



TETHERED CORD SYNDROME: INSTITUTIONAL EXPERIENCE OF 40 CASES.

| | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Neeraj Prasad | Mch Resident, Department of Neurosurgery, Gajra raja medical college, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh (India) |
| Anand Sharma* | Mch Neurosurgery, Assistant Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, Gajra raja medical college, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh (India) *Corresponding Author |
| Dr Avinash Sharma | Professor and Head of department, Department of neurosurgery Department of Neurosurgery, Gajra raja medical college, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh (India) |
| Harshit Agrawal | Mch Resident, Department of Neurosurgery, Gajra raja medical college, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh (India) |

ABSTRACT Tethered cord syndrome (TCS) encompasses a spectrum of congenital conditions that result in progressive neurological deterioration due to tension on the spinal cord and nerve root[1]. Patients with TCS present with a wide spectrum of symptoms hence detailed physical examination is vital in patients presenting with TCS. Although TCS is a well-known entity, it continues to pose challenges regarding diagnosis and management. Surgical untethering may either ameliorate these issues or halt their worsening if they have been long-standing for many years.

KEYWORDS : tethered cord syndrome

INTRODUCTION

Tethered cord syndrome (TCS) encompasses a spectrum of congenital conditions that result in progressive neurological deterioration due to tension on the spinal cord and nerve root[1]. Tethered cord is a neurologic disorder caused by tissue attachments that limit the movement of the spinal cord within the spinal column, this can be secondary to a heterogeneous group of disorders, such as spinal lipomas, lipomatous-filum, split cord malformations, and meningomyelocele. It typically occurs in children, and it is rare in adults [2].

The term filum terminale syndrome was first used by Garceau in 1953 in describing three patients.[3] Two decades later, in 1976, Hoffman coined the term "tethered spinal cord" in patients with a low-lying Conus medullaris with a thickened filum[4]. More recently, there have been descriptions of TCS in which patients are described to have the conus medullaris in a normal position on imaging but presenting with signs and symptoms consistent with TCS [4]

Adult-onset cases are rare compared to that in children.[5] The risks include folic acid deficiency [6], mothers very young or very old in age, toxic medications, obesity, multiple gestations, anti-epileptic medications, zinc deficiency, and ingestion of excessive tea in the first trimester of pregnancy [7].

The abnormally low position of the conus-medullaris may lead to neurological, musculoskeletal, urological, orthopaedic, or gastrointestinal abnormalities[7]. Patients with TCS present with a wide spectrum of symptoms these are mainly dependent on the age of presentation and the underlying cause hence detailed physical examination is vital in patients presenting with TCS. Although TCS is a well-known entity, it continues to pose challenges regarding diagnosis and management [8]

The congenital tethered cervical spinal cord is a very rare entity and is usually due to a dermal sinus tract stalk entering the subarachnoid space and attaching to neural elements [9]. Eller and colleagues reported a taut fibro-neural band which tethered the dorsally cleft cord in cervical myelomeningocele with the dural dorsal surface. Patients of TCS usually have complaints of radicular pain in both upper limbs along with stiffness, restriction of neck movements, Lhermitte sign and occasionally bowel bladder involvement is also present.

Our objective is to look at the various clinical, radiological, pathological presentations and surgical outcomes in patients with tethered cord.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. Place and Period of Study: The prospective study was carried out at

the Department of Neurosurgery, J.A. Group of Hospitals, G.R. Medical College, Gwalior, M.P. over 25 months from December 2018 to December 2020.

2. Study Design: Prospective Study

3. Study population:

Consecutive patients of tethered cord syndrome were admitted to the department of Neurosurgery, J.A. Group of Hospitals, G.R. Medical College, Gwalior, M.P. over 24 months of period. The present study was conducted on over 40 patients admitted during the above-mentioned duration.

4. Inclusion Criteria:

Patients with clinical and radiological(CT/ MRI Spine) findings consistent with tethered cord syndrome.

5. Exclusion criteria:

Patients with severe co-morbid illness, non-cooperative, and not willing for surgery were excluded from the study

6. Study procedure:

All patients with clinical and radiological diagnostic features of tethered cord syndrome and satisfying the inclusion criteria were considered for the study.

7. Data collection:

Approval for the study was obtained from Ethical Committee (Medical). Data of all the admitted patients were collected as per the proforma.

