



“A STUDY OF INTERVENTIONS FOR ADOLESCENT EMERGENCY PATIENTS WHO SCREEN POSITIVE FOR SUICIDE RISK”

Psychiatry

Dr. Imran Shivji Consultant Psychiatrist, Chandrapur.

Dr. Pranay Gandhi Assistant professor in community medicine, GMC, Chandrapur.

ABSTRACT

This randomized trial examined the effectiveness of Teen Options for Change (TOC), an intervention for adolescents seeking medical emergency services who screen positive for suicide risk.

Materials and Methods:

Participants were 49 adolescents, ages 14 to 19, seeking services for non-psychiatric chief complaints who screened positive for suicide risk due to recent suicidal ideation/attempt and/or depression plus alcohol abuse. They were randomly assigned to TOC or enhanced treatment-as-usual. Depression, hopelessness, and suicidal ideation were assessed at baseline and two-month follow-up.

Results:

Adolescents assigned to TOC showed greater reductions in depression than adolescents assigned to the comparison group (Cohen's $d = 1.07$; large effect size). Hopelessness, suicidal ideation and alcohol outcomes trended positively (nonsignificantly) with small to moderate effect sizes.

Conclusion:

TOC is a promising, brief intervention for adolescents seeking emergency services who are at risk for suicide.

KEYWORDS:

nonurgent paediatric emergency department attendance

Introduction:

Suicide is the 3rd leading cause of death among adolescents between the ages of 14-19 in the United States.¹ Moreover, nationally representative data reveal that, in the past year, 16% of high school students had serious thoughts of attempting suicide and 8% made a suicide attempt.²

Fortunately, previous research has documented the feasibility and potential utility of youth suicide risk screening in the medical emergency department.³ Although few studies have empirically examined emergency department interventions for adolescents at elevated risk for suicide, these studies support the feasibility and potential benefit of brief interventions in this setting.

This pilot randomized controlled trial examined the feasibility and short-term efficacy of Teen Options for Change (TOC), an intervention designed for adolescents who present to the emergency department and screen positive for suicide risk, but who are not at acute high risk and in need of psychiatric hospitalization. We focused on adolescents with non-psychiatric chief complaints because those with psychiatric chief complaints received a suicide risk evaluation and mental health intervention or referral as routine care at the participating hospital. Our goal was to reach adolescents for whom this did not routinely occur. We defined a positive screen for suicide risk as a recent suicide attempt or suicidal ideation, or as co-occurring depression and alcohol or drug misuse. Consistent with other interventions that incorporate motivational interviewing,⁴ TOC is based on the self-determination theory of self-regulation and change,⁵ with a focus on adolescents' values, goals, and options for behavioral change.

We compared TOC with enhanced treatment-as-usual to obtain information about the feasibility of the TOC study trial (recruitment, randomization, retention), and to examine TOC's short-term effectiveness. We hypothesized that adolescents randomized to TOC would report lower rates of depression, hopelessness, and suicidal ideation when assessed two months after their emergency department visit.

Materials and methods:

Participants were 49 adolescents who met study inclusion criteria, which included: being 14 to 19 years of age; having a positive suicide risk screen, defined as suicidal ideation, a recent suicide attempt or positive screens for both depression and alcohol or drug abuse (measures described below); presenting with a non-psychiatric chief complaint; and exclusion criteria of a level one trauma (critically ill, medically unstable), significant cognitive impairment (unable to complete self-report screen), or disposition of psychiatric

hospitalization. Descriptive information on the full sample of adolescents screened and a CONSORT diagram are included in the online appendix.

Suicidal ideation and recent suicide attempt history were assessed with two questions adapted from the Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS): 6 recent suicidal ideation (past two weeks), or a recent suicide attempt (past month). The 15-item Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire – Junior (SIQ-JR)⁷ was used to measure the nature and frequency of suicidal thoughts over the past month. Sample items and psychometric properties for the SIQ-JR and all study measures are included in the online appendix.

