



A REVIEW ON THE USE AND APPLICATION OF NANOTECHNOLOGY IN THE FIELD OF DENTAL IMPLANTS

Dental Science

Sayan Basak

B.Tech Student Department of Polymer Science and Technology University of Calcutta, Kolkata, India

Dr.Priyanjali Datta*

Employees State Insurance Directorate (Medical), New Delhi, India *Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Nanotechnology is a new transnational and transcultural development that is growing rapidly and pervasively. The potential impact of novel Nanodentistry Applications in disease diagnosis, therapy and prevention is foreseen to change oral health care in a fundamental way. This review presents a general overview of the novel nanomaterial and relevant advances of nanotechnology, focusing on promising dental applications. With the application of nanotechnology, the composition of dental implants, surface energy and roughness and topography can be improved for better osseointegration and it can also influence the events occurring at the bone-implant interface. The cellular activities and tissue responses occurring at the bone-implant interface can be altered by nanoscale modifications and can result in better treatment outcomes.

KEYWORDS

nanotechnology, dentistry, dental implants, surface topography, osseointegration, nanotubular structures

Introduction

Nanotechnology or molecular nanotechnology is also known as molecular engineering. It is the control of matter at the nanoscale at dimensions between 1 to 100 nm [1]. With the application of nanotechnology in dentistry a new stream of Nanodentistry is rising. With the advent of Nanodentistry many dental materials and procedures can be taken to a new level including dental implants. The introduction of modern implants started with the work of Branemark [2]. After decades of research, better designs and materials have evolved, with increase in survival rate and low failure rate. Most frequent cause for failure is insufficient bone formation around the implant surface [3]. In this the implant surface and tissue interface plays a critical role [4]. The arrival of nanotechnology has opened new opportunities for the manipulation of implant surfaces. It is believed that implant surfaces could be improved by mimicking the surface topography formed by the extracellular matrix (ECM) components of natural tissue. These ECM components are of nanometre scale with typical dimensions of 10–100 nm [5].

Implant surface composition, surface energy, surface roughness and topography are the four material related factors which can influence events at the bone – implant interface. Three type of surface structure are there – macro, micro, and nano. Current surface structures are controlled, at best, at the micron level, but tissue response is mainly dictated by processes controlled at the nanoscale. Surface profiles in the nanometre range play an important role in the adsorption of proteins, adhesion of osteoblastic cells and thus the rate of osseointegration. Titanium implants modified by the application of nanostructures promote osteogenic differentiation, and may improve the bio integration of these implants into the alveolar bone [6]. Hence; we need strategies to improve the current metallic dental implants, through surface modifications of the implant either by applying novel ceramic coatings or by patterning the implant's surfaces.

II Surface Modifications

Interaction of implant surface with blood is dependent on the surface properties of materials. It occurs through a complex series of protein adsorption and displacement steps. This is known as the Vroman effect [7]. A hydrophilic surface is better for blood coagulation than a hydrophobic surface. So, dental implants manufacturers have developed high hydrophilic and rough implant surfaces with better osseointegration than conventional ones [8].

Osseointegration is described as a direct structural and functional bone to implant contact under load [9]. The biological events occurring at the tissue-implant interface are influenced by the chemistry, topography, and wettability of dental implant surfaces. The challenge is to develop new implant surfaces which result in increasing the clinical success rate as well as decreasing the tissue healing time for immediate loading of implants, particularly in aesthetic situations [10]. Alumina and Zirconia nanocomposite and nanocomposite

ceramics can be used to develop new implant materials. However, the unique mechanical properties of dental tissues cannot be exhibited by these materials. A new hybrid of inorganic and organic materials can be developed to closely match the properties of dental tissues. So the use of synthetic composite materials as permanent replacements for bone, which generated much excitement 30–40 years ago [11], remains largely unmet due to significant challenges related to fabrication, performance, and cost. Current hybrid organic/inorganic composites have significant problems related mostly to their mechanical performance and their degradation in vivo [12]. As a result, the use of synthetic composite materials as permanent replacements for bone is nearly nonexistent [13].

