INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF EFFECTIVENESS OF DEXAMETHASONE INJECTION AFTER ORIF IN MANDIBLE



Dental Science	- January Man	
DR Kamal Kishore	Assistant professor Department of ENT, SMGS Hospital, Government Medical College, Jammu	
DR Parveen Akhter Lone*	Professor & Head Department of Oral & Maxillofacial surgery (OMFS), Indira Gandhi Government Dental College Jammu (IGGDC) Amphalla Jammu *Corresponding Author	
Dr Tasleem Kouser	Cosultant Radiodiagnosis JK Health Services Srinager Kashmir	

ABSTRACT

Aims & objectives The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of 4mgm inj dexamethasone IV intra operatively after ORIF on post operative oedema, pain & trismus in fracture mandible.

Material & Method. THis prospective study included 162 cases of fracture mandible. Patients were divided into two groups randomly. Patients who received IV injection of 4 mgm Dexamethasone intraoperatively were study group (Group 1) & those who didn't receive injections of Dexamethasone were categorised as controlled group (Group 11) with 81 patients each. Clinically mouth opening, swelling, infection pain, post operative discomfort were assessed on 2nd, 4th 7th, 10 day Th day followed by 4, 8 & 12 weeks time

Result Pain, Mouth Post operative oedema & other postoperative discomfort was significantly less in study group as compared to controlled group

KEYWORDS

Orif, Fracture Mandible, Injection Dexamethasone, Inter Incisal Distance, Post Operative Swelling

INTRODUCTION

Fracture Mandible is the commonest fracture of face caused by Road traffic accidents assault fall, sport injuries etc. & para symphysis is most common amongst all facial fractures [1, 2]. This site of fracture depends upon the mechanism of injury ,Anatomy of mandible & direction of force [3] with condyle fracture 26-37% ,body fracture 18-29% ,Angle 20-25%,symphysis & para symphysis 14-19% ,Ramus 2-4% & coronoid 1% [4,5]

Management of mandible fracture depends on clinical presentation, systemic health of patient .The main principles of management are anatomic reduction ,fixation , immobilization , prevention of infection& restoration of function [3,6]. The goal of open reduction is to achieve anatomic reduction, eliminate the intermaxillary fixation, immediate restoration of function, prevention of infection, to restore aesthetics, Recovery to normal [7, 8]. For these reasons Most of the cases require open reduction & internal fixation which is usually associated with post operative pain ,swelling ,trismus & discomfort, Extensive swelling has the potential to compromise airway. These operative effects are result of inflammation resulting from release of histamines, bradykinnis, serotonins & other inflammatory mediators due to surgical trauma. [9]. To overcomes these post operative complications various approaches have been used like non steroid anti inflammatory drugs, opiods, or combination of two. Corticosteroids especially dexamethasone is the most Effective drugs for overcoming pain, inflammation & trismus, which inhibits the initial step of inflammation [10]

MATERIAL & METHODS

This prospective study was conducted in oral & Maxillofacial surgery. Isolated mandible fracture cases in age group from 18 – 65 years were included in this study Patients having single, double unilateral, bilateral fractures in symphysis, para symphysis, body & angle region were taken in present study. Patients who received IV injection of 4 mgm Dexamethasone were study group (A) & those who didn't receive injections of Dexamethasone were categorised as controlled group (B). ORIF was done using intra oral approach by using miniplates. In Group A 4mgm of dexamethasone was given intravenously intraoperatively followed by Diclofenac sodium Tab BD. Both groups were prescribed 50 mgm of Diclofenac sodium in BD doses for 3days initially followed by SOS Follow up of one year was done in all cases, Patients with infected fractures, liver kidney diseases ,patients on immunosuppressive drugs, breast feeding allergy or psychosis, fractures associated with midrace injuries were excluded from study. 162 Patients were divided into two groups randomly.

Data collection

After taking informed consent facial swelling, edema, trismus & interincisal distance was recorded. The facial swelling was evaluated using vertical & horizontal on 2nd,4th 7th, 10 day th day followed by 4,8 & 12 weeks time. Infection rate was measured clinically & radio graphically

Facial swelling was measured by tap measuring between the points 3 reference points described by Schultz-Mosagau. Two measurements were made between pogonion, Corner of mouth & tragus.



