

Quantitative assessment of SPM (Suspended particulate matter) and bacteria in urban area of Jammu (J&K, India)



Environmental science

KEYWORDS : SPM, Bacteria, Households, Correlation

Prof. Raj Kumar Rampal

Head of Department of Environmental sciences, University of Jammu, Jammu (J&K)

Neha Sharma

Head of Department of Environmental sciences, University of Jammu, Jammu (J&K)

ABSTRACT

The study was carried out in the households of urban area of Jammu. Urban area was divided into five zones. At each zone three households were selected and each household (except one room accommodation) was divided into three sub-sites i.e. Living room, Kitchen and Bedroom. Sampling was undertaken by Handy air sampler APM 821. The average indoor SPM and bacteria in the households of the urban area of Jammu during two year study period exhibited significantly higher values ($p < 0.05$) during second year study period. The average one room accommodation household exhibited higher value of bacterial count as compared with that of two room accommodation household during both first as well as second year study period. The households selected during the present study had differences in number of occupants, their eating habits and various outdoor sources which should be the reasons for irregular trends of correlation between SPM and bacteria.

1 Introduction

Our buildings have undergone radical changes over past few decades thereby resulting in less exchange of indoor air with outdoor air. This has resulted into accumulation of various air pollutants like dust, CO₂, bacteria etc. within the building. The levels of indoor air pollutants may be of particular concern because most people spend about 90% of their time indoors (Purohit and Ranjan, 2005).

In our country, housewives spend over 80% of their times in indoor environment, of which 4-6 hours is spent in kitchen for cooking purposes (Rao and Rao, 1998). In India, 5,89,000 people (i.e. 4,96,000 in rural areas and 93,000 in urban areas) die each year due to indoor air pollution (Sharma, 2005). An estimated 8,11,000 people had died worldwide prematurely from exposure to elevated levels of particulate matter in cities (Ezzati and Kammen, 2002).

SPM is any solid or liquid droplet with diameter between 0.002 μm and 100 μm suspended in air. It is a ubiquitous air pollutant, arising from both natural and anthropogenic sources (Santra, 2006). Biological pollutants like bacteria, viruses, fungi, pollens, house dust, and mite droppings are also found in indoor air. Sources of biological contaminants include air conditioning systems; humidifiers; air ducts; cooling towers; grass, tree and weed pollens; occupants; and household pets (Bhatia, 2007) as well as the outdoor air (Shelton et al., 2002).

Size of particulate matter is an important factor that influences particles deposition in respiratory tract and affects human health. Recent studies have reported a close association between levels of Particulate matter (PM) in air and adverse respiratory and cardiovascular effects including aggravated asthma, increase in respiratory symptoms like coughing and difficulty in breathing, chronic bronchitis, decreased lung function, premature death etc. in people. (Santra, 2006).

The main concern about microbial growth in indoor environments is related to the strong link to the adverse health effects in the occupants (Douwes et al., 2003; Li and Yang, 2004). House dust can be considered reservoir for microbes. It is extremely dry but has optimal pH and organic material for microorganisms (Pieckova and Wilkins, 2004).

The present study has been carried out to assess the status and correlate indoor air SPM and bacteria in the households of urban area of Jammu, J&K, India.

2 Materials and Methods

Study area and sampling sites

Jammu is one of the fastest growing cities of India. It is situated on a hillock, on the bank of river Tawi. It is 24° and 75°18' East longitude and 32°50' and 33° 30' North latitude. Urban Area of Jammu was divided into five zones:- Zone UZI (Households located in Residential Area); Zone UZII (Households located in Commercial Area); Zone UZIII (Households located near Crossings in Commercial Area); Zone UZIV (Households located near National Highway I-A); Zone UZV (One room accommodation Households).

At each zone three households were selected and each household (except Zone UZV) was divided into three sub-sites i.e. Living room, Kitchen and Bedroom. All households had concrete walls, wooden doors and wooden windows with glass panes. Indoor samples were collected under conditions of normal room use and no attempt to reduce ventilation prior to sample collection was made. In the kitchen of each household, mode of cooking was LPG and heater, whereas heater and wood were used for cooking in one room accommodation. The number of occupants varies from 4 to 5 in all sampling households with at least one child in each household. Weekends and holidays represent high occupancy in households.

Sampling method

At each sub-site and Zone UZ the sampling of air was done twice during April-September and during October-March of first year (2008-2009) as well as second year (2009-2010) study period using Handy Air Sampler APM 821 separately for SPM and Bacteria. Sampling was done between 9 a.m to 3 p.m at a height of 4 ft above the ground at a flow rate of 1.5 L min⁻¹ (LPM). Relative Humidity was expressed in percentage and it was measured using psychrometer.

