ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER
VOLUME-6 | ISSUE-5 | MAY - 2017 | ISSN No 2277 - 8179 | IF : 4.176 | IC Value : 78.46
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Retrospective study of Totally Extraperitoneal Inguinal Hernia Repair: Fixation Versus No Fixation of Mesh

General Surgery

Dr Mahendra H Goswami Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, GCS Medical college Hospital and Research centre, Ahmedabad, Gujarat

Dr Vidhyasagar M Sharma Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, GCS Medical college Hospital and Research centre, Ahmedabad, Gujarat

ABSTRACT

Background: Fixation of the mesh during laparoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair is thought to be necessary to prevent recurrence. However, mesh fixation may increase postoperative pain and lead to an increased risk of complications. We questioned whether elimination of mesh fixation during TEP inguinal hernia repair leads to decreased postoperative pain or complications, or both, without an increased rate of recurrence.

Methods: A retrospective study was carried out in 40 patients who underwent laparoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair during january 2013 till december 2015 with or without mesh fixation.

Results: Patients in whom the mesh was not fixed had shorter hospital length of stay (8.3 vs 16.0 hours), used less postoperative analgesia, and were less likely to develop urinary retention. No significant differences occurred in the level of pain, time to return to normal activity, or the difficulty of the operation between the two groups. No hernia recurrences were observed in either group (follow-up range, 6 to 24 months, median=17).

Conclusions: Elimination of tack fixation of mesh during laparoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair significantly reduces the use of postoperative analgesia, length of stay in hospital, and the development of postoperative urinary retention without significant reduction in postoperative pain or increased recurrence rate.

KEYWORDS:

Hernia, TEP, Laparoscopic

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 15% of all inguinal hernias are repaired endoscopically, primarily in a preperitoneal fashion (totally extraperitoneal=TEP) in which the hernia defect is covered with a prosthetic mesh that is fixed to the abdominal wall with spiral tacks, clips, or sutures. The need for fixation of the mesh is controversial. Some have suggested that fixation of mesh during endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair is necessary to prevent hernia recurrence.[1] However, fixation of the mesh is thought to contribute to increased postoperative pain and the risk of nerve injury. Nerve injury has been estimated to occur in 2% to 4% of laparoscopic inguinal hernia repairs with the most commonly injured nerves being the femoral branch of the genitofemoral nerve and the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve.[2] The purpose of this study was to determine whether elimination of tacking the mesh during endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair results in decreased postoperative pain or complications, or both, without increasing the incidence of hernia recurrence.

METHODS

Patients:

This study was retrospective gathering details of all patients operated by TEP either using tacks for fixation or without tacking during January 2013 till December 2015. All males between the ages of 18 and 70 years of age undergoing TEP inguinal hernia repair were eligible. Exclusion criteria included patients had a history of radical prostatectomy or low anterior colon/rectal resection, or those who underwent TURP in same sitting. Forty patients were enrolled in the study. Patients were divided into 2 groups: endoscopic preperitoneal inguinal hernia repair with or without tacking of the mesh prosthesis.

Surgical Technique:

TEP endoscopic inguinal hernia repairs were performed with the patient under general anesthesia by using a midline, 3-trocar technique. Polypropylene mesh of appropriate size to cover the entire myopectineal orifice including the hernia defect(s) was used. The group A with mesh fixation had 4 to 5 spiral tacks used to fix the mesh. While patients in whom mesh was not tacked were assigned group B.

Pre- and Postoperative Assessment:

Patients' level of pain, pain medications administered, and length of stay in the Post Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU) was obtained from the medical records. Patients records were assessed for pain levels, activity levels, and the use of pain medications upon return to their hospital rooms, immediately before discharge, and at 1, 4, and 12 months postoperatively. The use of pain medications was categorized according to the number of doses of parenteral narcotics, oral narcotics, or oral non-narcotic pain medicines.

RESULTS:

Group A=tacks, Group B=no tacks and follow-up were complete in all 40 male subjects **(Table 1)**.Rest 8 patients who couldn't be completely followed up were excluded. Group A patients had higher levels of pain throughout the postoperative course with the exception of the first hour spent on the hospital floor **(Table 2)**. Level of pain experienced by patients postoperatively was correlated with the use of postoperative narcotic analgesia. Additionally, patients in whom mesh was not fixed (Group B) used significantly less postoperative narcotic analgesia in the immediate postoperative period compared with patients in whom mesh was fixed. While the use of postoperative narcotics by Group B patients was also decreased during the first hour on the hospital floor and at discharge compared with Group A patients.

Table 1. Patient Demographics

	Fixed Mesh (n=20) avg (years)	Nonfixed Mesh (n=20) avg (years)
Age	56.3	54.6
BMI	27.0	27.2
Total Hernias	26	27
Indirect	10 (39.0)	12 (44.4)
Direct	13 (50.0)	12 (44.4)
Pantaloon	3 (11.0)	2 (7.4)
Femoral	0 (0.0)	1 (3.7)

Table 2. Perioperative Data

	Fixed Mesh (n=20)	Nonfixed Mesh (n=20)
Operative Time (min)	66.3±26.1	60.9±20.0
Hospital Length of Stay (hrs)	16.0±11.6	8.3±5.2
Admitted to Hospital	10 (50.0)	2 (10.0)
Pain (likert scale)		
Enter PACU	1.9±2.3	1.1±1.6
Leave PACU	2.3±1.7	1.6±1.6
1stHr on Floor	2.8±1.5	2.9±2.2
Prior to Discharge	1.8±1.6	1.4±1.2
1 Wk Postop	1.5±1.3	1.2±1.0
4 Wks Postop	0.8±1.7	0.3±0.8
Postop Narcotic Use		
PACU	2.9±5.1	0.1±0.6
1stHr on Floor	1.0±2.7	0.9±2.2
Prior to Discharge	4.5±9.7	2.4±4.6
Urinary Retention	7 (35.0)	1 (5.0)

Group B patients experienced reduced hospital length of stay compared with Group A patients (Table 2). Group B patients had a mean hospital length of stay of 8.3±5.2 hours compared with 16.0±11.6 hours in Group A patients . Admission for observation was related to nausea/vomiting (n=1), urinary retention (n=1) (Group B) and urinary retention (n=7), nausea/vomiting (n=3) (Group A). No significant difference existed in the amount of intra- or postoperative intravenous fluid administered to either group of patients.

