Original Research Paper



Hepatobiliary Surgery

CHOLEDOCHAL CYST IN CHILDREN AND SIGNIFICANCE OF LIVER BIOPSY

Dr. D. Swetha

M.B.B.S., M.S., M.Ch (Pediatric surgery).

Choledochal cyst is one of the conditions that typically presents in infancy and childhood. 25% of the patients are diagnosed within the first year of life and 60% before the age of 10 years. The classic triad of jaundice, abdominal mass, and pain occurs less commonly in adults with choledochal cysts than in children. Liver histology has not been given due importance in the course of treatment of choledochal cysts. Liver biopsies are not routinely taken during resection of choledochal cysts and histological changes are seldom reported. In the present study 40 cases are included, age at presentation, clinical presentation, type of cyst, surgical procedure and histopathological grading of liver are documented. Histopathological grading of liver is correlated with age, clinical presentation and severity of liver damage. The present study is undertaken to assess the histopathology of liver in choledochal cysts and to grade the hepatocellular damage and fibrosis and correlate with age and clinical presentation of disease and to emphasise the importance of liver biopsy in choledochal cysts.

KEYWORDS: Choledochal Cyst, Liver Biopsy, Children, Histopathology

INTRODUCTION

Congenital dilatation of the extrahepatic biliary tract with or without dilatation of the intrahepatic biliary tract, first described in 1852 by Douglas is known as the choledochal cyst (CC) ¹. It occurs in approximately 1 to 10³ live births ². The condition typically presents in infancy and childhood. 25% of the patients are diagnosed within the first year of life and 60% before the age of 10 years but 20% are diagnosed after the age of 20 years. ³Todani and his associates have classified the anomaly into five main types and additional subtypes, based on analysis of cholangiogram.⁵⁶ Choledochal cysts are more common in female than in male patients, and the female-to-male ratio ranges from 3:1 to 4:1. ^{7,8}The classic triad of jaundice, abdominal mass, and pain occurs less commonly in adults with choledochal cysts than in children.Liver histology has not been given due importance in the course of treatment of choledochal cyst. Liver biopsies are not routinely taken during resection of choledochal cysts and histological changes are seldom reported. These changes correlate with the clinical symptoms at presentation The present study is undertaken to assess the histopathology of liver in choledochal cysts and to grade the hepatocellular damage and fibrosis and correlate with age and clinical presentation of disease.

METHODOLOGY

The study is a prospective study done for two years from November 2017 to November 2019 in the Department Of Pediatric surgery, Niloufer hospital for women and children, Red hills, Hyderabad, Telangana. Niloufer hospital is a tertiary care center for pediatric and maternal cases. A total of 40 cases are taken up for the study, children >12 years are excluded from the study. All children <12 years diagnosed with choledochal cyst were evaluated clinically, radiologically and biochemically at presentation. After preoperative evaluation and surgical consent, case is taken for surgery, cyst excision with hepatico-enterostomy was done. Intra-operatively liver biopsy was taken in all cases.

RESULTS

AGE DISTRIBUTION: Age of patients ranged from 4 months to 11 years.

Table 1: Age Wise Distribution Of Choledochal Cyst.

AGE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE						
<1 YR	10	25%						
1-5 YRS	12	30%						
5-12 YRS	18	45%						

SEX DISTRIBUTION: Of the 40 cases ,15 cases (38%) were male patients and 25 cases (62%) were female. The Male: Female ratio being 1:1.6.

Table 2: Sex Distribution

SEX	NUMBER OF CASES	PERCENTAGE
Male	15	38%
Female	25	62%

CLINICAL PRESENTATION: Most common presentation of choledochal cyst in present study is pain abdomen seen in 23 cases

(57.5%). Vomiting is seen in 20 cases (50%), fever in 7 cases(17.5%), jaundice in 19 cases(47.5%), abdominal lump in 5 cases (12.5%), and 2 patients presented with pale stools (5%).

Table 3: Distribution Of Clinical Presentation

CLINICAL PRESENTATION	CASES	PERCENTAGE
Pain abdomen	23/40	57.5%
Vomiting	20/40	50%
Jaundice	19/40	47.5%
Lump abdomen	5/40	12.5%
Fever	7/40	17.5%
Pale stools	2/40	5%
Classic triad	3/40	7.5%

SURGICAL INTERVENTION: In 28 cases (70%), Roux en Y hepaticojejunostomy was done and 12 cases (30%) hepaticoduodenostomy was done.

