Original Research Paper	Volum
Original Research I aper	

Orthopedic



ROTATIONAL ALIGNMENT OF THE FEMORAL COMPONENT IN TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY FOR VARUS OSTEOARTHRITIS OF THE KNEE; A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Pooyan Jalalpour*	Shahid Beheshti University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran. *Corresponding Author
Mohammadreza Minator Sajadi	Shahid Beheshti University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran.
Seyed Amirali Matini	Shahid Beheshti University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran.
Kamyar Makvandi	Shahid Beheshti University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran.
ABSTRACT Backgr	ound and Aims: A chieving proper axial rotational alignment of the femoral component for varus knees is a

ABSTRACT Background and Aims: Achieving proper axial rotational alignment of the femoral component for varus knees is a critical step in total knee arthroplasty (TKA). Trans epicondylar axis (TEA) is the most reliable reference for alignment of femoral component. Yet, finding the exact location is sometimes difficult and time consuming. The aim of this study was to determine the correlation between the TEA and posterior condylar line reference (PCL) in varus knees. Besides, the other aim of this study was to find the relationship between lateral distal femoral angle (LDFA) and femoral component external rotation (ER) measured intraoperatively.

Material and Methods: This retrospective study was conducted on patients who were candidates for total knee arthroplasty from May 2016 to December 2019. LDFA, VA were calculated based on hip-to-ankle (HTA) radiographs before the surgery. All patients underwent TKA through an anterior midline incision. ER was calculated by determining TEA intraoperatively. All data were entered into SPSS software for data analysis. **Results:** One hundred and four patients were included in the final analysis. Nineteen patients (18.3%) were male and 85 out of 104 (81.7%) were female. (P value = 0.001). No significant relationship between the amount of ER measured during surgery and the amount of varus angle in varus knees was observed. Furthermore, there were no correlations between ER and LDFA in varus knees.

Conclusion: Adjusting femoral component in 3 degrees external rotation relative to posterior condylar line reference achieves proper rotational alignment of the femoral component in the axial plane in varus knees. Also, the lateral distal femoral angle measured preoperatively from knee radiographs is not a predictor of ER in varus knees.

KEYWORDS : Total Knee replacement, knee prostesis, Genu varus , Rotation

INTRODUCTION

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is the most effective treatment for progressive osteoarthritis of the knee (1). Therefore, orthopedic and knee surgeons are searching for some ways to reach more optimum results and minimize TKA complications such as malalignment and malrotation (2-5).

The distal femur anatomy plays a critical role in the normal motion of the knee joint (6).

For attaining proper flexion stability and patellar tracking in total knee arthroplasty, proper rotational alignment of the femoral component is essential (7).

Patient specific cutting based on the patient's specific anatomy during TKA is one of the useful approaches to prevent from malalignment after TKA (8). Numerous previous studies have shown that femoral trans epicondylar axis (TEA) is the most reliable reference for alignment of femoral component (9-11). TEA is defined as the connecting axis between medial and lateral epicondyles (9,10,12). In addition to that, the other landmark that is used is posterior condylar line reference (PCL) (13,14). Since identifying TEA is not always easy during the operation and is often a time consuming process, it seems that it may not be necessary to use this reference in all cases (12-14) and due to the fact that it is easier to find the PCL, in cases in which the use of TEA is not necessary, it is more appropriate to utilize PCL.

The current concept recommended that setting external rotation (ER) of the femoral component a few degrees relative to the posterior condyles makes it parallel to TEA (15,16). Recent studies have proven that medial condyle in Varus knees does not show severe deformities such as severe wear or hypoplasia compared with normal knee (15,17). Thus, the orthopedic surgeons can use PCL as a reliable reference to determine the femoral component rotation in varus and normal knees. However, this does not apply to valgus knees (15). Posterior and distal surface of the lateral condyle in the valgus knees are associated with severe deformities. Therefore, for achieving proper rotational alignment of the femoral component in valgus knees, it is essential to use TEA intraoperatively (14,15). The aim of the present study is to determine the relation between the severity of varus angle and the femoral components in axial plane during the surgery.

Another purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between lateral distal femoral angle (LDFA) and femoral component rotation in varus knees. LDFA is defined by the angle between the femoral mechanical axis and the line connecting the distal ends of the medial and lateral femoral condyles of the femur. (18,19). Studies have shown that the determination of the LDFA before setting the rotational position of a femoral component would be useful in valgus knees.) 14). However, no studies have been conducted on varus knees.