New coating technologies have also been developed for applying hydroxyapatite and related calcium phosphates (CaP), the mineral of bone, onto the surface of implants [14]. However, there are some controversial and contradictory reports as well, claiming HA coatings as not very beneficial [15]. Since the discovery of original Bioglass composition by Hench [16], there is increasing interest to use it in the fabrication of coatings. But attempts to coat bioactive glass on metallic surfaces failed due to large thermal expansion stresses and high reactivity between metal and glass [17]. It is difficult to fabricate an ideal coating with a single technique or material. A combination of techniques and materials are required to fabricate layers that properly blend organic and inorganic phases and achieve a thickness in nanometres.

III. Methods for creating nano features on CP Titanium implants

1. Ceramic coating
2. Self-assembly of monolayer
3. Physical approaches
 - I. Plasma spraying
 - II. Sputtering
 - III. Ion deposition
3. Chemical methods
 - I. Anodic oxidation (Anodization)
 - II. Acid Treatment
 - III. Alkali Treatment
- IV. Combination of Anodization and Chemical etching
- V. Hydrogen peroxide treatment
- VI. Sol-gel treatment
- VII. Chemical vapour deposition
- VIII. Combination of Chemical vapour deposition and Sol-Gel method.
4. Nanoparticles deposition
 - I. Sol-gel (colloidal particle adsorption)
 - II. Discrete crystalline deposition
 - III. Lithography and contact printing technique

IV. Ceramic coating

A thin layer of bioactive ceramics such as hydroxyapatite (HA), tricalcium phosphate (TCP) and bioactive glasses can be applied on the implant surface. They can bond to the implant surface and the surrounding tissue and promote deposition of bone. They form a

carbonated apatite (HCA) layer on the surface of implant through dissolution and precipitation. Histological studies have shown that coated implants yield a more reliable interface with bone than mechanical osseointegration of Ti [18]. Advantages of coating implant alloys with CPs:

- Faster healing time
- Enhanced bone formation
- Firmer implant bone attachment
- Reduction of metallic ion release

V. Self Assembly of Monolayer

Self assembled monolayer or structures are ordered organic films in supramolecular chemistry [19]. These structures are formed by the adsorption (chemisorptions) of an active organic coating on a solid surface. The use of SAMs is strongly related to the chemical and physical stability of the thin film, which depend on several factors as:

- 1) Surface morphology and chemical composition;
- 2) Affinity of head group toward metal oxide;
- 3) Coating methodology.

SAMs formation can provide a potential and economic method for obtaining designable physicochemical features of the titanium surface in order to promote the osseointegration [20].

VI. Plasma Spraying

Surface contamination is removed with the help of vacuum and charged metallic ions or plasma is deposited on the surface. Deposition on the implant surface occurs by kinetic energy. This process is widely used for deposition of calcium phosphate coatings (HA) onto dental implant surfaces to modify its bioactivity. Osteoblast density increases on the implant nano scale surface. There is higher percentage of bone implant contact in hydroxyapatite coated implants [21]. However, there are disadvantages as well. The long term stability of dental implants could be affected by the variations in the composition of coatings and non uniform thickness of the material. Also lack of adherence of the coating in the long term can lead to health hazards [22].

VII. Sputtering

Thin films of bio ceramic are deposited by bombardment of high-energy ions. Improved healing response and initial fixation were found with sputtered CaP coatings [23]. The main drawback of this technique is that this process is very slow as well as deposition rate is also very low. The slow deposition rate can be improved by radio frequency and magnetron sputtering [24].

VIII. Ion Implantation

This approach offers possible insertion of biologically effective ions such as calcium ion (Ca²⁺), fluoride ion (F⁻), sodium ion (Na⁺). It includes atomic rearrangements. This method enables to inject any element on the near-surface region of any substrate. It uses a beam of high energy (10 KeV) ions to fall on metal surface under vacuum. Due to the collision between incident ions and substrate ions, incident ions lose energy and come to rest on near-surface region of metal. Synthesis of high purity layers is possible with controlled depth and concentration of impurities. The properties of the implant material are not affected because this process takes place at low substrate level [25].

IX. Anodic Oxidation

With the help of this technique the smooth surface of titanium implants can be transformed into nano tubular structures of less than 100nm diameter [26]. By modifying parameters like voltage, current density and chemistry of electrolyte one can control physicochemical properties of surfaces [27], spacing and diameter of nanotubes. On titanium surfaces, Anodization also forms pillar like nanostructures with tuneable size as well as deposition of long nano tube arrays (10 μ m) [28].