CLASSIFICATION OF CHOLEDOCHAL CYST: 31 cases had type I and 9 cases had type IV. Majority of cases in the present study belonged to type I cyst.

HISTOPATHOLOGY OF LIVER BIOPSIES: Histological changes of higher grades were observed in infants (<1yr) and of lower grades were observed in older children (>5yr). Out of 10 infants, 7 showed grade 2 hepatocellular damage and 3 showed grade 3 hepatocellular damage. Most of the older children showed grade 1 hepatocellular damage.All cases showing grade 3 cholestasis were also infants.All the infants showed liver fibrosis of varying degrees. Most of the infants showed grade 3 and grade 4 liver fibrosis. All cases showing cirrhotic changes were infants.

Table 4: Histopathological Grading Of Liver Changes

			_			_					_						_					
Age				HC						80	P		BD									
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	4
Infants(10)	3	3	4	0	7	3	2	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	2	1	2	5	2
1-5yrs(12)	5	2	5	5	5	2	4	5	2	3	5	2	5	3	3	3	6	1	5	4	2	0
> 5yrs(18)	3	0	0	10	3	0	5	6	2	5	5	2	7	5	0	3	8	0	5	6	1	0

CHS- cholestasis; HCD- hepatocellular damage; PI- portal inflammation; BDP-bile duct proliferation; BDI- bile duct inflammation; CVD-central venous distension; PF- portal fibrosis.

DISCUSSION

In the **present study**, none of the patients were diagnosed antenatally. The age of patients ranged from 4 months to 11 years. The youngest patient was 4 month old male infant. In our setup, we found that choledochal cyst was more commonly diagnosed in children more than one year of age with female preponderance which was comparable to other studies.

Table 5: Comparative Studies Of Age Of Presentation And Gender Distribution.

Studies	Age		Gender			
	Infantile	Paediatric	Male: Female			

Man Chin Hua et.al(2007)	54.2%	45.8%	2:3
M Germani et al (2011)	41.6%	58.3%	1:5
N.Sugandhi et. al (2014)	8.7%	91.3%	1:1.7
Chatura et al (2016)	31.25%	68.75%	-
PRESENT STUDY	25%	75%	1:1.6

In Present study the patients were admitted with jaundice in 19 cases (47.5%), vomiting was seen in 20 cases (50%), fever in 7 cases (17.5%), pain abdomen in 23 cases(57.5%), abdominal lump in 5 cases (12.5%), and classical triad of pain, lump and jaundice was seen in 3 cases which was comparable to other studies.

The most common presentation was pain abdomen overall. Infants mostly presented with jaundice, vomiting and fever as pain abdomen is not evident in infants. Older children mostly presented with pain abdomen and jaundice.

Table 6: Comparative Studies Of Clinical Presentation

	Omar et al (2009)	opadh ya y, et	al	Chatura et al (2016)		PRESE NT STUDY
Jaundice	31.2%	42%	35%	90%	79.24%	47.5%
Vomiting	_	_	60%	18.75%	30.18%	50%
Fever	-	-		80%	54.7%	17.5%
Abdominal pain	81.2%	86%	77%	18.75%	62.26%	57.5%
Abdominal lump	-	30%	_	12.5%	67.9%	12.5%

In the present study, hepatocellular damage correlated significantly with younger age at presentation, damage of higher grade being present in younger patients. It was also observed the liver fibrosis of higher grades was found in younger patients and all the infants showed severe expansive fibrosis and cirrhotic changes. This is in agreement with the fact that infants have more severe disease at presentation.9 This means that these patients have more severe disease and hence they present early, which is reflected by the higher grades of hepatocellular damage seen at the time of presentation.