Gonion to lateral cantus of eye bilaterally
Tragus to commisure of lip bilaterally
Tragus to midline in chin bilaterally
Tragus to ala of Nose bilaterally as shown in figure
Interincisal opening was measured using maximum mouth opening
Pain was evaluated in post operative period using Visual analog Scale
(total analgesic consumption) (VAS)

RESULTS: Both groups consisted of 162 patients with 81 cases each group .117 cases were males, & 45 females Pain was less in study group as compared to controlled group based on VAS & analgesic consumption Mouth opening was taken as distance between maxillary & mandibular central incisors.

In study group Mouth opening was more 1.8 to 2.5 cms (interincisal distance) than controlled group which further improved by 4th

postoperative day whereas in controlled group mouth opening was between 1.5 to 2.0 cms till 5th day & full mouth opening was achieved between 10-15 days

Post operative oedema was very less in study group on first postoperative day & in 60-72% cases there was no swelling between 4th & 7th day where as in controlled group oedema was seen in all patients which disappeared between 9-12 days

Study Group		Controlled group	n 162	
No of patients		81	81	
Males	Females	117	45	
Age				
less than 40 years		125		
more than 40 yrs		37		
FACIAL EDEMA				
2nd post operative day		89% present	99% present	
7th postoperative day		97% absent	67% absent	
postoperative trismus present				
4th day		54%	84%	
post operative pain after 3 days				
sever		17%	27%	
moderate		34%	53%	
mild		49%		

DISCUSSION

Osteosynthesis by open reduction & internal fixation provides optimal stability for fracture healing. Clinicians have used cold ice therapy [11] low laser therapy [12], NSAIDS, [13,14] opiod drugs, [15] acupuncture [16] & corticosteroids[17,18]Corticosteroids have various effects on body functions like influencing on carbohydrate ,protein & fat metabolism, water & electrolyte balance, the function of kidney nervous system, skeletal system,& cardiovascular systems. These corticosteroids suppress or prevent inflammation by interfering with edema, leukocytic migration, capillary dilatation & fibrin deposition phagocytosis [19]. Weber CR et al [20] stated that Dexamethasone appears to be most appropriate for preoperative & post operative use because it has highest anti inflammatory activity, no mineralocorticoid activity & longest available half life of 36 to 54 hrs. also has widespread numerous effects like preservation of normal function of immune system, CVS, endocrine nervous & skeletal muscle system[21]

Different routes & regimes of dexamethasone have been eg 4mgm, 8mgm, preoperative, postoperative, by IV, IM, submucosal & oral routesMojsa et al reported that sub mucosal injection of dexamethasone 4mgm both before & after surgery decreased pain effectively [22] contrast with the present study pain in study & controlled group did not show much difference as reported by Beirne & Hollander[8] who also suggested no difference in pain control after administering 4 or 8 mgm of dexamethasone & in control group

Nupert et al [23] used intravenous 4mgm in disimpaction cases immediately after surgery. In the present study also 4mgm dexamethasone IV was used immediately after surgery

Inter incisal distance showed remarkably improvement in study group after injecting 4mgm of dexamethasone IV immediately after surgery in accordance to Nupert at al [23] which further improved after 24-48 hrs after surgery where as trismus remained for 10-15 days in controlled group

Boonsiriseth et al [24] recommended preoperative dexamethasone (8mg) both by intramuscular injection or oral consumption can decrease post operative pain facial swelling & limited mouth opening. In the present study there was almost no swelling postoperatively on first 3rd & 5th day in study group where as in controlled group marked edema was noted which lasted for 5-7 days post operatively

Messer & Kelley [25] reported significant decrease in post operative discomfort by using dexamethasone intramuscularly after surgery similar to the present study where post operative discomfort was reduced significantly as compared to control group.

CONCLUSION. Healing after fracture reduction & fixation is good outcome of surgical wound. From the present study it is concluded that administration of corticosteroids such as 4mgm dexamethasone sodium phosphate intravenously helps significantly in reducing post operative discomforts like pain, edema & trismus in ORIF for Mandibular fractures

ACKNOELEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Zaun ul Aarifeen, Ayera Nisar, Maryam Nisar wani & Ayera Bashir for their help in the present Study

Conflict of interest disclosure . None

REFERENCES

- Thapliyar GK, Sinha R, Menon PS, Chaknarayan A. Management of Mandibular fractures, MJAFI.Vol. No64 2008; 218-220.
- Devadiga & K. Prasad. Epidemiology of Maxillofacial Fractures and concomitant injuries in a craniofacial unit; A retrospective study the international J. of Epidemiology 2008 Vol.5No.2.
- Abbas I, Ali K, Mirza YB. Spectrum of mandibular fractures at a tertiary care dental hospital in Lahore. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2003; 15: 12-4
- hospital in Lahore. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2003; 15: 12-4.

