

## STUDY OF BIOCHEMICAL AND BEHAVIOURAL FACTORS IN OBESE AND OVERWEIGHT INDIVIDUALS IN HEALTH CHECK UP CONDUCTED AT SHREE KRISHNA HOSPITAL, KARAMSAD



### Medicine

**Dr.Irfan Y. Nagori** GMERS MEDICAL COLLEGE, GOTRI

### ABSTRACT

**INTRODUCTION:** Obesity is a complex condition involving biological, psychological, socio cultural aspect. This exploratory study is aimed to offer insight into the psychological causative factors of obesity.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS:** This prospective cross-sectional case-control study was conducted at Shree Krishna Hospital from January to October 2013. Individuals >18 years and BMI > 23 were cases. BMI of < 23 were taken as controls. 63 cases and 49 control were evaluated for their behavioural profile as per the Performa and Questionnaires

**RESULT AND ANALYSIS:** Biochemical profile of the patients revealed that Normal, Preobese and Obese had no significant difference in their biochemistry. Psychological profile of the patients studied revealed that 80% of patients had their Locus of food control External, 28% had mild stress, 8% had severe depression, and 14.2% were Impulsive. Statistical analysis revealed that there was no statistical correlation between BMI and Biochemical and Psychological parameters.

**CONCLUSION:** the psychological abnormalities should precede biochemical, anthropometric abnormalities. Keyword: obesity, psychological.

### KEYWORDS:

obesity, psychological.

### INTRODUCTION:

Obesity is a complex condition involving biological, psychological, socio cultural. Important psychological factors influencing obesity are impulsivity, locus of food control and stress. Results suggest that overweight and obese person has higher level of Urgency, lack of Perseverance and sensitivity to Reward. A tendency to act impulsively is associated with a tendency to overeat. The rate of obesity also increases with age upto 50 or 60 years. Once considered a problem only of high-income countries, obesity rates are rising worldwide. These increases have been felt most dramatically in urban setting.<sup>1</sup>

It is proposed to study these three parameters along with biochemical parameters in obese individuals attending our hospital with the help of standard tools available for their assessment. This exploratory study is aimed to offer insight into the psychological causative factors of obesity.

### AIMS AND OBJECTIVES:

1. To study biochemical profile of obese and overweight individuals.
2. To study behavioural aspects associated with obesity in these individuals, viz. Locus of food control, impulsivity and stress levels in these individuals.
3. To study any association amongst these parameters.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

#### Study Design

- This prospective cross-sectional case-control study was conducted at Shree Krishna Hospital and Pramukhswami Medical College after an approval from the Human Research and Ethics Committee from January to October 2013. Recruitment was done from those individuals volunteering for Executive Health Check up scheme.

#### Inclusion criteria

- All individuals with age >18 years and BMI > 23 were included in the study as cases.
- Individuals with BMI of < 23, that is normal BMI, were taken as controls.

#### Exclusion Criteria

- Individuals suffering from Diabetes Mellitus, Hypertension, Ischemic Heart disease, Respiratory disease, Hepatic or Renal impairment.

The study was carried out from obtaining a written permission from the institutional HREC. After obtaining the written consent, individuals were enrolled and a detailed evaluation was carried out. Detailed clinical and laboratory evaluation of all the individuals was done and BMI calculated. 63 obese/overweight individuals and 49 normal weight individuals (control subjects) were evaluated for their behavioural profile as per the Questionnaires:

- General Health Questionnaire-12
- Barratt Impulsiveness Scale-11
- Dieting Belief Scale (Stotland & Zuroff)

### Clinical evaluation

A detailed medical history of the patient including symptomatology, details of any past illnesses, occupation, family history, addictions (alcohol or smoking) and co morbid illness were obtained. A complete physical and cardiovascular examination was performed. Blood pressure was measured with a mercury sphygmomanometer. Each patient's anthropometrical measurements and laboratory investigations of FBS, Lipid profile and Serum creatinine were obtained. The behavioural profile of each patient was evaluated with the help of three standard Performa/questionnaires as mentioned above.

