Original Research Paper Volume - 13 Issue - 12 December - 2023 PRINT ISSN No. 2249 - 555X Community Medicine Community Medicine A STUDY ON PREVALENCE OF COMPLICATIONS OF TYPE 2 D MELLITUS IN A DIABETES CLINIC OF A TERTIARY CARE HOS NORTH INDIA.	
Dr Abhishek Arun	Associate Professor, Hind Institute of Medical Sciences.
Dr Banshi Saboo	Consultant Diabetologist and Endocrine Specialist Dia Care Hormone Centre Ahmedabad.

ABSTRACT Background: Diabetes is a chronic disease, leading to many complications include micro vascular (nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy) and macro vascular (stroke, myocardial infarction, and coronary artery disease) with co morbidities that leads to a substantial decrease in the patients' quality of life as well as socio-economic implications. Aim: This study was taken up for estimating the prevalence, pattern, and distribution of complications of Type 2 diabetes in a diabetes clinic of a tertiary care hospital of north India. **Material and Methods:** It was a descriptive, observational, cross-sectional study which was carried out in Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, Uttar Pradesh. Observations and **Results:** Out of 360 diabetic patients, 140 (39%) had one or more complications while 220 (61%) did not have any complications. It was found that cardiovascular complications were present in 120 (33.3%) patients, cerebrovascular complications were present in 35 (9.7%) patients, peripheral vascular disease was present in 25 (7%) patients, while 50 (14%) patients were having diabetic neuropathy and 110 (30.5%) patients were suffering from diabetic retinopathy. **Conclusion:** The following study has shown that among the diabetic patients 39% were suffering from complications. It is seen that the complications were more in those suffering from diabetic complication and having a poor glycemic control. Higher proportion of the T2D patients did not have adequate knowledge on diabetic complications.

KEYWORDS : Diabetes Mellitus, Perpheral Vascular Disease, Diabetic Nephropathy, Diabetic Neuropathy.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is one of the commonest and non-communicable disease remains highly prevalent with an increasing incidence globally. It is classified under three major groups, namely, type 1, type 2, and gestational diabetes [1]. World health organization defined diabetes mellitus (DM) as a metabolic disorder of multiple etiology characterized by chronic hyperglycemia with disturbances of carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both [2].

According to the International Diabetes Federation, Type 2 diabetes accounts for 85% to 95% of all diabetes in high income countries and may account for an even higher percentage in low- and middle income countries. Type 2 diabetes is a common condition and a serious global health problem [1]. Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a multidimensional health problem with important consequences owing to its increasing prevalence, chronicity and complications that cause disability, decreased health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and premature death [3].

Diabetes is a chronic disease, leading to many complications include micro vascular (nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy) and macro vascular (stroke, myocardial infarction, and coronary artery disease) with co morbidities leads to a substantial decrease in the patients' quality of life as well as socio-economic implications [4]. It is a chronic disease with considerable impact on health status and quality of life and it is considered an urgent public health issue because it has a pandemic potential [5].

In the last few decades Diabetes mellitus has emerged as a major public health problem globally [6]. Studies conducted in different settings have shown an increase in the prevalence of diabetes[7,8].Worldwide, the prevalence of diabetes is expected to rise from 4% in 1995 to 5.4% by the year 2025[9].According to World Health Organization, the developing countries will account for the major proportion of this increase. It is predicted that approximately one third of adult diabetics will be in India and China by the year 2025[10].

A recent WHO report have shown that India accounts for the highest number of diabetic population of the world [9]. Presently there are 65 million people suffering from diabetes in our country and this figure may rise to 80 million by 2030[11].Among the diabetic patients, 90% suffer from Type 2 diabetes [9]. It has been found that long standing diabetes mellitus is associated with changes in the blood vessels which may be a cause of late complications of this disease.

