



## INFECTIVE KERATITIS - PROFILE AT AN TERTIARY CARE EYE INSTITUTE

**Dr. Srinivas Prasad Killani**

M.S., Associate Professor, Department Of Ophthalmology, Sarojini Devi Eye Hospital, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.

**Dr. Marasi Santha\***

M.S., Assistant Professor, Department Of Ophthalmology, Sarojini Devi Eye Hospital, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.\*Corresponding Author

## KEYWORDS :

## INTRODUCTION

Infective keratitis is one of the important causes of preventable blindness. Younger individuals are more affected as they are more involved in day to day activities like agriculture, most of the patients are come from rural areas. Corneal blindness due to infective keratitis is very common as timely appropriate treatment cannot be given due to lack of availability of ophthalmologist and diagnostic facilities<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup>.

## AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

1. To analyses the distribution of cases with infective keratitis.
2. He causative factors like traumatic agents, time of presentation, symptom duration and factors predisposing to perfection
3. To estimate fungal, bacterial and other infective cases of infective keratitis

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was an epidemiological cross-sectional observation study at tertiary care eye institute, Sarojini Devi Eye Hospital / Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad the period of study from November-2018 to March-2020.

A total number of 150 patients were examined with detailed history, local examination, systemic examination for any existing comorbidities. The age, Sex

Etiology of keratitis noted based on relevant microbiological investigations.

## METHODOLOGY

- **Study setting:** Department of Cornea and Trauma, Sarojini Devi Eye Hospital, Hyderabad, Telangana
- **Study duration:** Twelve months (2018 November to March - 2020).
- **Study design:** Cross sectional observational prospective study.
- **Sample size and sampling method:** 150 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included in the study by random sampling method.
- **Study subjects:** Patients with infective keratitis who presented to Department of Cornea and Trauma at the institute were included in the study.
- **Inclusion criteria:** patients with infective keratitis who gave consent were included in the study.
- **Exclusion Criteria:** patients who did not consent to the study were excluded; patients with the following conditions were not included in the study:
  - Healing ulcers
  - Mooren's ulcer
  - Interstitial keratitis
  - Any ulcer associated with autoimmune disease
  - Neurotrophic ulcers

## RESULTS

150 patients were examined and investigated for the case of infective with investigations like corneal scrapings and culture.

A total of 150 patients who met the inclusion criteria were studied.

## Gender distribution:

Of all 150 patients, 110 were males and 40 were females with an overall ratio of male to female patients of 2.9:1.

## Distribution of patients according to gender (N=150)

Gender	Number of patients	Percentage
Males	110	73.33
Females	40	26.66

## Age distribution

The predominance of corneal ulceration in males was most pronounced in fifth and sixth decades of life with mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) age of 46.4 ( $\pm$ 16.32) years

## Distribution of patients according to acie (N=150)

Age group (years)	Number of patients	Percentage
1-20	10	6.6
21-40	50	33.33
41-60	60	40
61-80	30	20
Total	150	99.99

## Age and Gender distribution

Most of the patients were males between third and seventh decade of life. Distribution of patients according to age and gender (N=150)

Age group	Gender		Total no. (%)
	Male no. (%)	Female no. (%)	
1-20	6 (5.40)	4 (10.25)	10
21-40	40 (36.30)	10 (25.64)	50
41-60	44 (39.63)	16 (41.02)	60
61-80	21 (18.91)	9 (23.07)	30
<b>Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>150</b>

## Demographic distribution of patients (N=125)

Most of the patients (64, 8%) were from rural areas

Demography	Number of patients	Percentage
Rural	99	66
Urban	51	34
<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>

## Distribution of patients according to type of work (N=150Q)

Type of work	Number of patients	Percentage
Farmers or Agriculture	61	40.66
Auto or cab drivers	15	10
Students	9	6
Laborer	25	16.66
Stone mason	5	3.33
House wife or domestic workers	15	10
Tradesman or professionals	9	6
Unemployed	11	7.33
<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>99.98</b>

## Distribution of patients according to eye affected (N=150)

Right eye was affected in 81 (54%) patients and left eye was affected in 69 (46%) patients.

