| Original Resear  | Volume - 12   Issue - 09   September - 2022   PRINT ISSN No. 2249 - 555X   DOI : 10.36106/ijar<br>Dermatology<br>A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF EFFICACY OF HALOBETASOL<br>ROPIONATE (0.05%) OINTMENT AND TACROLIMUS (0.1%) OINTMENT IN<br>LOCALIZED CUTANEOUS LICHEN PLANUS |
|------------------|--|
| Dr. Yogesh Kumar | Tutor/registrar/senior resident in department of dermatology,G.R.medical college   |
| Kasediya         | Gwalior, Madhya pradesh  |
| Dr. Kamal        | Tutor/registrar/senior resident in department of dermatology,G.R.medical college   |
| Bhadoria         | Gwalior, Madhya pradesh  |
| Dr. Naveen Kumar | Senior resident in department of dermatology, JNKTMCH, Madhepura, Bihar  |

 Dr.Prem Prakash
 Assistant professor in department of dermatology,ANMMCH,Gaya,Bihar

 \*Corresponding Author
 \*Corresponding Author

**ABSTRACT** In recent years, calcineurin inhibitors have been used as the first line alternative to topical corticosteroids in the treatment of discoid lupus erythematosus (DLE). We aim to evaluate the efficacy and safety of topical tacrolimus 0.1% vs topical halobetasol propionate 0.05% in patients with localised cutaneous lichen planus. This comparative study was carried out in the Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Nalanda Medical College Hospital (NMCH), Patna between the period of August 2018 to September 2020. Among the 80 patients under study, 42 were males and 38 were females. They were in the age group ranging from 13 years to 69 years with mean age of 34.73 years. The duration of lichen planus ranged from 2 weeks to 2 years with mean duration of 5.5 months. The time interval between the last and the present therapy ranged from 1 to 6 months. None of them had systemic treatment. The present study demonstrated that tacrolimus 0.1% ointment and halobetasol propionate 0.05% ointment had a comparable efficacy in localised cutaneous lichen planus; however, halobetasol showed significantly better improvement regarding itchy, hypertrophic lesions.

**KEYWORDS**: Localised cutaneous lichen plasnus, halobetasol propionate ointment, Tacrolimus ointment.

# INTRODUCTION

Lichen Planus is a unique inflammatory disorder characterized by shiny, violaceous, flat-topped polygonal papules, varying in size from a pin-point to several centimeters purple, polygonal, pruritic, papule are used to describe the clinical appearance of the lesions. White lines known as Wickham's striae may traverse the surface of the papules, and are better visualized with a hand lens after applying oil, water or xyleneand consist with isomorphic response (Koebner response). Lichen Planus is one of the most itchy dermatoses. It is prevalent worldwide with no social or climatic predilection. It affects the skin, mucous membrane, nails and hair. Itching is a constant feature of lichen planus and the lesions heal with pigmentation which may be persistent and intense in dark skinned people. Spontaneous remissions can occur after varying amounts of time. However treatment with medium to high-potency topical corticosteroids is generally recommended as the first-line therapy for localised lichen planus. Topical Tacrolimus in addition to its inhibitory effect on cytokine production causes alterations in epidermal antigen-presenting dendritic cells that may result in decreased immunologic response to antigens. The possible role of activated T cells in the pathogenesis of lichen planus as well as the extensive safety profile makes topical tacrolimus an attractive option for the treatment of cutaneous lichen planus. However, there are no head to head comparisons of corticosteroids and tacrolimus in the treatment of lichen

planus.Towards this goal we performed a prospective, randomised, open label clinical trial comparing the therapeutic efficacy of a topical corticosteroid 0.05% halobetasol propionate with a topical calcineurin inhibitor 0.1% tacrolimus in adults with localised cutaneous lichen planus.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

The present study had been undertaken at NMCH College and Hospital, Patna. The study period is from August 2018 to September 2020.

### **Inclusion Criteria:**

- 1) Patients of clinically diagnosed localised (<10% of body surface area) lichen planus lesions of both sexes.
- Subjects who have not been on any form of therapy (oral/ topical/ injectables) for this particular disease for the last 45 days prior to their enrolment in the study.

# **Exclusion Criteria:**

- 1) Lichen planus with facial/mucosal involvement.
- 2) Use of drugs known to produce lichenoid reactions.
- 3) Pregnant/ lactating women.
- 4) Children < 12 years of age.

5) Subjects with prior history of hypersensitivity to any of the components of the test medications.