In the developed countries diabetes accounts for the principal cause of acquired blindness. Diabetic patients have 2-3 times higher risk of having myocardial infarction as well as stroke compared to non-diabetics. The risk of developing nephropathy in diabetics is 5 times

higher and it accounts for 25% of new cases of end stage renal disease. Also, the incidence of gangrene is 5 times higher in diabetics and 50% of all non-traumatic complications are result of diabetes [12]. A study conducted in South India also found a high prevalence of vascular complications of type 2 diabetes [8].

Various studies have been conducted on the prevalence of complications of Type 2 diabetes all over the world. Many hospital based as well as community based studies depict the prevalence of complications in different parts of India but there are few studies regarding the burden of complications of Type 2 diabetes in Uttar Pradesh.

Aim and Objectives

This study was taken up for estimating the prevalence, pattern, and distribution of complications of Type 2 diabetes in a diabetes clinic of a tertiary care hospital of north India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

It was a descriptive, observational, cross-sectional study which was carried out in Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, Uttar Pradesh. Sample Size

The estimated sample size was calculated by the formula:

- $4 P Q/L^2$; where
- P=Prevalence of disease/complication under study;
- Q=(100-P)
- L=Absolute error (ie.5% at 95% confidence interval)

A hospital based study by Rema et al. in South India showed prevalence of 34.1% retinopathy[7]. This prevalence has been taken for sample size calculation as literature review have shown this prevalence to be maximum. With 95% confidence limit and 5% absolute error, the sample size was 359.

Sample Size = $4 \times 34 (100-34)/5^2$ So the sample size is calculated as 359

Methodology

The study was carried out in a minimum of 360 established type 2 diabetes patients, who were visiting the clinic for treatment during the time period of Nov 2021 to April 2022. The purpose and other details of the study was discussed with the patients. An oral consent was also taken from all the participating patients, prior inclusion in the study.

Inclusion Criteria

Diagnosed cases of Type 2 Diabetes who were willing to give informed consent to participate in the study were included.

Exclusion Criteria

Diagnosed diabetic patients who do not wish to participate were

INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH 57

excluded. Those who were unable to reply verbal questions as well as mentally retarded and unconscious patients were also excluded from the study. Pregnant and lactating females were excluded because of safety reasons.

Procedure

Once the consultation by the physician was over, the prescriptions were reviewed and the patients were interviewed using structured questionnaire (open question method). Type 2 diabetic patients were interviewed with a pre-designed and pre-tested schedule. Details regarding socio-demographic parameters such as age, sex, religion, socio-economic status of family (B.G. Prasad scale) was taken. History regarding duration of diabetes was also be taken. Detailed clinical examination and relevant biochemical investigations was done. For diagnosis of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus international standards (WHO 1999) were followed fasting plasma glucose ≥ 7.0 mmol/L or 126mg/dl and/or 2 hours postprandial plasma glucose or casual plasma glucose $\geq 11.1 \text{ mmol/L}$ or 200mg/dl. The cut-off for HbA1C was taken as per WHO guidelines according to which good glycaemic control was defined as HbA1C of <7%, while 7%-8% range is acceptable and >8% was poor glycaemic control. On every visit, information regarding the use of medicines was given to the patients. The information which was recorded included patients demographic details like age, sex, body weight, height, major disorders, co-morbid conditions, family history, number of medicines prescribed, classes of medicines prescribed, complications and investigations.

Definition Of Outcome

The Complications Of Diabetes

1. Micro Vascular Complications - Retinopathy - Nephropathy - Neuropathy

2. Macro Vascular Complications – Coronary Heart Disease Peripheral Vascular Disease Cerebrovascular Disease Cardiovascular complications was diagnosed using the Minnesota criterion [13]. CT scan reports along with clinically diagnosed cases of cerebrovascular accidents were used for diagnosis of cerebrovascular complications [14]. History of intermittent claudication or absence of one or more peripheral pulses in the feet, presence of ulcer or amputation was used to define peripheral vascular disease [15]. Presence of microalbuminuria (urinary albumin ranging from 30-300mg/day), macroalbuminuria or elevated serum creatinine was used to define diabetic nephropathy[16].Diabetic neuropathy[17]was diagnosed if there is bilateral absence of ankle jerks or bilateral loss of sensation to touch, pain and temperature. For diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy[18], fundoscopy was done. All the reports were scrutinized by experts and expert opinion was sought for reaching at a diagnosis.

