



YOLK SAC SIZE AND EMBRYONIC HEART RATE AS PROGNOSTIC FACTORS OF FIRST TRIMESTER PREGNANCY OUTCOME

Gynaecology

Sugandha Patel* Assistant Professor of OBG, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad *Corresponding Author

Ridhdi Mehta Junior Resident in OBG, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad

Akash Makwana Junior Resident in OBG, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad

ABSTRACT

It has been previously suggested that abnormal yolk sac characteristics and slow embryonic foetal heart rate are associated with poor gestational outcome and these parameters can be used to assess foetal viability in first trimester of pregnancies. The purpose of present study was to examine the role of yolk sac characteristics and early embryonic heart rate (EHR) between 6 to 9 weeks of pregnancies and to study their associations with spontaneous abortions. This prospective observational study included 150 low risk healthy singleton pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in a tertiary hospital in early gestation. Transvaginal ultrasound was carried out to quantify yolk sac morphometry and using M mode, early embryonic heart rate was measured. 90% (135/150) of subjects satisfied normal yolk sac morphometry according to Nyberg criteria and 99.2% of them (134/135) had ongoing pregnancies. 15 patients had abnormally appearing yolk sac and 33.3% of them (5/15) subsequently aborted. Similarly 95.3% (143/150) who had normal embryonic heart rate (≥ 100 bpm) had successful outcome. Abnormal heart rate (< 100 bpm) was detected in 7 pregnancies and majority of them (6/7, 85.7%) ended up in foetal demise. Yolk sac diameter between 2-5 mm showed sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 93.3%, 83.3% and 92.9% for successful gestational outcome. The respective figures for embryonic heart rate (≥ 100 bpm) were 98.6%, 83.3% and 98.5%. The embryos with good yolk sac parameters and normal heart rate have high potential for survival. The information obtained by first trimester vaginal ultrasound may be used to prognosticate pregnancies that are complicated by previous bad obstetric history.

KEYWORDS

Yolk sac, Embryonic Heart Rate (EHR), First trimester, Spontaneous abortions.

INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that approximately 30-40 % of human pregnancies result in spontaneous abortion during the first trimester after implantation. Significant number of losses predominantly occurs very early in gestation, but once the embryonic heart activity appears the rate of spontaneous abortion gradually decreases to 2-5 %^{1/2}. However intrauterine gestational sac is the first one to appear sonographically, followed by the yolk sac and the foetal pole with cardiac activity^{3/2}. Within the gestational sac, yolk sac is the first evident embryonic structure^{1/2}. It is usually visible between the fifth and twelfth week of pregnancy as a round anechoic area; after which it undergoes degeneration^{4/2}. Recent studies have investigated the size, structure and function of the yolk sac in addition to embryonic heart rate in evaluation and prognosis of first trimester pregnancy loss. By transvaginal sonogram, we can accurately demonstrate EHR (Embryonic Heart Rate) and assess the pregnancy outcome in those with bradycardia^{5/2}. The embryonic heart beat can usually be identified at prenatal ultrasonography by 6 weeks gestation in M-mode^{6/2}. Several studies have documented that a slow embryonic heart rate at 6.0-7.0 weeks gestation is associated with a high rate of first-trimester foetal demise, and the demise often occurs soon after the slow heart beat is detected^{7/2, 8/2}. The purpose of the present study was to examine whether yolk sac diameter and early embryonic heart rate (EHR) could serve as prognostic factors in evaluating pregnancy outcome.

Nyberg criteria for yolk sac

Major criteria	Minor criteria
1. Large sac (≥ 25 mm mean sac diameter without an embryo or ≥ 20 mm mean sac diameter without a yolk sac	1. Thin decidual reaction < 2 mm
2. Distorted shape of the gestational sac	2. Irregular contour of gestational sac
	3. Absent double decidual sign
	4. Weak decidual amplitude
	5. Low position of the sac

findings are considered as abnormal if any one major criteria or any three minor criteria were present.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective study was conducted in Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad from 2018 to 2019. The subjects included 150 pregnant women between 6-9 weeks of gestation who were attending the antenatal clinic in Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology. An informed and written consent was obtained from all women who were participating in the study. Exclusion criteria were pregnancies complicated by blighted ovum, previous threatened abortions, previous miscarriages and

absent embryonic cardiac activity. All pregnancies had an ultrasound evaluation using SIEMENS ultrasound machine with a capacity of simultaneous B-mode and M-mode scanning. Various ultrasound parameters such as gestational sac diameter, crown-rump length, cardiac activity, yolk sac diameter and embryonic heart rate were studied. Gestational age was determined by ultrasonographic measurements of foetal crown-rump length (CRL) and correlated with last menstrual period (LMP). The yolk sac diameter (YSD) was determined by placing the calipers on the inner limits of the longer diameter (Fig. 1). Embryonic heart rate (EHR) measurements were obtained transvaginally using M-mode sonography (Fig. 2). All pregnancies were followed for survival till completion of 20 weeks by either a subsequent ultrasound scan or a telephone interview. Abnormal outcome was defined as abortion less than 20 weeks and normal outcome as continuation of pregnancy beyond 20 weeks or live birth subsequently.



