Original Resear	Volume - 11 Issue - 03 March - 2021 PRINT ISSN No. 2249 - 555X DOI : 10.36106/ijar					
C C DUI * 4010	Hospital Administration HAND HYGIENE COMPLIANCE AMONG VARIOUS HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS IN A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING HOSPITAL IN SOUTH INDIA, A BASELINE EVALUATION.					
Mustafeed Uddin Mohammed*	*Corresponding Author					
KEYWORDS :						

INTRODUCTION:

"Clean Care is Safer Care" was introduced by World Health Organization (WHO) as first Global Patient Safety Challenge¹. Health care-associated infection (HCAI) affects millions worldwide and is a major global issue for patient safety¹. A simple Hand hygiene is considered to be the primary measure necessary for reducing HCAI5. Hand hygiene compliance is an accepted measure of quality and safety in many countries5. WHO's Five Moments for Hand Hygiene in Health Care added value to hand hygiene improvement strategy⁴. Although the action of hand hygiene is simple, the lack of compliance among health-care workers continues to be a problem throughout the world⁵. Evaluation of hand hygiene practices at a health-care facility is one vital element of the strategy to improve hand hygiene and patient safety

Aim & Objectives:

Aim: To determine 'Indication related compliance with hand hygiene practices' among health care providers in general wards of a tertiary care teaching hospital.

Objectives:

- To observe for the hand hygiene action taken when indicated according to the WHO's five moments among various health care providers viz., Doctors, Nurses, Technicians and housekeeping staffs.
- Each of the 5 moments to be observed separately and equally to compare among the above categories of health care providers.

Methodology:

- Study design: A cross sectional observational study was conducted by using WHO Hand hygiene observation form by randomly observing for the hand hygiene action taken i.e., either hand wash(HW) or hand rub(HR) done for an opportunity indicated in WHO's five moments of hand hygiene^{6,8,}
- A total of 400 opportunities were observed in general wards among doctors, nurses, technicians and housekeeping staff i.e., 100 in each class of health care providers for hand hygiene action taken and compliance was calculated

Among 100 opportunities (Indications) for hand hygiene observed in each class of health care provider, 20 actions for each of the five

moments i.e, using WHO's observation form¹⁰,

- Moment-1: Before touching a patient,
- Moment-2: Before clean/aseptic procedure
- Moment-3: After body fluid exposure risk
- . Moment-4: After touching a patient
- Moment-5: After touching patient's surroundings.



Figure 1: WHO's 5 Moments of Hand hygiene **Compliance calculation:** Compliance (%) = Hand Hygiene Actions/Indications x100

- Duration of study: 3 months: October to Dec 2020
- Place of study : Tertiary care teaching hospital in South India
- Included: General wards: Orthopaedics, General medicine, Nephrology, Cardio-Thoracic surgery, plastic surgery, Medical oncology, Radiation oncology & Surgical & Medical gastroenterology wards.
- Excluded: Intensive Care Units, Out Patient Departments and **Operation Theatres**

RESULTS:

- Average Hand hygiene compliance was observed to be 28.75%
- Doctors showed 28.75%, Nurses 35%, Technicians 23% and house-keeping staff 16% compliance to hand hygiene.
- Moment/Indication wise hand hygiene compliance was highest(50%) for moment 3 i.e., After body fluid exposure risk and least(13.7%) for moment 5 i.e., After touching patient surroundings

table 1 : frand nygiene compliance overview																
	Before touching a patient			Before clean/ aseptic procedure			After body fluid exposure risk			After touching a patient			After touching patient surroundings			Total
Categories	Indic (n)	HW (n)	HR (n)	Indic (n)	HW (n)	HR (n)	Indic (n)	HW (n)	HR (n)	Indic (n)	HW (n)	HR (n)	Indic (n)	HW (n)	HR (n)	
Doctors	20	1	6	20	4	7	20	8	6	20	2	4	20		3	41/100
Nurses	20	2	4	20	4	4	20	5	7	20		5	20	1	3	35/100
Technician	20		3	20	1	3	20	4	4	20	1	4	20		3	23/100
House keeping	20	2		20		5	20	6		20		2	20		1	16/100
Total	80	5	13	80	9	19	80	23	17	80	3	15	80	1	10	115/400
Calculation	Act $(n) = 18$ Indic1 $(n) = 80$			$\begin{array}{rcl} Act (n) = & 28\\ Indic2 (n) = & 80 \end{array}$			Act (n) = 40 Indic3 (n) = 80			Act (n) = 18 Indic4 (n) = 80			Act (n) = 11 Indic5 (n) = 80			
Ratio act / indic	18/80 x1	28/80 x100 = 35			40/80 x 100 = 50 %			18/80 x100 = 22.5%			11/80 x 100 = 13.7 %			115/400 x100 = 28.75 %		
20 INDIAN JO	20 INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH															



Figure 2 : Compliance of various healthcare providers to hand hygiene



Figure3: Moment-wise hand-hygiene compliance (Overall)



Figure4 : Hand-hygiene compliance among Doctors



Figure 5: Hand-hygiene compliance among Nurses



Figure6: Hand-hygiene compliance among Technicians



Figure 7: Hand-hygiene compliance among house-keeping staff

DISCUSSION:

- Overall Hand hygiene compliance in the present study was 28.75%, a similar study from Apollo hospital, Hyderabad by Das etal³ in 2015 reported 41% compliance whereas Chavali etal² reported overall compliance to hand hygiene to be 78%.
- Health care worker category wise highest compliance was seen