X. Acid Treatment

By combining strong acids or bases and oxidants nano pits networks (pit diameter 20-100 nm) can effectively be generated on Titanium, Ti6Al4V, CrCoMo alloys and Tantalum [29]. In a study it was concluded that treatment with HCl yields better results as compared to H₂SO₄ and Na₂S₂O₈ [30]. Combination of acid etching with other techniques can be used for example with grit blasting to eliminate the contamination by blasting residues on implant surfaces. This grit

blasting residue may interfere with the osseointegration of the titanium dental implants [31]. But, an increased surface area by up to 40 % and a greater strength of osseointegration for the nanostructured Ti surface prepared by physical vapour deposition was found compared to an acid-etched surface [32].

XI. Alkali Treatment

In this method the titanium implant is immersed in either sodium or potassium hydroxide followed by heat treatment at 800°C for 20 minutes that is followed by rinsing in distilled water. It results in the growth of a nano structured and bioactive sodium titanate layer on dental implant surface.

XII. Combination of Anodization and Chemical Etching

These two methods are combined to create metal or polymer composites with improved biological properties. A combination of hydrothermal treatments (tuning concentration, temperature, reaction medium composition and time duration) and sodium hydroxide has been employed to titanium to create wide variety of unique nanostructures such as octahedral bipyramids, nano flowers, nano needles, nano rods and mesoporous nanoscaffolds [33].

XIII. Hydrogen Peroxide Treatment

H₂O₂ leads to oxidation and chemical dissolution of the titanium surface. Reaction between hydrogen peroxide and titanium dental surfaces leads to formation of Titanium peroxy gels. The thickness of titania layer is controlled by time. Immersion of treated dental implants in simulated body fluid leads to development of thicker layers of titania gel which is beneficial for deposition of apatite crystals [34].

XIV. Sol-Gel Method

It is one of the widely used methods for the deposition of CaP, TiO₂, TiO₂-CaP composite and silica based coatings on the surface of implant. This method leads to the formation of a uniform suspension of sub microscopic oxide particles in liquid (sol) by the procedure of controlled hydrolysis and condensation. When substrate is immersed in simulated body fluid for 1 to 15 days results in faster growth of apatite crystals in gel containing titania [35]. Deposition of discrete 20-40 nm nanoparticles on a dual acid-etched implant surface leads to early bone healing and enhanced mechanical interlocking with bone [36]. Sol-gel coating process improves dental implant surfaces by nanoscale surface modifications.

XV. Chemical Vapour Deposition

Nonvolatile compounds are deposited on the implant surface by chemical reaction between implant surface and chemicals present in the gas. By the process of chemical vapour deposition, metallic surface properties can be modified at the nanoscale level [37].

XVI. Combination of Chemical Vapour Deposition And Sol-Gel Method

Metallic surface properties can also be improved by combination of these methods. With the help of these techniques Niobium oxide and diamond like carbon nano topographies has been deposited on titanium and other substrates which improve the bioactivity of implantable metals [37].

Some other recent methods for nano structured dental implant surface are: laser technology [38] and coating of Picometer to Nanometer thin TiO₂ by ultraviolet (UV) photo fictionalization [39].

XVII. Conclusion

Nanotechnology is still advancing and need much more testing before appreciating its maximum potential in implant dentistry. Several nano surface modification methods are widely being developed to enhance surface properties of titanium dental implant that result in rapid osseointegration and faster bone healing. Many in vitro and animal studies have shown that nanometre-controlled surfaces have a great effect on healing after implant placement. It affects the adsorption of proteins, blood clot formation, and cell behaviours occurring upon implantation. The techniques and methods developed should be applicable to clinical practice. Nanotechnology opens a new spectrum of possibilities for advancement in implant dentistry.

References

- [1]. Sule Tugba Ozak, Pelin Ozkan. Nanotechnology and dentistry. Eur J. Dent; 2013 Jan; 7(1): 145-151
- [2]. Worthington, P. Introduction: history of implants. In: WPLBR; RJE, editors. Osseointegration in dentistry: an overview.

