

Existence of Solutions for Generalized Vector Variational Inequalities



Mathematics

KEYWORDS : Generalized vector variational inequalities, FKKM theorem, hausdorff topological vector space, Convexity, Compactness.

Zubair khan

Department of Mathematics, Integral University, Lucknow 226026, Uttar Pradesh, India

Khushbu

Department of Mathematics, Integral University, Lucknow 226026, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

We introduce and study a class of generalized vector variational inequalities in the setting of hausdorff topological vector spaces. By using FKKM theorem, some new existence results of solutions for the generalized vector variational inequalities are obtained under some suitable conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Variational inequality theory plays an important role in many fields of science, engineering and economics. Because of their wide applicability, variational inequality problems have been generalized in various directions for the past several years. For details, we refer to [1–9] and the references therein.

The vector variational inequalities in a finite-dimensional Euclidean space were first introduced by Giannessi [10], which is the vector-valued version of the variational inequality of Hartman and Stampacchia [4]. Many authors studied several kinds of vector variational inequalities in abstract spaces [11, 12, 13].

Yu et al. [14] considered a more general form of weak vector variational inequalities and proved some new results on the existence of solutions of the new class of weak vector variational inequalities in the setting of hausdorff topological vector spaces. Very recently, Ahmad and Khan [15] introduced and considered weak vector variational-like inequalities with η -generally convex mapping and gave some existence results. On the other hand, Fang and Huang [16] studied some existence results of solutions for a class of strong vector variational inequalities in Banach spaces. In 2008, Lee et al. [17] introduced a new class of strong vector variational-type inequalities in Banach spaces. They obtained the existence theorems of solutions for the inequalities without monotonicity in Banach spaces by using Brouwer fixed point theorem and Browder fixed point theorem.

Motivated and inspired by the work mentioned above, in this paper we introduce and study a class of generalized vector variational inequalities in the setting of hausdorff topological vector spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X and Y be two real hausdorff topological vector spaces, $K \subset X$ a nonempty, closed and convex subset, and $C \subset Y$ a closed, convex and pointed cone with apex at the origin. Recall that the hausdorff topological vector space Y is said to be an ordered hausdorff topological vector space denoted by (Y, C) if ordering relations are defined in Y as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for all } x, y \in Y, x \leq y &\Leftrightarrow y - x \in C, \\ \text{for all } x, y \in Y, x \not\leq y &\Leftrightarrow y - x \notin C \end{aligned}$$

If the interior $\text{int}C$ is nonempty, then the weak ordering relations in Y are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for all } x, y \in Y, x < y &\Leftrightarrow y - x \in \text{int}C, \\ \text{for all } x, y \in Y, x \not< y &\Leftrightarrow y - x \notin \text{int}C \end{aligned}$$

Let $L(X, Y)$ be the space of all continuous linear maps from X to Y and $T : X \rightarrow L(X, Y)$. We denote the value of $l \in L(X, Y)$ on $x \in X$ by (l, x) . Throughout this paper, we assume that $C(x) : x \in K$ is a family of closed, convex and pointed cones of Y such that $\text{int}C(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in K$, and f is a mapping from $K \times K$ into Y .

In this paper, we consider the following vector variational inequality:

Generalized Vector Variational Inequality (for short GVVI): for each $z \in K$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, find $x \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x), \forall y \in K$$

If $f(y, x) = 0$ and $C(x) = C$ for all $x, y \in K$ then GVVI reduces to the following model studied by Yu et al. [14].

Find $x \in K$ such that for each $z \in K$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$,

$$\langle T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z), y - x \rangle \notin -\text{int}C, \forall y \in K$$

For our main results, we need the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 2.1 Let $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be a mapping and $C = \bigcap_{x \in K} C(x) \neq \emptyset$. T is said to be monotone in C if and only if

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), x - y \rangle \in C, \forall x, y \in K$$

Definition 2.2 Let $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be a mapping. We say that T is hemicontinuous if, for any given $x, y, z \in K$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, the mapping $t \mapsto \langle T(\lambda(x + (1 - t)(y - x)) + (1 - \lambda)z), y - x \rangle$ is continuous at 0^+ .

Definition 2.3 A multivalued mapping $A : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be upper semicontinuous on X , if for all $x \in X$ and for each open set G in Y with $A(x) \subset G$, there exists an open neighbourhood $O(x)$ of $x \in X$ such that $A(x') \subset G$ for all $x' \in O(x)$.

