



Incidence Of Myoclonus On Induction With Etomidate And Etomidate With Rocuronium As Priming Agent-A Comparative Study.

Anaesthesiology

DR.KALUVALA PRASAD RAO DEPARTMENT OF ANAESTHESIOLOGY AND INTENSIVE CARE, NIZAMS INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA -500082

DR. KANITHI GEETA DEPARTMENT OF ANAESTHESIOLOGY AND INTENSIVE CARE, NIZAMS INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA -500082

DR.TANWIN KHAN DEPARTMENT OF ANAESTHESIOLOGY AND INTENSIVE CARE, NIZAMS INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA -500082

DR. PRAVEENA DEPARTMENT OF ANAESTHESIOLOGY AND INTENSIVE CARE, NIZAMS INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA -500082

PROF.DILIP KUMAR KULKARNI DEPARTMENT OF ANAESTHESIOLOGY AND INTENSIVE CARE, NIZAMS INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA -500082

PROF.GOPINATH RAMCHANDRAN DEPARTMENT OF ANAESTHESIOLOGY AND INTENSIVE CARE, NIZAMS INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA -500082

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND AIMS:-

The comparative study of etomidate on induction and Etomidate with rocuronium as priming agent during general anesthesia was studied to compare for the following aims:-

1. Incidence of myoclonus.
2. Pre-operative /intra-operative / post-operative hemodynamic changes (HR/BP/MAP).
3. Any incidence of complications.

And in turn assess the role and usefulness of etomidate in clinical practice as induction agent in general anesthesia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:-

This study was conducted in two groups in 50 trauma patients in the age group of 20-50 years of ASA-1 status who weighed between 40-70kgs and were of height 5'3" to 5'8" after pre loading with 1 liter of ringer lactate. A sterile 16G IV cannula placed on left or right dorsum of hand, etomidate 0.3-0.6mg/kg/ body weight , rocuronium 0.6-0.8 mg/kg/ body weight, glycopyrolate 0.1-0.2mg , fentanyl 1-2 mcg/kg/ body weight, Boyles anesthesia machine, airway equipment and standard monitoring intraoperatively.

RESULTS:-

Incidence of myoclonus was 100% in group-1 patients where etomidate 0.3-0.6mg/kg/body weight was given prior to rocuronium and nil in group-2 patients, where a priming dose of 5mg of total calculated dose of rocuronium was given before the induction dose of etomidate and followed by injecting the rest of calculated dose of rocuronium.

The hemodynamic/cardiovascular stability was good in both the groups on induction and throughout the surgery except for transient rise of HR/BP/MAP in group-1 patients on induction.

CONCLUSION:-

As hemodynamic stability is seen to be good with etomidate on induction and its main side effect myoclonus can be counteracted with use of priming dose of rocuronium, It is prudent to use etomidate in trauma patients where patients have bled profusely and are unstable hemodynamically being taken up for emergency surgeries.

KEYWORDS:

Etomidate, Rocuronium, General Anaesthesia, Boyles Machine, Airway equipment.

INTRODUCTION:-

Etomidate is a short acting intravenous anesthetic agent used for the induction of general anesthesia and sedation for short procedures such as reduction of dislocated joints, emergency tracheal intubation and cardioversion.

It was developed as Janssen pharmaceutica in 1964 and was introduced as an intravenous agent in 1972 in Europe and in 1983 in United States. Etomidate has a rapid onset of action and a safe cardiovascular risk profile and therefore is less likely to cause a significant drop in blood pressure than other induction agents.

Etomidate is often used because of its easy dosing profile, limited suppression of ventilation, lack of histamine liberation, and protection from myocardial and cerebral ischemia. Thus etomidate is good induction agent for patients who are hemodynamically unstable.

Though with such good properties, etomidate was seldom used in clinical practice for its high incidence rate of myoclonus on induction. Recently many study papers have pointed out that inspite of the high

incidence of its side effects myoclonus, etomidate is still a good choice for its cardiovascular stability properties and should be routinely used in clinical practice especially in trauma patients and unstable patients taken up on emergency basis.

We, at Nizams Institute of Medical Sciences- Hyderabad have studied and found that the side effect myoclonus of etomidate can be avoided by giving a priming dose of rocuronium (i.e., 5mg of the calculated dose) before giving etomidate for induction.