Statistical Analysis

The data was analyzed using appropriate statistical tests viz. proportions and Chi-square test. The differences in proportions were compared by unpaired t-test where appropriate. Statistical significance was set for p < 0.05. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20.0.

RESULTS

Out of 360 diabetic patients, 140 (39%) had one or more complications while 220 (61%) did not have any complications. It was found that cardiovascular complications were present in 120 (33.3%) patients, cerebrovascular complications were present in 35 (9.7%) patients, peripheral vascular disease was present in 25 (7%) patients, while 50 (14%) patients were having diabetic nephropathy,130 (36.1%) patients were suffering from diabetic retinopathy.

It was found that complications were present in 16.7% and 22.3% patients in both \leq 50 years and >50 years age group respectively. 23.7% of males and 13.3% of females were having complications. The presence of complications were more in Hindu patients (30.5%) compared to Muslims. Complications were more common in patients belonging to class 4 socio-economic status(25%),followed by those belonging to class 3(11.1%) and class 5(2.7%)socio-economic status respectively, while patients belonging to class 1 and class 2 socio-economic status were not presenting with any complications. The presence of complications were mostly seen in patients who are suffering from Type 2 diabetes for 5-15 years (26.5%) while it was 12.5% for patients who have diabetes for more than 15 years and patients suffering from diabetes for <5 years did not present with any complications. Complications were more frequently present in patients with HbA1C level 7%-8%, followed by 12.5% in patients with

HbA1C level >8% while only 7% patients with HbA1C level <7% were presenting with complications. It was found that the association of complications were statistically significant (p<.005) with religion, socio-economic status, duration of diabetes and glycated haemoglobin levels(HbA1C) (Table 1)

Table 1: Association Of Complications Of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
With Various Characteristics.

Characteristics	Complications	P value		
	Present Absent			
Age				
<50	60 (16.7%)	120 (33.3%)	$\chi^2 = 1.027$ p value=0.31	
>50	80 (22.3%)	100 (27.7%)		
Total	140 (39%)	220 (61%)		
Sex				
Male	90 (25%)	115 (32%)	$\chi 2 = 4.25$	
Female	50 (14%)	105 (29%)	p value=0.39	
Total	140 (39%)	220 (61%)		
Religion				
Hindu	110 (30.5%)	140 (38.8%)	$\chi 2 = 11.7$	
Muslim	25 (7%)	70 (19.4%)	p value=0.001	
Others	05 (1.5%)	10 (2.7%)	1	
Total	140 (39%)	220 (61%)		
Socio economic	e status		·	
Class 1	0 (0.0%)	30 (8.3%)	$\chi 2 = 230$	
Class 2	0 (0.0%)	110 (30.5%)	p value= 0.0001	
Class 3	40 (11.1%)	80 (22.3%)		
Class 4	90 (25%)	0 (0.0%)		
Class 5	10 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)		
Total	140 (39%)	220 (61%)		
Duration of Dia	ibetes			
<5 years	0 (0.0%)	190 (52.7%)	χ2=268	
5-15 years	95 (26.5%)	30 (8.3%)	p value=0.0001	
>15 years	45 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)		
Total	140 (39%)	220 (61%)		
Hba1c			·	
<7%	25 (7%)	220 (61%)	$\chi^2 = 258$ p value=0.0001	
7-8%	70 (19.5%)	0 (0.0%)		
>8%	45 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)		
Total	140 (39%)	220 (61%)		