Eye affected	Number of patients	Percentage
Right eye	81	54
Left eye	69	46
<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>

## Duration of symptoms prior to presentation at the institute

About 34% of the patients presented to the institute with a week of onset of symptoms

**Distribution of patients according to duration of symptoms prior to presentation at the institute (N=15Q)**

Duration of symptoms	Number of patients	Percentage
1-7 days	51	34
8-14 days	33	22
15-21 days	38	25.33
22-29 days	12	8
>1 month	16	10.66
<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>

**Presenting complaints**

Majority (92%) of the patients complained of watering, redness and foreign body sensation. The next common complaint was decreased vision in affected eye (69.6%),

**Distribution of patients according to symptoms (N=150)**

Symptoms	Number of patients	Percentage
Decreased vision	92	61.33
Pain	73	48.66
Watering	32	21.33
Photophobia	36	24
Redness	118	78.66
Watering	122	81.33
Foreign body sensation	118	78.66

**Traumatic agents**

Of the 125 patients, 102 (83.2%) patients gave history of trauma to affected eye. Of them, majority (36.8%) sustained trauma with organic/ plant matter.

**Distribution of patients according to traumatic agents (N=150)**

Traumatic agents	Number of patients	Percentage
Organic/ plant matter (wood/ grass/ paddy/ vegetable matter)	57	38
Animal matter (bull tail/ cow tail/ insect)	11	7.33
Finger nail	11	7.33
Dust/ mud/ stone/ sand	27	18
Cricket ball	4	2.66
Metal particle	6	4
Unknown chemical	5	3.33
Unknown foreign body	8	5.33

**Prior medication**

Medical help was sought by 89 (71.2%) patients. They were seen by eye physicians or general physicians or pharmacists. Of them 5 (4%) patients went to village healers and were given herbal medicine to be instilled in the eye. 34 (27.2%) patients were using antibiotic eye drops like ciprofloxacin, gentamycin or moxifloxacin; 13 (10.4%) patients were started on both antibiotics and antifungal eye drops. 36 (28.8%) patients did not have a record of eye drops they were using.

**Distribution of patients according to medication used prior to presentation to the Institute (N=125)**

Type of medication used	Number of patients	Percentage
Antibiotic eye drops (ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, moxifloxacin)	41	27.2
Both antibiotic and antifungal eye drops	15	10.4
Anti-viral eye ointment (acyclovir)	8	5.6
Unknown eye drops	36	24
Herbal medicine	6	4
None	44	28.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>

**Systemic illness**

Systemic illness of prior diabetes mellitus and hypertension were noted. Of the 150 patients, 6 (4%) patients gave history of diabetes and 5 (3.33%) gave history of hypertension. 4 out of 6 diabetic patients had

uncontrolled blood sugar levels. Another 5 (3.33%) patients had high blood pressure; 3 (2%) had high random blood sugar values and 3 (2%) patients had high blood pressure and random blood sugar values when investigated in the institute.

**Distribution of patients according to type of systemic illness (N=150)**

Systemic illness	Number of patients	Percentage
Diabetes mellitus (type II)	6	4
Hypertension	5	3.33
Denovo diabetes mellitus	3	2
Denovo hypertension	5	3.33
Denovo diabetes and HTN	3	2
No known systemic illness	128	85.33
<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>99.99</b>

**Microbiological profile**

Of the 150 cases, bacterial and fungal cases were identified based on culture results and viral keratitis cases were diagnosed based on symptoms and signs.

Thus, of 150 cases, 126 (84%) cases were culture positive. Among the 126 culture positive cases, 76 (50.66%) cases were fungal positive and 50 (33.34%) were bacterial culture positive.

Of the 76 fungal culture positive cases, corneal scraping in 54 (71.43%) cases showed KOH positive and KOH was negative in 22 (28.57%) cases.