No difference was noted in the time to return to normal activity with lifting restrictions between the 2 groups. None of the patients in either group returned to normal activity at one week. Twelve out of 20 (60%) Group A patients returned to normal activity with lifting restrictions at 4 weeks compared with 16 out of 20 (80%) Group B patients.

Long-term follow-up (range, 6 to 24 months; median 17months) information was available on 40 patients for recurrence and pain. No recurrences or nerve injuries were reported. Three out of 20 (15%) Group A patients reported mild pain at last follow-up, while 5 out of 18 (28%) Group B patients reported pain at last follow-up.

DISCUSSION

The necessity of fixing mesh to prevent recurrence of hernias following endoscopic preperitoneal inguinal hernia repair is controversial. Our results suggest that endoscopic preperitoneal inguinal hernia repair without mesh fixation does not appear to increase the incidence of hernia recurrence. Endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair without mesh fixation leads to decreased hospital stays and fewer admissions for observation compared with TEP with mesh fixation to the abdominal wall.

Our results corroborate the results of others showing that inguinal hernia repair without mesh fixation is a safe alternative. Ferzli et al3 conducted a randomized, prospective study comparing endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair with or without fixation of mesh and found that no increased incidence of recurrence occurred and that elimination of mesh fixation resulted in a savings.Khajanchee et al4 conducted a retrospective review of 172 endoscopic inguinal hernia repairs of which 105 were performed with fixation of the mesh, and 67 were performed without mesh fixation and found no increased risk of recurrence in the group in which the mesh was not fixed and that fixing the mesh was associated with an increased risk of neuropathic complications. As TEP without fixation may not be appropriate in everyone, we support the recommendation of Lau and Patil5 that mesh fixation should be used in patients with larger hernial defects.

Avoiding tacks when repairing small to medium indirect inguinal hernias and smaller direct defects seems logical. One of the most surprising results from our study was that elimination of mesh fixation significantly decreased the incidence of postoperative urinary retention. We believe that at least 2 possible explanations explain this result. First, eliminating fixation of the mesh might lead to decreased postoperative pain. Lau and Patil[5] conducted a case-control study comparing endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair with and without mesh fixation and found that postoperative pain levels upon coughing were decreased in patients in whom the mesh was not fixed ($P<0.05$). Postoperative pain levels in our study were also decreased in patients who did not receive mesh fixation compared with patients in whom the mesh was fixed; which was likely due to the study being under powered. Mulroy[6] hypothesized that increased postoperative pain might lead to an increased incidence of urinary retention by increasing sympathetic tone impeding urination.

A second explanation for decreased urinary retention in patients that did not receive mesh fixation is that decreased pain leads to decreased use of postoperative narcotic analgesia. We observed that patients who underwent endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair without fixation of the mesh used significantly less narcotic analgesia in the immediate postoperative period. We, among others, have identified the use of high levels of postoperative narcotic analgesia as a risk factor for the development of postoperative urinary retention.[7] Thus, decreased pain might indirectly lead to decreased postoperative urinary retention by decreasing the amount of narcotic analgesia used by patients.

CONCLUSION

We recommend a tackless endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair as an alternative to endoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair with mesh fixation in select patients. We do not believe that eliminating the fixation of the mesh in patients with smaller defects (<3 cm) will lead to an increased incidence of hernia recurrence; however, additional studies with larger numbers of patients and longer follow-up will be required to answer the question unequivocally.

References:

1. Chowbey PK, Bandyopadhyay SK, Sharma A, Khullar R, Soni V, Baijal M. Recurrent hernia following endoscopic total extraperitoneal repair. J LaparoendoscAdvSurg Tech A. 2003; 13 (1): 21–25 [PubMed]
2. Lantis JC, 2nd, Schwaitzberg SD. Tack entrapment of the ilioinguinal nerve during laparoscopic hernia repair. J LaparoendoscAdvSurg Tech A. 1999; 9 (3): 285–289 [PubMed]
3. Ferzli GS, Frezza EE, Pecoraro AM, Jr., Ahern KD. Prospective randomized study of stapled versus unstapled mesh in a laparoscopic preperitoneal inguinal hernia repair. J Am Coll Surg. 1999; 188 (5): 461–465 [PubMed]
4. Khajanchee YS, Urbach DR, Swanstrom LL, Hansen PD. Outcomes of laparoscopic herniorrhaphy without fixation of mesh to the abdominal wall. SurgEndosc. 2001; 15 (10): 1102–1107 [PubMed]
5. Lau H, Patil NG. Selective non-stapling of mesh during uni-lateral endoscopic total extraperitoneal inguinal hernioplasty: a case-control study. Arch Surg. 2003; 138 (12): 1352–1355 [PubMed]
6. Mulroy MF. Hernia surgery, anesthetic technique, and urinary retention-apples, oranges, and kumquats? Regional Anaesthesia & Pain Med. 2002; 27 (6): 587–589 [PubMed]
7. Koch CA, Grinberg GG, Farley DR. Incidence and risk factors for urinary retention after endoscopic hernia repair. Am J Surg. 2006; 191 (3): 381–385 [PubMed]