Among the patients suffering from diabetes for 5-15 years, 19.5% had cardiovascular complications, 1.4% had cerebrovascular complications while none of the patients had peripheral vascular disease. Diabetic nephropathy, neuropathy and retinopathy were found in 7%, 25% and 16.7% patients respectively. Again, among the patients suffering from diabetes for more than 15 years, 14% patients had cardiovascular complications, 8.3% patients had cerebrovascular complications while 7% of the patients had peripheral vascular disease. Diabetic nephropathy, neuropathy and retinopathy were found in 7%, 11.1% and 14% patients respectively. The association between duration of diabetes and cardiovascular complications, cerebrovascular complications, peripheral vascular disease, diabetic nephropathy, neuropathy and retinopathy were statistically significant. (p<.005) HbA1C was <7% in 4% patients with cardiovascular complications and 9.7% and 7% patients of diabetic neuropathy and retinopathy respectively. None of the patients with cerebrovascular complications, peripheral vascular disease and diabetic nephropathy had HbA1C level <7%. HbA1C was 7%-8% in 16.7% patients with cardiovascular complications, 1.4% patients with cerebrovascular complications, 7% patients with diabetic nephropathy, 19.5% patients with diabetic neuropathy and 11.1% patients with diabetic retinopathy. None of the patients with peripheral vascular disease had HbA1C level between 7%-8%. In the patients with HbA1C level >8%, cardiovascular complications were seen in 12.5% patients, cerebrovascular complications were seen in 8.3% patients, diabetic nephropathy and diabetic neuropathy were seen in 7% patients each while diabetic retinopathy is seen in 12.5% patients. 7% of the patients with peripheral vascular disease had HbA1C level between >8%. The association between HbA1C level and cardiovascular complications, cerebrovascular complications, peripheral vascular disease, diabetic nephropathy, neuropathy and retinopathy were statistically significant.(p<.005)(Table 2)

Table 2: Association Of Different Types Of Complications With The Various Characteristics

Characteristics Different types of complications

Duration of	Cardio	Cerebro	Peri-	Diabetic	Diabetic	Diabetic
Diabetes in	vascular	vascular	pheral	nephro-	neuro	retino-
years	compli-	compli-	vascular	pathy	pathy	pathy
	cations	cations	disease	(%)	(%)	(%)
	(%)	(%)	(%)			
<5	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
5-15	70	05 (1.4)	0 (0.0)	25 (7)	90 (25)	60
	(19.5)					(16.7)
>15	50 (14)	30 (8.3)	25 (7)	25 (7)	40	50 (14)
					(11.1)	
Total	120	35 (9.7)	25(7)	50 (14)	130	110
	(33.3)				(36.1)	(30.5)
P value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Hba1c						
<7%	15 (4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	35 (9.7)	25 (7)
7-8%	60	5 (1.4)	0 (0.0)	25 (7)	70	40
	(16.7)				(19.5)	(11.1)
>8%	45	30 (8.3)	25 (7)	25 (7)	25 (7)	45
	(12.5)				, í	(12.5)
Total	120	35 (9.7)	25(7)	50 (14)	130	110
	(33.3)				(36.1)	(30.5)
P value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001

Table 3: Response Of Diabetic Patients On Complications Of Diabetes.

Complication	Participants response				
	Yes	No	Don't know		
Hypertension	100 (27.7%)	50 (13.8%)	210 (58.3%)		
Heart disease	30 (8.3%)	30 (8.3%)	300 (83.3%)		
Hypoactive sexual arousal	60 (16.7%)	30 (8.3%)	270 (75%)		
Arousal disorder	100 (27.7%)	40 (11.1%)	220 (61.1%)		
Sexual pain disorder	0 (0%)	30 (8.3%)	330 (91.6%)		
Retinopathy	50 (13.8%)	40 (11.1%)	270 (75%)		
Nephropathy	30 (8.3%)	60 (16.7%)	270 (75%)		
Diabetic foot	200 (55.5%)	50 (13.8%)	110 (30.5%)		
Neuropathy	120 (33.3%)	60 (16.7%)	180 (50%)		

