

Sub-Riemannian Structures on Manifolds



Mathematics

KEYWORDS : Sub Riemannian Structures, Manifolds, n-dimensional Manifolds.

SARIKA M. PATIL

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Government First Grade College, Hubli-580 023, Karnataka State, India

T. Venkatesh

Professor, Department of Mathematics, Rani Channamma University, Belgaum, Karnataka State, India

ABSTRACT

Sub-Riemannian structures naturally occur in different branches of mathematics in the study of constrained systems in classical mechanics, in optimal control, geometric measure theory and differential geometry. Let M be a smooth n -dimensional manifold and let $F(M)$ denote the coframe bundle of M . It is a principal $KL(n, R)$ -bundle over M , where $KL(n, R)$ action is given by the change of basis matrices. Let G be a subgroup of $KL(n, R)$. The K -structure on M is a principal K -subbundle of the coframe bundle of M . In the paper we introduce some notions and results from the theory of K -structures on manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Sub-Riemannian structures naturally occur in different branches of mathematics in the study of constrained systems in classical mechanics, in optimal control, geometric measure theory and differential geometry. We define a topological manifold as follows:

Definition 1.1: A topological space M is a manifold of dimension n if

- i. M is Hausdorff, and
- ii. M is second countable, and
- iii. M is locally Euclidean of dimension n .

Definition 1.2: A coordinate chart on M is a pair (U, ϕ) where $U \subseteq M$ is open and $\phi : U \rightarrow \phi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a

homeomorphism. The set U is called a coordinate domain or coordinate neighborhood or coordinate patch. If $\phi(U)$ is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n ; U is called a coordinate ball. A coordinate chart (U, ϕ) is centered at p if $\phi(p) = 0$.

From the Darboux theorem we know that every two contact manifolds of the dimension are locally equivalent. Sub-Riemannian structures already have local invariants has been shown in [2], when $n = 1$ there are functions K and the eigen value of the torsion matrix which do not change under the local automorphisms of a sub-Riemannian structure. Essentially the same invariants for sub-Riemannian structures on 3-manifolds were defined in [1]. In the papers [8] and [6], similar invariants were considered and further generalized to the case of contact metric manifolds in [7] Note that all these

invariants coincide when the dimension of a manifold is three.

A symplectic manifold (M, ω) is a smooth manifold M together with a smooth, closed, nondegenerate 2-form ω called the symplectic form. Note that the nondegeneracy condition on ω requires that M is even-dimensional. A Hamiltonian on M is a smooth function $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To every Hamiltonian H on M there is associated a vector field ξ_H on M , defined by the condition $dH(v) = \omega(v, \xi_H)$ for all $v \in TM$.

2. SUB-RIEMANNIAN K -STRUCTURES ON MANIFOLDS:

In the present section we will introduce some notions and results from the theory of K -Structures. For undefined terms in this paper reader may refer to [5].

Definition 2.1: Let M be a smooth n -dimensional manifold and let $F(M)$ denote the coframe bundle of M . It is a principal $KL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle over M , where $KL(n, \mathbb{R})$ action is given by the change of basis matrices. Let G be a subgroup of $KL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2.2: The K -structure on M is a principal K -subbundle of the coframe bundle of M .

Let φ be a diffeomorphism between manifolds M_1 and M_2 . The map φ^* induces an isomorphism of the coframe bundles $F(M_1)$ and $F(M_2)$.

Definition 2.3: Let B^1 and B^2 be two K -structures on M_1 and M_2 respectively. A diffeomorphism φ is called an isomorphism of K -structures if $\varphi^*(B^2 = B^1)$. We will say two K -structures are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism between them.

Definition 2.4: Two K -structures B^1 and B^2 are called locally equivalent at (x, y) where $x \in M_1$ and $y \in M_2$ if there are neighborhoods $U(x)$ and $V(y)$ and a diffeomorphism $\varphi : U(x) \rightarrow V(y)$ such that $\varphi^*(B^2|_{V(y)}) = B^1|_{U(x)}$.

3. RESULTS:

Assume that M is a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold and D is a contact structure on M .

Definition 3.1: A triple $S=(M, D, g)$ where g is a fibrewise inner product on D is called a sub-Riemannian structure on M .

Each sub-Riemannian structure defines a natural k -structure consisting of adapted coframes.

Definition 3.2: We say that a coframe $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{2n+1}$ of convectors in T_pM is adopted to S if $\text{Ker}(\eta_{2n+1}) = D$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \eta_i \otimes \eta_i$.