### Statistical Analysis

Stratification was done according to their anthropometric, laboratory parameters, normal or abnormal and according to their behavioural profile, which were compared in between the groups. This was followed by the cross tabulation with Chi-Square tests, which is used to check the dependency and attributes between the two variables in a study. Lastly, we have applied Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to check for any significant difference between the different levels in different groups for anthropometric, biochemical and behavioural parameters. In each statistical test we have calculated the test value and the corresponding p-value, which was considered significant if it was less than 0.05.

### RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

#### Demographic Results

Out of 112 patients studied, 49 (43.8%) had Normal BMI, 22 (19.6%) were Preobese and 41 (36.6%) were Obese. This implies that more than 50 % were either Obese or Preobese. Mean age of population with Normal BMI is 45.62 years, of Preobese population is 49.97 years and of Obese population is 50.95 years. Mean age of the people from obese group is more than Preobese than Normal group of population. The study population was comprised of 58% males and 42% females who were part of Executive Health Check-up programme at Shree Krishna Hospital, Karamsad.

**Age Distribution according to BMI:** The incidence of obesity in the age group 20 to 30 years was 25 %, 30 to 40 years was 38.9%, 40 to 50 years was 26.4%, 50 to 60 years was 38.4% and more than 60 years was 54.5%. **Thus, incidence of obesity significantly increased with Age.** 53.5 % of the total population of study was between the age group of 40 to 60 years out of which 17% were obese while 19.7% were less than 40 years and of which 9% were obese and 26.8% were more than 60 years of age, of which 19.6% were obese.

**Age Distribution according to Gender:** Out of 58% males, 31% were between the age groups of 40-60 years while 23.2% were in the

age group of >60 years, and that of <40 years was 16%. Out of 42% females, 22.3% were between the age groups of 40-60 years while 8.9% were in the age group of >60 years, and that of <40 years was 11.6%.

**Waist circumference:** Males: The average waist circumference in Normal, Preobese and Obese individuals was 77.35 ± 5.83, 81.87 ± 6.05 and 89.05± 7.18 cm, respectively. Females: The average waist circumference in Normal, Preobese and Obese individuals was 75.55 ± 4.40, 79.83 ± 5.95 and 89.39± 9.49 cm, respectively. These differences are significant.

**Addiction:** 21.4% of the study population had addictions, of which 12.5% were alcoholics while 8.9% were smokers. There was no correlation between the addiction with either the laboratory parameters or the behavioural scores. The fisher exact test p value of individuals with addictions was 0.18, 0.78 and 0.8 for GHQ, BIS, DBS, respectively, all suggesting no correlation.

**Laboratory parameters**

**Distribution according to FBS:** Out of 112 patients, 20 patients had FBS more than 110 mg/dl, of which 5 were in Normal and Preobese group and 10 in Obese group. Thus, 25% of obese individuals had sugar more than 110 mg/dl. But, the difference between all the three groups was statistically insignificant.

**Distribution according to Triglycerides:** No significant difference in Serum TG was found while comparing the three groups. No significant difference due to gender was present. In this study population, the average TG levels were higher in obese group than the others. Males had higher levels of TG than the females.

**Distribution according to HDL levels:** 20.5% of the study population had HDL less than 35 mg/dl, of which 10.7% were obese while 25.9% had normal HDL. No significant difference in Serum HDL levels was found while comparing the three groups. No significant difference due to gender was present.

**Distribution according to LDL:** The levels of LDL were found to be higher in Preobese and obese males than in females of the same group. However, the difference was not significant. No significant difference due to gender was present.

**Table 1: distribution acc to GHQ & BMI**

GHQ	Normal	Preobese	Obese	Total
< 15	40	13	22	75
15-20	8	6	14	28
>20	1	3	5	9
Total	49	22	41	112

**Table 2: GHQ according to age**

Age (in years)	GHQ	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	>60	Total
<15	9	10	27	19	10	75	
15-20	3	6	7	4	8	28	
>20	0	2	0	3	4	9	
Total	12	18	34	26	22	112	

The average score of the General Health Questionnaire 12 of the Normal, Preobese and obese group was 11.12 ± 3.68, 14.27 ± 5.65 and 13.00 ± 5.92, respectively. The average GHQ score of the Preobese was higher than the obese. Within the Obese individuals, the score was higher in obese females than the males of the same group. 25% of the study population was having a score 15 to 20 suggestive of evidence of some psychological distress, out of which 12.5% were Obese. 8% of the total population had a score of more than 20 suggestive of severe psychological distress, of which 4.46% were obese. The GHQ score was higher in population with age group of more than 60 years and slightly higher in age group 30 to 40 years.

