Original Resea	Volume - 11 Issue - 09 September - 2021 PRINT ISSN No. 2249 - 555X DOI : 10.36106/ijar Orthopaedics STUDY OF TREATMENT OUTCOME BASICERVICAL NECK OF FEMUR FRACTURE USING HEMIARTHROPLASTY VS DHS
Dr. Ranjan Kumar Prakash	Senior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics DMCH Darbhanga Laheriasarai
Dr. Vivekanand Kumar*	Senior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics DMCH Darbhanga Laheriasarai *Corresponding Author

Dr. Nanad Kumar Professor and Head, Department of Orthopaedics DMCH Darbhanga Laheriasarai

(ABSTRACT) Background: The present study was conducted to compare outcome of hemiarthroplasty with dynamic hip screw for basicervical neck of femur fracture, Fracture neck of femur is a frequent and severe injury with consequent high morbidity and mortality. Material and Methods: Hospital based, randomized prospective, comparative interventional study conducted on Basicervical neck of femur fracture cases attending with department of orthopaedics at Darbhanga medical college and hospital darbhanga Laheriasarai Bihar. Results: As per the post operative complications in Hemiarthroplasty and DHS groups respectively, no significant difference was observed among the group. As per the age groups clinical score (merle's d aubigne), at 6m and 12 m Group H was better than group D. As per average functional outcome at 3,6, 12months. Score improved with the time. Conclusion: We concluded that Hemiarthroplastymay allow better restoration of function and should be favoured for treatment of fracture neck of femur in patients that meet the indications for surgery whenever the technical competence and facilities exist.

KEYWORDS : Fracture neck Femur, Hemiarthroplasty, Dynamic hip screw.

Introduction:

A hip fracture is a life changing event for any patient and the risk of disability, increased dependence and death is substantial. Basicervical fractures of femur are relatively rare injuries which accountfor only 1.8–7.6% of hip fractures.^{3,4}It is a fracture through the base of femoral neck at its junction with the intertrochanteric region⁵ Due to this location, it represents an intermediate form between femoral neck, usually fixed with multiple cancellous screws and the intertrochanteric fracture, fixed with a sliding screw device. Basicervical fracture is a controversial type of hip fracture and it has been regarded as an extra capsular or intracapsular fracture by different authors.¹

Hemiarthroplasty and Dynamic hip screw (DHS)are established treatment modalities for femoral neck fractures . With the Hemiarthroplasty, immediate full weight bearing regardless of bone quality is achieved after primary cemented arthroplasty, satisfactory functionaloutcome has been reported and the problemof non-union and avascular necrosis iseliminated as the femoral head and neck was replaced by metallic implant²

The disadvantages of hemiarthroplasty are relatively poor outcomes in active patients secondary to poor femoral fixation and a marked potential for acetabular erosion. Therefore, it should be reserved for verylimited or non- ambulatory, low-demand patients with relatively shorter life expectancy.

The DHS confers stable fracture fixation, which allows early mobilization of the patient and restoration of function. However, the surgical option remains a dilemma, hemiarthroplasty with and dynamic hip screw are established treatment modalities for remoral neck fractures.

The DHS is based on "tension band principle" which allows thescrew to slide within the barrel to enablecompression of the fracture when the patient begins to bear weight with consequent highrates of union and restoration of hip function topre injury level.⁴

The commonest mechanical failure of fixation in using the sliding hip screwsystem is cut out of the implant from the femoral head and failure rate of 8%-13% has been reported in previous studies This ismost frequent in elderly patients who usuallyare not able to walk without weight bearing and oftennecessitates revision or a secondary hemiarthroplasty. It is important thatthe technique of screw placement is precise and should ideally be central in the femoralneck, on both anteroposterior and lateralradiographs. This is why the concept of tip apexdistance (TAD) is critical to the outcome offixation and accurately predicts failure or survival of the DHS.

Material and methods

Study in the department of orthopaedics at darbhanga medical college

and hospital darbhanga Laheriasarai Bihar.

Study design

• Hospital based, randomized prospective, comparative interventional study.

Sample size

 Sample size was calculated 16 subjects at a error 0.05 and power 80% assuming minimum difference of means was detected in post operative hip score after dynamic hip screw and hemiarthroplasty.
 1 with SD1 (as per seed article), so for study purpose 25 cases of basicervical neck of femur fracture was taken for dynamic hip screw and 25 was taken for hemiarthroplasty.

Study universe

Basicervical neck of femur fracture cases attending with orthopaedics department of Darbhanga medical college and Hospital Darbhanga Laheriasarai Bihar.