8. Pre-operative clinical assessment:

All included patients were thoroughly evaluated with preoperative history, detailed clinical examination, radiological assessment using CT scan, MRI of the whole spine, and USG for pre and post-void residual volume and associated congenital anomaly. MRI is now the investigation of choice in patients presenting with TCS, as it helps in delineating structural information which is useful for identification and classification of the underlying pathologies and enables the surgeon to plan further management of these patients. MR imaging is used primarily to identify the level of the conus and the nature of the filum terminale. Patients with thick/normal filum and conus level below the L1-L2 disk were included in the study. Filum was considered to be thick, if the diameter was more than 2 mm, at L5-S1 on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). CT scans were done in patients with MRI finding suggestive of split cord malformation CT scan of the spine was done.

Spinal ultrasonography has been advocated as the ideal screening tool

for occult spinal dysraphism in young infants (less than 6 months old), given its availability, portability, low cost, and ease of obtaining high-quality images.

Post-void residual volume (PVR) is the amount of urine retained in the bladder after a voluntary void and functions as a diagnostic tool. Post void residue is estimated either by USG bladder or by doing a bladder scan with a Foley catheter in situ. Post-void residual evaluation is by measuring the remaining urine in the bladder shortly after a voluntary void. Urinary catheterization is the gold standard for measuring the post-void residual. Measurement of post-void residual immediately after voiding is crucial for accurate measurement, with delays of as little as 10 minutes from bladder emptying to post-void residual measurement potentially causing clinically significant overestimation of post-void residual^[10]. USG is used to visualize the bladder both transabdominal and transvaginal. Transvaginal ultrasound appears especially accurate for measuring low bladder volumes^[11]. Adults^[12] having less than 50mL PVR is adequate bladder emptying, Children^[13,14,15] having more than 20mL PVR is considered abnormal, Elderly^[16,17,18] patients having 50-100 ml PVR is considered normal.

PVR is assessed within five minutes of voiding. For children aged <6 years, a single PVR >30 ml or >21% BC, or repetitive PVR >20 ml or >10% BC can be regarded as elevated. For children aged >7 years, a single PVR >20 ml or 15% BC, or repetitive PVR >10 ml or 6% BC can be redefined as elevated^[19]. By expert opinion, there is no universally accepted definition of a significant residual urine volume. For clinical practice, PVR <30 ml can be considered insignificant, while residual volumes persistently >50 ml could be regarded as important^[20].

8. Follow up:

Patients were followed up in Neurosurgery OPD after discharge from the neurosurgery department at an interval of 3 months, 6 months, and then annually. Patients in whom surgery-related complications developed were reviewed more frequently.

RESULT

1. Age-wise distribution (TABLE-1):

Among 40 patients, 24 patients (60%) were in the age group of 0-10 years, and 8 patients (20%) were in the age group of 11-20 years. 3 patients (7.5%) were presented in the 21-30 years of age group. 4 patients (10%) were in the age group of 31-40.

| S.No | AGE (Yrs.) | No. Of patients | Percentage |
|------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| 1. | 0-10 | 24 | 60 |
| 2. | 11-20 | 8 | 20 |
| 3. | 21-30 | 3 | 7.5 |
| 4. | 31-40 | 4 | 10 |
| 5. | 41-50 | 1 | 2.5 |
| | TOTAL | 40 | 100 |

2: Gender-wise distribution:

Male outnumber female in our study, male was present in N=26(65%) while female in N=14(35%). Male to female ratio is 1.85:1. (Table 2)

Table 2: Gender-wise distribution

| S.NO | Gender | No. of patient | Percentage% |
|------|--------|----------------|-------------|
| 1. | MALE | 26 | 65 |
| 2. | FEMALE | 14 | 35 |
| | | 40 | 100 |

3: Cutaneous stigmata:

Most common cutaneous stigmata were lipoma found in N=13(46.52%) patients followed by dermal sinus in N=5 (17.85%) patients. (Table 3)

Table 3: Cutaneous stigmata in patients with tethered cord

| Cutaneous stigmata | No. of patients | Percentage |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Lipoma | 13 | 46.42 |
| Dermal sinus | 5 | 17.85 |
| Cervical meningocele | 4 | 14.28 |
| Lumbar meningocele | 3 | 10.71 |
| Tuft of hair | 1 | 3.57 |
| Skin dimple | 1 | 3.57 |
| Lumbar meningocele | 1 | 3.57 |
| Total | 28 | 100 |

4. Type of spinal dysraphism associated with tethered Cord:

Lumbar lipomyelomeningocele was most commonly found in N=15(37.5%) patients, N= 5(12.5%) patients had dermal sinus, cervical meningocele in N=4(10%) and lumbar meningocele in N=3(7.5%), thickened filum terminale was found in N=2(7.5%) patients and N= 1(2.5%) patients had diastematomyelia (Table 4).