- **There was a weak positive correlation between GHQ score and age (p value <0.05), suggesting that as age increases, the level of stress increases. No correlation between GHQ score and BMI.**

**Table 3 :DBS according to BMI**

AGE BMI	NORMAL	PRE OBESE	OBESE	TOTAL
<74	41	20	33	94
>74	8	2	8	18
TOTAL	49	22	41	112

**Locus of Food Control:** 83.9% of the study population had Internal locus of food control and 16.1% had External locus of food control. Out of those who had Internal locus of food control, 29.5%, 17.9% and 36.6% were Obese, Preobese and Normal, respectively. 25% of the population with internal locus of food control were in the age group of 40 to 50 years, while 20.5% in 50 to 60 years and 16.1% were in age more than 60 years. 16.1% had External locus of food control, of which 7.1% were obese. 5.35% of the population with internal locus of food control were in the age group of 40 to 50 years, while 2.7% in 50 to 60 years and 3.6% were in age more than 60 years. There was no significant correlation between Dieting belief scale with age or BMI.

**Table 4: BIS-11 score according to BMI**

BMI BIS-11	NORMAL	PRE OBESE	OBESE	TOTAL
<52	7	1	4	12
52-72	35	13	21	69
>72	7	8	16	31
TOTAL	49	22	41	112

The mean score of normal weight group was 62.55, while that of Preobese was 67.23 and that of the obese group was 65.52. 27.7% of the study population were found to be Impulsive, out of which 51.6 % were obese. 61.6 % were found to be with Normal Impulsiveness, out of which 30.4% were Obese. 10.7% were found to be extremely over controlled, out of which 20% were Obese.

**BIS-11 score according to age group:** The mean BIS score was found to be higher in population with age group less than 40 years. There was no significant correlation between BIS scale with age or BMI.

**Correlation of GHQ score with laboratory and other behavioural parameters**

There was no significant correlation found between GHQ score/stress levels with the laboratory parameters. There was a weak positive correlation of GHQ score with BIS score (p value < 0.05). There was no correlation between the Diet belief scale with all the laboratory parameters and with the other behavioural factor scores.

**Correlation of BIS score with laboratory and other behavioural parameters**

The average Cholesterol and TG levels were higher in the group with DBS more than 72, suggesting impulsive behaviour, than in other groups, also the average GHQ score was higher in impulsive people. There was no significant association between the Barratt impulsiveness scale and all the laboratory parameters There was a weak positive correlation between BIS scale and GHQ score (p value < 0.05) suggesting that with increase in the impulsiveness score, the stress level score increases.

**Discussion:**

**A: Age, Gender and BMI**

Literature says that the prevalence of obesity was higher in females in developing countries due to their sedentary lifestyle. Also, the age standardised prevalence of overweight was higher in women, than in men in many developing countries including China, Iran and Srilanka. Male sex is an independent risk factor for development of coronary heart disease and it forms the non modifiable risk factor.<sup>1</sup>

The average BMI in our study was 25.55, while that of the male population was 25.5 and females was 25.6. The average BMI of males was slightly higher than in the females except for the age group between 50 to 60 years, where BMI in females was higher than males.

