

## Socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk in rural indian adolescents



### Medical Science

**KEYWORDS :** Socio-economic position, Cardiovascular risk

**Ashwinkumar Waghmode** Associate professor, dept. of community medicine, D Y Patil medical college, Kolhapur.

**Pranay Gandhi** Assistant professor, dept of community medicine, D Y patil medical college, Kolhapur

### ABSTRACT

#### Objectives

*This study examined association between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents to investigate whether childhood socio-economic position is a risk factor for future cardiovascular disease, independently of adult behaviours.*

#### Study design and methods

*Cross-sectional associations between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk factors were examined using linear regression models.*

#### Results

*The mean BMI was 16.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for boys and 17.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for girls. Socio-economic position was positively associated with fat mass index (0.15 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; 95% CI: 0.05–0.25) and inversely associated with central-peripheral skinfold ratio (–0.04; 95% CI: –0.06 to –0.01) and, in boys, fasting triglycerides (–0.05; 95% CI: –0.09 to –0.01).*

#### Conclusions

*The study thus showed that lower socio-economic position may be associated with greater central adiposity and higher triglyceride levels in these settings.*

### Introduction

Under-nutrition associated with low socio-economic position has been associated with adverse cardiovascular risk.(1) On the other hand, no independent association was observed between socio-economic position and blood pressure in Jamaican children.(2)

Assessment of the association between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk would help focus the interventions to a vulnerable group and could inform the policy for the mitigation of cardiovascular risk. The authors therefore examined the association between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk in a cohort of rural adolescents from South India.

### Methods

The association between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk was examined in a rural birth cohort, established to assess the long-term impact of a nutrition supplementation trial. Cohort profile and details of the initial trial have been reported earlier.(3,4). In intervention villages ( $n = 15$ ) only, a nutritional supplement (a freshly cooked preparation made of corn–soya blend and soybean oil) was available daily to all pregnant and lactating women and children < 6 years, providing on average 2.09 MJ and 20–25 g protein to women and 1.25 MJ and 8–10 g protein to children. All births taking place in 29 villages (15 intervention, 14 control) over this time period were eligible for inclusion in the cohort. Children born in this cohort were traced in 2003–05 and invited to undergo clinical examination. This report is based on cross-sectional analyses of data collected during this follow-up.

Sample size calculations for the main study were carried out for the primary outcomes of cardiovascular risk. The study was adequately powered (80% power at 5% significance level and 0.01 ICC for village-level clustering) to detect mean differences of 1.8–2.9 mmHg for systolic BP, 1.5% for A1c and 1.7–3.2 mU/ml for fasting insulin.

### Results

Table 1 indicates participant characteristics in the three SLI groups of girls and boys. The proportion of individuals in the three SLI groups was different among the boys and girls with a smaller proportion (<10%) of girls belonging to the low SLI group. More than 50% of girls were postpubertal as expected due to an earlier onset of puberty in girls, whereas none of the boys were postpubertal. As expected, boys and girls from the higher

SLI groups were taller than their counterparts in the lower SLI groups. In the case of boys, but not the girls, higher socio-economic position was associated with higher BMI and fat mass index. Central-peripheral skin fold ratio, however, was lower in the high SLI group, in boys and girls. In the case of boys, blood pressure and serum insulin were higher, and A1c and serum triglycerides were lower in the high SLI group, but these differences were not observed in girls.

Table 2 presents the adjusted differences in cardiovascular risk factors across the SLI groups. All models were adjusted for sex except triglycerides, for which there was statistical evidence of an interaction between socio-economic position and sex ( $P = 0.008$ ); hence, the models for triglycerides are presented separately for boys and girls. Socio-economic position was positively associated with fat mass index (0.15 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; 95% CI: 0.05–0.25) and inversely associated with central-peripheral skinfold ratio (–0.04; 95% CI: –0.06 to –0.01) and, in boys only, fasting triglycerides (–0.05; 95% CI: –0.09 to –0.01). Associations with other risk factors (blood pressure, arterial stiffness, fasting glucose, insulin and cholesterol) were weak and inconsistent, and did not persist after adjustment for potential confounders, including age, sex, pubertal stage, height, adiposity and nutrition supplementation.

