Trade-Off between Liquidity & Profitability: A Study of Selected Manufacturing Firms in India



Commerce

KEYWORDS:

Mr. Kirit R. Patel

Research Scholar (commerce) Singhania University

INTRODUCTION:

Profitability and liquidity are the most prominent issues that management of each organization should take studying and thinking about them into account as their most important duties. Liquidity refers to the ability of a firm to meet its short term obligations. Liquidity plays a crucial role in the successful functioning of a business firm. A study of liquidity is of major importance to both the internal and external analysts because of its close relationship with day to day operations of a business (Bhunia, 2010). A weak liquidity position poses a threat to the solvency as well as profitability of a firm and makes it unsafe and unsound.

Profitability is a measure of the amount by which a firm's revenues exceeds its relevant expenses. Potential investors are interested in dividends and appreciation in market price of stock, so they pay more attention on the profitability ratios. Managers on the other hand are interested in measuring the operating performance in terms of profitability. Hence, a low profit margin would suggest ineffective management and investors would be hesitant to invest in the company.

The liquidity and profitability goals are contradictory to each other in most decisions which the finance manager takes. For example, the firm by following a lenient credit policy may be in a position to increase its sales, but its liquidity may tend to worse. In addition to this, referring to the risk return theory there is a direct relationship between risk and return.

Thus, firms with high liquidity may have low risk and then low profitability. Conversely, firm that has low liquidity may face high risk results to higher return. Consequently, a firm is required to maintain a balance between liquidity and profitability in its day-to-day operations.

RESEARCH PROBLEM:

Maintaining a proper liquidity indicates that funds are confined to liquid assets thereby making them unavailable for operational use or for investment purposes for higher returns. Thus, there is an opportunity cost associated with the maintenance of those liquid assets and this might affect the overall profitability of the firm. In other words, increasing profitability would tend to reduce firm's liquidity and too much attention on liquidity would tend to affect the profitability (Smith, 1980). Therefore, firms should always strike to maintain a balance between conflicting objectives of liquidity and profitability. The firm's liquidity should not be too high or too low. Excessive dependence on liquidity indicates the accumulation of idle funds that don't fetch any profits for the firm (Smith, 1980). On the other hand, insufficient liquidity might damage the firm's goodwill, deteriorate firm's credit standings and that might lead to forced liquidation of firm's assets. Hence, the present study is initiated to identify the trade-off between liquidity and profitability of listed manufacturing firms in India.

OBJECTIVES:

The objectives are directed towards the following;

- To identify the nature and extent of the relationship between liquidity and profitability.
- To find out the factors other than liquidity influence on profitability.
- To provide appropriate management policy recommendations.

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY:

The following hypotheses were formulated for the study.

H0:-There is no significant association between liquidity and profitability.

H1:-There is a significant association between liquidity and profitability.

METHODOLOGY:

DATA SOURCE:

The present study used secondary data for the analysis. The data utilized in this study is extracted from the income statements and balance sheets of the sample manufacturing firms attained from the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) database. In addition to this, scholarly articles from academic journals and relevant text books were also used.

SAMPLING DESIGN:

Sampling design is a definite plan for obtaining a sample from a given population. It refers to the technique or the procedure the researcher would adopt on selecting items for the sample (Kothari, C.R., 2004). The sample of this study is confined to the manufacturing sector consists of 31 manufacturing firms out of 39 listed in the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE). This represents 80% of the firms listed under the manufacturing sector.

MODE OF ANALYSIS:

The quantitative research approach is employed to arrive at the findings of the research study. Under which, descriptive statistics and inferential statistics were used. Descriptive statistics depict the mean, standard deviation, maximum and the minimum values for the chosen variables. It is a snapshot of the samples and their measures and shows the exact position of the data used in the study. Inferential statistics are used to draw conclusions about the reliability and generalizability of the findings. In the present study, correlation analysis is used as a tool to identify the nature and extent of the relationship between the variables under inferential statistics.