**Table 5: Prevalence of Obesity (%)**

Author & year	Male	Female
Gupta et al. 2003	21.8	44
Misra et al. 2001	13.3	15.6
Gupta et al. 2004	25.6	44
Prabhakaran et al.2005	35	43
Deepa et al.2007	43.2	47.4
<b>Our study</b>	<b>19.6</b>	<b>16.9</b>

In our study, males were 58% while the females were 42%. Out of 58% males, 31% were between the age groups of 40 to 60 years while 23.2% were in the age group of more than 60 years, and that of less than 40 years was 16%. And out of 42% females, 22.3% were between the age groups of 40-60 years while 8.9% were in the age group of more than 60 years, and that of less than 40 years was 11.6%. This suggests that the older age population had participated in the study more than the younger age group; this can be explained by the fact that as age advances, they are liable to get many diseases. Also, there was no correlation between the waist circumference and all the biochemical or behavioural factors.

**Smoking and BMI:** Only 8.92% of the study had addiction for Smoking, of which half were Preobese. Smoking was done only by males in this study. There was no significant correlation, positive or negative, in our study between smoking and the biochemical and behavioural parameters (p value was more than 0.05). WHO data on tobacco usage in Gujarat is 25% for both, tobacco chewing and smoking.<sup>2</sup>

**Family history:** 25.9% of the population in study had family history of Hypertension, Diabetes Mellitus type 2 or Ischemic Heart Disease, of which 15% were Obese. Sande et al in 2001 noticed that those with a family history of obesity had a higher BMI and were at increased risk of obesity. A family history of hypertension, obesity, diabetes, or stroke was a significant risk factor for obesity and dyslipidemia, as there was a strong correlation between family history and . And with increase of age, more pathological manifestations can develop in this high-risk group.<sup>3</sup>

But in our study, there was no such correlation between family history and BMI/biochemical parameters. This could be due to smaller size of the population in the study. Demography and the ethnicity of the study population were different from our study. Also, there was no correlation between the family history and the behavioural factors in the study (Pearson coefficient value- 0.37, 0.57 and 0.63 for GHQ, BIS and DBS, respectively).

#### B. Biochemical Parameters:

In this study, the FBS, serum triglyceride, cholesterol and LDL were slightly higher in Preobese and Obese than the Normal subjects. But there was no statistically significant correlation of these laboratory parameters and the groups. Also when the population was divided according to each of the laboratory, anthropological parameters or the behavioural factors, there was no significant correlation between them. A study in urban Kerala reported 32% prevalence during 1999, and two studies from Andhra Pradesh published in 2002 reported 18.5% and 31% prevalence of hypercholesterolemia respectively.

Prasad et al in June 2013 conducted a study in Eastern India and noticed that compared to the WHO standard cut-off criteria of overweight [BMI  $\geq 25$  kg/m(2)] and obesity [BMI  $>30$  kg/m(2)], the cardio metabolic risk factors studied showed a significant incremental rise even with the lower cut-offs of the revised Asia-Pacific criteria. Older age, female gender, family history of diabetes, being hypertensive, hypertriglyceridemia, hypercholesterolemia, physical inactivity and middle to higher socioeconomic status significantly contributed to increased obesity risk among this urban population.<sup>4</sup>

#### Correlations between different parameters –

**1. BMI with FBS and Lipids:** An Indian study done in Karnataka by Vittal B.G and colleagues in 2010 did a study of **Body Mass Index in Healthy Individuals and Its Relationship with Fasting Blood Sugar** noticed a positive correlation was observed (Pearson's correlation coefficient  $r = +0.26$ ) between BMI and FBS. There was a stepwise increase in the magnitude of BMI with an increase in age in

decades. Although the increase in mean FBS was observed with age, a statistically significant ( $p = 0.00093$ ) increase in mean FBS was observed only in the 4th decade of life.<sup>5</sup>

Another study conducted in Karnataka by Itgappa Maliyannar and colleagues on 2012 to find out any Correlation between Body Mass Index with Fasting Blood Sugar and Lipid Profile in Young Adult College Students of South Indian Population observed that compared to male and female the fasting blood sugar, Cholesterol, LDL and triglycerides levels are higher and significant in female than male. This result indicates females are more prone to develop cardiovascular diseases.<sup>6</sup>

Another study conducted by Raju G.M. And colleagues to study the relation of BMI with Fasting Blood Sugar and Triglycerides Level in Healthy Young Adult Medical Students also showed that females and males having over weighted and the fasting blood sugar and triglycerides are more in females than males. The coefficient of correlation between FBS and BMI was 0.41 and that between BMI and TG was 0.41, with p value of  $<0.015$ , i.e., significant correlation was observed between both the variables.<sup>7</sup>

In our study, there was no positive correlation between BMI with any of the laboratory parameters.