- Quintessence Publishing; Illinois: 2003. p. 2
- [3]. Christensen EM, Anseth KS, van den Beucken LJJP, Chan CK, Ercan B, Jansen JA, Laurencin CT, Li WJ, Murugan R, Nair LS, Ramakrishna S, Tuan RS, Webster TJ, Mikos AG. Nanobiomaterial applications in orthopedics. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2007; 25(1):11–22. [PubMed: 17048259]
- [4]. Coelho PG, Granjeiro JM, Romanos GE, Suzuki M, Silva NRF, Cardaropoli G, Thompson VP, Lemons JE. Basic Research Methods and Current Trends of Dental Implant Surfaces. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B-Applied Biomaterials*. 2009; 88B(2):579–596.
- [5]. Antoni P, Tomsia, Maximilien E, Launey, Janice S. Lee, Mahesh H. Mankani, Ulrike G.K. Wegst, and Eduardo Saiz. Nanotechnology Approaches for Better Dental Implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2011 ; 26(Suppl):25–49.
- [6]. L. Le Gu'ehenne, A. Soueidan, P. Layrolle, and Y. Amouriq, "Surface treatments of titanium dental implants for rapid osseointegration," *Dental Materials*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 844–854, 2007.
- [7]. R. Miller, Z. Guo, E. A. Vogler, and C. A. Siedlecki, "Plasma coagulation response to surfaces with nanoscale chemical heterogeneity," *Biomaterials*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 208–215, 2006.
- [8]. T. Sawase, R. Jimbo, K. Baba, Y. Shibata, T. Ikeda, and M. Atsuta, "Photo-induced hydrophilicity enhances initial cell behavior and early bone apposition," *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 491–496, 2008
- [9]. P. I. Branemark, R. Adell, T. Albrektsson, U. Lekholm, S. Lundkvist, and B. Rockler, "Osseointegrated titanium fixtures in the treatment of edentulousness," *Biomaterials*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 25–28, 1983.
- [10]. R. Z. LeGeros and R. G. Craig, "Strategies to affect bone remodeling: osteointegration," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. S583–S596, 1993.
- [11]. Karp JM, Langer R. Development and therapeutic applications of advanced biomaterials. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2007; 18(5):454–459. [PubMed: 17981454].
- [12]. Neuendorf RE, Saiz E, Tomsia AP, Ritchie RO. Adhesion between biodegradable polymers and hydroxyapatite: Relevance to synthetic bone-like materials and tissue engineering scaffolds. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2008; 4(5):1288–1296. [PubMed: 18485842].
- [13]. Deville S, Saiz E, Tomsia AP. Ice-templated porous alumina structures. *Acta Materialia*. 2007; 55(6):1965–1974.
- [14]. Knowles JC, Gross K, Berndt CC, Bonfield W. Structural changes of thermally sprayed hydroxyapatite investigated by Rietveld analysis. *Biomaterials*. 1996; 17(6):639–45. [PubMed: 8652783].
- [15]. Laceyfield, W. Hydroxylapatite Coatings. In: Hench, LaJW., editor. *An Introduction to Bioceramics*. World Scientific; Singapore: 1993. p. 223-238.
- [16]. Hench LL. Bioceramics -from Concept to Clinic. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*. 1991; 74(7):1487–1510.
- [17]. Hench, LL.; Andersson, O. Bioactive Glasses. In: Hench, LL.; Wilson, J., editors. *An Introduction to Bioceramics*. World Scientific; Singapore: 1993. p. 41-62.
- [18]. Wheeler DL, Montfort MJ, McLoughlin SW. Differential healing response of bone adjacent to porousimplants coated with hydroxyapatite and 45S5 bioactive glass. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*. 2001; 55(4):603–612. [PubMed: 11288089]. [19]. J. C. Love, L. A. Estroff, J. K. Kriebel, R. G. Nuzzo and G. M. Whitesides, "Self-Assembled Monolayers of Thio- lates on Metals as a Form of Nanotechnology," *Chemical Reviews*, Vol. 105, No. 4, 2005, pp. 1103–1169.
- [20]. FilibertoMastrangelo, Giulia Fioravanti, RaimondoQuaresima, Raffaele Vinci, Enrico Gherlone. Self-Assembled Monolayers (SAMs): Which Perspectives in Implant Dentistry? *Journal of Biomaterials and Nanobiotechnology*, 2011, 2, 533–543. [21]. de Groot K, Geesink R, Klein CP, Serekian P. Plasma sprayed coatings of hydroxylapatite. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1987; 21:1375–81.
