

Influence of Varied Intensity of Walking on Selected Muscular Endurance and Cardio Respiratory Endurance Variables Among Middle Aged Men



Physical Education

KEYWORDS : walking, muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance

Dr. J. Karthikeyan

Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, Health Education and Sports, The M.D.T Hindu College, Tirunelveli -10 Tamilnadu.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to find out the influence of varied intensity of walking on selected muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance variables among middle aged men. The subjects were restricted to a minimum number of Sixty subjects consisting of Twenty men subjects would serve as control group and the remaining Forty would undergo systematic walking training and among forty, twenty for Low intensity walking group (LIWG) and remaining twenty for High intensity walking group (HIWG) at The M.D.T Hindu College, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu under the supervision of researcher. The subjects were selected from Tirunelveli city, Tamilnadu and their age was from 35 to 45 years as per the school records. The study was formulated as a random group design. The score were compared by using (ANCOVA) The level of significant chosen was 0.05 level. It was found that the High intensity walking group (HIWG) showed better result on the variables muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance for middle aged men.

INTRODUCTION

Various works might have been done about walking. Particularly this study expresses about low intensity and high intensity walking so this study is need. In the modern world people have no time to take care about their health that's why the study is need. Many theses have been done only about walking, but my area focuses walking on selected muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance variables among middle aged men. I can state that middle aged men can never do hard exercise. They can do simple exercise like jogging and walking. Middle aged men are affected by some disease like diabetics they being middle aged men, it is difficult to them to undertake hard exercise so the study is need.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Most of the people do not know the need of walking, walking is the simplest exercise. Middle aged men are ready to run fast at the age of 37 even though they are ready to run they don't know the benefits of walking. Without knowing the benefits of walking

they run so the study is need.

methodology

The purpose of the study was to find out the influence of varied intensity of walking on selected muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance variables among middle aged men. To achieve this purpose, sixty men subjects who were not involved in any vigorous physical training programme at the age ranging from 35 to 45 years were selected from in and around Tirunelveli city. The selected subjects were divided into three groups at random with 20 each. In the experimental groups twenty men subjects would serve as control group and the remaining Twenty would undergo systematic walking training, under the supervision of researcher. The control group did not undergo any special training programme. The selected subjects were medically examined by a qualified medical person for undergoing the training programme. The training groups underwent 12weeks training programs regularly from 6 a. m to 7 a.m. in the morning session Weekly 6 days

RESULTS

TABLE I

Means, Standard Deviations and Adjusted Means among Experimental and Control Groups on muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance

Criterion Variable	High Intensity Walking Group				Low Intensity Walking Group				Control group			
	Pre test	Post test	Adjusted post test means	t test	Pre test	Post test	Adjusted post test means	t test	Pre test	Post test	Adjusted post test means	t test
muscular endurance	21.300	22.700	22.624	22.624	20.850	23.850	23.960	23.960	21.200	21.500	21.466	21.466
	0.733	0.923			0.671	0.745			0.768	0.827		
cardio respiratory endurance	1350.000	1637.500	1637.622	1637.622	1357.500	1494.000	1488.631	1488.631	1343.000	1350.500	1355.747	1355.747
	29.736	120.082			23.592	66.285			26.577	38.179		

*Significant at .05 level. The table value required for .05 level of significance with df 19 is 1.729.

The table I show that the obtained dependent t-ratio values between the pre and post test means on, muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance of High Intensity Walking Group, Low Intensity Walking Group and control groups are 22.624 and 1637.622, 23.960 and 1488.631, ,21.466,1355.747 respectively. The table value required for significant difference with df 19 at .05 level is 1.729. Since, the obtained 't' ratio value of experimental groups are greater than the table value, it is understood that training programmes had significantly improved the perfor-

mance of muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance. However, the control group has not improved significantly as the obtained 't' value is less than the table value, because they were not subjected to any specific training.

