Urban Planning

MUNICIPAL PARTICIPATORY INDEX

| Nupur Karkashe | 4th Semester, Master's in Urban Planning, Chhattisgarh Swami Vivekananda Technical University, Bhilai. |
| Shubham Yadav* | HOD, Asst. Professor, UTD, Department of Urban Planning, CSVTU, Bhilai. *Corresponding Author |

ABSTRACT The Municipal Performance Index evaluates the sectoral/areas execution of districts, filling in as an aide for informed strategy choices, and accomplishing more extensive improvement results and the Sustainable Development Goals across urban communities. The assessment will likewise deliver the results accomplished by civil bodies and furnish residents with pivotal bits of knowledge into the working of neighbourhood bodies and fabricate discourse between partners. The file centres around districts since they are the basic empowering agents in working on residents' personal satisfaction and carrying improvement to the grassroots. Subsequently, it is vital for grasp metropolitan bodies' working in view of their degree of force, job, and obligations.

KEYWORDS :

1. Introduction
The third thousand years is seeing the biggest influx of urbanization across the world. In excess of 50% of the total populace is currently living in the urban communities. This proportion is supposed to ascend to 70 percent by 2050. Urban communities are presently at the centre of financial, social and political turns of events. A development of such metropolitan agglomerations likewise accompanies a similar ascent in difficulties of environmental change, wrongdoing, destitution, sickness and the weariness of regular assets that should be tended to by city organizers and districts.

As India keeps on urbanizing at a dramatic speed, the Government of India (GoI) has likewise answered this peculiarity with a heap of interventions. A portion of the leader programs right now in activity are the Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban (SBM-U), Smart Cities Mission, Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT), Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana-Urban (PMAY-U), Deen Dayal Antyodaya Yojana-National Urban Livelihood Mission (DAY-NULM) and Heritage City Development and Augmentation Yojana (HRIDAY).

To survey the effect of these projects, India had sent off it's very first Ease of Living (EoL) Index in 2018. With a mean to engage urban communities to utilize proof-based arranging and guarantee a superior personal satisfaction for its residents, the record estimated the improvement pointers in 111 urban communities. In the most recent release of the EoL file, the structure for evaluation has been transformed, and simultaneously, has prompted the commencement of Municipals Performance Index (MPI).

The Index looks to work with Smart Cities and other million or more populace urban communities in evaluation of these five empowering agents that will ultimately help Municipalities in better preparation and the executives and help in filling the holes in city organization, subsequently working on the liveability of urban communities for its residents. These five points of support have been isolated into 20 classifications and 100 markers that will help in evaluating the exhibition of regions against these points of support. Since urban areas across India show wide varieties in degree of improvement and populace sizes, we have separated urban areas into various levels for better correlation in light of their populace sizes.

Urban communities are perceived as centres for financial open door that give prospects to social portability and expanded expectations for everyday comforts. Yet, they likewise show a convergence of populace, lacking framework limit, and difficulties going from reasonable lodging, medical care, and transport, to expanding contamination, water shortage, and natural corruption Districts assume an imperative part in guaranteeing that these difficulties are met. Their prosperity decides the nature of metropolitan administrations and the direction of local turn of events. Perceiving the need to resolve these issues and advance manageable improvement results for Indian urban areas and their inhabitants, the Government of

India sent off a few projects to accomplish these objectives. A three-level technique has been embraced.

1.1 First Level
The primary level tries to address destitution lightening, reasonable lodging, and neatness. To achieve this, Deen Dayal Antyodaya Yojana-National Urban Livelihood Mission (DAY-NULM), Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban (SBM-U), Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT), Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana-Urban (PMAY-U), Smart Cities Mission (SCM), Schemes/Projects for Urban Transport, and the Heritage City Development and Augmentation Yojana (HRIDAY) were carried out in all metropolitan neighbourhood bodies.

1.2 Second Level
The subsequent level handles administration related issues, for example, framework, water supply, sewage/drainage activities, and green parks. They require economies of scale and are in this way executed in 500 urban areas with a populace of 1, 00,000 or more through Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT).

1.3 Third Level
At the last level, simplicity of living would be superior through new standards of metropolitan administration, which puts the local area at the centre and uses computerized innovation for creating framework, administration conveyance and ideal utilization of assets. The Smart Cities Mission was executed in 100 urban areas to accomplish this result. The major point of the Smart Cities Mission (SCM) is to work on the personal satisfaction by advancing economical, clean results through "Shrewd" arrangements. Brilliant Cities can clear the way as pioneers for accomplishing advancement objectives by tackling innovation in its operationalization.

2. Municipal Participatory Index and Ease of Living
At the last level, simplicity of living would be superior through new ideal models of metropolitan administration, which puts the local area at the centre and uses computerized innovation for creating framework, administration conveyance and ideal utilization of assets. The Smart Cities Mission was executed in 100 urban areas to accomplish this result. The major point of the Smart Cities Mission (SCM) is to work on the personal satisfaction by advancing manageable, clean results through "Brilliant" arrangements. Brilliant Cities can clear the way as pioneers for accomplishing advancement objectives by bridling innovation in its operationalization.