Results:

The ages of adolescent participants (80% female) ranged from 14 to 19 years, ($M = 17.7 \pm 1.7$). The racial distribution was: 57% ($n = 28$) African American, 39% ($n = 19$) Caucasian, 4% ($n = 2$) American Indian or Alaska Native, 2% ($n = 1$) Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 2% ($n = 1$) Hispanic, and 2% ($n = 1$) Other. These percentages do not sum to 100% because some adolescents self-identified as bi-racial.

Of the 49 adolescents who screened positive for elevated suicide risk, 35% ($n = 17$) were due to recent suicidal ideation or a recent suicide attempt, and 53% ($n = 26$) reported current depressive symptoms with comorbid alcohol and/or drug abuse. A total of six participants (12%) screened positive for both criteria. Seven of the participants (14%) made a suicide attempt within the past month.

Of the 27 adolescents who were randomized to TOC, 23 adolescents participated in the full intervention (personalized feedback + adapted motivational interview); four participants did not participate in the interview because they were discharged and chose to leave the hospital before the interview could be completed. Adolescents' behavior change goals primarily related to personal growth and improvement (70%), academic achievement or school attendance (15%), and increased independence (15%). Goals related to personal growth and improvement ranged from improving the use of natural supports (20%; e.g., friends, family) to engaging more in favored or new hobbies/activities (17%) to getting professional help (25%). Specific examples include “getting up at set time [8 a.m.] in the morning” and “[increase] physical activity.”

Forty-six of 49 participants (94%) completed the 2-month follow-up measures. Retention analyses were not conducted because only three adolescents were lost to follow-up. These three adolescents (two African American, one Caucasian) had a mean age of 17.92 ± 1.66 . Mental health service utilization following emergency department

visits did not differ between groups. Seven adolescents in the TOC group (29%) and eight adolescents in the treatment as usual group (36%) received some type of mental health service during the two-months following their visit.

Discussion:

In this pilot randomized clinical trial, adolescents in the Teen Options for Change (TOC) intervention reported significantly greater reductions in depressive symptoms than adolescents in the enhanced treatment-as-usual group. This finding suggests that a brief emergency department-based intervention, incorporating personalized feedback and an adaptive motivational interview, may be beneficial to adolescents who screen positive for suicide risk. Although further research is needed, particularly due to the limited sample size in this study, such a reduction in depression, in addition to the medium effect size for reducing hopelessness, suggests that a focus on positive behavioral activation and change has a beneficial impact on adolescents at risk, even within a short period of time.

TOC is a brief intervention that takes advantage of the adolescents' presence in an emergency setting where parents are often present and wait times provide an opportunity for assessment and intervention. Its feasibility of implementation was evidenced in this study by the high levels of patient participation and retention, and by the IRB waiver of required parental consent for adolescents' participation in the emergency setting. Moreover, adolescents who use emergency services as their usual source of health care often have fewer financial resources and report higher rates of substance use, physical health problems, and mental health problems.¹⁴ Therefore, utilizing the emergency department may allow for a greater number of at-risk adolescents to be reached.

TOC was not associated with increased treatment seeking. Evidence regarding effective interventions aimed at reducing suicidal thoughts and behaviors is very limited. In a meta-analysis by Corcoran and colleagues, 12 evidence points towards slight decreases in suicidal and self-harm events at posttest for intervention participants when compared to a control group; however, this positive trend is not evident at later follow-ups. This suggests that the minimal impact on suicidal ideation found in this study may not be maintained over time. For adolescents at elevated suicide risk who report high levels of suicidal ideation, it may be important to expand TOC to include therapeutic approaches that specifically target the suicidal ideation.