Our study revealed nil incidence of the side effect myoclonus of etomidate , on use of priming dose of rocuronium.

MATERIAL / METHODS And TECHNIQUE:-

The study was conducted in 50 trauma patients at Nizams Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad after obtaining prior permission of the institute ethics committee and informed consent of every patient in the study and their near blood relatives.

Detailed history and clinical examination was undertaken in all the

patients such that patients with systemic disease as hypertension, diabetes mellitus , neurological problems were excluded and the patients of only ASA-1 and MPG-1 and 2 status were included in the study.

All the patients were investigated for complete blood picture, urine analysis, electrocardiography, renal function test, chest X-ray to rule out any organic disease and respiratory abnormality. Patients selected were in the age group of 20-50 years, weighed between 40-70kgs and of height between 5'3" – 5'8".

Patients were allocated to two groups comprising of 25 patients each.

Boyles working station, circuits, airway equipment were checked prior to beginning of each case with standard monitoring.

All patients pre-op haemodynamics like HR/BP/MAP were noted prior to start of general anesthesia.

IN Group-1 :-

General Anesthesia was given by rapid sequence method in the following way

- Preoxygenation for 5 min
- Inj. Glycopyrolate 0.1-0.2mg
- Inj. Fentanyl 1-2 mcg/kg/ body weight
- Inj. Etomidate 0.3-0.6 mg/kg/ body weight over 60 seconds
- Appropriate cricoid pressure applied.
- Inj. Rocuronium- 0.6-0.8 mg/kg/ body weight.

Airway secured after 60 seconds with appropriate size endotracheal tube with cricoid pressure for any untoward aspiration and ventilation resumed with 6-7 mg/kg/ body weight tidal volume (VT) with O2 + Air

mixture of 2:2 liters.

Hemodynamic parameters like HR/ BP/ MAP were noted every minute for 5 minutes for study purpose .

Later the case was managed with O2 :N2O of 2:2 L + sevoflurane 2% and Atracurium + fentanyl infusion of 1mg:1mcg ratio throughout the surgery.

INGROUP2:-

Patients were anesthetized with standard monitoring in the following way after noting pre op hemodynamics like HR/ BP/ MAP-

- Pre oxygenation for 5 min
- Inj. Glycopyrolate- 0.1- 0.2 mg
- Inj. Fentanyl 1- 2 mcg/kg body/ weight
- Inj. Rocuronium - 5mg of the total calculated dose for the patient body weight.
- Inj. Etomidate - 0.3- 0.6 mg /kg/ body weight over 60 sec .
- Appropriate cricoid pressure applied .
- Inj. Rocuronium - 0.6- 0.8 mg/kg body weight remaining dose

Airway secured after 60 seconds with appropriate size endotracheal tube with cricoid pressure and ventilation resumed with 6-7 mg/kg/ body weight tidal volume (VT) with O2 + Air mixture of 2:2 liter.

Hemodynamic parameters like HR/ BP/ MAP were noted every minute for 5 minutes for study purpose.

Later the cases were managed with O2 :N2O: sevoflurane of 2L:2 L:2% and atracurium + fentanyl infusion of 1mg:1mcg ratio throughout the surgery.

