Original Research Paper



Ophthalmology

AUDIT OF CATARACT SURGERIES REQUIRING REVISIT FOR COMPLICATIONS

Dr.V.S.Nagga- lakshmi	Assistant Professor
Dr. Arul Ezhil	Final Year Resident
Dr. Fincy Mol	First Year Resident
Dr.K.Srikanth	HOD and Professor

ABSTRACT Background: To identify patient related and surgeon related factors contributing to complications during a cataract surgery which can be minimized with stringent protocols. This can be crucial in improving the quality of cataract surgery while performing high volume cataract surgeries. Method: This cohort study assessed the number of patients requiring re-surgeries and the factors contributing to the same Result: In our department, for the months of June, July and August the total number of patients operated were about 367. And the patients requiring re-surgeries during this period were about 16 (4.35%). Being a teaching hospital with an active resident program this rate of complication falls within the acceptable levels. Eventhough stict adherence to the standard protocol was followed, we encountered these complications due to patient related factors which were unavoidable Conclusion: Though SICS is a very effective and safe procedure, complications do occur largely because of patient related factors like age, grade of cataract, systemic co-morbidities, and ocular factors like pseduoexfoliation, small pupil and due to uncooperative patients during surgery.

KEYWORDS: Cataract, Surgical audit, Cataract resurgeries

INTRODUCTION

Cataract is one of the most frequent curable causes of blindness globally. It is the opacity of the lens or its capsule for which the definitive treatment is surgical removal of opacified lens and placement of intraocular lens.³

In India, the burden due to cataract surgery is increasing exponentially due to other impending factors namely poverty, inaccessibility to health care facilities and ignorance. 4

The two most popular forms of cataract surgery in India are sutureless manual small incision cataract surgery (MSICS) and Phacoemulsification. Both the techniques have advantage of being sutureless, require small incision and result in faster visual rehabilitation³. However MSICS have the merits of wider applicability, less time consuming, shorter learning curve and lower cost while compared to phacoemulsification due to high cost and equipment maintenance that cannot be adapted in developing countries.⁵

MSCIS is the most suitable method while performing high volume surgeries.⁵

METHODS:

This cohort study assessed the number of patients requiring resurgeries and the factors contributing to the same.

DISCUSSION:

There are numerous studies done showing the efficacy, safety and low complication rates associated with MSICS.

MSICS comprise of many manual maneuvers in the anterior chamber unlike phacoemulsification, first the capsulotomy, then dislodging the nucleus from the posterior to the anterior chamber, removing the nucleus from the scleral tunnel, cortical aspiration and intraocular lens implantation.⁶

The main outcome assessed, BCVA, major intraoperative complications (including PCR, vitreous loss and zonular dialysis), and postoperative complications (including endophthalmitis, retinal detachment, hyphaema, raised intraocular pressure (IOP; >21mmHg) and central corneal edema)

In a study done by Gupta and colleagues, highlighted that the risk of surgical complications and reoperations in MSICS was greater in residents with minimal surgical experience and recommended that residents required a minimum of 300 or more supervised MSICS cases in order to achieve an intraoperative and postoperative complication

rate of less than 2%. These results highlight the need for a systematic training strategy and techniques for junior surgeons.

In a study done at Madurai, comparing complications rates with Phacoemulsification and manual SICS noted that the overall intraoperative complication rate was 0.79% for staff, 1.19% for fellows, 2.06% for residents, and 5% for visiting trainees.

Thus the complication rates for manual SICS and phacoemulsification was comparably low in the hands of surgeons experienced in both techniques. However, manual SICS had a much lower surgical complication rate in the hands of trainee surgeons.

Table 1- Patients Requiring Resurgeries

Sno.	Age	Sex	Ocular Rf	Diagnosis	Complication	Resurgery
1	50	F	Le – No3nc3p 3	Le Imsc With Pscc Re Pseudophakia With Peripheral Pco	PC Rent	Secondary Iris Claw Lens
2	57	М	Le – Mature Cataract	Le Mature Cataract Re Imsc With Pscc	Phacodonesis	Secondary ACIOL
3	65	F	Le – N03nc3c4 P5	Re Pseudophakia Le Imsc Pscc With Le Mild Npdr	IOL Dislocation	Secondary Iris Claw Lens
4	71	M	Le – No4nc4 Pxf	Re Pseudophakia Le Imsc Pscc With Le Mild Npdr	PC Rent	Secondary IOL implantatio n with cortex aspiration
5	65	F	Le – No1nc1p	Post Op Le Sics With PciolUnder La Pod 5 Re Pseudophakia		Cortex aspiration
6	62	М	Re – N05nc5p 3 Pxf	Re Post Op Sics With Pciol Under La Pod 7 Le Imsc With Pscc With Pxf		Cortex aspiration