MATERIALAND METHODS

Study Design

This retrospective study was conducted on patients who were candidates for total knee arthroplasty and admitted to Tehran Taleghani hospital from May 2016 to December 2019. All patients who were eligible for total knee arthroplasty and aged between 40-80 were included in the study. In addition, patients with valgus knees, had revision surgery for TKA, had the history of tibial osteotomy, and the ones who had active infection following the surgery were excluded from the study. Furthermore, convenience sampling was used for patient selection. This study was approved by institutional review board of authors' hospital and the written informed consent was waived by the board.

Preoperative And Operative Assessment

A triple joint alignment view was requested for patients before the surgery. Lateral distal femoral angle (LDFA), varus angle (VA) and inter mechanical anatomical angle (IMA) was revealed based on the triple joint view. All the surgeries in this study were performed by one surgeon via using an anterior midline incision. The incision was made from 2cm proximal to the patella to the anterior tibial tuberosity.

After that, medial arthrotomy was done and distal femoral cut was conducted by taking IMA into consideration. Furthermore, posterior cut of distal femur was done during the surgery based on the TEA. All external rotation angles were documented. The proper external rotation angles were evaluated by flexion stability and patellofemoral maltracking intraoperatively.

Statistical Analysis

All data were entered into SPSS software version 15 for analysis. Kolmogorov Smirnoff test was used for exploring the normality of the

46

data. In addition, Pearson and Spearman correlation tests were used for parametric and non-parametric data respectively. Furthermore, Quantitative data were reported as numerical and percentage statistics.

RESULTS

Descriptive Results

One hundred and four patients were included in the final analysis. Nineteen patients (18.3%) were males and 85 of 104 (81.7%) were females. The mean age of patients was 66.8 ± 7.07 with the range between 52 to 80. Rotational alignment of the femoral component was assessed 3 degrees external rotation in all, except three patients in whom 5 degrees were determined. The results of femoral cut variables are presented in Table 1.

Correlation Analysis

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test was used for exploring the normality of the data. Study variables including ER, LDFA and VA were not normal; thus, Spearman correlation test was used for assessing the correlations. The results of Spearman test are presented in Table 2. As seen in table 2, LDFA and VA are significantly correlated together (P value = 0.001). There were not correlations observed between ER and LDFA in varus knees.

Table 1. Femoral Components								
Range			Results (Mean ± SD) Va		Variable	Variable		
3-5			3.06 ± 0.03		ER			
85-110	85-110 91.95 ±		± 3.73	LDFA				
5-46 15		15.39 :	15.39 ± 6.87 VA					
Table 2. The results of Spearman test								
VA LDI		FA	ER		Variable			
-0.070	-0.077	1.00		Correlation Co	efficient	ER		
0.483	0.435	-		P value				
1.00	0.318	-0.070		Correlation Co	efficient	LDFA		
-	0.001	0.48	33	P value				
0.318	1.00	-0.0	77 Correlation Coefficien			VA		
0.001	-	0.43	35	P value				
				•				

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we aimed to demonstrate that in varus knees and normal knees, femoral component rotation can be set to three degrees. Which, with a high approximation, fulfills our expectations of proper distal femoral rotation. Due to the difficulty of finding TEA during the operation and also the time consuming process, not only does setting 3 degrees external rotation for varus knees save time but it also achieves good rotation with a high approximation owing to lack of hypoplasia in the medial condyle in the varus knees compared with normal knees.

But due to the deformities of the lateral condyle in the valgus knees, it is essential to use TEA intraoperatively.

Proper distal femoral rotational alignment during TKA is a necessary step and axial rotational malalignment can be related to flexion instability, patellofemoral maltracking, postoperative pain and changing the knee kinematic (20).

Some previous studies suggested that the axial femoral component rotation should be inserted parallel to the TEA (21,22). However, the determination of the exact location of epicondyles is not always easy (23,24). The study reported by Akagi et al indicated that setting the axial rotation of femoral component between 3° to 6° external rotation based on the posterior condylar axis is proper to set it parallel to the TEA in varus and normal knees. (16). Which is almost identical to the results of this study.

These findings are consistent with the results of previous studies, in which the TEA is externally rotated 3-6 degrees related to the posterior condylar reference line)9,15,16(. These numbers are for varus and normal knees and do not apply to valgus knees with lateral condyle deformities (9,16). Setting axial rotation of femoral components nearly 3° from PCA in valgus knees can cause considerable malrotation in large proportion cases. (17).

In the study designed by Matsuda et al, it was shown that the TEA was externally rotated against posterior condylar line axis approximately 11.5 degrees in the valgus knees. As a result, setting femoral component, 3 degrees external rotation relative to posterior condyles results in 8.5 degrees excessive internal rotation (9). This result shows that in order to accurately determine the rotation of the femoral component in the valgus knees, it is required to use TEA intraoperatively.