We may now consider the set B of all coframes adapted to S . Every sub-Riemannian structures S defines a k -structure B of adopted coframes, and conversely every k -structure B with G above gives rise to sub-Riemannian structure S .

Let (M, D) be a $(2n+1)$ - dimensional contact manifold and let η be some fixed contact 1-form of D . There is a unique vector field ξ such that $\eta(\xi) = 1$, $i_\xi d\eta = 0$.

If g is a Riemannian metric on M and φ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field such that

$g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$, $g(X, \varphi Y) = d\eta(X, Y)$, $\varphi_\varphi X = -X + \eta(X)\xi$ the metric is called the metric associated with η and

the tuple $(M, \eta, \xi, g, \varphi)$ - a contact Riemannian manifold.

Proposition 3.3: If $\dim(M) = 3$ then for every sub-Riemannian structure S on M there is a canonical Riemannian metric associated with g .

Proof : Let (D, g) be a sub-Riemannian structure on

M . Fix a basis (e_1, e_2, v) in V . The space $E = \frac{\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V)}{A(\text{Hom}(V, g))}$

is 1-dimensional and is generated by the vector $e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \otimes v$ and the group G acts on E by $\begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} k.e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \otimes v = c.k.\det(A) \cdot e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \otimes v$. In

particular, the action of G has orbits o and $O = E \setminus o$ and when D is a contact structure function of S takes values in O . if we consider the point $w = e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \otimes v \in E$,

the first reduction of the structure group traces out a unique 1-form η such that $\text{Ker}(\eta) = D$. It is easy to see that the second reduction defines an $SO(2)$ - structures of coframes (η, η_1, η_2) that satisfy

$$\begin{cases} d\eta = \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2, & ; \\ g = \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2, & , \end{cases} \quad \text{Obviously, the operator } \varphi \text{ that}$$

corresponds to $d\eta$ is an almost complex structure on D and the metric g is associated with η .

The following example shows, when $n \geq 5$ there might be no metrics associated with sub-Riemannian structure.

Example 3.4: Consider the space R^5 and a Sub-Riemannian structure (D, g) , $D = \text{Ker}(dz + x_1 dy_1 + x_2 dy_2)$. $g = p dx_1^2 + q dy_1^2 + r dx_2^2 + s dy_2^2$. Denote by $\alpha = dz + x_1 dy_1 + x_2 dy_2$, very contact 1-form η such that $\text{Ker}(\eta) = D$ has a form f_α for some function f . Therefore the differential $d\eta = df \wedge \alpha + f(dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + dx_2 \wedge dy_2)$ when restricted to D is simply $f(dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + dx_2 \wedge dy_2)$. Consider the following frame in D .

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, & ; \\ e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, & ; \\ e_3 = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, & ; \\ e_4 = x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, & ; \end{cases}$$

With respect to this basis the operator that is adjoint to $d\eta$ acts as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \varphi e_1 = \frac{f_1}{r} e_3, & ; \\ \varphi e_2 = \frac{f_1}{rs} e_4, & ; \\ \varphi e_3 = -\frac{f}{pe_1}, & ; \\ \varphi e_4 = -\frac{f}{qe_2}, & ; \end{cases}$$

and one may always find real numbers p, q, r, s such that g is not associated with η for any f .

4. FIRST REDUCTION:

We are going to solve the local equivalence problem by applying the Cartan's Method of equivalence to k -structures of adopted coframes.

Statement of First Reduction: Let V be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space. Fix some basis $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, v)$ in V . We want to calculate the A -image of $\text{Hom}(V, g)$ in $\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V)$ and to find the orbit

$$\text{space } E = \frac{\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V)}{A(\text{Hom}(V, g))}$$

Proposition 4.1: The space E may be identified with $\text{Hom}(V^1 \wedge V^1, V/V)$ where V^1 is a subspace in V generated by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n} .

Proof: Consider a set of matrices

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in O(2n, R), b \in R^{2n} \text{ and } c \in R \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Consider the following basis for g :

$$I_{pq} = e_p^* \otimes e_q - e_q^* \otimes e_p, \quad p, q \in \overline{1, 2n}$$

$$II_k = v^* \otimes e_k, \quad k \in \overline{1, 2n}$$

$$III = v^* \otimes v$$

The space $\text{Hom}(V, g)$ is generated by vectors $e_s^* \otimes I_{pq}$, $e_s^* \otimes II_k$ and $e_s^* \otimes III$, where e_s is in $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, v\}$. Calculate the A -image of $\text{Hom}(V, g)$ in $\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V)$.