#### **METHOD:**

Patients was randomly allocated into the 2 groups and 2 phases.

Phase 1 consists of topical treatment for 2 weeks.

**Phase 2** consists of a two month follow-up period without any therapy.

**Group 1:** Patients in this group was receive 0.05% halobetasol propionate ointment. The patients were advised to apply the ointment only over the lesions twice daily for a period of 2 weeks or until the lesions cleared, whichever is earlier. Assessment was done every 2 weeks.

Patient Visual Analogue Scale for pruritus (VAS) consists of a horizontal line marked 0(= no itching) to 10(= more severe itching)] and Clinician VAS for thickness [0= flat to 10= highly raised] was marked & photographs will be taken at each visit.

**Group 2:** Patients in this group was receive 0.1% tacrolimus ointment to apply only over the lesions twice daily for a period of 2 weeks or until the lesions clear, whichever is earlier. Assessment was done at weekly intervals. Patient Visual Analogue Scale for pruritus and Clinician VAS for thickness was marked with photographic assessment.

## RESULTS

The following observations were made in the present study. Among the 80 patients under study, 42 were males and 38 were females. They were in the age group ranging from 13 years to 69 years with mean age of 34.73 years. The duration of lichen planus ranged from 2 weeks to 2 years with mean duration of 5.5 months. Family history of lichen planus was positive in 6.7% of patients, all had onset < 20 years of age. History of previous topical application was present in 15% of patients. The time interval between the last and the present therapy ranged from 1 to 6 months. None of them had had systemic treatment.

### Damographics

| Variables        | Group 1     | Group 2    |
|------------------|-------------|------------|
|                  | Halobetasol | Tacrolimus |
| No. of Patients  | 40          | 40         |
| Gender (M/F)     | 20/20       | 22/18      |
| Mean duration of |             |            |
| lesions          | 169 days    | 165 days   |

| Mean Age            | 32.6 years | 36.8 years |
|---------------------|------------|------------|
| Type of lesions     |            |            |
| Classical           | 22         | 26         |
| Hypertrophic        | 12         | 8          |
| Linear              | 4          | 5          |
| LP of Palms/Soles   | 2          | 1          |
| Site of involvement |            |            |
| Upper limb          | 14         | 16         |
| Lower Limb          | 23         | 21         |
| Trunk               | 1          | 2          |
| Palms and soles     | 2          | 1          |

### THERAPEUTIC RESPONSE: Pruritus-Response:

The national Visual Analogue Scale scores for pruritus

- In the halobetasol group in weeks 1 and 2 dropped from a mean of 6.13 at baseline to 3.55 at day 7 and 1.53 at day 14 which were both statistically significant(p<0.01).</li>
- In the tacrolimus group, the mean had dropped from 6.13 to 4.6 at day 7(statistically significant, p<0.01) and 2.6 at day 14(statistically significant, p<0.01)</li>

#### Thickness – Response:

The physician Visual Analogue Scale scores for thickness

- In the halobetasol group dropped from a mean of 6.48 at baseline to 4.15 at day 7 and 2.37 at day 14 which were both statistically significant.(p value <0.01)</li>
- 2) In the tacrolimus group, the mean dropped from 6.48 at baseline to 5.42 at day 7( p value >0.05,not statistically significant) and 4.35 at day 14(p value <0.01,statistically significant)

The difference between the two groups in the mean scores of thickness had a p value of 0.03 at the end of 1 week and 0.0072 at the end of two weeks which were both statistically significant.

 $7^{\rm th}$  &  $14^{\rm th}$  day day of clinical assessment of both halobetasol and tacrolimus

|              | Days 7      |            | Days 14     |            |
|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|              | Halobetasol | Tacrolimus | Halobetasol | Tacrolimus |
| Cleared      | 1(2.5%)     | -          | 14 (35%)    | 3(7.5%)    |
| Marked       | 11(27.5%)   | -          | 10(25%)     | 6 (15%)    |
| Improvement  |             |            |             |            |
| Moderate     | 16 (40%)    | 10 (25%)   | 10 (25%)    | 5 (12.5%)  |
| Improvement  |             |            |             |            |
| Slight       | 8 (20%)     | 18 (45%)   | 6 (15%)     | 17 (42.5%) |
| Improvement  |             |            |             |            |
| No Change    | 4 (10%)     | 12(30%)    | -           | 9 (22.5%)  |
| Exacerbation | -           | -          | -           | -          |

The difference between the two groups in clearance rates showed a statistically significant difference at the end of 2 weeks with a p value of 0.019

