



N-FUZZY BH-SUBALGEBRAS OF BH-ALGEBRAS

Mathematics

**K. Anitha**

Research Scholar, PG And Research Department Of Mathematics, Saiva Bhanu Kshatriya College, Aruppukottai - 626 101, Tamil Nadu, India

**Dr. N. Kandaraj\***

Associate Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Saiva Bhanu Kshatriya College, Aruppukottai - 626 101, Tamil Nadu, India \*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce the notion of fuzzy BH-subalgebra of a BH-algebra with respect to a triangular norm. Then we show that the Cartesian product of two fuzzy BH-subalgebras of BH-algebra X with respect to a triangular norm is a fuzzy BH-subalgebra of the product of X with respect to the norm.

KEYWORDS

fuzzy BH-subalgebra, BH-ideal, t-norm

1. INTRODUCTION

Y. Imai and K. Iseki introduced BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras, classes of abstract algebras [4, 5]. In [2, 3] Q.P. Hu and x. Li introduced another class of algebra which is called BCH-algebra and also showed that a BCI-algebra is a proper subclass of BCH algebra. Later J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [6] introduced the notion of d-algebras, which is another useful generalization of BCK –algebras. L. A. Zadeh [7] introduced the notion of fuzzy sets. M. Akram and K. H. Dar [1] introduced the notion of fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras. Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, [8] introduced On BH-algebras, Q. Zhang, E. H. Roh and Y. B. Jun, [9] introduced on fuzzy BH-algebras. Recently, several researchers have apply the notion of fuzzy set to algebraic structures such as BCC/BCI/BCK/MV/TM/d/BH-algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of fuzzy sub algebra of BH-algebras with respect to a triangular norm and investigate some related properties.

2 PRELIMINARIES

In this section we cite the fundamental definitions that will be used in the sequel:

Definition 2.1 [2,3]

A nonempty set x with a constant 0 and a binary operation \* is called a BCH- algebra if it satisfies the following axioms.

- $x*x=0$
- $(x*y)*z=(x*z)*y=0$
- $x*y=0, y*x=0 \Rightarrow x=y$  for all  $x, y, z \in x$

Definition 2.2 [4, 5] A nonempty set x with a constant 0 and a binary operation \* is called a BCI- algebra if it satisfies the following axioms.

- $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y)=0$
- $(x*(x*y))*y=0$
- $x*x=0$
- If  $x*y=0$  and  $y*x=0 \Rightarrow x=y$  for all  $x, y, z \in x$

Definition 2.3[4, 5] A nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation \* is called a BCK- algebra if it satisfies the following axioms.

- $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y)=0$
- $(x*(x*y))*y=0$
- $x*x=0$
- If  $x*y=0$  and  $y*x=0 \Rightarrow x=y$
- $0*x=0$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$

Example 2.4 Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following Cayley table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	1	0

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BCK-algebra

Definition 2.5 [6]: A d-algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0

and a binary operation \* satisfying the following axioms:

- $x * x = 0$
- $0 * x = 0$
- $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply that  $x = y$  for all  $x, y$  in X.

Example 2.6

Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following Cayley table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	1	0

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a d-algebra

Definition 2.7 [6] Let S be a nonempty subset of a d-algebra X, then S is called subalgebra of X if  $x * y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

Definition 2.8 [8]: A BH-algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary

Operation \* Satisfying the following axioms:

- $x * x = 0$
- $x * 0 = x$
- $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply that  $x = y$  for all  $x, y$  in X.

Example 2.9

Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following Cayley table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	1	0

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BH-algebra

Definition 2.10 [8] Let S be a nonempty subset of a BH-algebra X, then S is called subalgebra of X if  $x * y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

We define  $x * y = 0$  if and only if  $x \leq y$ , the  $(X, \leq)$  is an ordered set. Let  $\{X_i, *, 0, i \in I\}$  be a non empty family of d-algebras. Then  $(\prod X_i, *, 0)$  is a d-algebra, so called the direct product of d-algebras.

Definition 2.11 [8] Let X be a BH-algebra and I be a subset of X, then I is called an ideal of X if

- $0 \in I$
- $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$  for all  $x, y \in I$
- $x \in I$  and  $y \in X \Rightarrow x * y \in I$

Definition 2.12 [8] A mapping f: X → Y of BH-algebras is called a homomorphism iff  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Note that if f: X → Y is homomorphism of BH-algebras, then  $f(0) = 0$

**Definition 2.13[7]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A fuzzy (sub) set  $\mu$  of the set  $X$  is a mapping  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$

**Definition 2.14[7]** Let  $\mu$  be the fuzzy set of a set  $X$ . For a fixed  $s \in [0,1]$ , the set  $\mu_s = \{x \in X: \mu(x) \geq s\}$  is called an upper level of  $\mu$  or level subset of  $\mu$

**Definition 2.15[1]** A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $d$ -algebra  $X$  is called a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$  if it satisfies  $\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.16[9]** A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in BH-algebra  $X$  is called a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$  if it satisfies  $\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Example 2.17** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a set given by the following cayley table

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
2	2	1	0

$$x * y = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ x - y & \text{if } y < x \end{cases}$$

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is an infinite BH-algebra. If we define a fuzzy set  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  by  $\mu(0) = t_1, \mu(x) = t_2$  for all  $x \neq 0$ , where  $t_1 > t_2$ , then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy BH-subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.18 [6]** A triangular norm is a binary operation  $N$  on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  which is commutative, associative, and monotone and has 1 as neutral element. It is a function  $N: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$  satisfying the following properties:

- $N(x, 1) = x$
- $N(x, y) = N(y, x)$
- $N(x, N(y, z)) = N(N(x, y), z)$ ,
- $N(x, y) \leq N(x, z)$  Whenever  $y \leq z$ , for all  $x, y, z \in [0,1]$

**3. N-FUZZY BH-SUBALGEBRAS**

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a BH-algebra  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is called a fuzzy BH-subalgebra of  $X$  with respect to a triangular norm  $N$  (N-fuzzy BH-subalgebra of  $X$ ) if for all

$$x, y \in X, \mu(x * y) \geq N(\mu(x), \mu(y))$$

**Examples 3.2:** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following cayley table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a fuzzy BCK-algebra. We define fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  by  $\mu(0) = 0.5, \mu(1) = 0.2$ , for all  $x \neq 0$ . Then we define a triangular norm  $N: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$  by  $N(X, Y) = \max\{x + y - 1, 0\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then we can check that  $N$  is a triangular norm, and also an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $X$  be a BH-algebra. Given  $a \in [0,1]$  and let  $\mu$  be an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebra of  $X$ .

- Then we have
- If  $a = 1$ , then the upper level of  $\mu$  in  $X, U(\mu, a)$  is either empty or a BH-subalgebra of  $X$ .
  - If  $N = \min$ , then  $U(\mu, a)$  is either empty or a BH-subalgebra of  $X$ .
  - If  $N = \min$ , then  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in X$

Proof

Suppose that  $U(\mu, 1)$  is not empty. Then we let  $x, y \in U(\mu, 1)$ . Thus we have  $\mu(x) \geq 1$  and  $\mu(y) \geq 1$ . Since  $\mu$  is an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebra of  $X$ , we obtain  $\mu(x * y) \geq N(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \geq N(1, 1) = 1$  Hence  $x * y \in U(\mu, 1)$ .

Therefore  $U(\mu, 1)$  is a BH-subalgebra

(2) Suppose that  $U(\mu, a)$  is not empty, and let  $x, y \in U(\mu, a)$ . Then  $\mu(x) \geq a$  And  $\mu(y) \geq a$ . It follows that  $\mu(x * y) \geq N(\mu(x), \mu(y)) = \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \geq \min\{a, a\} = a$

(3) Since  $x * x = 0$ , we have  $\mu(0) = \mu(x * x) \geq N(\mu(x), \mu(x)) = \min\{\mu(x), \mu(x)\} = \mu(x)$  Hence  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in X$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of a BH-algebra  $X$ . The direct product of N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  is defined by  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2(x, y) = N(\mu_1(x), \mu_2(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$

**Theorem 3.5**

Let  $X$  be a BH-algebra. Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of  $X$ . Then  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of  $X$ .

**Proof**

Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of  $X$ .

Put  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$  and let  $x, y \in X$

Then, we have  $\mu((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu(x_1 * y_1, x_2 * y_2) \\ &= (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1 * y_1, x_2 * y_2) \\ &= N(\mu_1(x_1 * y_1), \mu_2(x_2 * y_2)) \\ &\geq N(N(\mu_1(x_1), \mu_1(y_1)), N(\mu_2(x_2), \mu_2(y_2))) \\ &= N(N(\mu_1(x_1), \mu_2(x_2)), N(\mu_1(y_1), \mu_2(y_2))) \\ &= N((\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1, x_2), (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(y_1, y_2)) \\ &= N(\mu(x_1, x_2), \mu(y_1, y_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an epimorphism of BH-algebras, and  $\mu$  be an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of  $Y$ . If  $\mu \circ f$  is an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of  $X$ .

**Proof**

Since  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an epimorphism,  $\mu \circ f$  is obviously a fuzzy set of  $X$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \mu \circ f(x * y) &= \mu(f(x * y)) \\ &= \mu(f(x) * f(y)) \\ &\geq N(\mu(f(x)), \mu(f(y))) \\ &= N((\mu \circ f)(x), (\mu \circ f)(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu \circ f$  is an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.7** Let  $f$  be a mapping on a set  $X$ , and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of  $f(X)$ . Then the fuzzy set  $\mu \circ f$  is called Preimage of  $\mu$  under  $f$ .

**Corollary 3.8** An epimorphism Preimage of an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebras of a BH-algebra  $X$  is an N-fuzzy BH-subalgebra.

**4. REFERENCES**

- [1] M. Akram and K.H. Dar, "On Fuzzy d-algebras," Journal of Mathematics (Punjab University), vol. 37, pp. 61-76, 2005.
- [2] Q.P. Hu and X. Li, "On BCH-algebras," Mathematics Seminar Notes (Kobe University), vol. 11, pp. 313-320, 1983.
- [3] Q.P. Hu and X. Li, "On proper BCH-algebras," Mathematics Japonica, vol. 30, pp. 659-661, 1985.
- [4] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, "On axiom systems of propositional calculi XIV," Proc. Japan Academy, vol. 42, pp. 19-22, 1966
- [5] K. Iséki, "An algebra related with a propositional calculus," Proc. Japan Academy, vol. 42, pp. 26-29, 1966.
- [6] J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, "On d-algebras," Mathematica Slovaca, vol. 49, pp. 19-26, 1999.
- [7] L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," Information and Control, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [8] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, On BH-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae 1(1) (1998), 347-354.
- [9] Q. Zhang, E. H. Roh and Y. B. Jun, On fuzzy BH-algebras, J. Huanggang Normal Univ. 21(3) (2001), 14-19