

A Clinical & Ultrasound Study of Post Placental Intrauterine Contraceptive Device



Medical Science

KEYWORDS : IUCD, USG, PPIUCD.

* GOYAL NEHA

Senior Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Zenana Hospital, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur-302012 (Rajasthan). * Corresponding Author

PANT REENA

Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Zenana Hospital, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur-302012 (Rajasthan)

BANERJEE KRISHAN
PRIYA

Professor and Unit Head, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Zenana Hospital, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur-302012 (Rajasthan)

RAJORIA LATA

Professor and Head of Department, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Zenana Hospital, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur-302012 (Rajasthan)

ABSTRACT

Objective(s): To evaluate relation of PPIUCD insertion after delivery and its effect on continuation rates/expulsion rates and to verify if USG is good choice to follow-up.

Method(s): Study comprised of 192 postpartum women. Group-A - 96 women who delivered vaginally and Group-B - 96 women who underwent caesarean section. Both groups were followed up clinically and ultrasonographically at 48 hours, 6 weeks and 12 weeks.

Result(s): Expulsion rate was higher in Group-A than Group-B. Removal rates were almost same in both the groups. Expulsion rate was higher among women where USG showed >10 mm distance between fundus of uterus and Cu-T. Continuation rate of IUCD at 12 wks was higher among Group-B.

Conclusion(s): PPIUCD is safe and effective method of contraception. USG guided follow-up of women with PPIUCD is a good choice for early diagnosis of expulsions and complication.

INTRODUCTION

WHO^{1,2} standardized PPIUCD in 1983 & 1996. According to Medical Eligibility Criteria 2009³, immediate postplacental insertion of Cu-T is recommended in breastfeeding as well as non-breastfeeding mothers. Post placental insertion is defined as insertion of intrauterine device with in 10 minutes of removal of placenta to 48 hours after delivery.

METHODS

This comparative hospital based study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, SMS Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur from June 2014. The study was comprised of 192 postpartum women delivering in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Jaipur. They were followed-up for 12 weeks and divided into two groups: - **Group A** - 96 women who deliver vaginally, **Group B** - 96 women who undergo caesarean section.

INCLUSION CRITERIA - Woman must be willing for IUCD and who has just delivered or undergone caesarean section.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA - Woman having history of menorrhagia, signs and symptoms of STIs on pelvic examination, h/o previous ectopic pregnancy, rupture of membranes of more than 18 hours, unresolved PPH, extensive genital trauma, known distorted uterine cavity, past h/o malignant or benign trophoblastic disease, AIDS and not clinically well or on ART.

METHOD OF STUDY

After detailed history and informed written consent, CuT 380-A was inserted with the help of Kelly's forceps in vaginal delivered and manually during cesarean section. After the insertion of IUCD, woman was followed up :- Before hospital discharge, at 6 weeks and 12 weeks postpartum.

Clinical Follow up : Woman was asked about pain abdomen, bleeding problems, spontaneous expulsion, missed thread, exclusive breastfeeding, resumption of menstruation and personal satisfaction.

Ultrasonography : A transabdominal scan of pelvis was taken

with full bladder at 48 hours, 6 wks & 12 wks postpartum to assess distance between fundus of uterus and horizontal T-limb of Cu-T (Myometrial thickness was not included).

RESULTS

This study comprises of 192 post partum women who were fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study.

In the present study both groups were comparable in age distribution, religion, residence, literacy status and socio-economic status. The mean maternal age was 25.7 ± 3.9 yrs in Group-A and 25.0 ± 3.3 yrs in Group-B and 71.88% of women belonged to middle socio-economic status. 71.87% of women were Hindu and 71.87% of women, who accepted PPIUCD, were literate. 58.33% women who accepted PPIUCD in both groups were Para 2.

This study shows that insertion of intrauterine device after vaginal delivery is associated with statistically significant higher expulsion rate than intra-caesarean PPIUCD insertion. The difference in removal rate between the two groups is not statistically significant (Table-1). This study shows that chances of expulsion and removal increase with increasing number of attempts of insertion as we got statistically significant P value for expulsion and removal rate i.e. - .0023 and .0045 respectively (Table-2). It was found that removal due to bleeding and pain was same in both groups which was 4.16% and 6.25% respectively (Table-3).