7	70	М	Re – No3nc3	Post Op Re Sics With Pciol Under La Pod 5 Re Dislocated Iol Le Imsc With Pscc	IOL Dislocation	IOL Redialing
8	70	M	Re – No4nc4 C3 P4	Re Post Op Sics With Pciol La Pod 2 Le Imsc With Pscc	Vitreous prolapse	wound repair
9	62	М	Le – No4nc4p 3	Post Op Le Sics With Pciol Under La Pod 1 Re Pseudophakia	Iris prolapse	wound repair

RESULTS/CONCLUSION

Manual small incision surgery (SICS) is the most commonly preferred technique while doing high volume cataract surgeries. It is a safe and effective technique in managing cataract. The Intra-operative complications rate observed in SICS is about 8.8%. In hospitals with a resident teaching program the intra-operative complication rate was approximately 9.1%.2 In our department, for the months of June, July and August the total number of patients operated were about 367. And the patients requiring re-surgeries during this period were about 16 (4.35%). Among this 7 patients lost to follow up. Being a teaching hospital with an active resident program this rate of complication falls within the acceptable levels. To minimize these complications, we follow a strict checklist and protocol tailored for every patient based on their systemic and ocular co-morbidity, despite which these complications have occurred. Strict SOPs are followed by our department to manage the complications occurring during the intraoperative period.

Though SICS is a very effective and safe procedure. Complications do occur largely because of patient related factors like age, grade of cataract, systemic co-morbidities, and ocular factors like pseduoexfoliation, small pupil and due to uncooperative patients during surgery

Vision improved for >90% of all our patients operated during this period from their baseline visual acuity.

Action Plan

Patients will be thoroughly examined, factors like pseduoexfoliation, small pupil will be identified and a tailor-made modification during cataract surgery will be followed as per our existing protocol. Resensitization of residents and faculties will be conducted to minimize the complications to the bare minimum.

Re-audit will be conducted for the next quarter to assess the success of the audit.

REFERENCES

- Patil MS, Balwir DN, Dua S. Study of Intraoperative Complications in Small Incision Cataract Surgery, its Management and Visual Outcome. MVP J Med Sci. 2016;3(1):52.
- Zafar S, Chen X, Sikder S, Srikumaran D, Woreta FA. Outcomes of resident-performed small incision cataract surgery in a university-based practice in the USA. Clin Ophthalmol. 2019;13:529–34.
- Zitha AJ, Rampersad N. Cataract surgery outcomes: comparison of the extracapsular cataract extraction and manual small incision cataract surgery techniques. Afr Health Sci. 2022 Mar;22(1):619-629. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v22i1.72. PMID: 36032425; PMCID: PMC9382495
- Warad C, Tenagi A, Satarasi P, Goyal D, Mendpara R, Harakuni U, Bubanale SC, K S S, B K B, Wani V. Visual Outcome Following Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery at a Tertiary Center in South India. Cureus. 2021 Dec 25;13(12):e20687. doi: 10.7759/cureus.20687. PMID: 35106227; PMCID: PMC8786263. Gogate P, Optom JJ, Deshpande S, Naidoo K. Meta-analysis to Compare the Safety and
- Efficacy of Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery and Phacoemulsification. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2015 Jul-Sep;22(3):362-9. doi: 10.4103/0974-9233.159763.
- East Air J Ophthalmol. 2015 Jul-Sep;22(5):362-9. doi: 10.4105/09/4-9233.159/65. PMID: 26180478; PMCID: PMC4502183. Singh K, Misbah A, Saluja P, Singh AK. Review of manual small-incision cataract surgery. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2017 Dec(56(12):1281-1288. doi: 10.4103/ijo. 10.863_17.PMID: 29208807; PMCID: PMC5742955. Gogate PM. Small incision cataract surgery: Complications and mini-review. Indian J Conference of the Computer of t
- Ophthalmol. 2009 Jan-Feb;57(1):45-9. doi: 10.4103/0301-4738.44512. PMID: 19075410; PMCID: PMC2661529.

- Ting DSJ, Chua D, May KO, Aung M, Kumar A, Farook M, Htoon HM, C A Sng C, Ang M. Modified manual small incision cataract surgery technique for phacoemulsificationtrained surgeons. Ther Adv Ophthalmol. 2020 Dec 8;12:2515841420977372. doi: 10.1177/2515841420977372. PMID: 33344883; PMCID: PMC7727050.
- Haripriya A, Chang DF, Reena M, Shekhar M. Complication rates of phacoemulsification and manual small-incision cataract surgery at Aravind Eye Hospital. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2012 Aug;38(8):1360-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs. 2012.04.025.PMID: 22814042.