SPM Sampling

SPM was quantified by trapping it on pre-weighed glass millipore microfiber filter paper (Diameter 25mm, Type AA and pore size 0.8 μ) attached to the tube assembly of the Handy Air Sampler APM 821. Air Sampling was done for two hours at a flow rate of 1.5 L min⁻¹ (LPM). The filters were desiccated prior to pre-sampling weighing and post-sampling weighing by Precisa balance B (120 A sensitivity of 0.01g to 120 g). The concentration of SPM was calculated in $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$.

Bacterial sampling

Air using Handy Air Sampler APM 821 was made to pass through known volume of sterile water for 10 minutes. This impinged water was inoculated into the petriplates (diameter 10 cm) containing Nutrient Agar, MacConkey Agar and BTB

Lactose Agar media. Three different media were used so that maximum possible bacteria in air can be quantified. Quantitative evaluation of bacteria (CFU (Colony forming unit) m⁻³) was done using these media. Bacterial cultures were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hr. Cycloheximide was added as fungicide at the concentration of 100 µg ml⁻¹.

A control set for each culture media was prepared to detect contamination (if any). Moreover, the autoclaved distilled water before inoculation was also poured in petriplates to detect any kind of contamination.

Bacteria were stained using Gram's staining technique.

Socio-personal survey

The socio-personal survey was conducted to evaluate the general state of house by Questionnaire/interviewed method. During the survey, one individual in each household was interviewed. The questions asked were status of cigarette smoking, health condition of different members of family etc. Data was interpreted by using percentage basis.

Data of SPM and bacteria was compiled to calculate average values with standard deviation using Microsoft Excel. Reported comparisons and correlation coefficients (r) were considered significant when p<0.05 and it was calculated using statistical packages of SPSS 10.0.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Average indoor SPM in households of urban and area of Jammu

The analysis of data of average indoor SPM in the households located at different sites of the urban area of Jammu during two year study period revealed that all the households exhibited significantly higher values (p<0.05) during second year study period as compared with that of first year study period. On the contrary, Gupta et al. (2003) while studying SPM in Jamshedpur city reported the highest values during first year study period (1995) and lower values during second year study period (1997-1998). Similarly, Chelani and Devotta (2007) observed decrease in SPM in Delhi from 2000 to 2003 due to shift to Compressed Natural Gas in vehicles.

Indoor SPM in urban area revealed that the households of urban Residential area (UZII) exhibited minimum (584.53±58.5 µg m⁻³) value of indoor SPM during first year study period whereas, the household located near National Highway I-A (UZIV) exhibited the maximum (1700.16±506.95 µg m⁻³) value of indoor SPM during second year study period (Table I).

Two room Accommodation Households located in year	Average indoor SPM (µg m ⁻³) during							
	Year study period in (2008-2009)				Year study period in (2009-2010)			
Residential Area (UZ)	Living Room	Kitchen	Bedroom	Household	Living Room	Kitchen	Bedroom	Household
Commercial Area (UZII)	1011.2±170.8	1047.5±193.1	1075.5±213.9	1103.1±241.0	1467.4±179.0	1571.1±168.0	1591.1±164.0	1611.1±164.0
Commercial Area (UZIV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZVI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZVII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZVIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZIX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXIV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXVI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXVII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXVIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXIX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXIV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXVI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXVII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXVIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXIX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXIV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXVI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXVII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXVIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXIX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXIV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXVI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXVII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXVIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXIX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXIV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXVI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXVII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXVIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXIX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXX)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXIV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXV)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXVI)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXVII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXVIII)	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0	1174.1±104.0
Commercial Area (UZXXXXXXXIX)	1174.1±104.							

would be the build up of airborne microbes shed by human body. Moreover, kitchens have more nutrients available for bacteria to exist naturally, therefore, resulting in higher concentration of organisms in kitchens.

The average one room accommodation household exhibited higher value of bacterial count as compared with that of two room accommodation household during both first as well as second year study period. (Table II). Nasir and Colbeck (2010) also reported bacterial and fungal aerosol concentration higher in housing type III (Two room or three room bed roomed houses) as compared with that of type I (Single room in shared accommodation).

The value of relative humidity varied between 69 to 76% during the two year study period.

3.3 Socio-personal survey of the respondents

65% of households had individuals who smoke and 60% of respondents reported headache, sore throat, nausea, sneezing to occur when they spent long periods of time indoors. Crespin (1994) studied that the high levels of certain ambient air contaminants, tobacco smoke, indoor allergens and agricultural pesticides can aggravate asthmatic symptoms. Gupta et al. (2007) observed 78% subjects in study group had symptoms like redness, watering, irritation strain or photophobia due to exposure to high level of air pollution on ocular surface. Lacasana et al. (2005) concluded that an increase of 10 µg m⁻³ of particle concentration (measured in PM10) was associated with 22% for post neonatal mortality for respiratory diseases.