**2. FBS with Lipid profile:** In our study, there was no correlation between FBS and Lipid profile.

**Table 6:**

FBS	Pearson correlation value			
	Cholesterol	TG	LDL	HDL
	0.21	0.25	0.19	-0.1

**3. Age with FBS and lipid profile:** Hardev Singh Sandhu and colleague in 2008 compared the relation between age group with lipid profile and the results indicated that in females, statistically negatively significant correlation ( $r = -0.26$ ) was found in LDL – C in age group 41 – 50 years and in males of the same age group, positively significant correlations were noted in serum cholesterol ( $r = 0.48$ ), LDL – C ( $r = 0.35$ ) and in triglyceride ( $r = 0.35$ ) and also in age group 61+ years positively significant correlations were found in males between waist to hip ratio and triglyceride ( $r = 0.60$ ).<sup>8</sup>

Yamamoto K and colleagues that age is a predictor for an increase in glycated hemoglobin, FBS, body fat percentage, and triglyceride concentration were not unexpected as the prevalence of obesity and being overweight was observed to increase according to an increase in age among the population of 20 to 69 years-olds for both men and women.<sup>10</sup>

The Pearson coefficient of correlation calculated in our study was –

**Table 7:**

Age	Pearson correlation value				
	FBS	Chol.	TG	LDL	HDL
	0.18	0.12	0.1	0.04	0.11

This suggests that in our study, there was no significant correlation between Age with FBS and the lipid profile. The p value was not significant.

**4. Smoking with FBS and Lipid Profile:** It is a known fact that smoking causes dyslipidemia.

Sinha AK and colleagues in 1995 conducted a study in 40 healthy young male Cigarette smokers and 40 age and weight matched male non-smokers, to find out the difference in the serum lipid profiles of both the groups. The mean serum total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were significantly higher in smokers ( $p < 0.05$ ) whereas mean serum HDL- Cholesterol was significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ). Mean triglyceride was significantly higher in smokers than in non-smokers ( $p < 0.01$ ). There was less rise of HDL cholesterol in smokers as compared to that in non-smoker and in LDL-cholesterol in smokers compared to non-smokers in fed state.<sup>11</sup>

A study conducted by Khurana M in 2000 in Jaipur showed that High density lipoprotein-cholesterol was lower both in smoker ( $P < 0.01$ )

and in tobacco chewers ( $P < 0.001$ ) than the controls. Both smokers and tobacco chewers had higher values of total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, very low density lipoprotein-cholesterol and triglycerides as compared to non-smoker, non-tobacco chewer group.<sup>12</sup>

But in our study, there was no such correlation between these two biochemical parameters with the smoking. This might be due to less number of participants who were smoker than the non smokers.

**2. Correlation between BMI with the behavioural parameters: GHQ, BIS and DBS:**

A study done by Kazuhiko Yamamoto in 2007 to see the relationship of stress score with BMI and various biochemical parameters found that stress intolerance score was significantly associated with body fat percentage among men, while it was significantly associated with body weight, BMI, and body fat percentage among women. There was a linear relationship between the stress intolerance score and body fat percentage among men ( $p 0.015$ ). There was also a linear relationship between the stress intolerance score and body weight or BMI or body fat percentage among women ( $p 0.003$ ,  $p 0.002$  and  $p 0.017$ , respectively). But here the stress score used was IMPS and not GHQ.<sup>10</sup>

There was no study available for comparison.