Eventually, the productive administration of metropolitan spaces can happen assuming nearby administration is additionally reinforced. The centre component of nearby administration is its regions. The Municipal Performance Index estimates the areas execution of 111 regions by recognizing the holes in their administration conveyance components, arranging endeavour's, monetary frameworks, and administration.

The attention on districts is basic since they are the vital specialists for working on day-to-day environments in urban communities. The 74th Constitutional Amendment has agreed regions as third-level government expert in urban areas, with the Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) going about as the administration design's nearest connect. The working of regions straightforwardly influences the improvement results of metropolitan spaces. By and by, the exhibition of ULBs depends on different variables, especially the metropolitan regulation by and by in the express that determines its jobs, works, and power.

3. Structure And Methodology:
The Municipal Performance Index assesses the areas execution of regions. Altogether, 111 districts were surveyed across a bunch of 5 verticals, which incorporate 20 areas and 100 pointers. The accompanying figure shows the structure for the record. Regions assume an essential part in guaranteeing that these difficulties are met. Their prosperity decides the nature of metropolitan administrations and the direction of territorial turn of events.

3.1 Services-
Areas covered under Services-
1. Education
2. Health
3. Water and Waste water
4. SWM and Sanitation
5. Registration and Permits
6. Infrastructure.

3.2 Finance-
Areas covered under Finance-
1. Revenue Management
2. Expenditure Management
3. Fiscal Responsibility
4. Fiscal Decentralization

3.3 Planning-
Areas covered under Planning-
1. Plan Preparation
2. Plan Implementation
3. Plan Enforcement

3.4 Technology
Areas under Technology-
1. Digital Governance
2. Digital Access
3. Digital Literacy

3.5 Governance
Areas covered under Governance-
1. Transparency and Accountability
2. Human Resources
3. Participation
4. Effectiveness

4. City Classification-
Urban communities across India show a wide assortment of varieties regarding their degree of improvement. To give a fair examination, urban communities were partitioned in light of their populace size according to the 2011 Census (figure recorded beneath) and all urban communities under the Smart Cities Mission (no matter what their populace size).

Table 1: Classification of Municipalities based on population size.

Classification Population Range (As per 2011 Census)
Small Towns Population less than 50,000
Medium Towns Population ≥ 50,000 < 5 lakh
Large Towns Population ≥ 5 lakh < 1 million
Metropolitan Cities Population ≥ 1 million < 5 million
Mega polis Population ≥ 5 million

5. Scoring Method-
The 100 pointers chose for the examination shift concerning their units

of significant worth and vary in their temperament and importance. The information focuses have been normalized for equivalence across the record. For example, opening of educators in metropolitan schools will be a level of the real staff solidarity to add up to endorsed staff strength. Simultaneously, street thickness will be a proportion of complete street length inside the region to the all-out civil region. Every marker will vary in its scoring system (rate, proportion, parallel checking, and deviation from mean).

6. Data Transformation-
The indicator set includes some indicators that are positively correlated with the phenomenon that we are trying to capture through the index while some other indicators that are negatively correlated with the overall index. For example, total households covered by piped water connections is positively related with the performance of municipalities while the average number of days in which birth and death certificates are issues reflects negatively about the functioning of municipalities. Therefore, the first step is to modify all the indicators in the set in a way that greater value means a higher score.

7. Normalization-
It is the step expected to make the markers similar with one another. It is basic to standardize the information prior to making any information conglomeration as pointers have various units. For instance, inclusion of sewerage network is caught as a level of the Table 1: Classification of Municipalities in view of populace size CLASSIFICATION POPULATION RANGE (according to projected populace) Less than Million Population < 1 million + Population ≥ 1 million 32 Municipal Performance Index all out street length while the student educator proportion is an extent. These pointers are not tantamount by any guidelines. The standardization technique is done to change every one of the information into dimensionless numbers. This is finished utilizing z-scores that can be put in a typical dissemination. The z-score or the standard score shows the number of standard deviations a marker that worth is from the mean. It goes from - 3 standard deviation to +3 standard deviation.

8. Standardization-
Normalization helps in taking care of the issue of non-likeness by making markers unitless as it rescales them with a mean of nothing and standard deviation of one.

It is determined utilizing the accompanying recipe
$Z = (X - \mu)/\sigma$
Z-score   X Indicator esteem
μ Mean σ Standard Deviation

9. Aggregation-
The accumulation strategy of the Municipal Performance Index depends on three components for example pointers, areas and verticals. Every pointer under the areas will be given equivalent weightage. The areas values are determined by adding the weighted scores utilizing the accompanying equation:

Areas $= \Sigma$ (wi * pointer)
This suggests that: Scores of Health's = (0.2* Value of number of civil essential medical care organizations + 0.2* Value of opportunity of specialists, lab colleagues and nursing staff in metropolitan clinics + 0.2*Value of deviation of consumption on medical services from normal + 0.2*Value of number of local area medical care laborers)
These scores will be changed to a 0 to 100 scale. The computation will be finished utilizing the accompanying recipe:

(X-Minimum Score)
(Maximum Score-Minimum Score)
Where X is the City Score.