3.4 Statistical analysis of data of SPM and bacterial count

The critical statistical analysis of data of SPM in all households showed significant annual difference (p<0.05) between different sites whereas, bacteria showed significant annual difference (p<0.05) at same site, between different sites and between sub-sites. The two room accommodation households in urban area showed irregular trends of correlation between SPM and bacterial count at different sites during two year study period which implies that SPM cannot be considered as a single factor determining the bacterial concentration (Table III).

Correlation of SPM with Bacteria (CFU m⁻³) in the Households located in Urban Area of Jammu during the two year study period.

Two room Accommodation Households located in/near	I st Year study period in (2008-2009)	II nd Year study period in (2009-2010)
Residential Area (UZ ₂)	0.33	0.82
Commercial Area (UZ ₁₁)	-0.94	0.89
Crossings (UZ ₁₁)	1.0	0.16
National Highway I-A (UZ ₁₇)	0.78	-0.99
Average One room Accommodation Household (UZ ₂)	-0.92	0.41

Bacterial concentrations may vary due to changes in occupant dress and activities as well as ventilation patterns during cooling and heating seasons (Tsai et al., 2002). Moreover, the source of SPM, environmental factors and stresses also influence the bacterial numbers (Franzetti et al., 2011).

The average one room accommodation household exhibited significant higher values of SPM and bacterial count as compared with that of two room accommodation household in the urban area during both first as well as second year study period. One

room accommodation exhibited significant positive correlation between SPM and bacteria. Subramanyam et al. (1999) observed definite positive correlations of occurrence of different microbes with PM10 in Chennai City. Mancinelli and Shulls (1978), too while analysing the bacteriological quality of urban air, calculated statistically significant correlations between the number of viable bacteria isolated and suspended particulate pollutants (+0.56). Besides them, Wu et al. (2012) also observed statistically significant relationships between ambient bacteria and PM10 in Taipei.

4 Conclusions

All the households exhibited significantly higher values during second year study period as compared with that of first year study period were due to increase in traffic rate, constructional and renovational activities at regular intervals.

Cooking was most important source of indoor SPM in the average kitchen in the household of urban area. Smoke generation from combustion of biomass fuels contributed significantly to higher level of indoor particulate in the average one room accommodation household.

The households selected during the present study had differences in number of occupants, their eating habits and various outdoor sources which should be the reasons for irregular trends of correlation between SPM and bacteria. Everyday activities result in significant changes in number and types of bacteria. The indoor environments in the households may contain dead or dormant bacteria but this study reveals only those culturable bacteria which can grow on above mentioned culture media. Although dead bacterial forms cannot cause any disease but they are potential source of allergic reactions. Presence of pathogenic bacteria and bacterial endotoxins can cause harmful effects on human health. They are likely to be of significance for health implication.

In the present study an attempt has been made to assess the SPM and bacteria in households of urban area, so that suggestive measures regarding abatement strategies can be framed. The data presented may be helpful in terms of ecology and prevention of health problems. This study has provided baseline information on urban households in northern India. This may be useful for making comparisons in future studies between indoor air and outdoor air, urban and suburban areas.

Acknowledgment

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to UGC fellowship provided by Govt. of India.