**OBSERVATION AND RESULTS :-
IN GROUP 1 PATIENTS-**

| s.no | Type of surgery | Preophae modynami cs | | | Inciden ce of myoclo nus on inducti on with etomid ate | Haemodynamic changes noted on induction with etomidate every minute for 5 minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|----------------------|--------|------|--|---|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|
| | | HR | BP | MAP | | 1minute | | | 2minute | | | 3minute | | | 4minute | | | 5minute | | |
| | | | | | | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP |
| 1 | Degloving injury lt upperlimb with # humerus compound | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 12 sec | 88 | 100/70 | 80 | 82 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 78 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 82 | 120/70 | 86.6 |
| 2 | BB # left leg with vascular injury with fungal infection on patient back | 96 | 130/80 | 96.6 | Appreciated for 10 sec | 90 | 110/80 | 90 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 94 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 90 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 |
| 3 | Crush injury right hand | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 15 sec | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 76 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 84 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 84 | 120/70 | 86.6 |
| 4 | BB # rt forearm | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 8 sec | 100 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 96 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 5 | # lt humerus + bb # | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 10 sec | 96 | 130/70 | 90 | 95 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 80 | 120/70 | 86.6 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|-----|--------|-------|------------------------|-----|---------|-------|-----|---------|-------|-----|--------|-------|----|--------|-------|-----|--------|-------|
| 6 | Degloving injury rt upperlimb with #humerus | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 12 sec | 88 | 130/70 | 90 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 78 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 75 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 82 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 7 | Degloving injury abdomen | 110 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 10 sec | 100 | 130/70 | 90 | 95 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 85 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 8 | Crush injury lt hand for groin flap | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 15 sec | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 80 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 9 | Blunt injury abdomen | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Appreciated for 8 sec | 90 | 140/100 | 113.3 | 90 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 82 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 10 | Crush injury Index finger | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 | Appreciated for 14 sec | 92 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 90 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 86 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 11 | Degloving injury rt upperlimb with humerus #,LD flap,ext fixation | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 14 sec | 92 | 130/70 | 90 | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 12 | Blunt injury abdomen | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 8 sec | 90 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 84 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 86 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 80 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 80 | 120/70 | 86.6 |
| 13 | #BB rt forearm | 96 | 130/70 | 90 | Appreciated for 10 sec | 110 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 100 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 96 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 92 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 92 | 130/80 | 96.6 |
| 14 | Crush injury rt hand | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 6 sec | 96 | 130/70 | 90 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 15 | #BB lt forearm | 92 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Appreciated for 10 sec | 100 | 150/100 | 116.6 | 100 | 140/100 | 113.3 | 95 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 86 | 130/90 | 103.3 |
| 16 | Blunt injury abdomen | 110 | 100/70 | 80 | Appreciated for 8 sec | 110 | 110/90 | 96.6 | 100 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 96 | 120/90 | 100 | 98 | 110/90 | 96.6 | 100 | 110/90 | 96.6 |
| 17 | Polytrauma with blunt injury abdomen | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 12 sec | 90 | 120/90 | 100 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 86 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 80 | 110/90 | 83.3 |
| 18 | Compound # humerus lt upperlimb | 88 | 130/70 | 90 | Appreciated for 14 sec | 92 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 96 | 130/70 | 90 | 98 | 130/70 | 90 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 19 | Crush injury left leg with blunt injury abdomen | 110 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 7 sec | 115 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 112 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 100 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 20 | Compound # BB forearm | 92 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Appreciated for 12 sec | 100 | 130/100 | 110 | 100 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 90 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 88 | 130/80 | 96.6 |
| 21 | Crush injury rt hand | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Appreciated for 8 sec | 92 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 90 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 88 | 110/80 | 90 | 86 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------|-------|------------------------|-----|--------|-------|-----|--------|-------|-----|--------|-------|-----|--------|-------|----|--------|-------|
| 22 | Degloving injury abdomen | 98 | 100/70 | 80 | Appreciated for 12 sec | 110 | 110/60 | 76.6 | 110 | 110/60 | 76.6 | 102 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 23 | Compound #BB lt forearm | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Appreciated for 16 sec | 94 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 96 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 90 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 |
| 24 | Crush injury rt hand | 96 | 140/100 | 113.3 | Appreciated for 7 sec | 100 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 96 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 100 | 130/70 | 90 | 98 | 130/70 | 90 |
| 25 | Blunt injury abdomen | 92 | 140/90 | 106.6 | Appreciated for 8 sec | 100 | 150/90 | 110 | 98 | 130/70 | 103.3 | 100 | 130/70 | 90 | 100 | 130/70 | 90 | 96 | 130/70 | 90 |

