



A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MIDDLE AND LOWER SOCIOECONOMICS STATUS IN APPROVAL MOTIVE.

Dr. Madhu Kumari Pathak*

Ph.D (Psychology). *Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT The purpose of this study was to explore the impact of socioeconomic status on academic achievement. The study conducted on 50 middle male and female and 50 lower male and female socioeconomic status. Their age ranged from 18 to 20. The approval motive was measured by approval motive scale developed by Tripathi and Tripathi (1980). The obtained data was analyzed and treated with help of t test. The finding supported the two hypothesis.

KEYWORDS :

INTRODUCTION:

In the present study middle and lower socioeconomic status (SES) were treated as the independent variable. Approval motive was treated as dependent variable. Effect of approval motive in middle and lower socioeconomic status male and female work hard to win the good will of others, family members and relatives. Male and female seek not to incur their displeasure, disappointed even when others, family members and relatives. Because there is a wide spread desire for approval motive. Allport (1924) had stated that attaining the approval is desire satisfied middle socioeconomic status male and female adjust the situation in compare to lower male and female socioeconomic status. Male and female of lower socioeconomic status are shorter than those of middle male and female socioeconomic status. The first two years of life being critical for height development, we studied maternal educational level (high, mid-high, and mid-low, and low) as a measured height in children aged 0-2 years, and also examined to what extent known determinants of postnatal growth contribute to this association. Lower socioeconomic status people don't adjust the situation such as social awareness, social relation and social activity, etc. problem in achievement of goal because socioeconomic status is lower in comparison to middle socioeconomic people. Don't achieved the good will from others, strangers and relatives. Because lower socioeconomic status people always negative thinking about you and others. Always thinking hopeless, helpless and worthless due to socioeconomic status. Different types of problem created in society in lower socioeconomic people. Middle socioeconomic status people maintain all activity in society. Socioeconomic status reflects and is measured by the social and economic status of family members. People generally believe that there is a strong and stable correlation between SES and male and female's academic achievement and cognitive development. Middle SES people are more effective from society. In compare to lower SES people, low self-esteem in low SES people. People had received relatively in consistent approval motive in their formative years and that's why they had a strong mitigation to gain approval motive from others (Ditts, 1959) and they subjects who are high approval motivation are generally more confirming to the situation demands any group pressure as compared to the subject who are lower on approval motivation (Crown and Marlow 1960). Approval motive play an important role in middle and lower socioeconomic status people. To approval motivation is concerned, it reasonably seems. It has positive and negative effect of middle and lower socioeconomic status on behaviour.

The main objective of the present study was to examine the effect of middle and lower SES difference on the approval motivation. It was intended to compare the male and female counterparts in terms of approval motive.

Hypothesis:

- (i) Middle socioeconomic status in male and female will differ significantly on approval motivation.
- (ii) Lower socioeconomic status in male and female will differ significantly on approval motivation.

Method:

Sample- The present study was conducted on the basis of purposive sample consisting of 50 middle socioeconomic status in male and

female and 50 lower socioeconomic status in male and female. Their age ranged from 18 to 20. They mostly belong to undergraduate classes.

Instruments:

- (i) Personal Data Sheet To obtain the necessary information like name, age, sex, inhabitation area of respondents, family type, number of family members, education level of respondent and their family members etc. Regarding respondents were collected through self-prepared personal data sheet.
- (ii) Approval motive scale developed by Tripathi and Tripathi (1980). The scale has sufficient degree of reliability and validity.

Results and Discussion :

The findings of the study have been tabulated in table i and table ii. The comparison of mean score of middle socioeconomic status in male and female on approval motive indicated that middle socioeconomic status male and female have displayed significantly. Mean and SD of middle SES are 80.50 and 16.24 and the mean SD score of lower SES male and female are 55.70 and 23.29 respectively. In table i difference between both mean scores have been found highly significant. So middle SES in male and female are influenced by approval motive in comparison to low SES in male and female.

The result recorded in Table ii showed significant effect of SES on approval motivation. The comparison of mean, SD and t-value of middle and low SES in male and female indicate that the Mean and SD score of middle SES 89.10 and 10.23 and lower indicate that Mean and SD score 47.50 and 24.18. The obtained score is significant. So middle SES are more influenced by approval motive in compare to SES male and female. In the light of the finding of present study the following conclusion have been drawn. The result are obtained in the study that the middle SES people are always guided by authority. So they always behave with respective manner. Several earlier studies have suggested SES difference in different types of social motivation such as power, approval, achievement, and affiliation.

Table I Mean, SD and t-value of people in the variable of middle SES.

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t-Value
Middle Socio Economic Status	Male	50	55.70	23.29	6.2**
	Female	50	80.50	16.24	

Table II Mean, SD and t-value of people in the variable of lower SES.

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t-Value
Low Socio Economic Status	Male	50	89.10	10.23	11.21**
	Female	50	47.50	24.18	

REFERENCES:

1. Tripathi, N.K.M and Tripathi L.B. [1980] approval motive scale, Agra, national Psychology Corporation.
2. Combs, A.W and Snygg, D (1959). Individual behaviour, New York: Harper and Row.
3. Mason, A., and Blankenship, V. (1987). Approval, Power and affiliation motivation, stress and abuse in intimate relationship. Journal of personality and social Psychology, 52, 203-210.
4. McClelland, D.C. (1971) Motivational trends in society. Moristown, NJ: G. eneral learning.
5. Miller, D.T. (1976). Ego involvement and attribution for success and failure. Journal of Personality Social Psychology 34, 901-906.