REFERENCE

- Ahuja, D.R. (1985). Domestic air pollution from biomass combustion. *Energy Environment Monitor*, 1(2), 3-20. | Bhatia, S.C. (2007). Textbook of air pollution and its control. Atlantic publishers and distributors (P) Ltd, New Delhi, India. | Chattopadhyay, B.P., Mukherjee, A., Mukherjee, K. and Roychowdhury, A. (2007). Exposure to vehicular pollution and assessment of respiratory function in urban inhabitants. *Lung*, 185, 263-277. | Chelani, A.B. and Devotta, S. (2007). Air quality assessment in Delhi: Before and after CNG as fuel. *Environment Monitoring and Assessment*, 125(1-3), 257-263. | Cheng, Y.L., Yan, M., Li, J.L., Liu, Z.R., Bai, Y.H., Tian, W., Wu, D.G. and Cheng, Q. (2006). Variations in indoor PM10 concentrations in sixteen homes in Guiyang City, People's Republic of China. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 77, 112-118. | Colbeck, I., Nasir, Z.A., Hasnain, S. and Sultan, S. (2008). Indoor air quality at rural and urban sites in Pakistan. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus*, 8, 61-69. | Douwes, J., Thorne, P., Pearce, N. and Heederik, D. (2003). Bioaerosol health effects and exposure assessment: Progress and prospects. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene*, 47(3), 187-200. | Dutta, S. and Meena, M.K. (2008). Air quality status selected locations in Ajmer city, Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Environment and Ecoplanning*, 15(1-2), 111-114. | Ezzati, M. and Kammen, D.M. (2002). The health impacts of exposure to indoor air pollution from solid fuels in developing countries: knowledge, gaps and data needs. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 110(11), 1057-1068. | Franzetti, A., Gandolfi, I., Gaspari, E., Ambrosini, R. and Bestetti, G. (2011). Seasonal variability of bacteria in fine and coarse urban air particulate matter. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 90(2), 745-753. | Ghose, M.K., Paul, R. and Banerjee, R.K. (2005). Assessment of the status of urban air pollution and its impact on human health in the city of Kolkata. *Environment Monitoring and Assessment*, 108(1-3), 151-167. | Gupta, H.K., Gajghate, D.G., Lohithesh, M.D., Rao, C.V.C., Gupta, V.B. and Hasan M.Z. (2003). Air quality management in Jamshedpur Region. *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*, 23(8), 872-880. | Gupta, S.K., Gupta, S.C., Agrawal, R., Sushma, S., Agrawal, S.S. and Saxena, R. (2007). A multicentric case-control study on the impact of air pollution on eyes in a metropolitan city of India. *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 11(1):37-40. | Jiang, D., Li, X., Qiu, Z., Lu, R., Li, Y. and Zhang, G. (2004). The source of indoor aerosol particles in Shanghai determined by nuclear microprobe. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 260(2), 301-304. | Jiang, R. and Bell, M.L. (2008). A comparison of particulate matter from biomass-burning rural and non-biomass burning urban households in North-Eastern China. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116(7), 907-914. | Joshi, G. and Mishra, A. (1998). The ambient air quality in Indore, Madhya Pradesh. *Pollution Research*, 17(1), 21-24. | Li, D.W. and Yang, C.S. (2004). Fungal contamination as a major contributor to sick building syndrome. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, 55, 31-112. | Mancinelli, R.L. and Shulls, W.A. (1978). Airborne bacteria in an urban environment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 35(6), 1095-1101. | Mohan, J., Gadgil A.S. and Pawar, N.J. (1992). Indoor air quality at selected locations in Pune city. *Indian Journal of Environmental Health*, 34(3), 209-213. | Nasir, Z.A. and Colbeck, I. (2010). Assessment of bacterial and fungal aerosol in different residential settings. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 211, 367-377. | Pieckova, E. and Wilkins, K. (2004). Airway toxicity of house dust and its fungal composition. *Annals of Agricultural, Environmental Medicine*, 11(1):67-73. | Purohit, S.S. and Ranjan, R. (2005). Ecology, Environment and Pollution. Agrobios Publishers, Jodhpur, India. | Rampal, R.K. and Abrol, E. (2007). Assessment of suspended particulate matter (SPM) level in the households of old Jammu city, Jammu and Kashmir. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*, 6(3), 477-480. | Rao, M.N. and Rao, H.V.N. (1998). Air pollution. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing company Ltd, New Delhi, India. | Santra, S.C. (2006). Environmental Science. New Central Book Agency (P) Ltd, Kolkata, India. | Sharma, B.K. (2005). Environmental Chemistry. Goel Publishing House, Meerut, India. | Shelton, B.G., Kirkland, K.H., Flanders, W.D. and Morris, G.K. (2002). Profiles of airborne fungi in buildings and outdoor environments in the United States. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 68(4), 1743-1753. | Subramanyam, Y.V., Rao, K.S., Jayabalou, R. and JothiKumar, N. (1999). Diurnal variation of air microbes with respect to respirable particulate matter (PM10) in Chennai City. *Journal of Indian Association for Environmental Management*, 26(1), 54-63. | Tsai, F.C., Macher, J.M. and Hung, Y.Y. (2002). Concentrations of airborne bacteria in 100 U.S. office buildings. *Proceedings: Indoor Air*, 353-358. | Venkateswaran, K., Hattori, N., La-Duc, M.T. and Kern, R. (2003). ATP as a biomarker of viable microorganisms in clean-room facilities. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 52(3), 367-377. | Wu, Y.H., Chan, C.C., Chew, G.L., Shih, P-W., Lee, C-T. and Chao, H.J. (2012). Meteorological factors and ambient bacterial levels in a subtropical urban environment. *The International Journal of Biometeorology*, doi 10.1007/s00484-011-0514-6 | Yassin, M.F. and Almouqatea, S. (2010). Assessment of airborne bacteria and fungi in an indoor and outdoor environment. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 7(3), 535-544. |