Table 7: Comparison Of Histological Findings With Study By N.Sugandhi et.al

Histological Parameter	Grade	Present study (% of cases)	Sugandhi et.al AIIMS, DELHI (%)
Cholestasis	0	45	28.25
	1	27.5	37
	2	17.5	28.25
	3	10	6.5
Hepatocellular	0	10	0
Damage	1	37.5	35
	2	37.5	50
	3	15	15
Parenchymal	0	10	6.5
Inflammation	1	27.5	37
	2	42.5	48
	3	20	8.5
Bile Duct	0	15	13
Proliferation	1	27.5	37
	2	40	32.5
	3	17.5	17.5
Bile Duct	0	12.5	9
Inflammation	1	40	39
	2	32.5	41
	3	15	11
Central Venous	0	17.5	35
Distension	1	25	54
	2	50	11
	3	7.5	0
Liver Fibrosis	0	12.5	21
	1	27.5	35
	2	30	35
	3	20	9
	4	10	0

CONCLUSION:

Choledochal cyst is a disease of bile duct as well as liver. All patients with choledochal cyst have histopathological changes of varying degrees in the liver. More severe symptoms are associated with higher degree of liver damage. These cases demand early intervention for symptomatic relief and also to prevent permanent hepatic damage in the form of fibrosis. Presentation less than 1yr is associated with more parenchymal damage and fibrosis. The hepatocellular damage and fibrosis correlated significantly with younger age at presentation and with severe clinical symptoms. These cases need early intervention and follow up to prevent progressive liver damage.

Liver biopsy is not routinely done in all cases of choledochal cyst. In the present study the severity of liver pathology has impact on the age of presentation and clinical severity of symptoms, hence liver biopsy should be done in all cases of choledochal cysts.

REFERENCES

- Douglas A H : Case of dilation of common bile duct. Monthly J Med Sci (Lond) 1852; 14:97.
- O"Neill JA Jr, Templeton JM Jr, Schnaufer L, Bishop HC, Ziegler MM, Ross AJ III Recent experience with choledochal cyst. Am Surg 1987; 205: 533-40.
- Alonso-Lej F.Rever WB, Pessagno DJ: Congenital choledochal cyst, with a report and an analysis of 94 cases. Surgical Gynecol Obstet Abstr Surg 1959;108:1-30.
- Adsay NV, Klimstra DS. Benign and malignant tumors of the gallbladder and extrahepatic biliary tract. In: Odze RD, Goldblum JR, editors. Surgical pathology of the GI tract, liver, biliary tract, and pancreas. Philadelphia: Sauners Elsevier; 2009. p. 845-
- Todani T, Watanabe Y, Namsue M.et al :congenital bile duct cyst: classification, operative procedures and review of 97 cases including cancer arising from choledochal cyst. Am J surg 1977;134:263-269.
- cyst. Am J stug 197/;134:203-209.
 Todani T, Watenabe Y, Toki A et al. Co-existing biliary anomalies and anatomical variants in choledochal cyst. Br. J Surg 1998;85:760-763
 MD Stringer, A Dhawan, M Davenport et.al. Choledochal cysts: lessons from a 20 year experience. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1995 Dec;73(6)528-531.
 Yamaguchi M. Congenital choledochal cyst. Analysis of 1433 patients in the Japanese
- ramagueni M. Congential concloconal cyst. Analysis of 14-3 patients in the Japanese literature. The American Journal of Surgery 1980; 140(5), 653-657.

 Man-Chin Hua, Hsun-Chin Chao, Reyin Lien et.al 'The Different Clinical and Liver Pathological Characteristics between the Newborns and Infants with Choledochal Cysts' March-April 2009; Chang Gung Med J Vol. 32 No. 2

 N. Sugandhi, S. Agarwala, V. Bhatnagar et.al. Liver histology in choledochal cyst-
- pathological changes and response to surgery: the overlooked aspect? Pediatric Surgery International, 2014, Volume 30, issue 2, Page 205-211
- Suita S, Shono K, Kinugasa Y, Kubota M, Matsuo S. Influence of age on the presentation and outcome of choledochal cyst. Journal of pediatric surgery. 1999 Dec 31;
- Nambirajan L, Taneja P, Singh MK, Mitra DK, Bhatnagar V. The liver in choledochal
- cyst. Trop Gastroenterology 2000;21:135–139.

 Z. H. Gong, X. Xiao, L. Chen, et.al Hepatic Fibrosis with Choledochal Cyst in Infants and Children An Immunohistochemical Assessment. European Journal of Pediatric Surgery 2007; 17(1): 12-16.
 Ozolina L, Pilmane M, Engelis A, Immunohistochemical Study of Choledochal Cyst
- Wall. Acta Chirurgica Latviensis. 2010 Jan 1; 10 (2):64-8 Germani M, Liberto D, Elmo G, Lobos P, Ruiz E. Choledochal cyst in pediatric patients:
- a 10 years single institution experience. Acta Gastroenterol Latinoam. 2011 Dec;41(4):302-