$$A(e_s^* \otimes II_k)(e_i, e_j) = e_s^*(e_i)II_k(e_j) -$$

$$e_s^*(e_j)II_k(e_i) =$$

$$e_s^*(e_i)v^*(e_j)e_k - e_s^*(e_j)v^*(e_i)e_k. \text{ Therefore the}$$

image $e_s^* \otimes II_k$ in $\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V)$ is a vector

$$(e_s^* \wedge v^*) \otimes e_k. \text{ Analogously, } (e_s^* \otimes II_k) =$$

$$(e_s^* \wedge v^*) \otimes v. \text{ These vectors are zero in } E. \text{ Therefore,}$$

in E we have that $(e_s^* \wedge e_i^*) \otimes e_p$. We want to show

that for any indices $i, j, k \in \overline{1, 2n}$, the image of

$e_i^* \wedge e_k^* \otimes e_i$ is equal to zero in E . But we may write,

$$E_j^* \wedge e_k^* \otimes e_i = e_i \wedge e_k \otimes e_j = -e_k \wedge e_j \otimes e_i =$$

$$e_j \wedge e_k \otimes e_i = e_j \wedge e_i \otimes e_k.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.2: Two sub-Riemannian structures are locally equivalent if and only if their corresponding first reductions are locally equivalent.

Proof:- If the reduced structures are locally equivalent, then extending the structure group to C would give the equivalence of the original sub-Riemannian structures.

Conversely assume that S is locally equivalent to S .

Denote by ϕ the equivalence and let c_1 and c_2 be the

structure functions of S_∞ and S_ϵ . Since $c_1 \circ \phi = c_2$. We

have that $c_1 \circ \phi^* \left(c_2^{-2}(s) \right) c_2 c_2^{-2}(s) = s$. This completes the proof.

5. SECOND REDUCTION:

Proposition 5.1: The orbit space E_1 of the K_1 -structure S_∞ may be identified with the space $A \oplus t\text{Hom}(V' \wedge V', V' / V') \oplus \text{Hom}(V' \wedge V / V', V / V')$, where A is some subspace in $\text{Hom}(V' \wedge V', V')$.

Proof: The g_1 has the following basis:

$$II_k = v^* \otimes e_k, \quad k \in \overline{1, 2n}$$

$$A_{pq} = I_{pq} - J_0 I_{pq} - I_{qp} + J_0 I_{qp}, \quad p, q \in \overline{1, 2n}$$

Where J_0 is a standard complex structure of R^{2n} .

Using the same arguments in Proposition 4.1, we may prove that the A -images of vectors $e_s^* \otimes II_k$ would be zero in E_1 . These vectors would span the space $\text{Hom}(V' \wedge V / V', V')$. The space $\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V)$ may be decomposed as direct sum.

$$\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V) = \text{Hom}(V' \wedge V', V / V') \oplus \text{Hom}(V' \wedge V / V', V')$$

$$\oplus \text{Hom}(V' \wedge V', V') \oplus \text{Hom}(V' \wedge V / V', V / V')$$

Hence the proof.

Remark 5.2: Unless $n=1$ the subspace in $\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V)$ generated by the vectors $A(A_{pq})$ would not coincide with $\text{Hom}(V' \wedge V', V')$ and A would not be zero-dimensional.

REFERENCE

A. A. Agrache, Exponential Mappings for contact Sub-Riemannian Structures, *Jl. Dynamical Systems and Control*, 2(3), 321-358. | [2] R. Brockett (1981), *Control Theory and Singular Riemannian Geometry*, New Directions in Applied Mathematics (Hilton and Young, eds) Springer, Berlin. | [3] S. S. Chern and Hamilton R. (1985), *On Riemannian Metrics Adapted to three-dimensional contact manifolds*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1111. | [4] D. McDuff and D. Salamon (1998), *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Oxford University Press. | [5] R. Montgomery (2002), *A tour of Sub-Riemannian Geometries their geodesics and applications*, *Surveys and Monographs*, AMS Providence. | [6] S. Sternberg (1964), *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Prentice Hall Publishers, 400p. | [7] N. Tonaka (1975), *A differential-geometric study of strongly pseudovonvex manifolds*, *Lectures in Math.*, Vol. 9, Kyoto University Press. | [8] S. Tanno (1989), *Variational problems on contact Riemannian Manifolds*, *Transactions of the AMS*, Vol. 314(1), 349-379. | [9] S. Webster (1978), *Pseudohermitian structures on a real hypersurface*, *Jl. Diff. Geometry* 13, 25-31. |