IN GROUP-2 PATIENTS-

| GROUP 2 PATIENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------|---------|-------|--|---|---------|-------|----------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-------|
| S no | Type of surgery | Preophaemodynamics | | | Incidence of myoclonus on induction with etomidate | Haemodynamic changes noted on induction with etomidate every minute for 5 minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | HR | BP | MAP | | 1 minute | | | 2 minute | | | 3 minute | | | 4 minute | | | 5 minute | | |
| | | | | | | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP | HR | BP | MAP |
| 1 | Crush injury lt hand | 110 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 110 | 130/70 | 90 | 100 | 120/90 | 100 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 2 | Blunt injury abdomen | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Not seen | 100 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 90 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 3 | Crush injury rt hand | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 96 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 4 | # compound BB lt forearm | 88 | 130/70 | 90 | Not seen | 90 | 130/70 | 90 | 94 | 130/70 | 90 | 92 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 88 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 84 | 120/80 | 93.3 |
| 5 | Crush injury rt hand | 82 | 140/90 | 106.6 | Not seen | 90 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 92 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 92 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 90 | 130/90 | 103.3 |
| 6 | # compound BB rt forearm | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 92 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 86 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 7 | Compound # rt humerus | 92 | 130/80 | 96.6 | Not seen | 100 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 96 | 120/90 | 100 | 100 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 96 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 8 | Crush injury rt hand | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 90 | 120/90 | 100 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 9 | Blunt injury abdomen | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Not seen | 96 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 94 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 96 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 90 | 130/70 | 90 | 90 | 130/70 | 90 |
| 10 | Degloving injury upper limb | 92 | 140/100 | 113.3 | Not seen | 100 | 150/100 | 116.6 | 98 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 96 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 90 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 92 | 130/90 | 103.3 |
| 11 | Depressed # frontal bone with CSF rhinorrhoea | 96 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Not seen | 100 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 100 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 98 | 130/90 | 90 | 96 | 130/70 | 90 | 92 | 130/70 | 90 |
| 12 | Rt wrist cut injury | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 90 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 13 | Blunt injury abdomen | 78 | 100/70 | 80 | Not seen | 84 | 110/90 | 96.6 | 90 | 110/90 | 96.6 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 14 | Crush injury lt hand | 86 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Not seen | 90 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 88 | 140/80 | 100 | 92 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 96 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 92 | 130/90 | 103.3 |
| 15 | Above elbow amputation for crush injury left upperlimb | 92 | 140/70 | 93.3 | Not seen | 100 | 130/70 | 90 | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 90 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 86 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 84 | 110/70 | 83.3 |
| 16 | Blunt injury abdomen | 110 | 100/70 | 80 | Not seen | 108 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 100 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 96 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 94 | 110/70 | 83.3 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----|--------|-------|----------|-----|---------|-------|-----|--------|-------|-----|---------|-------|-----|--------|-------|----|--------|-------|
| 17 | Degloving injury with humerus #+BB forearm rt upperlimb | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Not seen | 100 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 96 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 100 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 92 | 130/70 | 90 |
| 18 | Blunt injury abdomen | 96 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 100 | 110/80 | 90 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 110/80 | 90 | 88 | 110/80 | 90 |
| 19 | Polytrauma with diaphragmatic hernia | 110 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Not seen | 110 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 108 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 102 | 130/100 | 110 | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 96 | 130/90 | 103.3 |
| 20 | Scalp avulsion injury | 90 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 94 | 120/90 | 100 | 90 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 90 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 |
| 21 | Blunt injury abdomen | 110 | 130/70 | 90 | Not seen | 108 | 140/90 | 106.6 | 100 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 98 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 92 | 120/90 | 100 | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 |
| 22 | Compound # humerus with brachial artery injury rt upper limb | 96 | 110/60 | 76.6 | Not seen | 100 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 98 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 88 | 130/70 | 90 | 86 | 120/60 | 80 | 88 | 120/60 | 80 |
| 23 | Lt wrist cut injury | 88 | 120/70 | 86.6 | Not seen | 90 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 92 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 88 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 92 | 120/80 | 93.3 | 90 | 120/80 | 93.3 |
| 24 | Compound # BB forearm rt upper limb | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | Not seen | 90 | 120/70 | 86.6 | 88 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 92 | 110/70 | 83.3 | 86 | 110/80 | 90 | 82 | 110/80 | 90 |
| 25 | Crush injury rt hand | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 | Not seen | 92 | 130/100 | 110 | 88 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 84 | 130/90 | 103.3 | 80 | 130/80 | 96.6 | 82 | 130/80 | 96.6 |

COMPLICATIONS:-

Nil in both the groups.