Table 4: Type of spinal dysraphism associated with tethered Cord

| Type of spinal dysraphism | No. Of Patients | Per cent |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Lipomyelomeningocele | 15 | 37.5% |
| o/c/o MMC | 7 | 17.5% |
| Dermal sinus | 5 | 12.5% |
| Cervical meningocele | 4 | 10% |
| Lumbar Meningocele | 3 | 7.5% |
| Thickened filum terminal | 2 | 5% |
| Diastematomyelia | 1 | 2.5% |
| Dorsal Meningocele | 1 | 2.5 |
| Conus dermoid | 1 | 2.5% |
| Lumbar meningocele | 1 | 2.5% |
| Total | 40 | 100 % |

5. MRI Findings of the position of the Conus:

Most of the patients had conus at the L3 level (N=22, 55%, while 7.5% (N=3) and 12.5%(N=5) of patients had conus at L2 and L4 level. 22.5% (N=9) of patients had conus at a normal position.

| Position of conus | No. of patients | Percentage |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| L3 | 22 | 55% |
| L2 | 3 | 7.5% |
| L4 | 5 | 12.5% |
| L5 | 1 | 2.5% |
| Normal conus position | 9 | 22.5% |
| Total | 40 | 100% |

6. Type of operation done: (TABLE-6)

| Type of spinal dysraphism | Operation | Percentage | Number |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| Lipomyelomeningocele | Excision of lipoma with the division of filum terminale | 37.5% | 15 |
| Thickened filum terminal | Excision of filum terminale | 5% | 2 |
| Lumbar Meningocele | Excision of meningocele | 7.5% | 3 |
| Cervical meningocele | Excision of meningocele with the division of adhesion. | 10% | 4 |
| o/c/o MMC | Adhesion release with excision of filum | 17.5% | 7 |
| Dermal sinus | Excision of the sinus with the division of adhesion | 12.5% | 5 |
| Diastematomyelia | Laminectomy with excision of the bony spur with excision of filum | 2.5% | 1 |
| Dorsal Meningocele | Excision of meningocele with the division of adhesion. | 2.5% | 1 |
| Conus dermoid | Laminectomy with near total excision with excision of filum terminale. | 2.5% | 1 |
| Lumbar meningocele | Excision with repair | 2.5% | 1 |

7. Summary of intraoperative findings (TABLE-7)

| Intraoperative finding | No. Of Patients | Percentage |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Lipoma with thickened filum terminale | 15 | 37.5% |
| Adhesion with Thickened filum terminale, | 7 | 17.5% |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| Dermal sinus+ adhesion + thickened filum terminale | 3 | 7.5% |
| Adhesion (4 cervical + 1 dorsal sinus+ dorsal MMC+ lumbar MC) | 8 | 20.0% |
| Myelomeningocele + thickened filum terminale | 3 | 7.5% |
| Thickened filum terminale | 2 | 5% |
| Dermoid with the tight filum | 1 | 2.5% |
| SCM+ Tight filum terminale + Bony spur. | 1 | 2.5% |
| Total | 40 | 100% |

8. Follow-Up of Signs & Symptoms (TABLE-8)

| Sign and symptoms | IMPROVE D | STAB LE | WOR SE | RECUR RENCE | UNKNO WN | TOTA L |
|---------------------|------------|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| Urinary dysfunction | 13(81.25%) | 2(12.5%) | 0 | 0 | 1(6.25%) | 16 |
| Bowel dysfunction | 5(62.5%) | 2(25%) | 0 | 0 | 1(12.5%) | 8 |
| Pain | 5(83.3%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1(16.6%) | 6 |
| Motor deficit | 8(90.9%) | 1(9.09%) | 0 | 0 | 1(9.09%) | 10 |
| Sensory deficit | 6(85.71%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1(14.28%) | 7 |
| Sexual dysfunction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1(100%) | 1 |

DISCUSSION

The word "Spinal Dysraphism" was coined by B W Liechtenstein in 1940. Spinal dysraphism involves a spectrum of congenital anomalies resulting in a defective neural arch through which meninges or neural elements are herniated, leading to a variety of clinical manifestations.[21] The anatomic level of the myelomeningocele sac correlates with the patient's neurologic, motor, and sensory deficits.

Age and gender distribution

The present study revealed most patients are in the age group of 0-10 years which is slightly different from previous studies. The present study revealed male predominance with a male-to-female ratio of 1.85:1 which is similar to the previous studies. Kafle et al 2017 in a study of 97 patients found that males to females with a ratio of 1.77:1 [22]. B. J. Iskandar, et al.1998 in their prospective study found that there were 12 men and 22 women[23].