Lemma 2.1 [11] Let (Y, C) be an ordered topological vector space with a closed, pointed, convex cone C with $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$. Then for every $x, y \in Y$, we have

- (1) $y - x \in \text{int}C$ and $y \notin \text{int}C$ imply $x \notin \text{int}C$;
- (2) $y - x \in C$ and $y \notin \text{int}C$ imply $x \notin \text{int}C$;
- (3) $y - x \in -\text{int}C$ and $y \notin -\text{int}C$ imply $x \notin -\text{int}C$;
- (4) $y - x \in -C$ and $y \notin -\text{int}C$ imply $x \notin -\text{int}C$.

Lemma 2.2 [18] Let M be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a hausdorff topological space, and $G : M \rightarrow 2^M$ a multivalued map. Suppose that for any finite set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset M$, one has $\text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G(x_i)$ (i.e. F is a KKM mapping) and $G(x)$ is closed for each $x \in M$ and compact for some $x \in M$, where co denotes the convex hull operator. Then $\bigcap_{x \in M} G(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.3 [19] Let X be a hausdorff topological space, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be

nonempty compact convex subset of X . Then $\text{co}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)$ is compact.

Lemma 2.4 [20] Let X and Y be two topological spaces. If $A : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous with closed values, then A is closed.

3. EXISTENCE RESULTS

Theorem 3.1 Let X be a hausdorff topological linear space, $K \subset X$ a nonempty, closed, and convex subset, and $(Y, C(x))$ an ordered topological vector space with $\text{int}C(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in K$. Let $f : K \times K \rightarrow Y$ be affine mapping such that $f(x, x) = 0$ for each $x \in K$. Let $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be hemicontinuous mapping. If $C = \bigcap_{x \in K} C(x) \neq \emptyset$ and T is monotone in C , then for each $z \in K$, $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) find $x_0 \in K$, such that $\langle T_z(x_0), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0)$, for all $y \in K$;
- (ii) find $x_0 \in K$, such that $\langle T_z(y), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0)$, for all $y \in K$

where T_z is defined by $T_z(x) = T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z)$ for all $x \in K$.

Proof Suppose that (i) holds. We can find $x_0 \in K$, such that

$$\langle T_z(x_0), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0), \text{ for all } y \in K.$$

Since T is monotone, for each $x, y \in K$, we have

$$\langle T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z) - T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z), \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z - [\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z] \rangle \in C$$

Also, $\langle T_z(y) - T_z(x), y - x \rangle$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z) - T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z), \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z - [\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z] \rangle \in C$$

Hence T_z is also monotone. That is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_z(y) - T_z(x_0), y - x_0 \rangle &\in C \\ \Rightarrow \langle T_z(x_0) - T_z(y), y - x_0 \rangle &\in -C \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle T_Z(x_0), y - x_0 \rangle - \langle T_Z(y), y - x_0 \rangle \\ \in -C, \forall y \in K$$

Since $C = \bigcap_{x \in K} C(x)$, for all $y \in K$, we obtain

$$\langle T_Z(x_0), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \\ - \langle T_Z(y), y - x_0 \rangle - f(y, x_0) \\ \in -C \subset -C(x_0)$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\langle T_Z(y), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0), \\ \forall y \in K$$

and so x_0 is a solution of (ii). Hence (i) implies (ii).

Conversely, suppose that (ii) holds. Then there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that

$$\langle T_Z(y), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0), \\ \text{for all } y \in K$$

For each $y \in K, t \in (0,1)$, we let $y_t = ty + (1-t)x_0$. Obviously, $y_t \in K$. It follows that

$$\langle T_Z(y_t), y_t - x_0 \rangle + f(y_t, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0) \\ \text{Since } f \text{ is affine and } f(x_0, x_0) = 0, \text{ we have} \\ \langle T(\lambda(ty + (1-t)x_0) + (1-\lambda)z), ty \\ + (1-t)x_0 - x_0 \rangle \\ + tf(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0) \\ \Rightarrow \langle T(\lambda(ty + (1-t)x_0) + (1-\lambda)z), t(y \\ - x_0) \rangle + tf(y, x_0) \\ \notin -\text{int}C(x_0)$$

That is

$$\langle T(\lambda(x_0 + t(y - x_0)) + (1-\lambda)z), y - x_0 \rangle \\ + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0)$$

Considering the hemicontinuity of T and letting $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\langle T_Z(x_0), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0), \\ \text{for all } y \in K$$

Hence (ii) implies (i). This completes the proof.