DISCUSSION:-

The study was conducted in 50 selected patients undergoing surgeries for trauma and were allotted to two groups of 25 patients each.

In group-1-->patients were given etomidate 0.3-0.6mg/kg/ body weight as induction agent and in group-2 --> patients received priming dose of rocuronium (5mg) prior to etomidate for induction followed by rest of the calculated dose of rocuronium 0.6-0.8mg/kg/ body weight.

The mean age of the patients studied in both the groups were same (37 years) such that age was not a criteria for any variation of the parameters studied and as well the type of surgeries were almost identical in both the groups.

Mean height and mean weight were also identical in both the groups and there was no variation in dosing of the drugs.

Etomidate which is an imidazole has the most favorable therapeutic index for single bolus administration as an induction agent in general anesthesia.

Though etomidate is known to be a cardiovascular stable agent on induction, the main concern of this drug always has been its two side effects I.e. myoclonus on induction and suppression of adrenal cortisol synthesis.

Our main aim of this study was to observe whether that the myoclonus side effect could be shunned and avoided by the priming dose of rocuronium(5mg) prior to induction by etomidate.

We also advice that the etomidate should be used cautiously or avoided in sepsis patients coming for emergency surgeries for its another troublesome side effect I.e cortisol suppression.

Apart from these, etomidate is hemodynamically stable drug on induction and the myoclonus side effect can be avoided by the use of priming dose of rocuronium prior to induction with etomidate.

CONCLUSION:-

This study was done to evaluate the hemodynamic stability of etomidate and avoidance of the side effect myoclonus on induction.

We conclude that the incidence of the myoclonus was nil in our study when a priming dose of rocuronium (5mg) was given prior to induction with etomidate (0.3-0.6mg/kg body/ weight) followed by remaining calculated dose of rocuronium(0.6-0.8mg/kg/body weight).

We also reiterate that etomidate is a cardiovascular stable induction agent and should be routinely used in trauma and hemodynamically unstable patients who come on emergency basis for surgery.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:-

We sincerely thank Mr. Myla Lakshmana Rao and Mr. Pabbala Srinivas Rao anesthesia technicians at our institute- Nizams Institute of Medical Sciences- Hyderabad for their help and support in completion of this study.

We also thank and appreciate the assistance of Theatre Sisters-Mrs.Dasari Kamala Kumari, Mrs.Jilla Nagarani, Ms.Koyada Prema Latha and Theatre Staff- Mr.Pamballa Mahender, Md.Siraj, Mrs.Hazra begum and Mrs.Panja Sridevi in the conduct of the study.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND SPONSERSHIP:-

Nil

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:-

There are no conflicts of interest

REFERENCES:-

- Vinson DR, Bradbury DR (June 2002). "Etomidate for procedural sedation in emergency medicine". *Ann Emerg Med.* 39 (6): 592–8. doi:10.1067/mem.2002.123695. PMID 12023700.
- Bergen, JM; Smith, DC (1998). "A review of etomidate for rapid sequence intubation in the emergency department". *J Emerg Med.* 15 (2): 221–230. doi:10.1016/S0736-4679(96)00350-2. PMID 9144065.
- Di Liddo, L; D'Angelo, A; Nguyen, B; Bailey, B; Amre, D; Stanciu, C (2006). "Etomidate versus midazolam for procedural sedation in pediatric outpatients: a randomized controlled trial.". *Ann Emerg Med.* 48 (4): 433–440. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2006.03.004. PMID 16997680.
- Miner, JR; Danahy, M; Moch, A; Biros, M (2007). "Randomized clinical trial of etomidate versus propofol for procedural sedation in the emergency department.". *Ann Emerg Med.* 49 (1): 15–22. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2006.06.042. PMID 16997421.
- Sivilotti, ML; Filbin, MF; Murray, HE; Slator, P; Walls, RM; Near, Investigators (2003). "Does the sedative agent facilitate emergency rapid sequence intubation?". *Acad Emerg Med.* 10 (6): 612–620. doi:10.1197/aemj.10.6.612. PMID 12782521.
- Hohl, CM; Kelly-Smith, CH; Yeung, TC; Sweet, DD; Doyle-Waters, MM; Schulzer, M (2010). "The effect of a bolus dose of etomidate on cortisol levels, mortality, and health services utilization: a systematic review". *Ann Emerg Med.* 56 (2): 105–113. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2010.01.030. PMID 20346542.
- Zed, PJ; Abu-Laban, RB; Harrison, DW. (2006). "Intubating conditions and hemodynamic effects of etomidate for rapid sequence intubation in the emergency department: an observational cohort study". *Acad Emerg Med.* 13 (4): 378–83. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2006.tb00313.x. PMID 16531603.
- Sokolove, PE; Price, DD; Okada, P. (2000). "The safety of etomidate for emergency