### Discussion

In this study in a rural adolescent cohort, lower socio-economic position was associated with central adiposity and higher serum triglyceride levels. However, no clear associations were observed between socio-economic position and other cardiovascular risk factors.

Studies in adults from high-income countries have generally found an inverse association between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk.(32,33) On the other hand, contrary to a more consistent pattern noted in adults, studies in children and adolescents in these settings have found inconsistent associations between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk assessed using different indicators of cardiovascular risk such as adiposity, blood pressure, lipid profile, C-reactive protein and homocysteine levels.(34–37) Studies from low-income countries such as India have also shown inconsistent results in the case of adults as well as adolescents, finding both direct and inverse associations between measures of socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk.(38,39) Findings from this study corroborate the inverse association between socio-economic position and

cardiovascular risk as central adiposity and higher triglyceride levels could be considered as early indicators of enhanced cardiovascular risk in this population.

A number of prospective studies have shown that adiposity, especially central adiposity in childhood and adolescence is linked to adverse cardio-metabolic risk profile in later life.<sup>40,41</sup> Data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) indicated that adiposity in childhood was associated with increased cardiovascular risk in later life.<sup>42</sup> A study from Denmark also showed that high plasma triglyceride and high BMI in childhood were associated with low insulin-sensitivity index values in young adulthood.<sup>43</sup> It may be speculated that the inverse relationship between socio-economic status and cardiovascular risk factors may become more pronounced at a later stage in the participants of the present study.

Low socio-economic position increases cardiovascular disease risk through a number of unfavourable environmental expo-

sure including early under nutrition leading to developmental programming of adult health. In addition, chronic inflammation associated with higher rates of infections in low income group populations as well as psychological stress have been implicated as reasons for the inverse association of cardiovascular risk with socio-economic position. Cereal based high carbohydrate diets commonly consumed by low income group populations in India are also known to be associated with higher triglyceride levels.<sup>44</sup>

With lifestyle changes consequent to future urbanization of these settings, association between socio-economic position and cardiovascular risk may strengthen at a later stage.

**Conclusions**

The present study suggests an inverse relationship between socio-economic position and cardiovascular disease risk in this cohort of rural Indian adolescents. Cardiovascular risk mitigation strategy should therefore focus on the youth belonging to the low socio-economic stratum.