Inclusion criteria

1. Required mobility with no or one walking aid, presenting with basicervical fracture or its equivalent.

2. Simulators of the basicervical fracture, defined as a trochanteric fracture in which the head–neck fragment does not remain connected to the trochanters and its inferior cortical extension is not long enough to hinder its rotational movement.

3. Age group >55 years of both sexes.

4. Patients who are fit for anesthesia and surgery, who had given written informed consent and are willing for follow up.

Exclusion criteria

1. Intracapsular femoral neck fractures; intertrochanteric fractures in which the head-neck fragment has connection with the trochanter(s), or has inferior cortical extension which can tether it to a distal fragment and prevent its spinning around the lag screw.

2. Patients with advanced arthritis.

3. Patient with pathological fracture.

Method of randomization

Chit box method used for randomization of this study

Data collection and study

- After obtaining clearance and approval from the institutional ethical committee and patients fulfilling the inclusion/ exclusion criteria were included in the study after obtaining informed consent.
- Detailed history was obtained using the study proforma with special attention to mechanism of injury.

73

- Examination of other associated symptoms were based on history and clinical examination
- · Cases suffering from basicervical neck of femur fracture.

Results Table 1: Distribution of the cases according to general characteristics

	Group D	Group H	P-values LS
Age (Mean± SD)	61.76±9.56	61.28±8.53	0.702
Male : Female	2:23	6:19	0.462
Time interval between injury and surgery (days)	5.36±2.86	4.52±1.90	0.579
Mean duration of surgery	1.94±0.36	1.58±0.37	0.01
Mean blood loss	452.00±109.43	390.00±55.90	0.172

The mean ±sd value for Group D is 61.76 ± 9.56 and for Group H is 61.28 ± 8.53 . The p values found to be 0.702NS. The most cases found to be in 50 to 60 years of age for both the groups. There were no significant changes in terms of basic characteristics such as gender distribution (P = 0.462 NS). The Mean duration of surgery was 1.58 ± 0.37 and 1.94 ± 0.36 hrs, for Hemiarthroplasty and DHS groups respectively. This table depicts the mean estimated blood loss was 452.00 ± 109.43 and 390.00 ± 55.90 , in Hemiarthroplasty and DHS groups respectively, but no significant difference was observed. Rate of blood transfusion was 54 and 58%, and mean post –op hip score was 15 plus minus, (good) and 17 plus minus (very good) for hemiarthroplasty and dynamic hip screw groups respectively.

Table 2: Distribution of the cases according to displacement

	Group D		Group	Η	Grand Total		p-values
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Un displaced#	1	4	0	0	1	2	0.053NS
Partially displaced#	8	32	2	8	10	20	
Displaced #	16	64	23	92	39	78	1
Grand Total	25	100	25	100	50	100	

Chi-square = 5.856 with 2 degrees of freedom; P=0.053NS

Groups were comparable according to displacement .Partially displaced# were observed 32 % in group D and 8% in group H.

 Table 3: Distribution of the cases according to post operative complications

operative	Group D(N=25)		Group H(N=25)		Grand Total (N=50)		p-values LS
complications	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Surgical Site infection	2	8	1	4	3	6	1.000NS

This table depicts the post operative complications in Hemiarthroplasty and DHS groups respectively, no significant difference was observed amongthe group. The Chi-square = 0.000 with 1 degree of freedom; p = 1.000. Surgical site infection was more in the DHS (8%) than hemiarthroplasty (4%) in study group.

Table 4: Distribution of the cases according to clinical score (merle's d aubigne)

		3m	6m	12m
Group D	N	25	25	25
	Mean	15.48	15.80	15.80
	SD	1.36	1.66	1.66
Group H	N	25	25	25
	Mean	15.52	16.92	16.96
	SD	1.64	1.55	1.59
Total	N	50	50	50
	Mean	15.50	16.36	16.38
	SD	1.49	1.69	1.71
P Value LS		.925	.017S	.015S

This table depicts the distribution of the cases according to clinical score (merle's d aubigne) after 3 months, According to clinical score (merle's d aubigne) at 6m and 12 m Group H was better than group D.

74

Table 5: Distribution of the cases according to clinical score (merle's d aubigne) average functional outcome at 3,6,12months

	Group D	Group H	Group D	Group H	Group D	Group H	
	3m		6	m	12 m		
Excellent	6(24)	8(32)	5(20)	12(48)	6(24)	13(52)	
Fair	8(32)	2(8)	8(32)	5(20)	8(32)	3(12)	
Good	11(44)	13(52)	12(48)	8(32)	11(44)	9(36)	
Poor	0(0)	2(8)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	
p-values	0.12NS		0.112NS		0.08NS		
LS							

This table depicts the distribution of the cases according to clinical score (merle's d aubigne) average functional outcome at 3,6,12 months. Score improved with the time.