- rapid sequence intubation of pediatric patients". *PediatrEmerg Care*. 16 (1): 18–21. doi:10.1097/00006565-200002000-00005. PMID 10698137.
9. Walls, RM; Murphy, MF; Schneider, RE (2000). "Manual of emergency airway management".
 10. Marx, J (2002). "Rosen's emergency medicine: concepts and clinical practice".
 11. Wadbrook, PS (2000). "Advances in airway pharmacology. Emerging trends and evolving controversy". *Emerg Med Clin North Am*. 18 (4): 767–788. doi:10.1016/S0733-8627(05)70158-9. PMID 11130938.
 12. Yeung, JK; Zed, PJ (2002). "A review of etomidate for rapid sequence intubation in the emergency department". *CJEM*. 4 (3): 194–198. PMID 17609005.
 13. Gu, WJ; Wang, F; Tang, L; Liu, JC (Sep 25, 2014). "Single-Dose Etomidate Does Not Increase Mortality in Patients with Sepsis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials and Observational Studies.". *Chest*. 147 (2): 335. doi:10.1378/chest.14-1012. PMID 25255427.
 14. Jones-Gotman, M; Sziklas, V; Djordjevic, J (2009). "Intracarotidamobarbital procedure and etomidate speech and memory test". *Can J Neurol Sci*. 36 Suppl 2: S51–4. PMID 19760903.
 15. Jones-Gotman, M; Sziklas, V; Djordjevic, J; Dubeau, F; Gotman, J; Angle, M; Tampieri, D; Olivier, A; Andermann, F (2005). "Etomidate speech and memory test (eSAM): a new drug and improved intracarotid procedure". *Neurology*. 65 (11): 1723–1729. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000187975.78433.cb. PMID 16344513.
 16. Patel, Akta; Wordell, Cindy; Szarlej, Dorota (2011). "Alternatives to sodium amobarbital in the Wada test". *Ann Pharmacother*. 45 (3): 395–401. doi:10.1345/aph.1P476. PMID 21325100.
 17. Wagner, RL; White, PF; Kan, PB; Rosenthal, MH; Feldman, D. (1984). "Inhibition of adrenal steroidogenesis by the anesthetic etomidate". *N Engl J Med*. 310 (22): 1415–21. doi:10.1056/NEJM198405313102202. PMID 6325910.
 18. Archambault, P; Dionne, CE; Lortie, G; LeBlanc, F; Rioux, A; Larouche, G (September 2012). "Adrenal inhibition following a single dose of etomidate in intubated traumatic brain injury victims.". *CJEM*. 14 (5): 270–82. PMID 22967694.
 19. Ledingham, IM; Watt, I (1983). "Influence of sedation in critically ill multiple trauma patients". *Lancet*. 1 (8336): 1270. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(83)92712-5. PMID 6134053.
 20. Morris, C; McAllister, C (2005). "Etomidate for emergency anaesthesia; mad, bad and dangerous to know?". *Anaesthesia*. 60 (8): 737–740. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.2005.04325.x. PMID 16029220.
 21. Jackson, WL (2005). "Should we use etomidate as an induction agent for endotracheal intubation in patients with septic shock? A critical appraisal". *Chest*. 127 (3): 1031–1038. doi:10.1378/chest.127.3.1031. PMID 15764790.
 22. Annane, D; Sebille, V; Bellissant, E (2006). "Exploring the role of etomidate in septic shock and acute respiratory distress syndrome". *Crit Care Med*. 34 (6): 1858–1859. doi:10.1097/01.ccm.0000220048.38438.40.
 23. Cuthbertson, BH; Sprung, CL; Annane, D; Chevret, S; Garfield, M; Goodman, S; Laterre, PF; Vincent, JL; et al. (2009). "The effects of etomidate on adrenal responsiveness and mortality in patients with septic shock". *Intensive Care Med*. 35 (1): 1868–1876. doi:10.1007/s00134-009-1603-4. PMID 19652948.
 24. Murray, H; Marik, PE (2005). "Etomidate for endotracheal intubation in sepsis: acknowledging the good while accepting the bad". *Chest*. 127 (3): 707–709. doi:10.1378/chest.127.3.707. PMID 15764747.