**Table 8: Correlation of BMI with behavioural parameters**

<b>BIS</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	<b>.123</b>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.198
	N	112
<b>DBS</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	<b>.085</b>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.371
	N	112
<b>GHQ</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	<b>.183</b>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.053
	N	112

**9. Correlation between Age with the behavioural parameters: GHQ, BIS and DBS**

**Table 9:**

<b>AGE</b>		<b>GHQ</b>	<b>DBS</b>	<b>BIS</b>
<b>GHQ</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	1	-.237(*)	.468(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.012	.000
	N	112	112	112
<b>DBS</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	-.237(*)	1	-.044
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.012		.646
	N	112	112	112
<b>BIS</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	.468(**)	-.044	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.646	
	N	112	112	112

In our study we have observed that there was a weak positive correlation between Age and GHQ suggesting that the stress level increases as the age advances.

**7. Interrelationship of GHQ, DBS and BIS:** There was no data or study available regarding the interrelationship between these behavioural factors for comparison.

**Table 10:**

		<b>BIS</b>	<b>GHQ</b>	<b>DBS</b>
<b>BIS</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.468(**)</b>	<b>-.044</b>
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.646
	N	112	112	112
<b>GHQ</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	<b>.468(**)</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-.237(*)</b>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.012
	N	112	112	112
<b>DBS</b>	<b>Pearson Correlation</b>	<b>-.044</b>	<b>-.237(*)</b>	<b>1</b>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.646	.012	
	N	112	112	112

In our study there was a weak positive correlation between the Barratt impulsiveness score with the GHQ score (Pearson correlation 0.468). Also we noticed a weak negative correlation between the GHQ score with the DBS score (Pearson correlation -0.237).

**Characteristics of Obese individuals**

**1. Age distribution:** Out of 41 obese persons, 29% were of age more than 60 years while 24%, 22% and 25% were in the age group of 50 to 60 years, 40 to 50 years and less than 40 years respectively. It is known that as the age advances the BMI increases, this is due to the sedentary life of the elder population.

**2. Gender distribution:** In this study, out of 41 obese, 22 (53.6%) were male while 19 (46.4%) were females. In this study, male obese were found to be more in numbers than the females.

This suggests higher prevalence of Obesity in developing countries like India. Many studies and survey have shown higher prevalence of Obesity in Urban population than in the rural population.

**3. Mean biochemical values:**

**Table 11:**

<b>Obese</b>	<b>FBS</b>	<b>CHOL.</b>	<b>TG</b>	<b>HDL</b>	<b>LDL</b>
<b>Male</b>	108.5 ± 26.67	181.5 ± 12.2	134.64 ± 56.32	39.27 ± 10.98	114.95 ± 21.66
<b>Female</b>	111.58 ± 27.54	181.4 ± 14.5	134 ± 84.42	50.10 ± 16.36	104.43 ± 25.45
<b>Mean</b>	109.93 ± 26.78	181.45 ± 13.4	134.34 ± 69.81	44.29 ± 14.61	110.07 ± 23.79

The mean FBS was slightly higher in the females than in the males in the obese group. This suggests that the females had higher tendency to develop diabetes mellitus or impaired glucose tolerance than the males. The HDL levels were found to be higher in the females than in the males. Also the LDL levels were higher in males than in females. This can suggest higher risk of male population to develop any cardiovascular event than in the females, in this study.

**4. Psychological score:**

**Table 12:**

<b>Obese</b>	<b>GHQ</b>	<b>DBS</b>	<b>BIS</b>
<b>Male</b>	12 ± 5.61	68.77 ± 7.928	64.90 ± 11.4
<b>Female</b>	14.16 ± 6.21	64.89 ± 7.608	66.15 ± 8.8
<b>Mean</b>	13 ± 5.92	66.98 ± 7.929	65.52 ± 10.2

In the Obese group of population, the average score of the GHQ and BIS score was higher in females than in males, while the DBS, the score was higher in males, suggesting that in this study, the females had more impulsive behaviour than the males. And the females had higher stress levels than the males. Also the males had more of external locus of food control than the females.

The observations in the Obese subgroup of patients reveal that apart from age related increase in stress levels, no significant abnormality was observed in biochemical or psychological profile.