12 (8%) patients were diagnosed as having viral keratitis based on the presentation. Viral keratitis cases were identified by vesicular lesions on face and lids in Herpes Zoster and by punctuate keratitis in herpes simplex. 12 (8%) cases were culture negative.

**Distribution of patients according to microbiological profile**

Diagnosis	Number of cases	Percentage
Culture positive	76 Fungal	76
	BaS Oacterial	50
		33.34
Culture negative	12	8
Viral keratitis	12	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>

**DISCUSSION**

Corneal ulcer is one of the predominant causes of blindness and ocular morbidity in developing countries, the incidence of corneal ulceration is higher in South India compare to data of other countries<sup>7,8</sup>.

**Gender distribution**

Male preponderance (74%) of patient in our study were males similar to studies conducted in Madurai, West Bengal and Warangal<sup>9</sup>. In our study male to female ratio was (2.84:1). In comparison to the above three studies. Our study has similar results to the study compared by Usha Gopinathan et al (2.25:1)<sup>12</sup>.

**Age distribution**

In our study the risk of infection was more in males who were in 5<sup>th</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> decade of life or 44% as compared to the other studies done by Madhurai and Warangal. Outdoor activities and frequent exposure to the risk factors like trauma during agriculture activity may be the reason.

**Demography**

Most of the patients (66%) were from rural background it was similar to study conducted in Warangal and West Bengal. In rural population Infection rate is high due to higher chance of injury and lack of awareness delay in consulting an ophthalmologist for treatment, use of herbal treatment and practice of removing foreign body with unsterile material.

**Occupation**

Most of the patients were farmers and agricultural workers (40.66%) the profile of occupation was shows similarities to other studies conducted in West Bengal, Warangal and Madhurai.

**Duration of symptoms**

Our study shows that (34%) of patients reported within a one week of onset of symptoms. Compared to West Bengal study only (11%) of patients came to the hospital within one week.

The reason may be unavailability of medical care in the nearby places and the awareness further treatment may be better, Madurai study shows that (60%) of the patients reported within one week and (75%) of patients reported within one week in Warangal study.

### Presenting complaints

In our study (93%) of patients had complaints of redness, watering and foreign body sensation. Apart from these (62%) cases complaints of diminished vision.

### Traumatic agents

In our study (86%) most of the traumatic agents was organic or plant matter which is common in rural population as most of them are agriculture workers by occupation.

Others injury with finger nail, dust, stone, cricket ball were very few. Corneal trauma is the history of injury within one week was similar to other studies conducted in Madhurai and West Bengal, these studies. Agricultural workers are usually more prone to injury with organic or plant matter. Other traumatic agents noted were finger nail, dust stone or sand, cricket ball, were very few. Corneal trauma with history of injury within one week was similar to other studies conducted in Madhurai and West Bengal.

Study	Total number of trauma cases	Trauma with organic or plant matter
Present study	86%	74%
M Srinivasan et al <sup>10</sup>	65.4%	59.2%
Samar K Basak et al <sup>11</sup>	82.9%	59.6%
K. Ravinder et al <sup>7</sup>	46.25%	-

### Prior medication:

107 patients (71.33%) visited other local practitioner before coming to this institute. This was similar to the studies conducted in Madhurai (71.4%) and West Bengal (88.1%).

Patients who came to our institute were on topical antibiotics 41(29.24%) 15 (10.7%) were using both antibiotics and anti-fungal drugs 8 (5.70%) were on antiviral treatment and 36 (28.68%) could not give details of the medication they were using. It was observed that most of the patients used medication without doctors consultation or used medication given by village healer. In our country medicines are sold without prescription. Some of the patients are also used herbal medicines<sup>13,14,15,16,17</sup>.

So the above mention reasons may have devastating effects on the treatment of infective keratitis.