#### Follow-up:

- At week 4 of follow-up, 35% of patients in the halobetasol group and 47.5% of patients in the tacrolimus group developed new lesions. Relapse of lesions were found in 7(17.5%) of halobetasol and 2 (5%) of tacrolimus group. No change in existing lesions was found in 22.5% of halobetasol and 27.5% of tacrolimus group.
- 2) At week 8 of follow-up, number of new lesions increased in both the groups with 40% of patients in the halobetasol group and 52.5% of patients in the tacrolimus group having new lesions, with no significant difference between the two. Significant difference was seen in the relapse of lesions, with more people in the halobetasol group experiencing relapse compared to the tacrolimus group(p=0.03 by Fisher's exact test).

#### Follow-up period

|                  | Halobetasol |            | Tacrolimus |           |
|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|                  | Week 4      | Week 8     | Week 4     | Week 8    |
| New Lesions      | 14 (35%)    | 19 (47.5%) | 16 (40%)   | 21(52.5%) |
| Relapse          | 7(17.5%)    | 7(17.5%)   | 2(5%)      | 3(7.5%)   |
| Remission        | 14(35%)     | 3(7.5%)    | 11(27.5%)  | 4(10%)    |
| No change in     | 9(22.5%)    | 8(20%)     | 11(27.5%)  | 10 (25%)  |
| Existing Lesions |             |            |            |           |

## Adverse effects:

78

No serious adverse effects were noted. About 40% of patients in the Tacrolimus group experienced mild burning sensation, more on the

first week of treatment. This subsided spontaneously and no patient discontinued treatment. In the halobetasol group, no significant side effect was noted. The most common adverse effect noted was the hypo pigmented halo around the lesions, which was seen in 42.5% of patients.

### CONCLUSION

After 2 weeks of study period, topical halobetasol caused a significant reduction in symptoms (p value = 0.017) compared to tacrolimus in localised cutaneous lichen planus.

After 8 weeks of follow-up, topical tacrolimus resulted in lower relapse rates compared to halobetasol (p value = 0.03).

In the halobetasol group, there was no change in rate of response with respect to site of involvement, blood group or duration of lesions.

This is the probably first study comparing topical halobetasol and topical tacrolimus head-to-head. Prospective randomized trials with more subjects are needed before any treatment recommendations can be made based on the conclusions of our study.

#### REFERENCES

- Mark P.Pittelkow, Mazen S.Daoud. Lichen Planus. In:Klaus Wolff,Lowell A.Goldsmith, Stephen I.Katz, Barbara A.Gilchrest, Amy S.Paller, David J.Leffell, editors. Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in general medicine. 7th edn. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2008.p.244-255
- Bhattacharya M, Kaur I, Kumar B. Lichen planus: a clinical and epidemiological study. J Dermatol 2000; 27:576-82
- Vidhya,ravindran 2011 comparative study of halobetasol and tacrolimus in treatment of lichen planus,madras medical college, Chennai
   Sugerman PB, Satterwhite K, Bigby M. Autotoxic T-cell clones in lichen planus. Br J
- Sugerman PB, Satterwhite K, Bigby M. Autotoxic T-cell clones in lichen planus. Br J Dermatol 2000; 142: 449-56
- J et al. Detection of hepatitis C RNA and core protein in keratinocytes from patients with cutaneous lichen planus and chronic hepatitis C J Invest Dermatol 2002;119:798-803
   De Vries HJ, van Marle J, Teunissen MB et al.Lichen planus is associated with human
- De Vries HJ, van Marle J, Teunissen MB et al.Lichen planus is associated with human herpesvirus type 7 replication and infiltration of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Br J Dermatol 2006;154:361-4
- Martin MD, Broughton S, Drangsholt M.Oral lichen planus and dental materials: a case control study. Contact dermatitis 2003; 48: 331-6
   Pretel M, Espana A. Lichen planus induced by radiotherapy. Clin Exp Dermatol
- Pretel M, Espana A. Lichen planus induced by radiotherapy. Clin Exp Dermatol 2007;32:582-3
- Vallejo MJ, Heurta G, Cerero R, Seoane JM. Anxiety and depression as risk factors for oral lichen planus. Dermatology 2001;203:303-7
   Manolache L, Seceleanu-Petrescu D, Benea V. Lichen planus patients and stressful
- Manolache L, Seceleanu-Petrescu D, Benea V. Lichen planus patients and stressful events. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2008;22: 437-41