Types of associated spinal dysraphism with cutaneous stigmata

Our Study revealed cutaneous stigmata like lipoma in 13(46.42%) patients and dermal sinus in 5 (17.85%)patients. Ailawadhi et al. 2012 in a study of 34 patients noted abnormality of spine curvature in 61.7%, 29.4% patients presented with different types of cutaneous stigmata like a sacral dimple in 1 (2.9%) patient, subcutaneous lipoma in 1 patient (2.9%) or tuft of hair at the back in 5 patients (14.7%). Parchment skin, dermal sinus and skin appendage were seen in 1 patient each. Urological complaints were present in 32.3% of patients, limb weakness in 55.8%, numbness in 17.6%, leg and foot deformity in 32.3% and back pain in 20.5%[24].

In our study, lipomyelomeningocele was found in 15 (37.5%)patients, 5(12.5%) patients had dermal sinus, cervical meningomyelocele 4(10%) and lumbar meningomyelocele 3(7.5%) and thickened filum terminale were found in 2(7.5%) of patients, 1 (2.5%) patients had Diastematomyelia. S. Rajpal et al 2007 in their prospective study on lipomyelomeningocele (25 patients), tight filum terminal (22 patients), SCMs (15 patients), syringomyelia (7 patients), dermoid cysts (two patients), and a meningocele (one patient)[25].

Position of conus

Ailawadhi et al.2012 the conus was low lying at L3 in 20(58.8%) of the total patients[24]. In the present study 22 (55%)out of 40 patients has conus at the L3 level, L4 in 5 (12.5%) patients, L2 in 3 (7.5%) patients, L5 in 1(2.5%) patients and 9 patients had a normal position of conus.

Intraoperative findings

In the present study, intraoperatively 15(37.5%) had lipoma with tight filum terminale, followed by adhesion 8(20%), adhesion with tight filum terminale 7(17.5%), dermal sinus with adhesion with tight filum terminale 3(7.5%), 3(7.5%) had myelomeningocele thickened filum terminale, 2 (5%) had thickened filum terminale and 1 (2.5%) patient each of dermoid with low lying conus, split cord malformation with

fibrous septa and dermal sinus with adhesion with tight filum terminale. G. Y. F. Lee, et al. 2006[26] Lipoma + tight terminal filum 15(25%), tight filum terminale 17(28.3%), myelomeningocele 5(8.3%), tight terminal filum with adhesions 4(6.66%), SCM + tight terminal filum 3(5%), dermoid tumour with adhesions 4(6.66%), adhesions 3(5%) myelomeningocele with tight terminal filum 2(3.33%) lipoma with adhesions 2(3.33%) and one patient each of tight terminal filum with lipoma with adhesions 1(1.66%), myelomeningocele with lipoma SCM with lipoma with tight terminal filum and epidermoid terminal filum with S-2 lipoma.

Outcome

For most of the patients, N=13(81.25%) had improvement in urinary symptoms, N=5(62.5%) patients had improvement in bowel symptoms, N=5(83.3%) patients had improvement in pain symptoms, N=6(75%) had improvement in motor deficit, N=6(85.71%) had improvement in sensory deficit. Through the follow-up of 56 cases of adult TCS patients, Huttman et al [27] found that the pain relief rate was 86%, which was the most obvious symptom that alleviated, the remission rate of the lower limb spasticity was 71%, and the remission rate of bladder dysfunction and feeling movement dysfunction was 44% and 35%, respectively. G. Y. F. Lee, et al. 2006 in their prospective study found that 21 (50%) patients had improvement in urinary symptoms, 29(64%) had improvement in motor weakness, 36(83%) had improvement in back pain symptoms and 20(50%) patients had improvement in sensory symptoms [26].

REFERENCES:

- Venkataramana NK. Spinal dysraphism. *J Pediatr Neurosci*. 2011 Oct;6(Suppl 1):S31-40.
- Shih P, Halpin RJ, Ganju A, Liu JC, Koski TR. Management of recurrent adult tethered cord syndrome. *Neurosurg Focus* 2010;29:E5.
- Garceau GJ: The filum terminale syndrome (the cord-traction syndrome). *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 35:711-716, 1953.
- Hoffman HJ, Hendrick EB, Humphreys RP: The tethered spinal cord: its protean manifestations, diagnosis and surgical correction. *Childs Brain* 2:145-155, 1976
- Shukla M, Sardana J, Sahu R, Sharma P, Behari S et al. Adult versus Pediatric Tethered cord syndrome: Clinicoradiological differences and its management. *Asian J Neurosurgery*, 2018 AprJun;13(2):264-270. 71
- Hertzler DA, 2nd, DePowell JJ, Stevenson CB, Mangano FT. Tethered cord syndrome: a review of the literature from embryology to adult presentation. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2010;29:E1.
- Castillo-Lancellotti C, Tur JA, Uauy R. Impact of folic acid fortification of flour on neural tube defects: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr*. 2013;16:901-911.
- Yamada S, Won DJ. What is the true tethered cord syndrome? *Childs Nerv Syst*. 2007;23:371-375.
- Kriss VM, Desai NS. Occult spinal dysraphism in neonates: assessment of high-risk cutaneous stigmata on sonography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1998;171:1687-1692.
- Haylen BT, Lee J. The accuracy of post-void residual measurement in women. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2008 May;19(5):603-6.
- Haylen BT. Verification of the accuracy and range of transvaginal ultrasound in measuring bladder volumes in women. *Br J Urol*. 1989Oct;64(4):350-2.
- Sakakibara R, Yamamoto T, Uchiyama T, Liu Z, Ito T, Yamazaki M, Awa Y, Yamanishi T, Hattori T. Is lumbar spondylolysis a cause of urinary retention in elderly women? *J Neurol*. 2005Aug;252(8):953-7.
- Chung KL, Chao NS, Liu CS, Tang PM, Liu KK, Leung MW. Abnormal voiding parameters in children with severe idiopathic constipation. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2014Jul;30(7):747-9.
- Chang SJ, Yang SS. Variability, related factors and a normal reference value of post-void residual urine in healthy kindergarteners. *J Urol*. 2009 Oct;182(4 Suppl):1933-8.
- Chang SJ, Chiang IN, Hsieh CH, Lin CD, Yang SS. Age- and gender-specific nomograms for single and dual post-void residual urine in healthy children. *Neurology*. 2013 Sep;32(7):1014-8.
- Alagiakrishnan K, Valprea M. Ultrasound bladder scanner presents falsely elevated postvoid residual volumes. *Can Fam Physician*. 2009 Feb;55(2):163-4.
- Kolman C, Girman CJ, Jacobsen SJ, Lieber MM. Distribution of post-void residual urine volume in randomly selected men. *J Urol*. 1999 Jan;161(1):122-7.
- Shimoni Z, Fruger E, Froom P. Measurement of post-void residual bladder volumes in hospitalized older adults. *Am J Med*. 2015 Jan;128(1):77-81.
- Shang-Jen Chang, I-Ni Chiang, Cheng-Hsing Hsieh, Chia-Da Lin, and Stephen Shei-Dei Yang* Age- and Gender-Specific Nomograms For Single and Dual Post-Void Residual Urine In Healthy Children *Neurourology and Urodynamics* 32:1014-1018 (2013).
- Abrams P, Cardozo L, Khoury S, et al. Incontinence (5th International Consultation on Incontinence, Paris February 2012), ICUD-EAU 2013.
- Harwood-Nash DC, McHugh K. Diastematomyelia in 172 children: The impact of modern neuroradiology. *Pediatr Neurosurg*. 1991;16:247-51.
- Kafle P, Shilpakar SK, Sharma MR, Sedan G et al. Spinal Dysraphism: Common Entity in Pediatric Neurosurgery. *NEPAL JOURNAL OF NEUROSCIENCE* 2017. Vol. 14, no. 1 pp. 2-6.
- Iskandar BJ, Fulmer BB, Hadley MN, Oakes WJ: Congenital tethered spinal cord syndrome in adults. *J Neurosurg* 88:958-961, 1998.
- Ailawadhi P, Kale S.S., Agrawal D., Mahapatra A.K., Kumar R. Primary Tethered Cord Syndrome - Clinical and Urological Manifestations, Diagnosis and Management: A Prospective Study. *Pediatr Neurosurg* 2012;48:210-215.
- Rajpal S, Tubbs RS, George T, Oakes WJ, Fuchs HE, Hadley MN, et al: Tethered cord due to spina bifida occulta presenting in adulthood: a tri center review of 61 patients. *J Neurosurg Spine* 6:210-215, 2007.
- Lee GY, Paradiso G, Totor CH, Gentili F, Massicotte EM, Fehlings MG. Surgical management of tethered cord syndrome in adults: indications, techniques, and long-term outcome in 60 patients. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2006;4(2):123-31.
- Huttman S, Krauss J, Collmann H, Sorensen N, Roosen K: Surgical management of tethered spinal cord in adults: report of 54 cases. *J Neurosurg* 95 (2 Suppl):173-178, 2001.