### Showing comparison of use of prior medication in various studies

	Present study	M Srinivasan et al <sup>10</sup>	Samar K Basak et al <sup>11</sup>	Usha Gopinathan et al <sup>12</sup>
Number (n) of patients using prior medication (% of n) 150	106 (70.66)	376 (86.6)	1056 (88.1)	1945 (54.6)
Topical antibiotics (% of n) 41	38.30%	57.7%	86.9%	
Topical antifungals or both (% of n) 15	14.15%	9.8%	18.4%	
Antiviral eye ointment (% of n) 8	7.54%		12.8%	
Herbal medicine (% of n) 6	4.0%	37.3%		0.4%
Unknown (% of n) 44	29.33%	-	3.2%	

In all the studies topical antibiotic eye drops were commonly used medication followed by topical antifungal eye drops. In Madurai, herbal medicine was also commonly used.

### Systemic illness

It was observed that the patients who were come to our institute had Diabetes mellitus and hypertension. 6 (4%) patients had diabetes mellitus, hypertension 5 (3.33%). These patients referred to other institutes for treatment of diabetes mellitus and hypertension<sup>18,19,20,21,22</sup>.

This was almost similar to study conducted in Madhurai and West Bengal.

### Showing comparison of percentage of culture positive cases in various studies

Study	Percentage of Culture positive cases
Present study	84%
M Srinivasan et al <sup>10</sup>	68.4%
K. Ravinder et al <sup>7</sup>	56.94%
Samar K Basak et al <sup>11</sup>	67.7%
Usha Gopinathan et al <sup>12</sup>	92.5%
Derek Y Kunimoto et al <sup>23</sup>	72.5%

### Showing comparison of percentage of isolate S-Ln culture positive cases in various studies

Study	% of Culture positive cases	% of Fungal positive cases	% of Bacterial positive cases	% of Mixed cases	% of Acanthamoeba cases
Present study	84%	60.31%	39.68%		-
M Srinivasan et al <sup>10</sup>	68.4%	32.0%	32.3%	3.4%	-
K. Ravinder et al <sup>7</sup>	56.94%	29.16%	27.77%	-	-
Samar K Basak et al <sup>11</sup>	67.7%	42.5%	15.3%	9.5%	-
Usha Gopinathan et al <sup>12</sup>	92.5%	38.2%	51.9%	7.5%	2.4%
Derek Y Kunimoto et al <sup>23</sup>	72.5%	18.63%	62.74%	-	0.98%
A K Leek et al <sup>9</sup>					
India	68.9%	38.6%	23.9%	5.5%	0.9%
Ghana	50.3%	36.2%	12.4%	1.4%	0.3%

In the present study most of the cases fungal, culture, positive cases. This study correlates with the study done in Ghana West Bengal and other Indian studies.

The weather conditions which are prevailing in our country like hot and humid climates. May be the reason for high incidence of infective keratitis.

### CONCLUSION

- The age groups who get infective keratitis are usually in the 4<sup>th</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> decade of life.
- These age group people are active and involved in agricultural activities
- Infective keratitis is commonly seen in rural areas compare to urban population
- We need to strengthen the treatment services like immediate accessibility of the patients to consultation with an ophthalmologist
- A lot of input has to be given to rural population with regard to primary eye care services
- Our study shows that most of the infections are fungal and bacterial
- We need to strengthen the diagnostic modalities to be available keeping in view rural population
- In we strengthen the above systems that is diagnosis and treatment will be as early as possible and visual rehabilitation should be better