Let K be a closed convex subset of a topological linear space X , and $\{C(x): x \in K\}$ a family of closed, convex, and pointed cones of a topological space Y such that $\text{int } C(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in K$. Throughout this paper, we define a set-valued mapping $\bar{C}: K \rightarrow 2^Y$ as follows:

$$\bar{C}(x) = Y \setminus \{-\text{int}C(x)\}, \forall x \in K$$

Theorem 3.2 Let X be a hausdorff topological linear space, $K \subset X$ a nonempty, closed, compact and convex subset, and $(Y, C(x))$ an ordered topological vector space with $C(x) \neq \emptyset, \forall x \in K$. Let $f: K \times K \rightarrow Y$ be affine mapping such that $f(x, x) = 0$ for each $x \in K$. Let $T: K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be hemicontinuous mapping. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) $C = \bigcap_{x \in K} C(x) \neq \emptyset$ and T is monotone in C ;
- (ii) $\bar{C}: K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is an upper semicontinuous set-valued mapping.

Then for each $z \in K, \lambda \in (0,1]$, there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(\lambda x_0 + (1-\lambda)z, y - x_0) \rangle + \\ f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0), \text{ for all } \\ y \in K$$

Proof For each $y \in K$, we denote $T_Z(x) = T(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)z)$, and define

$$F_1(y) = \{x \in K: \langle T_Z(x), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \\ \notin -\text{int}C(x)\}, \\ F_2(y) = \{x \in K: \langle T_Z(y), y - x \rangle + \\ f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x)\}.$$

Then $F_1(y)$ and $F_2(y)$ are nonempty since $y \in F_1(y)$ and $y \in F_2(y)$. The proof is divided into the following three steps.

Step 1 First, we prove the following conclusion: F_1 is a KKM mapping. Indeed, assume that F_1 is not a KKM mapping; then there exist $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in K, t_1 \geq 0, t_2 \geq 0, \dots, t_m \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i = 1$ and $w = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i u_i$ such that

$$w \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_1(u_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

That is,

$$\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad \langle T_Z(w), u_i - w \rangle + \\ f(u_i, w) \in -\text{int}C(w)$$

Since f is affine and $f(w, w) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m t_i [\langle T_Z(w), u_i - w \rangle + f(u_i, w)] \\ \in -\text{int}C(w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \langle T_Z(w), \sum_{i=1}^m t_i u_i - w \rangle + f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_i u_i, w\right) \\ &\quad \in -\text{int}C(w) \\ &\Rightarrow \langle T_Z(w), w - w \rangle + f(w, w) \in -\text{int}C(w) \\ &\quad \Rightarrow 0 \in -\text{int}C(w) \end{aligned}$$

Which is impossible and so $F_1 : K \rightarrow 2^K$ is a KKM mapping.

Step 2 Further, we prove that

$$\bigcap_{y \in K} F_1(y) = \bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y)$$

In fact, if $x \in F_1(y)$, then $\langle T_Z(x), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin \text{int}C(x)$. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know that T_Z is monotone in $C(x)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T_Z(y) - T_Z(x), y - x \rangle \in C \\ &\Rightarrow \langle T_Z(x) - T_Z(y), y - x \rangle \in -C \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T_Z(x), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) - \langle T_Z(y), y - x \rangle \\ &\quad - f(y, x) \in -C \subset -C(x) \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.1, we have

$$\langle T_Z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x)$$

and so $x \in F_2(y)$ for each $y \in K$. That is, $F_1(y) \subset F_2(y)$ and so

$$\bigcap_{y \in K} F_1(y) \subset \bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y)$$

Conversely, suppose that $x \in \bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y)$.

Then

$$\langle T_Z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x), \forall y \in K$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\langle T_Z(x), y -$$

$$x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x), \forall y \in K$$

That is, $x \in \bigcap_{y \in K} F_1(y)$ and so

$$\bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y) \subset \bigcap_{y \in K} F_1(y)$$

which implies that

$$\bigcap_{y \in K} F_1(y) = \bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y)$$

Step 3 Last, we prove that $\bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y) \neq \emptyset$.