This study shows that expulsion rate is significantly high when the distance between fundus of uterus and Cu-T is more than 10 mm (Table-4). In this study continuation rate was 68.76% in Group-A and 85.42% in Group-B.(Table-5)

DISCUSSION

Postpartum intrauterine contraceptive device has been demonstrated to be safe and effective. In 2008³, The World Health Organization medical eligibility modified its classification of the PPIUCD as a category one contraceptive method during the first 48 hours postpartum.

Aim of this study was to evaluate the relation of postpartum IUCD insertion immediately after delivery & caesarean section

and its effect on the following - continuation rates, expulsion rates & eventual removal.

Orawan Tangtongpet et al (2003)⁴ conducted a prospective descriptive study and concluded that the distance between the internal uterine wall and the superior edge of the IUD at immediate post insertion and downward displacement may have influence on IUD expulsion.

Lettin Muller et al (2005)⁵ concluded in their study that ultrasound assessment of IUD positioning performed better than clinical examination, which failed to detect expulsion after postpartum insertion in 75% of cases. They found that PPIUCD insertion during caesarean section is associated with lower expulsion rate than after vaginal delivery.

Lara Ricalde R et al (2006)⁶ concluded that cumulative removal rates due to pain and bleeding were 4.9% and 4.8% and the removal rates for non-medical reason was 4.9% for post placental intrauterine device.

Nathalie Kapp et al (2009)⁷ and concluded that removal rate of PPIUCD due to bleeding / pain and other medical reasons were same for women after vaginal delivery v/s intra-caesarean and it was 13.5% and 11.3% respectively. And expulsion rates were 17.1 ± 4.2% for vaginal insertion v/s 4.3 ± 2.9% for intra-caesarean insertion.

Farouk Fikry et al (2010)⁸ concluded that continuation rates were 82.90% for postplacental insertion, 75% for postpartum insertion and 95.50% for intracaesarean group and cumulative continuation rate was 84.70%. They concluded that expulsion rate of PPIUCD after vaginal delivery was 9% and there was 0% expulsion in caesarean delivery group at 6 wks.

Elbeltagy et al (2010)⁹ concluded that there was a direct relation between the incidence of IUD expulsion in early postpartum insertion and the IUD endometrial distance of the uterine fundus

measured by USG with 10 mm as a cut-off point.

Celen et al (2011)¹⁰ found that removal due to bleeding / pain and other medical reasons were 8.2% and 2.4% respectively. And continuation rates were 81.60% and 62% at 6 and 12 months respectively in their study on postplacental insertion of intrauterine device during caesarean section.

Manju Shukla et al (2012)¹¹ concluded that although the expulsion rate for immediate post-partum insertion was higher than for interval insertion, the benefits of providing highly effective contraception immediately after delivery outweigh this disadvantage, particularly in country where women have limited access to medical care.

Teal SB et al (2012)¹ studied a cohort of 136 young mothers from an adolescent pregnancy/postpartum programme and concluded that twelve-month continuation was 55%. Of the 87 removals, the most common reasons were expulsion (14.2%), pain (12.2%), bleeding (7.4%), pregnancy desire (6.8%) and pregnancy (4.7%), and rates did not differ by IUD significantly type.

CONCLUSION

Immediate postpartum insertion of intrauterine device appears to be safe and effective method of contraception. The method may be particularly beneficial in our setting where women do not come for postnatal contraception counseling and usage.

During this study there were no cases of uterine infections or perforations and no accidental pregnancies occurred. The expulsion rate is significantly higher in vaginal delivery group than intra-caesarean insertion of IUCD. The expulsion rate was higher where USG showed >10 mm distance between fundus of uterus and Cu-T.

On the basis of this study we can conclude that ultrasonographic guided follow-up of women with PPIUCD is a good choice for early diagnosis of expulsions and complications.

TABLES

Table – 1

Distribution of Cases According to Number of Expulsions and Number of Removals and Time Since Insertion

Time Since Insertion	Number of Expulsions						Number of Removals					
	Group-A		Group-B		Total (Cumulative)		Group-A		Group-B		Total (Cumulative)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
48 hours	4	4.10	0	0.00	2/96	2.08	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
6 weeks	8	8.30	2	2.08	5/96	5.20	2	2.08	4	4.16	3	3.13
12 weeks	2	2.08	0	0.00	1	1.00	14	14.58	8	8.33	11	11.46
Total	14	14.58	2	2.08	16	8.33	16	16.66	12	12.50	28	14.58
	P-value = 0.0267						P-value = 0.5630					