### REFERENCES

- World Health Organization [internet]. Blindness and visual impairment. [updated 2018 Oct 11; cited 2018 Oct 20]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/blindness-and-visual-impairment>
- Upadhyay MP, Karmacharya PC, Koirala S, et al. Epidemiologic characteristics, predisposing factors, and etiologic diagnosis of corneal ulceration in Nepal. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1991;111:92-9.
- Gonzales CA, Srinivasan M, Whitcher JP, et al. Incidence of corneal ulceration in Madurai District, South India. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 1996;3:159-66.
- Whitcher JP, Srinivasan M, Upadhyay MP. Corneal blindness: a global perspective. *Bull World Health Organ* 2001;79:214-21.
- Thylefors B, Negrel AD, Pararaja Segaram R, Dadzie KY. Available data on blindness (update 1994). *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 1995;2:5-39.
- Erie JC, Nevitt MP et al. Incidence of ulcerative keratitis in a defined population from 1950 through 1988. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1993;111:1665-71.
- K. Ravinder, M. Venu Madhav, J. Archana, Jadhav Pandurang. Clinical evaluation of corneal ulcer among patients attending teaching hospital. *International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research* 2016;3(4):949-952.
- R Dandona, L Dandona: Review of findings of the Andhra Pradesh Eye Disease Study: policy implications for eyecare services. *IJO* 2001;49:215-340.
- A K Leek, PA Thomas, M Hagan, J Kalliamurthy, E Ackuaku, M John et al. Aetiology of suppurative corneal ulcers in Ghana and south India, and epidemiology of fungal keratitis. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2002;86:1211-15.
- M Srinivasan, Christine A Gonzales, Celine George, Vicky Cevallos, Jeena M Mascarenhas, B Asokan et al. Epidemiology and aetiological diagnosis of corneal ulceration in Madurai, south India. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1997;81:965-71.
- Samar K Basak, Sukumar Basak, Ayan Mohanta, Arup Bhowmick Epidemiological and

- Microbiological Diagnosis of Suppurative Keratitis in Gangetic West Bengal, Eastern India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2005;53:17-22
12. Usha Gopinathan, Savitri Sharma, Prashant Garg, Gullapalli N Rao. Review of epidemiological features, microbiological diagnosis and treatment outcome of microbial keratitis: Experience of over a decade. *Indian J Ophthalmol*: 2009;57:273-79.
  13. R Dandona, L Dandona. Corneal blindness in a southern Indian population: need for health promotion population strategies. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2003;87:133-141.
  14. Birk DE, Fitch JM, Linsenmayer TF. Organization of Collagen Types I and V in the Embryonic Chicken Cornea. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science* 1986;27(10): 1470-77
  15. Jeremy D. Keenan, Stephen D. McLeod. Bacterial Keratitis. In, Myron Yanoff and Jay S. Duker (ed). *Ophthalmology*, fourth edition. China, Elsevier Saunders, 2014;217-23.
  16. Jeremy D. Keenan, Stephen D. McLeod. Fungal Keratitis. In, Myron Yanoff and Jay S. Duker (ed). *Ophthalmology*, fourth edition. China, Elsevier Saunders, 2014;225-27.
  17. Stuart PM, Summers B, Morris JE, et al. Cd8(+) T cells control corneal disease following ocular infection with herpes simplex virus type 1. *J Gen Virol* 2004;85:2055-63.
  18. Banerjee K, Biswas PS, Rouse BT. Elucidating the protective and pathologic T cell species in the virus-induced corneal immunoinflammatory condition herpetic stromal keratitis. *J Leukoc Biol* 2005;77:24-32.
  19. Sonal S. Tuli, Anup A. Kubal. Viral Keratitis. In, Myron Yanoff and Jay S. Duker (ed). *Ophthalmology*, fourth edition. China, Elsevier Saunders, 2014;232-37.
  20. Liesegang TJ, Forster RK. Spectrum of microbial keratitis in south Florida. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1980;90:38-47.
  21. Hagan M, Wright E, Newman M, Dolin P, Johnson G. Causes of suppurative keratitis in Ghana. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1995;79:1024-8.
  22. Thomas PA. Mycotic keratitis - an underestimated mycosis. *J Med Vet Mycology* 1994;32:235-56.
  23. Derek Y Kunimoto, Savitri Sharma, Prashant Garg, Usha Gopinathan, David Miller and Gullapalli N Rao. Corneal ulceration in the elderly in Hyderabad, south India. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2000;84: 54-59.