It seems that in the articles which stated that the 3 degrees of external rotation will be associated with the distal femoral axial plane malrotation, the varus and valgus knees were not separated. In our study, in 101 patients out of a total of 104 patients, setting 3 degrees external rotation for femoral component relative to the posterior condyle line reference, provided suitable axial alignment in all cases. However, the patients' varus angles were very variable (between 5 and 46 degrees). There were no cases of flexion instability and patellofemoral maltracking. In our study, the femoral component was placed in 5 degrees of external rotation in three cases, which seems to have been due to less accurate determination of the exact location of the medial and lateral epicondyles.

We also intended to describe possible correlation between TEA, and LDFA in varus knees. It was concluded that LDFA cannot predict TEA during TKA in varus knees. Despite the wide range of LDFA, in almost all cases, ER was embedded around 3 degrees and there was no significant relation between these two variables. There has been no study on the relation between LDFA and ER in varus knees. In the study designed by Lee et al, LDFA has been suggested as a predictor of ER in valgus knees (14). This may be due to involvement of the lateral femoral condyle in the valgus knees and its association with LDFA. Nonetheless, such a relationship does not seem to exist in the varus knees.

The present study has some limitations. First of all, the members of the study population were Iranian. These findings may not apply to other populations. As a result, it seems necessary to conduct studies in different populations. The second limitation in this study is that since most of the population studied were women, it seems segregation of the study population by gender is necessary. Although setting the femoral component in 3 degrees of external rotation provides a suitable axial alignment, further studies on the extent of deformity in the medial femoral condyle in the varus knees appear to be necessary.

CONCLUSION

This study aims to indicate that, despite the great importance of TEA in determining the amount of femoral component rotation, it does not seem necessary to use this landmark in all cases.

The knee surgeons can use PCL as a valuable landmark in varus knees. Setting the femoral component in 3 degrees external rotation relative to PCL prepares the appropriate femoral rotational alignment in varus knees. Using this method with relatively high accuracy not only provides a suitable alignment in the axial plane for the femoral component, but It is also easier than determining the exact location of the TEA, as a result, the operation time is reduced remarkably.

Besides, this study shows that, preoperative assessing LDFA cannot be a predictive factor for TEA during the surgery in varus knees.

REFERENCES:

- Horst, P. K., Barrett, A. A., Huddleston III, J. I., Maloney, W. J., Goodman, S. B., & Amanatullah, D. F. (2020). Total knee arthroplasty has a positive effect on patients with low mental health scores. The Journal of arthroplasty, 35(1), 112-115
- Reddy, A. G., Mathur, R. K., Mugalur, A., Eachempati, K. K., & Reddy, A. (2016). Reference axes for optimal femoral rotational alignment in varus osteoarthritic Indian 2. knees–A CT based study. Journal of clinical orthopaedics and trauma, 7, 215-219. Cucchi, D., Menon, A., Aliprandi, A., Soncini, G., Zanini, B., Ragone, V., ... & Randelli,
- 3. P. (2019). Patient-specific instrumentation affects rotational alignment of the femoral component in Total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Orthopaedic surgery, 11(1), 75-81. Maier, M. W., Aschauer, S., Wolf, S. I., Dreher, T., Merle, C., & Bitsch, R. G. (2019).
- 4. Three dimensional gait analysis in patients with symptomatic component mal-rotation after total knee arthroplasty. *International orthopaedics*, 43(6), 1371-1378.
- atterioral knee arthropiasty. International orthopaeatcs, 93(6), 15 (1-15)/8.
 Abdelnasser, M. K., Elshenrif, M. E., Bakr, H., Mahran, M., Othman, M. H., & Khalifa, Y. (2019). All types of component malrotation affect the early patient-reported outcome measures after total knee arthropiasty. Knee Surgery & Related Research, 31(1), 1-11.
 Roessler, P. O, Schüttler, K. F., Heyse, T. J., Wirtz, D. C., & Efe, T. (2016). The anterolateral ligament (ALL) and its role in rotational extra-articular stability of the knee international comment. Action of the new filter of the research of the context of the second 5.
- 6 joint: a review of anatomy and surgical concepts. Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery, 136(3), 305-313.
- 7. Roessler, P. P., Schüttler, K. F., Heyse, T. J., Wirtz, D. C., & Efe, T. (2016). The anterolateral ligament (ALL) and its role in rotational extra-articular stability of the knee joint: a review of anatomy and surgical concepts. Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery, 136(3), 305-313.
- Bell, S. W., Young, P., Drury, C., Smith, J., Anthony, I., Jones, B., ... & McLean, A. (2014). Component rotational alignment in unexplained painful primary total knee arthroplasty. *The Knee*, 21(1), 272-277. Trung, D. T., Huy, P. N., Son, T. P., Dinh, T. C., & Dinh, T. C. (2019). Anatomical Study of Femoral Condylar Index in Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Implication to Total Knee
- 9. Replacement Surgery for Vietnamese People. Open access Macedonian journal of medical sciences, 7(24), 4362.
- Lee, D. H., Seo, J. G., & Moon, Y. W. (2008). Synchronisation of tibial rotational alignment with femoral component in total knee arthroplasty. International orthopaedics, 32(2), 223-227
- Kawahara, S., Okazaki, K., Matsuda, S., Nakahara, H., Okamoto, S., & Iwamoto, Y. (2014). Internal rotation of femoral component affects functional activities after 11.

