy epidural needle at the lumbar L3-L4 interspace using loss of resistance technique via a midline approach. Epidural catheter inserted through epidural needle after confirmation of epidural space, then epidural catheter fixed properly after giving test dose with 3 ml of 1.5% preservative free lidocaine with 1:200,000 epinephrine. After that Patients were given bolus dose of drug via epidural catheter according to group allotment in supine position.

Assessment of peri-operative pain was evaluated by using VAS (visual analogue scale) with Grade 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain). All patients were explained about VAS score. Analgesia was considered satisfactory if the VAS score was <=4. If score was > 4, analgesia was judged unsatisfactory and rescue analgesia was administered in the

form of Inj. Bupivacaine (0.125%) plain 10 ml with Inj. Tramadol 1 mg/kg via epidural catheter.

OBSERVATION AND RESULTS STASTICALANALYSIS

The results of study were tabulated & stastically compared. All the results were analyzed statistically with Microsoft excel and Chi square test was used for qualitative data. For rest of the quantitative data student unpaired t test was used p<0.05 was considered as significant & p<0.001 was considered as highly significant.

AGE, WEIGHT, DURATION OF SURGERY AND SEX Table 1

Table 1				
	Group BD	Group B	p value	Significance
Age (years)	35.7±14.1	40.5±14.05	0.19	NS
Weight(kg)	65.76±10.41	62.83±9.91	0.62	NS
Duration of	121.5±30.09	116±26.98	0.45	NS
surgery				
(minutes)				
Sex (m/f)	24:6	24:6	-	-

HEART RATE

Table 2

	BD	В	T value	p value	Significance		
Just before	99.46±7.42	99.2±8.76	0.09	0.92	NS		
induction							
Just after	100.66±9.48	98.4±9.1	0.94	0.34	NS		
induction							
5 min	98.3±7.94	96.6±9.1	0.75	0.45	NS		
10 min	97.26±9.13	94.1±8.95	1.37	0.17	NS		
15 min	95.13±8.9	91.1±8.30	1.82	0.07	NS		
30 min	94.9±7.6	92.3±5.96	1.46	0.14	NS		
60 min	93±5.57	92±6	0.66	0.50	NS		
90 min	92.3±6.1	91.1±6.3	0.75	0.45	NS		
120 min	92.5±4.36	90.6±6.39	1.02	0.31	NS		
150 min	92±4.56	91.7±9.93	0.06	0.94	NS		

SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE (SBP)

Table 3

	RD	В	T value	p value	Significance
Just	126.33±7.07	131.5±12.1	-2.04	0.04	NS
before					
induction					
Just after	124.4±11.03	129.8±11.32	-1.89	0.06	NS
induction					
					The state of the s

5 min 122.8±8.56 | 122.5±9.35 | 0.14 10 min 121.46±8.85 121.2±8.81 0.11 0.90 NS 15 min 120.8±7.76 | 121.4±9.09 -0.27 0.78 NS 30 min 121.4±7.44 | 120.6±9.02 | 0.37 0.70 NS 120.2±7.64 120.1±8.64 60 min 0.85 NS 0.18 90 min 119.4±7.30 120.1±8.31 -0.10 0.91 NS 120 min 120.1±7.48 121.7±8.56 -0.67 0.50 NS 120.7±7.39 119.5±8.46 NS 150 min 0.32 0.74

DIASTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE (DBP)

Table 4

Table 4							
	BD	В	T value	p value	Significance		
Just before	78.8±3.95	80.5±3.4	-1.82	0.07	NS		
induction							
Just after	79.4±4.54	80.2±3.55	-1.03	0.30	NS		
induction							
5 min	78.9±3.41	79.47±5.0	-0.85	0.39	NS		
10 min	77.53±5.27	79.1±4.35	-1.28	0.20	NS		
15 min	78.2±3.33	79.5±4.15	-1.36	0.17	NS		
30 min	79.5±7.65	79.3±4.34	0.12	0.90	NS		
60 min	78±3.30	79.1±4.80	-1.0	0.32	NS		
90 min	77.8±3.48	79.2±4.32	-1.0	0.32	NS		
120 min	77.7±3.64	79.6±4.51	-1.38	0.17	NS		
150 min	77.4±4.39	80.5±6.90	-1.09	0.29	NS		

MEANARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE (MAP)

	BD	В	T value	p value	Significance
Just before induction	96.6±4.5	97.6±5.9	-2.16	0.03	NS
Just after induction	94.4±6.15	96.8±5.67	-1.56	0.12	NS
5 min	93.5±4.89	98.8±6.02	-0.42	0.67	NS
10 min	92.17±6.09	93.1±5.21	-0.66	0.50	NS
15 min	92.4±4.2	93.5±5.29	-0.91	0.36	NS
30 min	92.3±4.8.	93.1±5.28	-0.59	0.55	NS
60 min	89±4.30	92.8±5.20	-0.46	0.64	NS
90 min	91.71±4.34	92.48±5.2	-0.60	0.54	NS
120 min	91.86±4.6	93.6± 5.2	-1.05	0.30	NS

Intraoperative pulse rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and mean blood pressure changes in both Group BD and Group B show no significant difference in both groups.

0.57

NS

91.87±5.15 93.5±6.73 -0.57

CHARACTERISTIC OF SENSORY AND MOTOR BLOCK, DURATION OF ANALGESIA

Table 6

	Time in minutes	Group BD	Group B	T value	p value	Significance
sensory block	Onset	2.96±1.0	5.23±1.75	-6.09	1.9E-07	S
	Peak	6.83 ± 2.45	12.83 ±2.52	-9.34	3.5E-13	S
	Duration	373±49	242±31.45	12.32	1.3E-16	S
motor block	Onset	5.86±3.4	10.31±3.4	-4.21	0.0002	S
	Peak	10.66 ±5.37	19.66± 7.6	-4.07	0.0005	S
	Duration	254.4±39.79	188±26.51	6.32	2.3E-07	S
Duration of analgesia	Time in minutes	670 ± 84.60	436 ± 40.7	13.64	4.9E-17	S

150 min

The onset of sensory and motor block was earlier in Group BD than Group B. The duration of sensory and motor block was longer in Group BD as compared to Group B. There was statistical difference between onset and duration of sensory and motor block between two groups. None of the patients in both the groups required epidural top up dose. None of the patients in both the groups show any complications perioperatively.

Duration of analgesia was longer in Group BD (670 min) than Group B (436 min) with statistically significant difference.

DISCUSSION

Corticosteroids may have a local effect on the nerve and the dexamethasone effect may be related to this action. [72] Some authors believe that analgesic properties of corticosteroids are the result of their systemic effect. [75] We used a dose of 8 mg dexamethasone. Adverse effects with a single dose of dexamethasone, are probably extremely rare and minor in nature and previous studies have

demonstrated that short-term (24 hours) use of dexamethasone was

In our study sensory onset in group BD is 2.96±1.0, which is faster than in group B which is 5.23 ± 1.75 minutes with p<0.001.

In our study sensory blockade peak in group BD is 6.83±2.45, which is faster than in group B which is 12.83±2.52 minutes with p<0.001.

In our study sensory blockade duration in group BD is 373 ± 49 , which is longer than in group B which is 242±31.45 minutes with p<0.001.

In our study motor blockade onset in group BD is 5.86±3.4, which is faster than in group B which is 10.31 ± 3.4 minutes with p<0.001.

In our study motor blockade peak in group BD is 10.66±5.37, which is faster than in group B which is 19.66±7.6 minutes with p<0.001.