Table 3 shows the proportion of participants' response on the knowledge on diabetic complications. The most common diabetic complication known by diabetic patients was diabetic foot (55.5%), followed by neuropathy (33.3%), hypertension (27.7%), arousal disorder (27.7%), hypoactive sexual arousal (16.7%), retinopathy (13.8%), heart disease (8.3%), and nephropathy (8.3%) In general higher proportions of them were not knowledgeable on diabetic complications. Out of 360 patients surveyed, 330 (91.6%) had no knowledge on sexual pain disorder, 300 (83.3%) had no knowledge on heart disease, 270 (75%) had no knowledge on nephropathy 270 (75%), hypoactive sexual arousal 270 (75%) and diabetic foot 110 (30.5%) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The present study showed that the prevalence of macrovascular and microvascular complications among the diabetic patients were 39%.Significant association was found between these vascular complications with religion, socio-economic status, duration of diabetes and HbA1C levels. Though there are limited studies showing the association of complications of Type 2 diabetes with the above mentioned characteristics, one study has found significant association with complications and duration of diabetes and HbA1C levels[19]. Among the diabetic patients with complications, cardiovascular complications were present in 33.3% patients, cerebrovascular complications were present in 9.7% patients, peripheral vascular disease was present in 7% patients, while 14% patients were having diabetic nephropathy, 36.1% patients were having diabetic neuropathy and 30.5% patients were suffering from diabetic retinopathy. Both cardiovascular complications and diabetic retinopathy were more in patients suffering from diabetes for 5-15 years and HbA1C levels ranging between 7%-8%.All the associations were found to be statistically significant. The prevalence of cerebrovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease and diabetic nephropathy were more in patients suffering from diabetes for more than 15 years, higher levels of HbA1C and the associations were statistically significant. Diabetic neuropathy was more in patients having diabetes for more than 15 years and HbA1C levels 7%-8% and the associations were statistically significant. Ramachandran et al.[20] also revealed a high prevalence(30.1%) of coronary heart disease in a study conducted in

Volume - 13 | Issue - 12 | December - 2023 | PRINT ISSN No. 2249 - 555X | DOI : 10.36106/ijar

South India. The prevalence of stroke and peripheral vascular disease was found to be 0.9% and 4.1% respectively (Ramachandran et al.)[21]. Another study have shown the prevalence of cerebrovascular disease to be 6.9%(9). Studies have shown that the prevalence of peripheral vascular disease is as low as 2.3% among diabetic patients[22]. Another study showed the prevalence of peripheral vascular disease to be 4% among Indian patients with Type 2 diabetes[23]. The higher prevalence of cerebrovascular complications and peripheral vascular disease in this study may be due to the fact, that the study was conducted in diabetes clinic of a tertiary care hospital which is a specialized center. Significant association was found between duration of diabetes and peripheral vascular disease in studies conducted by Raman et al[24]in Indore and Ramachandran et al.[25] in South India. However no significant association was found with glycemic control in these studies [24]. The prevalence of nephropathy in the form of microalbuminuria was found to be 19.7% by Ramachandran et al. [25] in Chennai and 26.6% by Gupta et al. [26] in New Delhi. A wide variation in the prevalence of diabetic nephropathy was also found in WHO multicentric study of vascular disease[27] (2.4% in Hong Kong,23% in Delhi and 37% in Oklahoma, USA). This geographic variation may be attributed to difference in genetic as well as socio-economic,cultural and environmental factors of different ethnic groups. Diabetic nephropathy was found to have significant association with duration of diabetes in studies conducted by Mohan et al. [26] and Verghese et al. [28]. Also, increased prevalence of diabetic nephropathy has been found in patients with increased glycosylated haemoglobin in studies by Viswanathan et al[29] and Gupta et al.[30].A study has shown the prevalence of neuropathy among diabetic patients to be 30.1%. The study conducted by Rema et al[7] in South India, found the prevalence of retinopathy to be 34.1% among diabetics. The prevalence of retinopathy was found to be 23.7% in the study conducted by Ramachandran et al.[29] in Chennai. The association between diabetic retinopathy and duration of diabetes was found to be statistically significant in both the studies. Studies conducted in different settings[7,30] also revealed the increased prevalence of diabetic retinopathy with poor glycemic control.