Table – 2

Number of Attempts of Insertion and Expulsion / Removal

No. of Attempts of Insertion	Group-A			Group-B			Total		Total	
	No. of Cases	No. of Expulsion	No. of Removals	No. of Cases	No. of Expulsion	No. of Removals	Expulsion		Removal	
							No.	%	No.	%
1	72	8	6	96	2	12	10	5.95	18	10.71
>1	24	6	10	0	0	0	6	25.00	10	41.67

P-value (Expulsion) = 0.0023

P-value (Removal) = 0.0045

Table – 3
Distribution of Cases According to Cause of Removal

Cause of Removal	Group-A		Group-B		Total (Cumulative)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Pain	4	4.16	4	4.16	8	4.16
Bleeding	6	6.25	6	6.25	12	6.25
Bleeding & Family Pressure	4	4.16	2	2.08	6	2.08
Others (Thread Problem)	2	2.08	0	0.00	2	1.04
Total	16	16.66	12	12.51	28	14.60

Table – 4
USG Findings (Distance Between Fundus and Cu-T) and Number of Expulsions at 48 hrs, 6 wks and 12 wks

Time Since Insertion	Distance Between Cu-T and Fundus of Uterus	Group-A	Group-B	Number of Expulsions	
				Group-A	Group-B
At 48 hours	<10 mm	74 (77.08%)	90 (93.75%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	>10 mm	22 (22.91%)	6 (6.25%)	4 (4.16%)	0 (0.00%)
At 6 weeks	<10 mm	82 (89.13%)	92 (95.38%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	>10 mm	10 (10.87%)	4 (4.17%)	8 (8.69%)	2 (2.08%)
At 12 weeks	<10 mm	80 (97.56%)	88 (97.78%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	>10 mm	2 (2.44%)	2 (2.22%)	2 (2.44%)	0 (0.00%)

Table – 5
Continuation Rate of PPIUCD According to the Mode of Delivery

Continuation of IUCD	Group-A		Group-B		Total (Cumulative)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Removal Rate	16/96	16.66	12/96	12.50		14.58
Expulsion Rate	14/96	14.58	2/96	2.08		8.33
Continuation Rate	66/96	68.76	82/96	85.42		77.01

REFERENCE

- World Health Organization. Intrauterine devices: their role in family planning care. Geneva: WHO; 1983. p. 53. [World Health Organization, 75].
- World Health Organization. Improving access to quality care in family planning: Medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 4th ed : 2009. | 4. Orawan Tangtongpet, Wicharn Choktanasiri, Sanya Patrachai, Nathpong Israngura Na Ayudhya. Intrauterine location and expulsion of intrauterine device. Thai Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, March 2003; Vol. 15; pp 45-50. | 5. Letti Müller AL, Lopes Ramos JG, Martins-Costa SH, Palma Dias Rs, Valerio EG, Hammes LS et al. Transvaginal ultrasonographic assesment of the expulsion rate of intrauterine devices inserted in the immediate postpartum period : A pilot study. Contraception, 2005; 72(3) : 192-195. | 6. Lara Ricalde R, Menocal Tobias G, Ramos Pérez C, Velázquez Ramírez N. Random comparative study between intrauterine device Multiload Cu375 and CuT 380A inserted in the postpartum period. Ginecol Obstet Mex, 2006 Jun; 74(6) : 306-11. | 7. Kapp N, Curtis K M. Intrauterine device insertion during the postpartum period : A systematic review. Contraception, 2009; 80(4) : 327-336. | 8. Farouk Fikry, Amr Nadim. Postpartum intratuerine device contraception - An experience from Egypt. Contraception, 2010. | 9. El Beltagy NS, Darwish EA, Kasem MS, Hefila NM. Comparison between CuT 380A IUD and Multiload 375 IUD in early postpartum insertion. Middle East Fertility Society Journal, 2010; 16(2) : 143-148. | 10. Celen S, Sucak A, Yildiz Y, Danisman N. Immediate post-placental insertion of an intrauterine contraceptive device during cesarean section. Contraception, 2011. | 11. Manju Shukla, Sabuhi Qureshi, Chandrawati. Post-placental intrauterine device insertion - A five year experience at a tertiary care centre in north India. | 12. Teal SB, Sheeder J. IUD use in adolescent mothers : retention, failure and reasons for discontinuation. Contraception, 2012 Mar; 85(3) : 270-4. |