Indeed, since F_1 is a KKM mapping, we know that, for any finite set $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset K$, one has

$$\text{co}\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_1(y_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_2(y_i)$$

This shows that F_2 is also a KKM mapping.

Now, we prove that $F_2(y)$ is closed for all $y \in K$. Assume that there exists a net $\{x_\alpha\} \subset F_2(y)$ with $x_\alpha \rightarrow x \in K$. Then

$$\langle T_Z(y), y -$$

$$x_\alpha \rangle + f(y, x_\alpha) \notin -\text{int}C(x_\alpha)$$

Using the definition of \bar{C} , we have

$$\langle T_Z(y), y -$$

$$x_\alpha \rangle + f(y, x_\alpha) \in \bar{C}(x_\alpha)$$

Since f is continuous, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T_Z(y), y - x_\alpha \rangle + f(y, x_\alpha) \\ &\quad \rightarrow \langle T_Z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

Since \bar{C} is upper semicontinuous mapping with close values, by lemma 2.4, we know that \bar{C} is closed, and so

$$\langle T_Z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \in \bar{C}(x)$$

This implies that

$$\langle T_Z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x),$$

and so $F_2(y)$ is closed. Considering the compactness of K and closeness of $F_2(y) \subset K$, we know that $F_2(y)$ is compact. By lemma 2.2, we have $\bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y) \neq \emptyset$, and it follows that $\bigcap_{y \in K} F_1(y) \neq \emptyset$, that is, for each $z \in K$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T(\lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)z), y - x_0 \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin \\ &\quad -\text{int}C(x_0), \text{ for all } y \in K \end{aligned}$$

Thus GVVI is solvable. This completes the proof.

In the above Theorem, K is compact. In the following theorem, under some suitable conditions, we prove a new existence result of solutions for GVVI without the compactness of K .

Theorem 3.3 Let X be a hausdroff topological linear space, $K \subset X$ a nonempty, closed, and convex subset, and $(Y, C(x))$ be an ordered topological vector space with $C(x) \neq \emptyset, \forall x \in K$. Let $f : K \times K \rightarrow Y$ be affine mapping such that $f(x, x) = 0$ for

each $x \in K$. Let $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be hemicontinuous mapping. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) $C \subset \bigcap_{x \in K} C(x) \neq \emptyset$ and T is monotone in C ;
- (ii) $\bar{C} : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is an upper semicontinuous set-valued mapping;
- (iii) there exists a nonempty compact and convex subset D of K and for each $z \in K, \lambda \in (0, 1], x \in K \setminus D$, there exists $y_0 \in D$ such that

$$\langle T(\lambda y_0 + (1 - \lambda)z, y_0 - x) \rangle + f(y_0, x) \in -\text{int}C(y_0)$$

Then for each $z \in K, \lambda \in (0, 1]$, there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that

$$\langle T(\lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)z, y - x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0), \text{ for all } y \in K$$

Proof By Theorem 3.1, we know that the solution set of the problem (ii) in Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to the solution set of following variational inequality: find $x \in K$, such that

$$\langle T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z, y - x) \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x), \forall y \in K$$

For each $z \in K, \lambda \in (0, 1]$; we denote $T_z(x) = T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z)$. Let $G : K \rightarrow 2^D$ be defined as follows:

$$G(y) = \{x \in D : \langle T_z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x)\}, \forall y \in K$$

Obviously, for each $y \in K$,

$$G(y) = \{x \in K : \langle T_z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x)\} \cap D$$

Using the proof of the Theorem 3.2, we obtain that $G(y)$ is a closed subset of D . Considering the compactness of D and closedness of $G(y)$, we know that $G(y)$ is compact.

Now we prove that for any finite set $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset K$, one has $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G(y_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $Y_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{y_i\}$. Since Y is a real Hausdorff topological vector space, for each $y_i \in \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$, $\{y_i\}$ is compact and

convex. Let $N = \text{co}(D \cup Y_n)$. By lemma 2.3, we know that N is a compact and convex subset of K .