- among doctors i.e 41%, followed by Nurses 35%, Technicians 23% and lowest among house keeping staffs i.e., 16% similar to Das etal³ who reported 67%, contrary to this Chavali etal² reported that Nurses showed 63% and allied healthcare staff showed 86.5% compliance to hand hygiene and Polat etal⁷ also reported that Nurses showed 77.8% compliance.
- Indication/Moment wise hand hygiene compliance was highest for moment-3 i.e., "After body fluid exposure risk" i.e, 50% and lowest for moment-5 i.e, "After touching patient surroundings" being 13.7%, similar findings were reported by Das etal³ and Chavali etal² as most of the healthcare providers perceived that hand hygiene was necessary for self protection after body fluid exposure.
- Among doctors 41% compliance was observed overall out of which, highest compliance was with moment 3 - "after body fluid exposure risk" i.e, 70% and lowest with moment 5 i.e 15%, similar findings were observed by Suresh Kumar etal⁹ and Chavali etal² where compliance was highest for moment-3
- Among Nurses, Technicians and house-keeping staff a similar pattern was observed.
- Overall higher compliance was observed for WHO's moment-3 i.e., "after body fluid exposure risk" i.e 50%

CONCLUSION:

- Hand hygiene compliance observed in the present study was 28.5% which is lower than other similar studies in India namely Das etal³ and Suresh Kumar etal⁹ i.e 41% and 69% respectively which could be due to lack of awareness and training in infection control practices whereas few authors like Chavali etal² and Polat etal⁷ reported higher compliance rates i.e, 78% and 77% respectively which suggests that hand hygiene practices varies a lot from hospital to hospital within the same region.
- Very low compliance i.e 16% was observed among housekeeping staff as most of them wore gloves but did not change them often and they assumed that hand hygiene may not be needed much on gloved hands contrary to this Chavali etal² reported least compliance among nurses when compared to other classes of healthcare providers.

LIMITATIONS:

There could be observer bias when recording data for hand hygiene action done as it could be obvious that non medical employees like technicians and housekeeping staff will be less aware about infection control compared to doctors and nurses and they used gloves most of the times.

STRENGTHS:

- All the observations were recorded without the knowledge of health care workers being observed to avoid performer's bias.
- This study can act as baseline observation to improve hand hygiene after some interventions like training and motivation.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Appointment of a well trained infection control nurse (ICN) who could train & motivate nursing staff on hand hygiene practices.
- Conducting training sessions for paramedical and house-keeping staff regarding infection control practices and risks of Healthcare associated Infections.
- Maintaining checklists for availability of hand hygiene facility in all areas.

Conflicts of interest: There were no conflicts of interests for this research so far.

REFERENCES

- Allegranzi, B., Storr, J., Dziekan, G., Leotsakos, A., Donaldson, L. and Pittet, D., 2007. Anegianzi, D., Jonawi, J., Dickani, O., Leotsako, R., Dohnason, E. and Inter, D., 2007. The First Global Patient Safety Challenge "Clean Care is Safer Care": from launch to current progress and achievements. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 65, pp.115-123. Chavali, S., Menon, V. and Shukla, U., 2014. Hand hygiene compliance among healthcare workers in an accredited tertiary care hospital. *Indian Journal of Critical*
- 2 Care Medicine, 18(10), pp.689-693. Dass, S., Vinayaraj, E., Koneru, K., Pavavni, K., Venela, P. and Rao, M., 2015.
- 3
- Dass, S., Vinayataj, E., Konetta, K., Pavavin, K., Veneta, F. and Kao, M., 2015. Adherence to Hand Hygiene in Health Care Workers in a Tertiary Care Hospital. *Indian Journal of Microbiology Research*, 2(3), p.163. Kaur, S., Thomas, A., Biswal, M., N Rao, K. and Vig, S., 2019. Effectiveness of hand hygiene promotional program based on the WHO multimodal hand hygiene improvement strategy, in terms of compliance and decontamination efficacy in an indian provement strategy. 4. Improvement stategy, in terms of computative and decontamination entrately in an indian tertiary level neonatal surgical intensive care unit. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 37(4), p. 496. Mathur, P., 2011. Hand hygiene: Back to the basics of infection control. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 134(5), p.611. Pittet, D., Allegranzi, B. and Boyce, J., 2020. *The World Health Organization Guidelines On Hand Husting In Valid the Care for all Their Concerning Researched Their Concerning Interpreted Parameter and States and Concerning Concerning Interpreted Parameter and Concerning Interpreted Parameter and States and Parameter and States and Concerning Interpreted Parameter and Interpreted Parameter and Parameter and States and Parameter and Para*
- 5.
- 6. On Hand Hygiene In Health Care And Their Consensus Recommendations

7.

1 _

- Volum Polat, S., Parlak Gürol, A. and Çevik, Ü., 2011. Hand hygiene compliance of nurses: A5-unit observational study in North-Eastern Anatolia. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 17(4), pp.435-440.
 Shen, L., Wang, X., An, J., An, J., Zhou, N., Sun, L., Chen, H., Feng, L., Han, J. and Liu, X., 2009. *Implementation Of WHO Multimodal Strategy For Improvement Of Hand Hygiene: A Quasi-Experimental Study In A Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital In Xi'An, China.* 8.
- Xi'An, China.
 Sureshkumar, D., Ramasubramanain, V., Abdulghafur, K. and Nagvekar, V., 2011. Hand hygiene compliance in India. *BMC Proceedings*, 5(S6).
 Who.int. 2006. [online] Available at: https://www.who.int/patientsafety/events/05/HH _en.pdf> [Accessed 8 October 2020].