- [22]. Ballo AM, Omar O, Xia W, Palmquist A. Dental implant surfaces - Physicochemical properties, biological performance and trends. www.intechopen.com:19-56. [Cited 2011 Aug 29]. Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/implant-dentistry-a-rapidly-evolving-practice/dental-implantsurfaces-physicochemical-properties-biological-performanceand-trends>.
- [23]. Vercaigne S, Wolke JG, Naert I, Jansen JA. A histological evaluation of TiO₂-gritblasted and Ca-P magnetron sputter coated implants placed into the trabecular bone of the goat: Part 2. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2000; 11:314–24.
- [24]. Jansen JA, Wolke JG, Swann S, Van der Waerden JP, de Groot K. Application of magnetron sputtering for producing ceramic coatings on implant materials. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1993; 4:28–34.
- [25]. Rautray TR, Narayanan R, Kim KH. Ion implantation of titanium based biomaterials. *Prog Mater Sci* 2011; 56:1137–77.
- [26]. Yao C, Slamovich EB, Webster TJ. Enhanced osteoblast functions on anodized titanium with nanotube-like structures. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2008; 85:157–66.
- [27]. Park J, Bauer S, Schlegel KA, Neukam FW, von der Mark K, Schmuki P. TiO₂ nanotube surfaces: 15 nm-an optimal length scale of surface topography for cell adhesion and differentiation. *Small* 2009; 5:666–71.
- [28]. Sjöström T, Dalby MJ, Hart A, Tare R, Oreffo RO, Su B. Fabrication of pillar-like titania nanostructures on titanium and their interactions with human skeletal stem cells. *Acta Biomater* 2009; 5:1433–41.
- [29]. Variola F, Yi JH, Richert L, Wuest JD, Rosei F, Nanci A. Tailoring the surface properties of Ti6Al4V by controlled chemical oxidation. *Biomaterials* 2008; 29:1285–98.
- [30]. Takeuchi M, Abe Y, Yoshida Y, Nakayama Y, Okazaki M, Akagawa Y. Acid pretreatment of titanium implants. *Biomaterials* 2003; 24:1821–7.
- [31]. W.-D. M'uller, U. Gross, T. Fritz et al., "Evaluation of the interface between bone and titanium surfaces being blasted by aluminium oxide or bioceramic particles," *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 349–356, 2003.
- [32]. T. Ogawa, L. Saruwatari, K. Takeuchi, H. Aita, and N. Ohno, "Ti nano-nodular structuring for bone integration and regeneration," *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 87, no. 8, pp. 751–756, 2008.
- [33]. Divya Rani VV, Manzoor K, Menon D, Selvamurugan N, Nair SV. The design of novel nanostructures on titanium by solution chemistry for an improved osteoblast response. *Nanotechnology* 2009; 20:195101.
- [34]. Tavares MG, de Oliveira PT, Nanci A, Hawthorne AC, Rosa AL, Xavier SP. Treatment of a commercial, machined surface titanium implant with H₂SO₄/H₂O₂ enhances contact osteogenesis. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007; 18:452–8.
- [35]. Bajgai MP, Parajuli DC, Park SJ, Chu KH, Kang HS, Kim HY. In vitro bioactivity of sol-gel-derived hydroxyapatite particulate nanofiber-modified titanium. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 2010; 21:685–94.
- [36]. Nishimura I, Huang Y, Butz F, Ogawa T, Lin A, Jake Wang C. Discrete deposition of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles on a titanium implant with predisposing substrate microtopography accelerated osseointegration. *Nanotechnol* 2007; 18:245101.
- [37]. Popescu S, Demetrescu I, Sarantopoulos C, Gleizes AN, Iordachescu D. The biocompatibility of titanium in a buffersolution: compared effects of a thin film of TiO₂ deposited by MOCVD and of collagen deposited from a gel. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 2007; 18:2075–83.
- [38]. Thomsson M, Esposito M. A retrospective case series evaluating Branemark BioHelix implants placed in a specialist private practice following „conventional” procedures. One-year results after placement. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2008; 1:229–34.
- [39]. Sugita Y, Ishizaki K, Iwasa F, Ueno T, Minamikawa H, Yamada M, Suzuki T, Ogawa T. Effects of pico-to-nanometer-thin TiO₂ coating on the biological properties of microroughened titanium. *Biomaterials* 2011; 32:8374–84.