**Conclusions**

1. There is a higher incidence of obesity in this study.
  2. Lipid abnormalities were observed in 36.61 % of patients. Its correlation with Age, Gender and BMI was found to be non-significant.
  3. There was no correlation of BMI with FBS, Age, Gender, and Lipid profile.
  4. There was no correlation of Smoking with biochemical/ psychological parameters.
  5. There was no correlation of BMI and gender with any of the three psychological parameters.
  6. There was mild correlation between age and GHQ indicating that stress level increases with age.
  7. There was no correlation between abnormalities of any psychological parameter in the patients studied and analysed for three different psychological parameters.
- Thus, this study shows no association physical, biochemical and psychological parameters in normal, pre obese and overweight individuals who volunteer for health check-up.**
8. Among those who had abnormal biochemical profile there was no significant difference in their physical and psychological profile.
  9. There was no difference in physical and biochemical profile in those who had abnormal psychological profile.
  10. The incidence of individuals having abnormal physical profile

was 36.6%, those having abnormal lipid profile were 25%, abnormal FBS was 17.86% and those having abnormal psychological profile were 8.04% for GHQ, 16.07% for DBS and 27.68% in BIS 11 score and as described above no consistent patterns of association were observed among each other.

11. There is no definable abnormality (biochemical and psychological) in very obese individuals.
12. 80% of the patients had Locus of Internal Food control while 20 % had locus of food control.

#### REFERENCES.

1. WHO: Obesity and Overweight. Fact Sheet No. 311, [http:// www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/index.html).
2. Gupta R, Gupta VP, Sarma M, et al. Prevalence of coronary heart disease and risk factors in an urban Indian population: Jaipur Heart Watch-2. *Indian Heart J* 2002; 54(1):59-66.
3. Van der Sande et al. Family history: an opportunity for early interventions and improved control of hypertension, obesity and diabetes. *Bulletin of the WHO*, 2001, 79: 321–328.
4. Prasad DS, Kabir Z, Dash AK, Das BC. Effect of obesity on cardiometabolic risk factors in Asian Indians. *J Cardiovasc Dis Res*. 2013 Jun;4(2):116-22.
5. Vittal B.G, Praveen G, Deepak P. A study of body mass index in healthy individuals and its relationship with fasting blood sugar. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research* [serial online] 2010 December [cited: 2013 Oct 30]; 4:3421-3424.
6. Itgappa Maliyannar, C.R. VasudevaMurthy, K Siddappa, M Raju G, Patil Rajeshri S. Correlation Between Body Mass Index With Fasting Blood Sugar and Lipid Profile in Young Adult College Students of South Indian Population. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*: 2012, Volume: 3: 59-64.
7. G.M. Raju, Vijayanath V., M.R. Anith. Relation of Body Mass Index with Fasting Blood Sugar and Triglycerides Level in Healthy Young Adult Medical. *International Journal of Medical Toxicology and Forensic Medicine*. Vol 2, No 1 (winter) (2012)
8. H.Sandhu, S Koley, K S Sandhu. A Study of Correlation between Lipid Profile and Waist to Hip Ratios in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus. *Kamla-Raj 2008 Anthropologist*, 10(3): 215-218 (2008).
9. Gary TC Ko , Henden PS Wai, Joyc e SF Tang . Effects of Age on Plasma Glucose Levels in Non-diabetic Hong Kong Chinese. *Croatian Medical Journal*: 2006 October; 47(5):709-713. Kazuhiko Yamamoto, Okazaki, Ohmori. The Relationship between Psychosocial Stress, Age, BMI, CRP, Lifestyle, and the Metabolic Syndrome in Apparently Healthy Subjects. *J Physiol Anthropol* 30(1): 15–22, 2011.
10. Sinha AK, Misra GC, Patel DK. Effect of cigarette smoking on lipid profile in the young. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 1995 Mar; 43(3):185-8.
11. Khurana M , Sharma D, Khandelwal PD. Lipid profile in smokers and tobacco chewers--a comparative study. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2000 Sep; 48(9):895-7.
12. Yamamoto K , Irie M, Sakamoto Y, Ohmori S, Yoshinari M. The Relationship between IMPS-measured Stress Score and Biomedical Parameters Regarding Health Status among Public School Workers. *J Physiol Anthropol*. 2007 Mar;26(2):149-58.