**Table 1**  
**Participant characteristics by Standard of Living Index (SLI), of boys.**

	Boys (N = 607)					Girls (N = 521)				
	N	Low SLI (N = 164)	Middle SLI (N = 281)	High SLI (N = 162)	P-value*	N	Low SLI (N = 48)	Middle SLI (N = 249)	High SLI (N = 224)	P-value*
<b>Sociodemographics</b>										
Age (years)	607	15.9 (0.9)	15.9 (0.9)	15.9 (0.8)	0.967	521	15.7 (0.9)	15.8 (0.9)	15.8 (1.0)	0.855
Pubertal stage (n, %)	604				0.508	517				0.880
Early puberty	171	38 (23.5)	87 (31.0)	46 (28.6)		0	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Middle puberty	322	94 (58.0)	145 (51.6)	83 (51.6)		43	4 (8.3)	22 (8.9)	17 (7.7)	
Late puberty	111	30 (18.5)	49 (17.4)	32 (19.9)		204	17 (35.4)	94 (38.1)	93 (41.9)	
Postpuberty	0	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		270	27 (56.3)	131 (53.0)	112 (50.5)	
<b>Anthropometry</b>										
Height (mm)	604	1580.5 (81.5)	1579.3 (86.7)	1613.1 (82.7)	<0.001	516	1495.0 (58.8)	1516.4 (55.4)	1517.3 (58.1)	0.040
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	604	16.5 (1.8)	16.5 (1.8)	17.2 (2.6)	<0.001**	516	17.8 (2.2)	17.7 (1.8)	18.0 (2.6)	0.254**
Fat mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	604	1.5 (0.5)	1.6 (0.6)	1.8 (1.0)	<0.001**	516	3.7 (1.0)	3.7 (0.9)	3.8 (1.3)	0.226**
Central-peripheral skinfold ratio	604	1.6 (0.2)	1.5 (0.2)	1.5 (0.2)	0.002	516	1.5 (0.3)	1.4 (0.2)	1.4 (0.2)	0.033
<b>Cardio-metabolic risk</b>										
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	603	109.7 (11.1)	110.2 (10.7)	112.2 (10.9)	0.080	515	107.5 (8.3)	107.5 (9.3)	107.2 (9.2)	0.917
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	603	61.4 (6.8)	61.7 (6.5)	63.8 (6.4)	0.001	515	63.0 (5.7)	62.6 (7.0)	62.7 (6.0)	0.924
Augmentation index (%)	486	5.1 (10.4)	3.8 (9.8)	1.8 (10.9)	0.035	376	6.8 (12.3)	3.9 (11.1)	4.8 (9.9)	0.365
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	567	3.2 (0.6)	3.3 (0.7)	3.3 (0.6)	0.769	483	3.5 (0.7)	3.6 (0.7)	3.7 (0.7)	0.040
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	567	1.9 (0.5)	1.9 (0.6)	2.0 (0.5)	0.577	483	2.1 (0.7)	2.2 (0.6)	2.2 (0.6)	0.247
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	567	1.0 (0.2)	1.0 (0.2)	1.0 (0.2)	0.146	483	0.9 (0.3)	1.0 (0.2)	1.0 (0.2)	0.093
Triglycerides <sub>a</sub> (mmol/l)	567	0.8 (1.4)	0.8 (1.4)	0.7 (1.4)	0.036	483	0.9 (1.5)	0.9 (1.4)	0.9 (1.5)	0.305
Glucose (mmol/l)	543	4.7 (0.7)	4.7 (0.6)	4.7 (0.6)	0.828	465	4.7 (0.6)	4.8 (0.8)	4.6 (0.6)	0.027**
Insulin <sub>a</sub> (mU/l)	543	16.4 (1.7)	15.2 (1.8)	17.9 (1.8)	0.020	465	16.6 (1.8)	16.7 (1.8)	18.1 (1.7)	0.275
HOMA score <sub>a</sub>	541	3.4 (1.7)	3.1 (1.8)	3.7 (1.8)	0.022	462	3.4 (1.8)	3.4 (1.9)	3.7 (1.7)	0.474