 Larsen OD, Nielsen A. Mandibular fractures, I: an analysis of their etiology and location in 286 patients. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg. 1976; 10(3):213-218.

 Olson RA, Fonseca RJ, Zeitler DL, Osbon DB. Fractures of the mandible: a review of 580 cases. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1982;40(1):23-28.

 Ellis E, Moos KF, EL-Attra A. Ten years of mandibular fractures: An analysis of 2137 patients. J Oral Surg 1985;59:120-129.

 Hebel SK, Drug facts and comparisons. St. Louis, Facts and Comparisons. 1999, pp 122.

- Beirne OR, Hollander B. The effect of methylprednisolone on pain, trismus, and swelling after removal third molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1986; 61: 134-8
- Soudeh Chegini, Daljit K. Dhariwal. Review of evidence for the use of steroids in orthognathic Surgery; British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 2012; 50: 97–101.
- Hirschman JV: Some principles of systemic gluco corrticoid therapy. Clin Exp Dermatol 11:27, 1986
- Zandi M, Amini P, Keshavarz A. Effectiveness of cold therapy in reducing pain, trismus, and oedema after impacted mandibular third molar surgery: a randomized,self-controlled, observer-blind, split-mouth clinical trial. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2016;
- Landucci A, Wosny AC, Uetanabaro LC, Moro A, Araujo MR. Efficacy of a single dose Colombia (National Actional Actional Colombia) (National Actional Colombia) (National Colombia) (National
- analgesic effect of low doses of celecoxib is superior to low doses of traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. J Craniofac Surg 2010; 23:526-9.
- Sisk AL, Grover BJ. A comparison of pre-operative and postoperative naproxen sodium for suppression of postoperative pain. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990; 48: 674-8.

 Pozos GA, Martinez RR, Aguirre BP, Perez UJ. Preemptive analgesic effect of tramadol
- after mandibular third molar extraction: a pilot study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007; 65:
- Patten JR, Patten J, Hutchins MO. Adjunct use of dexamethasone in postoperative dental pain control, Compend Contin Educ Dent 1992: 13: 580-9.
- Hargreaves KM, Shmidt EA, Mueller GP, Dionne RA.Dexamethasone alters plasma levels of beta-endorphin and postoperative pain. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1987; 42: 601-7. Movafegh A, Razazian M, Hajimaohamadi F, Meysamie A. Dexamethasone added to
- lidocaine prolongs axillary brachial plexus blockade. Anesth Analg 2006; 102: 263-7. Gilman AG, Rall TW, Nies AS, et al. The pharmacological basis of therapeutics (ed 8)
- New York, NY, Macmillan, 1990; 1436-1452.
- Weber CR, Griffin JM. Evaluation of dexamethasone for reducing postoperative edema and inflammatory response after orthognathic surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1994;52:35-39.
- Montgomery MT, Hogg JP, Roberts DL, et al: The use of glucocortico steroids to lessen the inflammatory sequelae following third molar surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg
- Mojsa IM, Pokrowiecki R, Lipczynski K, Czerwonka D, Szczeklik K, Zaleska M. Effect of submucosal dexamethasone injection on postoperative pain, oedema, and trismus following mandibular third molar surgery: a prospective,randomized, double-blind clinical trial. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017; 46: 524-30.

 Neupert III EA, Lee JW, Philput CB, Gordon JR. Evaluation of dexamethasone for
- reduction of postsurgical sequelae of third molar removal. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1992; 50: 1177-82.
- Boonsiriseth K, Klongnoi B, Sirintawat N, Saengsirinavin C, Wongsirichat N. Comparative study of the effect of dexamethasone injection and consumption in lower third molar surgery. Int J Maxillofac Surg 2012; 41: 244-7
- Messer EJ, Keller JJ. The use of intraoral dexamethasone after extraction of mandibular third molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1975 Nov;40(5):594-8.