RESEARCH MODEL:

Pearson correlation analysis was carried out to identify the trade-off between liquidity and profitability. Here, liquidity is the independent variable and profitability is the dependent variable. It can be represented as follows;

P = f(L)

Which shows profitability is the function of liquidity. Where:

P = Profitability

L = Liquidity

In the present study, profitability is measured by using three ratios namely net profit ratio, return on capital employed and return on equity whereas liquidity is measured by using current ratio, quick ratio and liquid ratio.

RESULTS & ANALYSIS:

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS:

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of the variables

Variables	Z	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
Current Ratio	31	19.188	0.558	19.746	2.266	3.453	1.52
Quick Ratio	- - - - - - - - - 		0.328	19.520	1.609	3.406	2.12
Liquid Ratio			0.008	5.478	0.458	1.071	2.34
Net Profit	31	194.438	-86.838	107.600	4.930	29.532	5.99

Return on Capital Employed	31	139.202	-54.884	84.318	1.4	20.988	14.99
Return on Equity	31	124.920	-64.706	60.214	5.854	20.978	3.58

The descriptive statistics show that over the period under study, the criteria used for measuring profitability including net profit, return on capital employed and return on equity averaged 4.93, 1.4 and 5.85 respectively. The coefficients of variation (standard deviation/mean) values of profitability measures were found to be higher than those of liquidity measures. Thus, reveal the high volatility of profitability measures used in the study. Furthermore, the mean values of current ratio and quick ratio were 2.27 and 1.61 respectively. The norm for current ratio is 2:1 to 3:1 and for quick ratio is 1:1 to 1.5:1. Both of these ratios were in line with those of standards.

CORRELATION ANALYSIS: Table 2: Correlation Matrix

Variables	Current Ratio	Quick Ratio	Liquid Ratio	Net Profit Ratio	Return on Capital Employed	Return on Equity
Current Ratio	1					
Quick Ratio	0.958**	1				
Liquid Ratio	0.863**	0.877**	1			
Net Profit Ratio	-0.011	0.045	-0.037	1		
Return on Capital Employed	-0.013	-0.044	-0.058	0.273	1	
Return on Equity	0.009	0.010	-0.012	0.325	0.831**	1

^{**,} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The above mentioned table indicates the relationship between the various independent and dependent variables used in the study. As it is observed in the table, the correlation values were found to be mixed (both positive and negative) between the independent and dependent variables. The R values were found to be negative between return on capital employed and liquidity variables as measured by current ratio, quick ratio and liquid ratio consisting the correlation values of -0.013. -0.044 and -0.058 respectively. Furthermore, liquid ratio is negatively correlated with all the profitability measures used in the study. Contrary to the above mentioned associations, positive association was observed between quick ratio and net profit ratio (R=0.045), current ratio and return on equity (R=0.009) and quick ratio and return on equity (R=0.01). It is apparent from the table that, the correlation values were found to be statistically insignificant between all the independent and dependent variables used in the study.

HYPOTHESES TESTING: Table 3: Testing of Hypotheses

No	Hypotheses	Results	Tools				
НО	There is no significant relationship between liquidity and profitability	Accepted	Correlation				
H1	There is a significant relationship between liquidity and profitability.	Rejected	Correlation				

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION:

This study examined the trade-off between liquidity and profitability in the manufacturing sector of Sri Lanka. The study covered 31 listed manufacturing firms over the period of past five years from 2007 to 2011 and the major findings of the study are summarized below:

The correlation values were found to be negative between return on capital employed and all the liquidity variables as measured by current ratio, quick ratio and liquid ratio consisting the R values of -0.013, -0.044 and -0.058 respectively. Likewise, liquid ratio is negatively correlated with all the profitability measures.

Contrary to the above mentioned association, positive association was found between quick ratio and net profit, current ratio and return on equity and quick ratio and return on equity. Furthermore, the correlation values were found to be statistically insignificant between all the independent and dependent variables used in the study. Hence, null hypothesis is accepted and research hypothesis is rejected. That is, there is no significant relationship between liquidity and profitability among the listed manufacturing firms in India.

Manufacturing firms are required to maintain an adequate level of liquidity to meet production demands and to make sure of un-interrupted production. As sales grow, firms need to invest more in inventories and debtors. These needs become very frequent and fast when sales grow continuously. Therefore, the financial manager should be aware of such needs and finance them quickly. The mean values of current ratio and quick ratio were found to be 2.27 and 1.61 respectively for the listed manufacturing firms in India.