**Participant characteristics by Standard of Living Index (SLI), of girls.**

	N	Low SLI (N = 48)	Middle SLI (N = 249)	High SLI (N = 224)	P-value*
Age (years)	521	15.7 (0.9)	15.8 (0.9)	15.8 (1.0)	0.855
Pubertal stage (n, %)	517				0.880
Early puberty	0	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Middle puberty	43	4 (8.3)	22 (8.9)	17 (7.7)	
Late puberty	204	17 (35.4)	94 (38.1)	93 (41.9)	
Postpuberty	270	27 (56.3)	131 (53.0)	112 (50.5)	
Height (mm)	516	1495.0 (58.8)	1516.4 (55.4)	1517.3 (58.1)	0.040
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	516	17.8 (2.2)	17.7 (1.8)	18.0 (2.6)	0.254**
Fat mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	516	3.7 (1.0)	3.7 (0.9)	3.8 (1.3)	0.226**
Central-peripheral skinfold ratio	516	1.5 (0.3)	1.4 (0.2)	1.4 (0.2)	0.033
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	515	107.5 (8.3)	107.5 (9.3)	107.2 (9.2)	0.917
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	515	63.0 (5.7)	62.6 (7.0)	62.7 (6.0)	0.924
Augmentation index (%)	376	6.8 (12.3)	3.9 (11.1)	4.8 (9.9)	0.365
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	483	3.5 (0.7)	3.6 (0.7)	3.7 (0.7)	0.040
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	483	2.1 (0.7)	2.2 (0.6)	2.2 (0.6)	0.247
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	483	0.9 (0.3)	1.0 (0.2)	1.0 (0.2)	0.093
Triglycerides <sub>a</sub> (mmol/l)	483	0.9 (1.5)	0.9 (1.4)	0.9 (1.5)	0.305
Glucose (mmol/l)	465	4.7 (0.6)	4.8 (0.8)	4.6 (0.6)	0.027**
Insulin <sub>a</sub> (mU/l)	465	16.6 (1.8)	16.7 (1.8)	18.1 (1.7)	0.275
HOMA score <sub>a</sub>	462	3.4 (1.8)	3.4 (1.9)	3.7 (1.7)	0.474

**Table 2: Association between Standard of Living Index and cardiovascular risk among participants.**

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	βCoeff	95% CI	P-value	βCoeff	95% CI	P-value	βCoeff	95% CI	P-value
Fat mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.15	0.05 to 0.25	0.01	0.13	0.04 to 0.23	0.01	0.15	0.05 to 0.25	0.01 <sub>a</sub>
Central-peripheral skinfold ratio	-0.04	-0.06 to -0.01	0.01	-0.05	-0.07 to -0.02	<0.01	-0.05	-0.07 to -0.03	<0.01 <sub>b</sub>
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.55	-0.23 to 1.33	0.16	0.09	-0.61 to 0.78	0.80	0.15	-0.58 to 0.87	0.68
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.54	0.07 to 1.00	0.03	0.40	-0.07 to 0.87	0.09	0.38	-0.08 to 0.84	0.10
Augmentation index (%)	-1.21	-2.36 to -0.06	0.04	-0.72	-1.84 to 0.40	0.20	-0.63	-1.72 to 0.45	0.24
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	0.06	-0.01 to 0.13	0.06	0.07	0.01 to 0.13	0.04	0.05	-0.01 to 0.11	0.10
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	0.05	-0.01 to 0.10	0.09	0.05	-0.01 to 0.11	0.08	0.03	-0.02 to 0.08	0.20
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	0.02	-0.01 to 0.04	0.06	0.02	0.01 to 0.04	0.04	0.02	-0.01 to 0.04	0.06
Triglycerides <sub>c</sub> (mmol/l) in boys <sub>d</sub>	-0.05	-0.09 to -0.01	0.02	-0.04	-0.09 to -0.01	0.03	-0.04	-0.08 to -0.01	0.02
Triglycerides <sub>c</sub> (mmol/l) in girls <sub>d</sub>	-0.04	-0.02 to 0.11	0.17	0.05	-0.02 to 0.11	0.14	-0.05	-0.02 to 0.11	0.17
Glucose (mmol/l)	-0.04	-0.12 to 0.04	0.29	-0.04	-0.12 to 0.04	0.29	-0.03	-0.10 to 0.04	0.35
Insulin <sub>c</sub> (mU/l)	0.06	0.01 to 0.11	0.05	0.05	-0.01 to 0.10	0.10	0.05	-0.01 to 0.10	0.07
HOMA score <sub>c</sub>	0.05	-0.01 to 0.11	0.09	0.04	-0.02 to 0.10	0.16	0.05	-0.01 to 0.11	0.11