In our study motor blockade duration in group BD is 254.4±39.79, which is longer than in group B which is 188±26.51 minutes with

In our study analgesia duration in group BD is 670±84.60, which is longer than in group B which is 436±40.7 minutes with p<0.001. All these parameters are comparable with Pinalben et al (2015) [12] and M.R.Razavizadeh et al (2017) study result. [1]

In our study no any patients in both the Groups developed hypotension unlike Movafegh et al (2005) who had reported hypotension in 3 patients [14]. In our study no any patients in both the Groups developed bradycardia. No complications happened to any patients of both the groups till they were discharged from our hospital.

CONCLUSION

Addition of DEXAMETHASONE (8mg) to Bupivacaine 0.5% plain (16ml) when given epidurally influence the sensory and motor block by shortening the onset time, early peak effect and prolonging the duration of surgical anaesthesia and prolonged analgesia without affecting the other parameters and no complications perioperatively.

REFERENCES

- Christopher L. Acute postoperative pain. In: Miller RD (editor; Miller Anesthesia. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill livingstone .2005; 2730
- Grass JA: Epidural analgesia. Proble Anesth; 1998; 10: 445. Gan TJ, Meyer T, Apfel CC, Chung F, Davis PJ, Eubanks S Kovac A, Philip BK, Sessler Oan 17, Meyer 1, Apier CC, Clining F, Davis 7, Eudains S Rovac A, Fining DK, Sessier DI, Temo J, Tramer MR, Watcha M: Consensus guidelines for managing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg, 2003; 97: 62-71.

 Castill J, Curley J, Hotz J, et al: Glucocorticoids prolong rat. sciatic nerve blockade invivo from bupivacaine microspheres. Anesthesiology; 2002; 85: 1157-66.

 Kopacz DJ, Lacouture PG, Wu D, et al: the dose response and effects of dexamethasone
- on bupivacaine microcapsules for intercostal blockade (T9 ;to T11) in healthy volunteers. Anesth Analg, 2003; 96: 576-82.
- Ahlgren SC, Wang JF, Levine JD: C-fiber mechanical stimulus response functions are different in inflammatory versus neuropathic .hyperalgesia in the rat. Neuroscience; 1997; 76: 285-90
- Thomas S, Beevi S: Epidural dexamethasone reduces postoperative, pain and analgesic 7.
- ritorinas, 3, Beevi, 2. Epiduria de Aametinasonie reduces postoperative, pain and analgesic requirements. Can J Anaesti, 2006; 53(9): 899-905.

 Devor MD, Gorvin-Lippmann R, Raber P. Corticosteroids suppress; ectopic neural discharge Iriginating in experimental neuromas. Pain. 1985; 22: 127-37. 8.
- Aasboe V, Raeder JC, Groegaard B: Betamethasone reduces postoperative pain and nousea after ambulatory surgery. Anesth .Analg; 1998; 87: 913-7;
- Tan P, Liu K, Peng CH, et al: The effect of dexamethasone on postoperative pain and emesis after intrathecal neostigmine. Anesth .Analg; 2001; 92: 228-32.

 Splinter WM, Rhine EJ: Low-dose ondansetrone with dexamethasone more effectively
- decreases vomiting after .strabismus surgery in children than does high-does ondansetrone. Anesthesiology; 1998; 88: 72-5.
- ondansetrone. Anesthesiology; 1998; 88: 72-5. Pinalben Dabhi, addition of dexamethasone to bupivacaine 0.5% for epidural anesthesia in patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgery. World journal of pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences 2015, volume4, issue 05,1476-1487 M.R.Razavizadeh,MR. Fazel et al, "epidural dexamethasone for post operative analgesia in patients undergoing unilateral inguinal herniorrhaphy: A comparative study," pain research and management, vol. 2017, Article ID 7649458,5 pages, 2017 Khafagy HF, Refaat Al, El-Sabae HH, Youssif MA. Efficacy of epidural dexamethasone versus fentanyl on postoperative analgesia. J Anesth 2010;24:531-6.