There are several limitations to this study. The sample was recruited from one hospital's emergency department in a relatively underserved, low-income community, and it is unclear whether these findings would apply to a larger, more representative population of adolescents. Similarly, all recruitment occurred during afternoon and evening shifts, and adolescents who seek emergency services at other times may differ in some important way that impacts intervention effectiveness. Another limitation is the preliminary nature of this study, its relatively small sample size of 49 adolescents, and the absence of patient satisfaction data. Finally, although the consent rate was very high for follow-up assessments (n = 46; 94%), two months is a relatively short follow-up period and the sustained or delayed effects of TOC are unknown. We also did not have information to disaggregate the effective components of TOC, which included personalized feedback, an adapted motivational interview and a follow-up note.

Tables:

TABLE 1: Baseline to Follow-up Comparisons of Clinical Descriptive Data

Clinical Variables	M	SD	M	SD	p	Cohen's d
Depression						
Baseline	28.32	3.4	27.25	4.2	.354	1.07
Follow-Up	30.87	4.0	25.38	4.7*	<.001	
Hopelessness						
Baseline	8.79	5.7	7.94	4.6	.580	0.40
Follow-Up	8.64	5.7	5.66	5.2	.070	
Suicidal Ideation						
Baseline	29.40	24.6	31.02	19.6	.805	0.22
Follow-Up	24.28	17.3	21.46	17.4	.584	
Alcohol Use						
Baseline	5.05	7.5	5.17	6.3	.953	0.19
Follow-Up	5.95	7.7	4.71	3.9	.526	

Depression is measured by the RADS-2-SF; possible scores range from 10 to 40, with higher scores indicating more severe depressive symptoms. Hopelessness is measured by the BHS; possible scores range from 0 to 20, with higher scores indicating greater hopelessness; Suicidal Ideation is measured by the SIQ-JR; possible scores range from 0 to 90, with higher scores indicating more suicidal ideation; Alcohol use is measured by the AUDIT; possible scores range from 0 to 40, with higher scores indicating greater alcohol use.

aMeans were compared by independent sample t-tests.

References:

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [July 8, 2013]; Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) 2013 <http://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.html>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance -United States, 2011. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2012;61
- King CA, O'Mara RM, Hayward CN, et al. Adolescent suicide risk screening in the emergency department. *Academic Emergency Medicine*. 2009;16:1234-1241.
- Burke BL, Arkowitz H, Dunn C. In: The efficacy of motivational interviewing; in *Motivational interviewing: preparing people for change*. 2nd edition Miller WR, Rollnick S, editors. Guilford Press; New York, NY: 2002.
- Ryan RM, Deci EL. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*. 2000;55:68-78.
- Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, et al. The Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale: initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2011;168:1266-1277.
- Reynolds WM. Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire: professional manual. Psychological Assessment Resources; Odessa, FL: 1988.
- Reynolds WM. Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale-2nd Edition: Short Form (RADS-2:SF) Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc.; Lutz, FL: 2008.
- Beck AT, Steer RA. Beck Hopelessness Scale manual. Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1988.
- Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, et al. Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol consumption--II. *Addiction*. 1993;88:791-804.
- Knight JR, Sherritt L, Shrier LA, et al. Validity of the CRAFFT substance abuse screening test among adolescent clinic patients. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*. 2002;156:607-614.
- Corcoran J, Dattalo P, Crowley M, et al. A systematic review of psychosocial interventions for suicidal adolescents. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2011;33:2112-2118.
- Monti PM, Barnett NP, O'Leary TA, et al. In: *Motivational enhancement for alcohol-involved adolescents; in Adolescents, alcohol, and substance abuse: reaching teens through brief interventions*. Monti PM, Colby SM, O'Leary TA, editors. Guilford Press; New York, NY: 2001.
- Wilson KM, Klein JD. Adolescents who use the emergency department as their usual source of care. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*. 2000;154:361-365.
- Asarnow JR, Baraff LJ, Berk M, et al. An emergency department intervention for linking pediatric suicidal patients to follow-up mental health treatment. *Psychiatric Services*. 2011;62:1303-1309