## REFERENCE

1. Victora C.G., Adair L., Fall C., Hallal P.C., Martorell R., Richter L. Maternal and child undernutrition study group. Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet*. 2008;371:340–357. | 2. Forrester T.E., Wilks R.J., Bennett F.I., Simeon D., Osmond C., Allen M. Fetal growth and cardiovascular risk factors in Jamaican schoolchildren. *BMJ*. 1996;312:156–160. | 3. Kinra S., RameshwarSarma K.V., Ghafoorunissa, Mendu V.V., Ravikumar R., Mohan V. Effect of integration of supplemental nutrition with public health programmes in pregnancy and early childhood on cardiovascular risk in rural Indian adolescents: long term follow-up of Hyderabad nutrition trial. *BMJ*. 2008;337:a605. | 4. Kinra S., Radha Krishna K., Kuper H., RameshwarSarma K., Prabhakaran P., Gupta V. Cohort profile: Andhra Pradesh children and parents study (APCAPS) *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013 Sep 9 [Epub ahead of print] | 5. Metcalf P., Scragg R., Davis P. Relationship of different measures of socioeconomic status with cardiovascular disease risk factors and lifestyle in a New Zealand workforce survey. *N Z Med J*. 2007;120:U2392. | 6. Pollitt R.A., Rose K.M., Kaufman J.S. Evaluating the evidence for models of life course socioeconomic factors and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2005;20(5):7. | 7. Van Lenthe F.J., Boreham C.A., Twisk J.W., Strain J.J., Savage J.M., Smith G.D. Socio-economic position and coronary heart disease risk factors in youth: findings from the Young Hearts Project in Northern Ireland. *Eur J Public Health*. 2001;11:43–50. | 8. Leino M., Raitakari O.T., Porkka K.V., Helenius H.Y., Viikari J.S. Cardiovascular risk factors of young adults in relation to parental socioeconomic status: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Ann Med*. 2000;32:142–151. | 9. Walter H.J., Hoffman A. Socioeconomic status, ethnic origin, and risk factors for coronary heart disease in children. *Am Heart J*. 1987;113:812–818. | 10. Gimeno D., Ferrie J.E., Elovainio M., Pulkki-Raback L., Keltikangas-Jarvinen E., Eklund C. When do social inequalities in C-reactive protein start? A life course perspective from conception to adulthood in the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2008;37:290–298. | 11. Sethi R., Puri A., Makhija A., Singhal A., Ahuja A., Mukerjee S. "Poor man's risk factor": correlation between high sensitivity C-reactive protein and socio-economic class in patients of acute coronary syndrome. *Indian Heart J*. 2008;60:205–209. | 12. Gupta R., Gupta V.P., Ahluwalia N.S. Educational status, coronary heart disease, and coronary risk factor prevalence in a rural population of India. *BMJ*. 1994;309:1332–1336. | 13. Srinivasan S.R., Myers L., Berenson G.S. Predictability of childhood adiposity and insulin for developing insulin resistance syndrome (syndrome X) in young adulthood: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Diabetes*. 2002;51:204–209. | 14. Tybor D.J., Lichtenstein A.H., Dallal G.E., Daniels S.R., Must A. Independent effects of age-related changes in waist circumference and BMI z scores in predicting cardiovascular disease risk factors in a prospective cohort of adolescent females. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;93:392–401. | 15. Lawlor D.A., Benfield L., Logue J., Tilling K., Howe L.D., Fraser A. Association between general and central adiposity in childhood, and change in these, with cardiovascular risk factors in adolescence: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c6224. | 16. Clausen J.O., Ibsen H., Ibsen K.K., Borch-Johnsen K. Association of body mass index, blood pressure and serum levels of triglycerides and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in childhood with the insulin sensitivity index in young adulthood: a 13-year follow-up. *J Cardiovasc Risk*. 1996;3:427–433. | 17. Song S.J., Lee J.E., Paik H.Y., Park M.S., Song Y.J. Dietary patterns based on carbohydrate nutrition are associated with the risk for diabetes and dyslipidemia. *Nutr Res Pract*. 2012;6:349–356. |