TABLE II

Analysis of Covariance of High Intensity Walking Group, Low Intensity Walking Group and control groups on Muscular Endurance and cardio respiratory endurance

Criterion Variable		Sources of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F-Ratio
muscular endurance	Pre test	Between	2.233	2	1.117	2.125
		Within	29.950	57	0.525	
	Post test	Between	55.233	2	27.617	39.601*
		Within	39.750	57	0.697	
	Adjusted Post test	Between	59.773	2	29.887	48.291*
		Within	34.657	56	0.619	
cardio respiratory endurance	Pre test	Between	2103.333	2	1051.667	1.469
		Within	40795.000	57	715.702	
	Post test	Between	823690.000	2	411845.000	60.951*
		Within	385150.000	57	6757.018	
	Adjusted Post test	Between	787376.626	2	393688.313	60.688*
		Within	363279.258	56	6487.130	

*significant at .05 level of confidence. (the table value required for significance at .05 level with df 2 and 57 and 2 and 56 are 3.162 and 3.166, 3.162 and 3.166, 3.162 and 3.166 respectively)

From the table II, the obtained F-ratio for pretest is 2.125, 1.469 which is greater than the table value of 3.162 and 3.166 with df 1 and 56 required for significance at 0.05 level of confidence. The result of the study indicates that there was significant difference among the pre test means of High Intensity Walking Group, Low Intensity Walking Group and control groups on, muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance.

Table II also shows that the obtained F-ratio value is 48.291*, 60.688* which is higher than the table value 3.162 and 3.166 with df 2 and 56 required for significance at .05 level. Since the value of F-ratio is higher than the table value, it indicates that there is significant difference among the adjusted post-test means of High Intensity Walking Group, Low Intensity Walking Group and control groups. To find out which of the three paired means had a significant difference, the Scheffe's post-hoc test was applied and the results are presented in Table III.

TABLE III

Scheffe's Test for the Differences between the Adjusted Post Test Paired Means of Muscular Endurance and cardio respiratory endurance

Adjusted Post Test Mean						
Criterion Variable	High Intensity Walking Group	Low Intensity Walking Group	Control Group	Mean Differences	C.I. Value	Result at 5% Level
muscular endurance	22.624	23.960		1.336	0.626	Sig
	22.624		21.466	1.159	0.626	Sig
		23.960	21.466	2.494	0.626	Sig
cardio respiratory endurance	1637.622	1488.631		148.991	64.091	Sig
	1637.622		1355.747	281.875	64.091	Sig
		123.751	126.299	2.548	0.745	Sig

*Significant at .05 level.

Table III shows that the adjusted post test mean differences on Muscular endurance between the high intensity walking group, low intensity walking group; high intensity walking group and control group; low intensity walking group and control group were 1.159, 2.494 and 1.336 respectively. The values are not greater than the confidence interval value 0.626, the value between high intensity walking group, low intensity walking group and control group is only significant difference at .05 level of confidence.

it was clear that the F ratio for the adjusted post test mean for cardio respiratory endurance was 60.688 which was significant at 0.05 level because the required table value needed for significant was 3.166 for df 2 and 56 and the obtained values were greater than that of required table value. So the scheffe's post hoc test was applied.

Discussion

It was clear that both the groups showed improvement on muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance when compare with control group when compare the improvement between the HIWG and the LIWG, the HIWG showed better improvement than the LIWG.

CONCLUSIONS

1. It was found that the High intensity walking group (HIWG) showed better result on the variable Cardio respiratory endurance for middle aged men.
2. Low intensity walking group (LIWG) marked better performance on Muscular endurance among middle aged men.

REFERENCE

- [1] Faager G et al" Influence of spontaneous pursed lips breathing on walking endurance and oxygen saturation in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease" ClinRehabil. 2008 Aug;22(8):675-83. | [2] Fitzsimons CF et al" The Walking for Wellbeing in the West" randomised controlled trial of a pedometer-based walking programme in combination with physical activity consultation with 12 month follow-up: rationale and study design" BMC Public Health. 2008 Jul 26;8:259. | [3] Kruidenier LM et al" Predictors of walking distance after supervised exercise therapy in patients with intermittent claudication" Eur J VascEndovasc Surg. 2009 Oct;38(4):449-55 | [4]Murphy MH et al" The effect of walking on fitness, fatness and resting blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomised, controlled trials" Prev Med. 2007 May;44(5):377-85 | [5]Praet SF et al" Brisk walking compared with an individualised medical fitness programme for patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial" Diabetologia. 2008 May;51(5):736-46 | [6]Tully MA et al" Randomised controlled trial of home-based walking programmes at and below current recommended levels of exercise in sedentary adults" J Epidemiol Community Health. 2007 Sep;61(9):778-83 |