Discussion

Fracture neck of femur forms a major share of fractures in the elderly. Osteoporosis, comorbidities, increased incidence of trivial trauma increases the incidence and complicates the treatment of these fractures. The treatment goal is to return the patient to his or her preinjury status of function as early as possible.

According to the distribution of the cases according to clinical score (merle's d aubigne). At 6m and 12 m Group H was better than group D. Mue DD et al (2013) 6observed that Post-operative hip functional status done according to Merle d Aubigne scoring system at 4 - 6 months postop revealed that patients mean hip score was $15\pm1(Good)$ and $17\pm1(Very Good)$ for Hemiarthroplasty and DHS groups respectively which was statistically significant (P=0.000) with 69.0% having satisfactory hip function {Very Good(23.0%) and Good(46.0%)} in the hemiarthroplasty group and 92.3% having satisfactory hip function {Excellent(65.4%), Very Good(15.4%), Good(11.5%)} in the DHS group.

In our study, the distribution of the cases according to according to clinical score (merle's d aubigne) average functional outcome at 3, 6, 12months. Score improved with the time.

Mue DD et al (2013)⁶ post-operative hip functional status done according to Postel and Merle d Aubigne scoring system at 4-6months postop revealed that patients mean hip score was 15±1(Good) and17±1(Very Good) for Hemiarthroplasty and DHS groups. respectively which was statistically significant (P=0.000) with 69.0% having satisfactory hip function {Very Good(23.0%) and Good(46.0%)} in the hemiarthroplasty group and 92.3% having satisfactory hip function {Excellent(65.4%), Very Good(15.4%), Good(11.5%)} in the DHS group. The use of DHS has been supported by biomechanical properties which improve the healing of fractures and the relatively well restored anatomy of the hip may account for superior restoration of function in the DHS study group. These results suggest that the use of DHS allows more patients to return to their previous level of activity.Daniel M et al(2015)⁷ post-operative hip functional status according to Postel and Merle d Aubigne revealed that majority (66.6%) of patients had satisfactory hip functionwith displaced intracapsular fracture neck of femur treated with hemiarthroplasty.

Conclusion

Hemiarthroplasty had a distinctly better condition than the internal fixation group,

- Estimated blood loss,
- Requirements for blood transfusion,
- Early mobilization with external support.
- Functional outcome.

This result suggests that Hemiarthroplastymay allow better restoration of function and should be favoured for treatment of fracture neck of femur in patients that meet the indications for surgery whenever the technical competence and facilities exist.

REFERENCES

- Van Balen R, Steyerberg EW, Polder JJ, Ribbers TL, Habbema JD, Cools HJ. Hip fracture in elderly patients: outcomes for function, quality of life and type of residence. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2001;390:232-43.
 Osnes EK, Lofthus CM, Meyer HE, Falch JA, Nordsletten L, Cappelen I, et al.
- Osnes EK, Lofthus CM, Meyer HE, Falch JA, Nordsletten L, Cappelen I, et al. Consequences of hip fracture on activities of daily life and residential needs. Osteoporos Int 2004;15:567-74
- 3. Rogmark C, Carlsson A, Johnell O, Sanbo I. Aprospective randomized trial of internal

- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- Volume 1 fixationversus arthroplasty for displaced fractures of neckof femur. Functional outcome for 450 patients attwo years. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 2002; 84:183-8. Rogmark C, Carlsson A, Johnell O, Sanbo I. Primaryhemiarthroplasty in old patients with displacedfemoral neck fracture: A 1 year follows up of 103patients aged 80 years or more. Acta Orthop Scand2002; 73:605-10 Clayer M, Bruckner J. The outcome of Austin- Moore hemiarthroplasty for fracture of thefemoral neck. Am J Orthop 1997;26:681-4. Mue DD, Salhu MN, Awonusi FO, Yongu WT, Kortor JN, Elachi IC., Outcome Of Treatment Of Fracture Neck Of Femur Using Hemiarthroplasty Versus Dynamic Hip Screw, * Journal OfThe West African College Of Surgeons 2013;3(2) Daniel M, Mohammed S, Francis A, William Y, Joseph K, Cornilius E. Early result of hemiarthroplasty in elderly patients with fracture neck of femur. Niger Med J 2015;56:64-8 7.