10. Vertical Scores-
The scores of the areas under every upward will be collected to show up at the upward score. This will be determined utilizing the accompanying recipe:

Vertical $= \Sigma$ (wi * Category Scores)

Table 2: Weights and Methodology of each vertical in the Municipal Performance Index.

Verticals	Sectors	Scores of Verticals
Services	Education (A)	U =

	Health (B) Water and Waste Water (C) SWM & Sanitation (D) Registration & Permits (E) Infrastructure (F)	(A+B+C+D+E+F)/6
Finance (20%)	Revenue Management (G) Expenditure Management (H) Fiscal Responsibility (I) Fiscal Decentralization (J)	V = (G+H+I+J)/4
Technology (15%)	Digital Governance (K) Digital Access (L) Digital Literacy (M)	W= (K+L+M)/3
Urban Planning (15%)	Plan Preparation (N) Plan Implementation (O) Plan Enforcement (P)	X= (N+O+P)/3
Governance (20%)	Transparency & Accountability (Q) Human Resources (R) Participation (S) Effectiveness (T)	Y= (Q+R+S+T)/4

11. Municipal Index Scores-

The Municipal Index Score is weighted average of the scores of all the vertical. This will be calculated using the following formula:

Municipal Index Scores= 0.30*U+0.20*V+0.15*W+0.15*X+0.20*Y

12. Measures for Improvement-
12.1 Increasing the autonomy of Urban Local Bodies

As of now, different metropolitan bodies are regulated in light of state government regulations. These regulations vary on mayoral residencies, absence of independence to designate metropolitan chiefs, and appointment of civil exercises like preparation, improvement, lodging, water, and climate to specific parastatal bodies that report to State legislatures rather than city bodies. It unfavorably influences metropolitan bodies' independence and strength to work, as it brings about divided administration and low responsibility. Combining these parastatal bodies under the domain of metropolitan bodies and regulative changes that outcome in widespread mayoral terms of 5 years could be a foundation in guaranteeing India's civil bodies' independence.

12.2 Financing Municipal Activities

For urban local bodies to provide quality services, a permanent and sustained form of financial support is requisite for these bodies' sustained functioning. One of the critical solutions could be shifting revenue sources from the state governments to the cities themselves. Financial independence could further solve the problems of urban planning and governance. The MPCs would be better positioned to effectively allocate resources and functioning to various authorities because of their autonomy

12.3 Creation of a National Consortium for Municipal Bodies-

Through successful backing, metropolitan nearby bodies can emerge the popularity-based decentralization imagined in the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act. The production of a public consortium for metropolitan bodies and city committees would empower the union and portrayal of India's metropolitan residents' requirements to more elevated levels of state specialists, including State and Central legislatures.

12.4 Inclusive Planning of Indian Cities-

Regular metropolitan Planning has been generally perceived as a specialized tool12 that has excluded developing metropolitan requirements. Besides, while Indian urban communities have a dreary exhibition in endeavour arranging exercises at the metropolitan level, the prior arranging endeavours don't think about the spatial and segment difficulties, coming about in disengaged financial progression. However, by re-situating metropolitan Planning can empower shared thriving in the midst of rising financial disparities. Metropolitan Planning must in this manner re-centre itself from filling in as a specialized usefulness and on second thought be consolidated in the administration cycles of metropolitan neighbourhood bodies to mirror the populace's aggregate advantages and needs.

12.5 Strengthening Human Resources and Municipal Personnel

Metropolitan unit is crucial to undertaking the day-to-day functionalities of metropolitan nearby bodies. As per the ASICS 2017

report, the typical staff opportunity in Indian urban communities is at 35%, with the shortfall of unit and enlistment rules critical for covering the specialized and administrative skills for positions at regions. Making of model Human Resource strategies for regions, containing regulating guidelines for work jobs, framework, and enrolment rules could consolidate civil staffing in standard abilities plans, drawing in a talented and propelled team to embrace India's developing metropolitan requirements.

13. Conclusion-

The Municipal Performance Index is an endeavour to survey and examine the presentation of Indian districts, based on the shifted liabilities these nearby bodies have, going from the arrangement of fundamental public administrations to additional complicated spaces of metropolitan preparation. It has been sent off as a backup to the Ease of Living Index.

It looks to analyse neighbourhood government rehearses in regions across areas of administrations, finance, strategy, innovation and administration.

It likewise looks to streamline and assess the intricacies in nearby administration practice and advance the ethos of straightforwardness and responsibility

References-
1. https://smartnet.niua.org/eol19/pdf/MPI_Methodology.pdf.
2. http://amplifi.mohua.gov.in/mpi-landing
3. https://competitiveness.in/municipal-performance-index-2020-report/