CONCLUSION

The following study has shown that among the diabetic patients 39% were suffering from complications. It is seen that the complications were more in those suffering from diabetes for a long duration and having a poor glycemic control. Thus early screening, regular blood glucose monitoring along with HbA1C estimation and control of blood sugar level by lifestyle modification and treatment may help to reduce the morbidity and mortality of Type 2 diabetes in the form of vascular complications.

Higher proportion of the T2D patients did not have adequate knowledge on diabetic complications. Male gender, high income earners, higher level of education, and longer duration of T2D were significantly associated with degree of understanding for diabetic complications. It is incumbent on healthcare giver to provide early diabetic education regarding causes, management and preventive measures of diabetic complications. Organizing health education programmes as well as health outreaches on preventives measures such as adjusting to lifestyle and dietary modifications will enhance the level of knowledge of diabetic complications among diabetic patients.

Acknowledgement

We are thankful to junior doctors and staff of Community Medicine department Hind Institute of Medical Sciences. Special thanks to everyone who participated in the study.

Limitations

As it was a single center hospital based study with a relatively small study population, results cannot be generalized to the entire population.

REFERENCES

- [1]. International Diabetic Federation diabetes atlas in IDF Atlas of DM, 6th, Editor. 2013.
- World Health Organization Department of Non communicable Disease Surveillance Geneva 1999.
- Zhang P ZX, Brown J et al. Global healthcare expenditure on diabetes for 2010 and 2030.. Diabetes Res ClinPract. 2010; 87:293–301.
 Fal AM JB, Uchmanowicz I, Sen M, Panaszek B, Polanski J. Type 2 diabetes quality of
- [4]. Fal AM JB, Uchmanowicz I, Sen M, Panaszek B, Polanski J. Type 2 diabetes quality of life patients treated with insulin and oral hypoglycemic medication. ActaDiabetol 2011; 48 (3): 237-42.
- [5] Boyle JP, Honeycutt AA, Narayan KV, Hoerger TJ, Geiss LS, Chen H, et al. Projection of Diabetes Burden Through 2050 Impact of changing demography and disease prevalence in the US. Diabetes Care. 2001; 24 (11): 1936-40.
- [6] Lusignan S, Sismanidis C, Carey IM, DeWilde S, Richards N, Cook DG. Trends in the prevalence and management of diagnosed type 2 diabetes 1994-2001 in England and Wales.BMC Family Practice 2005;6:13.