Let $F_1, F_2 : N \rightarrow 2^N$ be defined as follows:

$$F_1(y) = \{x \in N : \langle T_z(x), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x)\}, \forall y \in N$$

$$F_2(y) = \{x \in N : \langle T_z(y), y - x \rangle + f(y, x) \notin -\text{int}C(x)\} \forall y \in N$$

Using the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$\bigcap_{y \in N} F_1(y) = \bigcap_{y \in N} F_2(y) \neq \emptyset$$

and so there exists $y_0 \in \bigcap_{y \in N} F_2(y)$.

Next we prove that $y_0 \in D$. In fact, if $y_0 \in K \setminus D$, then the assumption implies that there exists $u \in D$ such that

$$\langle T(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)z, u - y_0) \rangle + f(u, y_0) \in -\text{int}C(u)$$

which contradicts $y_0 \in F_2(u)$ and so $y_0 \in D$.

Since $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset N$ and $G(y_i) = F_2(y_i) \cap D$ for each $y_i \in \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$, it follows that $y_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n G(y_i)$. Thus, for any finite set $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset K$, we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G(y_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Considering the compactness of $G(y)$ for each $y \in K$, we know that there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $x_0 \in \bigcap_{y \in K} G(y) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, the solution set of GSVI is nonempty. This completes the proof.

4. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have considered generalized vector variational inequalities and prove some existence results in the setting of hausdorff topological vector spaces. The results presented in this article can be viewed as generalizations of some known vector variational inequality problems.

REFERENCE

- [1] Y.Q. Chen, "On the semimonotone operator theory and applications", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 231 (1999) 177–192. | [2] D. Goeleven, D. Motreanu, "Eigenvalue and dynamic problems for variational and hemivariational inequalities", Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 3 (1996) 1–21. | [3] A.H. Siddiqi, Q.H. Ansari, K.R. Kazmi, "On nonlinear variational inequalities", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1994) 969–973. | [4] G.J. Hartman, G. Stampacchia, "On some nonlinear elliptic differential functional equations", Acta Math. 115 (1966) 271–310. | [5] R.U. Verma, "On monotone nonlinear variational inequalities problems", Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 39 (1998) 91–98. | [6] R.U. Verma, "On generalized variational inequalities involving relaxed Lipschitz and relaxed monotone operators", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 213 (1997) 387–392. | [7] Y.P. Fang, N.J. Huang, "Variational-like inequalities with generalized monotone mappings in Banach spaces", J. Optim. Theory Appl. 118 (2003) 327–338. | [8] R.W. Cottle, J.C. Yao, "Pseudomonotone complementarity problems in Hilbert spaces", J. Optim. Theory Appl. 78 (1992) 281–295. | [9] N.J. Huang, M.R. Bai, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang, "Generalized nonlinear mixed quasi-variational inequalities", Comput. Math. | [10] F. Giannessi, Theorems of Alternative, Quadratic Programs and Complementarity Problems, Edited by R. W. Cottle, F. Giannessi, and J. L. Lions, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 151–186, 1980. | [11] G. Y. Chen, "Existence of solution for a vector variational inequality; An extension of the Hartman-Stampacchia Theorem", J. Optim. Theory Appl. (74) 1992, 445–456. | [12] B. S. Lee, G. M. Lee, D. S. Kim, "Generalized vector variational-like inequalities on locally convex hausdorff topological vector spaces", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 28(1), 1997, pp. 31–41. | [13] X. Q. Yang, "Vector variational inequality and its duality", Nonlinear Anal. 21, 1993, pp. 869–877. | [14] M. Yu, S. Y. Wang, W. T. Fu, and W. S. Xiao, "On the existence and connectedness of solution sets of vector variational inequalities", Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, 54(2), 2001, pp. 201–215. | [15] R. Ahmad and Z. Khan, "Vector variational-like inequalities with η -generally convex mappings", The Australia and NewZealand Industrial and Applied Mathematics Journal, 49, 2007, pp. 33–46. | [16] Y. P. Fang, N. J. Huang, "Strong vector variational inequalities in Banach spaces", Appl. Math. Lett. 19(4), 2006, pp. 362–368. | [17] B. S. Lee, M. F. Khan, Salahuddin, "Generalized vector variational-type inequalities", Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 55(6), 2008, pp. 1164–1169. | [18] K. Fan, "Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems", Mathematische Annalen, 266(4), pp. 519–537, 1984. | [19] A. E. Taylor, "An Introduction to Functional Analysis", John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1963. | [20] J. P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, "Applied Nonlinear Analysis", Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1984.