 25. Jabre, P; Combes, X; Lapostolle, F; Dhaouadi, M; Ricard-Hibon, A; Vivien, B; Bertrand, L; Beltrami, A; et al. (2009). "Etomidate versus ketamine for rapid sequence intubation in acutely ill patients: a multicentre randomized controlled trial". *Lancet*. 374 (9686): 293–300. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60949-1. PMID 19573904.
 26. Den Brinker, M; Joosten, KF; Liem, O; de Jong, FH; Hop, WC; Hazelzet, JA; van Dijk, M; Hokken-Koelega, AC. (2005). "Adrenal insufficiency in meningococcal sepsis: bioavailable cortisol levels and impact of interleukin-6 levels and intubation with etomidate on adrenal function and mortality". *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 90 (9): 5110–7. doi:10.1210/je.2005-1107. PMID 15985474.
 27. Schulz-Stubner, S (2005). "Sedation in traumatic brain injury: Avoid etomidate". *Crit Care Med*. 33 (11): 2723. doi:10.1097/01.ccm.0000187093.71107.a8.
 28. Stuttmann, R; Allolio, B; Becker, A (1988). "etomidate versus etomidate and hydrocortisone for anesthesia induction in abdominal surgical interventions". *Anaesthesist*. 37 (9): 576–582.
 29. Komatsu, R; You, J; Mascha, EJ; Sessler, DI; Kasuya, Y; Turan, A (December 2013). "Anesthetic induction with etomidate, rather than propofol, is associated with increased 30-day mortality and cardiovascular morbidity after noncardiac surgery.". *Anesthesia and Analgesia*. 117 (6): 1329–37. doi:10.1213/ANE.0b013e318299a516. PMID 24257383.
 30. Daniell, Harry (2008). "Opioid and benzodiazepine contributions to etomidate-associated adrenal insufficiency". *Intensive Care Medicine*. 34 (11): 2117–8. doi:10.1007/s00134-008-1264-8.
 31. Daniell, HW (2008). "Opioid contribution to decreased cortisol levels in critical care patients". *Arch Surg*. 143 (12): 1147–1148. doi:10.1001/archsurg.143.12.1147. PMID 19075164.
 32. Vanlersberghe, C; Camu, F (2008). "Etomidate and other non-barbiturates". *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology. 182 (182): 267–82. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74806-9_13. ISBN 978-3-540-72813-9. PMID 18175096.
 33. Drexler, B; Jurd, R; Rudolph, U; Antkowiak, B (2009). "Distinct actions of etomidate and propofol at beta3-containing gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptors". *Neuropharmacology*. 57 (4): 446–55. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2009.06.014. PMID 19555700.
 34. Chiara DC, Dostalova Z, Jayakar SS, Zhou X, Miller KW, Cohen JB (2012). "Mapping general anesthetic binding site(s) in human $\alpha 1\beta 3$ γ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors with [³H]TDBzl-etomidate, a photoreactive etomidate analogue". *Biochemistry*. 51 (4): 836–47. doi:10.1021/bi201772m. PMC 3274767
 35. Servin, Frédérique S.; Sear, John W. (2011). "Chapter 27. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous anesthetics". In Evers, Alex S.; Maze, Mervyn; Kharasch, Evan D. *Anesthetic Pharmacology: Basic Principles and Clinical Practice* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
 36. Tomlin, Sarah L.; Jenkins, Andrew; Lieb, William R.; Franks, Nicholas P. (1998). "Stereoselective Effects of Etomidate Optical Isomers on Gamma-aminobutyric Acid Type A Receptors and Animals". *Anesthesiology*. 88 (3): 708–717. doi:10.1097/0000542-199803000-00022. PMID 9523815.