The standard for current ratio is 2:1 to 3:1 and for quick ratio is 1:1 to 1.5:1. It shows that, the liquidity ratios of listed manufacturing firms are in line with those of standards. Finally, the study proffers the following for policy and decision making;

- A cautious attention has to be paid as far as the profitability is concerned. The coefficients of variation values of profitability measures were found to be higher than those of liquidity measures. Thus, reveal the high volatility of profitability measures used in the study. Therefore, manufacturing firms in India should focus on reducing the amount of volatility associated with the profitability measures.
- 2. It is better to implement moderate current assets policy fall in the middle of conservative and aggressive policies. A higher current assets/fixed assets ratio indicates a conservative current assets policy and a lower current assets/ fixed assets ratio means aggressive current assets policy assuming other factors to be constant. A conservative policy implies greater liquidity and lower risk while an aggressive policy indicates higher risk and poor liquidity. Moderate current assets policy fall in the middle of conservative and aggressive policies.
- Manufacturing firms in Sri Lanka should concentrate on maximizing profit while preserving liquidity.

LIMITATIONS & SCOPE OF FUTURE RESEARCH:

The present study is confined only to the listed manufacturing firms in the manufacturing sector of India.

Findings and conclusions were drawn with the help of secondary data. Consequently, the results may not be fully accurate. Furthermore, data representing the period of 5 years were used for the study.

This study may be very useful for the financial managers of manufacturing industry in framing policies for managing the firm's liquidity and profitability. Furthermore, there is clearly enormous scope for more research work in the present study. Therefore, I suggest the following for further research;

- Findings reveal that, there is no significant relationship between liquidity and profitability. This is an indication that, changes in the liquidity position of manufacturing firms exerts no remarkable changes in the profitability. Other factors such as, seasonal changes in demand, firm size, manufacturing cycle and technological changes may exert a greater influence on the profitability of manufacturing firms. Hence, this area is indicated as a scope for future research.
- There are currently 287 companies listed in the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) under 20 sectors. The study covered

only the listed manufacturing firms in the manufacturing sector. Therefore, further investigation is required to examine the trade-off between liquidity and profitability of firms in the different sectors.

[1] Eljelly, A. (2004) "Liquidity-Profitability Tradeoff: An Empirical Investigation in an Emerging Market." International Journal of Commerce & Management. Vol. 14, No 2, pp. 48-61. | [2] Bhunia, A. (2010). A trend analysis of liquidity management efficiency in selected private sector Indian steel industry, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management, Volume-1, Issue-5 (Sep, 2010), pp. 9-21. | [3] Rahmen, A. & Nasr, M. (2007) Working capital management and Profitability - Case of Pakistani Firms. International Review of Business Research Papers, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 279-300. | [4] Narware, P.C. (2004). "Working Capital and Profitability - An Empirical Analysis", The Management Accountant, Vol. 39(6), pp. 120-127. | [5] Vishnani, S. and Bhupesh, K.S. (2007) "Impact of Working Capital Management Policies on Corporate Performance: An Empirical Study." Global Business Review 8, p.267. | [6] Deloof, M. (2003). "Does Working Capital Management affect Profitability of Belgian Firms?" Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, Vol.30 No. 3 & 4, pp. 573-587. | [7] Anand, M. (2001). Working Capital performance of corporate India: An empirical survey, Management & Accounting Research, Vol. 4, pp. 35-65. | [8] Kothari, C.R. (2004). Research Methodology: Methods & Techniques, p.55 | [9] Ricci, C. and Vito, N.D. (2000). International Working Capital Practices in the UK, European Financial Management, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 69-84. | [10] Singh, J.P. and Pandey, S. (2008), "Impact of Working Capital Management in the Profitability of Hindalco Industries Limited", the ICFAI University Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 36, pp. 64-79. | [11] Samiloglu, F. and Demirgunes, K. (2008). The Effect of Working Capital Management on Firm Profitability: Evidence from Turkey. International Research Journal of Applied Economics and Finance, Vol. 2(1), pp. 44-50. |