59

- [7]. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Daisy Dharmaraj, Viswanathan M. Prevalence of glucose intolerance in Asian Indians: urban-rural difference and significance of upper body adiposity. Diabetes Care 1992; 15: 1348-55.
 [8]. Ramchandran A, Snehalatha C, Latha E, Vijay V.Viswanathan M. Rising prevalence of
- [8]. Ramchandran A, Snehalatha C, Latha E, Vijay V,Viswanathan M. Rising prevalence of NIDDM in urban population in India. Diabetologia 1997; 40:232-7.
- [9]. King H, Auburt RE, Herman WH. Diabetes Care. 1998; 21:1414-31
- Fall CH. Non-industrialised countries and affluence. British Medical Bulletin 2001;60:33-50.
- [11]. Mohan V, Sandeep S, Deepa R. Indian scenario. Indian Journal of Medical Research 2007; 125:225-30.
- [12] Rema M, Ponnaiya M, Mohan V. Prevalence of retinopathy in non insulin dependent diabetes Mellitus at a diabetes centre in southern India.Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 196; 34: 29-36.
- Prineas RJ, Crow RS, Blackburn H. The Minnesota manual of electrographic findings. Bristol: John Wright; 1982: John Wright.
 Vaz NC, Ferreira AM, Kulkarni MS, VazFS, Pinto NR. Prevalence of Diabetic
- [14]. Vaz NC, Ferreira AM, Kulkarni MS, VazFS,Pinto NR. Prevalence of Diabetic Complications in Rural Goa, India. Indian Journal of Community Medicine 2011;36(4):283-86.
- [15]. Fernandez BB Jr. A rational approach to diagnosis and treatment of intermittent claudication. The American Journal of the Medical Sciences 2002;323:244-51. [16]. Aorawal RP. Ranka M. Benjuwal R. Sharma S. Purohit VP. Kochar DK. Kothari RP.
- [16]. Agrawal RP, Ranka M, Beniwal R, Sharma S, Purohit VP, Kochar DK, Kothari RP. Prevalence Of Micro And Macrovascular Complications In Type 2 Diabetes And Their Risk Factors. International Journal of Diabetes in Developing Countries 2004; 24:11-6.
- [17]. Dyck PJ. Severity and staging of Diabetic neuropathy. In: Gries FA, Cameron N E, Low P A, Ziegler D, editors. Textbook of Diabetic neuropathy. New York: Thieme, 2003. P. 170-75.
- [18]. Diabetic retinopathy study. Report Number 6. Design, methods, and baseline results. Report Number 7. A modification of the Airlie House classification of diabetic retinopathy.Prepared by the Diabetic Retinopathy. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 1981;21:1-226.
- [19]. Djrolo F,ParaisoN,F,DiarraO,MakoutodeM.Diabetes Complications and Associated Factors in Type 2 Diabetic Patients in Cotonou. Journal of Diabetes Mellitus 2014;4:311-315.
- [20] Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Vijay V, King H. Impact of poverty on the prevalence of diabetes and its complications in urban southern India. Diabetic Medicine 2002;19:130-
- [21] Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C. Burden of type 2 diabetes and its complications Indian scenario. Diabetes Research 2002;83:1471-6.
- Mohan V, Premalatha G, Sastry NG, RamuM.Epidemiological study of the prevalence of coronary heart disease and peripheral vascular disease in diabetic and non diabetic subjects in an urban south Indian population. Indian Heart Journal. 1997; 49(abs) : 98.
 Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Viswanathan V. Current Science 2002; 83:12:1472-76.
- [23]. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Viswanathan V. Current Science 2002; 83:12:1472-76.
 [24]. Raman PC, Bhagwat A. Ankle Brachial index in peripheral vascular disease in diabetes mellitus. Journal of the Association of Physicians in India 1997; 45: 440-2.
- Ramchandran A, Snehalatha C, Satyavani K, Latha E, Sasikala R, Vijay V. Prevalence of vascular complications and their risk factors in type 2 diabetes. Journal of Association of Physicians in India 1999;47: 1152-6.
 Gupta DK, Verma LK, Khosla PK, Dash SC. The prevalence of microalbuminuria in Destroyment 2014.
- [26]. Gupta DK, Verma LK, Khosla PK, Dash SC. The prevalence of microalbuminuria in diabetes: a study from North India. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice; 1991; 12:125-8.
- [27]. Diabetes drafting group. Prevalence of small vessel and large vessel disease in diabetic patients from 14 centers. Diabetologia 1985; 28: 615-40.
 [28]. Varghese A, Deepa R, Rema M, Mohan V.Prevalence of microalbuminuria in type 2
- Varghese A, Deepa R, Rema M, Mohan V.Prevalence of microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetes mellitus at a diabetes center in Southern India.Postgraduate Medical Journal 2001;77(908): 399-402.
 Viswanathan VV, Snahlatha C, Ramchandran A, Viswanathan M. Proteinuria in
- [29]. Viswanathan VV, Snahlatha C, Ramchandran A, Viswanathan M. Proteinuria in NIDDM in South India. Analysis of predictive factors.Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 1995; 28: 41-6.
- [30]. Klein R. Hyperglycemia and microvascular and macrovascular disease in diabetes. Diabetes Care 1995; 18: 258-68.