47

TKA-survey with the 2011 Knee Society Score. The Journal of arthroplasty, 29(12), 2319-2323.

- Castelli, C. C., Falvo, D. A., Iapicca, M. L., & Gotti, V. (2016). Rotational alignment of the femoral component in total knee arthroplasty. *Annals of translational medicine*, 4(1). 12.
- Merican, A. M., Ghosh, K. M., Iranpour, F., Deehan, D. J., & Amis, A. A. (2011). The effect of femoral component rotation on the kinematics of the tibiofemoral and 13. patellofemoral joints after total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology,
- Arthroscopy, 19(9), 1479-1487. Lee, S. S., Lee, Y. I., Kim, D. U., Lee, D. H., & Moon, Y. W. (2018). Factors affecting 14 femoral rotational angle based on the posterior condylar axis in gap-based navigation assisted total knee arthroplasty for valgus knee. *PloSone*, *13*(5), e0197335.
- Matsuda, S., Matsuda, H., Miyagi, T., Sasaki, K., Iwamoto, Y., & Miura, H. (1998). 15. Femoral condyle geometry in the normal and varus knee. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research (0), 349, 183-188.
- Akagi, M., Yamashita, E., Nakagawa, T., Asano, T., & Nakamura, T. (2001). Relationship between frontal knee alignment and reference axes in the distal femur. 16.
- Relationship between roman kine anginetic and relevance axes in the distant relindu. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research (1976-2007), 388, 147-156.
 Cohen, D. A., Gursel, A. C., & Low, A. K. (2019). How coronal alignment affects distal femoral anatomy: an MRI-based comparison of varus and valgus knees. *Journal of* orthopaedic surgery and research, 14(1), 92.
 Kim, C. W., & Lee, C. R. (2018). Effects of femoral lateral boving on coronal alignment in the control of cont 17.
- 18. Kim, C. W., & Lee, C. R. (2018). Effects of femoral lateral bowing on coronal alignment and component position after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of conventional and navigation-assisted surgery. *Knee surgery & related research*, *30*(1), 64.
 Durandet, A., Ricci, P. L., Saveh, A. H., Vanat, Q., Wang, B., Esat, I., & Chizari, M. (2013). Radiographic analysis of lower limb axial alignments. In *Proceedings of the world congress on engineering* (Vol. 2, pp. 3-5).
 Heyse, T. J., El-Zayat, B. F., De Corte, R., Chevalier, Y., Fuchs-Winkelmann, S., & Labey, L. (2018). Internal femoral component malrotation in TKA significantly alters thiotamoral kinamatics. *Kneg Surgens: Spect Ensuretations Achievenceus*, 26(6), 1767.
- 19
- 20 tibiofemoral kinematics. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 26(6), 1767-1775.
- Berger, R. A., Rubash, H. E., Seel, M. J., Thompson, W. H., & Crossett, L. S. (1993). Determining the rotational alignment of the femoral component in total knee arthropasty using the epicondylar axis. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research*, 21. (286), 40-47
- 22 Cooke, D., Scudamore, A., Li, J., Wyss, U., Bryant, T., & Costigan, P. (1997). Axial lower-limb alignment: comparison of knee geometry in normal volunteers and osteoarthritis patients. Osteoarthritis and cartilage, 5(1), 39-47.
- Arima, J., Whiteside, L. A., McCarthy, D. S., & White, S. E. (1995). Femoral rotational 23 alignment, based on the anteroposterior axis, in total knee arthroplasty in a valgus knee. A technical note. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume, 77(9), 1331-1334.
- Katz, M. A., Beck, T. D., Silber, J. S., Seldes, R. M., & Lotke, P. A. (2001). Determining 24. femoral rotational alignment in total knee arthroplasty: reliability of techniques. The Journal of arthroplasty, 16(3), 301-305.