Fig. 1: Intrauterine gestational sac with 5 mm yolk sac




Fig. 2: Measurement of embryonic heart rate in M mode

Sample size

The subjects included 150 pregnant women between 6-9 weeks of gestation who were attending the antenatal clinic in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad SPSS version 16 for windows 10 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for analysis of data. Descriptive analysis was done to find the mean and standard deviation. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare various groups. A "p" value of 0.005 or less was considered as statistically significant. Diagnostic ability of two different embryonic parameters (yolk sac and embryonic heart rate) were analysed for various test statistics such as sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, likelihood and odd's ratio etc.

RESULTS

Total 150 patients were enrolled in this study. Of these 144 (96.0%) had normal pregnancy outcome and 6 (4.0%) patients had abnormal outcome. The study population included 110 primigravidae and 40

multigravidae. There were 3 abortions in each group. The percentage statistics along with significance levels are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Effect of Gravidity on pregnancy outcome

Gravidity	No. of Cases	Pregnancy outcome				Statistical Inference
		Abortions		Ongoing pregnancy		
		No.	%	No.	%	
Primigravidae	110	3	2.72	107	97.3	(P value= 0.0584), Not Significant
Multigravidae	40	3	7.5	37	92.5	

We wanted to know whether the rates of abortions were influenced by maternal age. Table 2 shows detailed analysis of age factor in different groups (Mean ± SD). However comparison of means in different outcomes and parity status indicated that there were no significant differences with regard to maternal age in any of these groups.

Table 2: Influence of maternal age on pregnancy outcome

Gravidity	No. of Cases	Pregnancy outcome				p Value
		Abortions		Ongoing pregnancy		
		No.	Mean ± SD	No.	Mean ± SD	
Primigravidae	110	3	27.34 ± 2.45	107	27.4 ± 3.47	0.97 (Not Significant)
Multigravidae	340	3	27.76 ± 2.04	37	27.12 ± 3.46	0.66 (Not Significant)

135 patients had normal appearance of yolk sac according to Nyberg criteria and of them 99.2% (134/135) experienced live pregnancy. Whereas those with abnormal findings (n=15), only 66.6% (10/15) had ongoing pregnancy and 33.3% (5/15) aborted. These findings too were statistically significant. Table 3 shows pregnancy outcome depending upon the appearance of yolk sac

Table 3: Outcome of pregnancy based on appearance of yolk sac

Appearance of yolk sac	No. of Cases	Pregnancy				p Value (Significance)
		Abortions		Survived		
		No.	%	No.	%	
Normal	135	1	0.8	134	99.2	0.001 (Highly Significant)
Abnormal	15	5	33.3	10	66.6	

Table 4 analyses the pregnancy outcome depending upon size of yolk sac. The pregnancy outcome was optimum when the sac diameter ranged between 2 to 5 mm. The live pregnancy rate increased to 99.2% (134/135) with this yolk sac diameter range. However when the yolk sac diameter fell outside of this range live pregnancy rates were significantly decreased (for <2 mm - 50%, >5 mm - 69.2%).

Table 4: Outcome of pregnancy based on size of yolk sac

Size in mm	No. of Cases	Pregnancy				p Value (Significance)
		Abortions		Survived		
		No.	%	No.	%	
<2	2	1	50	1	50	0.001 (Highly Significant)
2 - 5	135	1	0.8	134	99.2	
>5	13	4	30.76	9	69.2	

We further divided the total cases in to two groups based on the embryonic heart rate < 100 (Abnormal) or ≥ 100 beats per minute (Normal). 5 abortions occurred when heart rate was abnormal (5/7, 71.4%), whereas, those with normal embryonic heart rate only 1 patients (1/143, 0.7%) had abortion. These observations were highly significant. Table 5 describes abortion and live pregnancy rates according to embryonic heart rate. In Two patients who had normal embryonic heart rate experienced pregnancy loss and one of these abortions had cervical incompetency.

Table 5: Outcome of pregnancy based on embryonic heart rate (HER)

Embryonic Heart Rate (bpm)	No. of Cases	Pregnancy				p Value (Significance)
		Abortions		Survived		
		No.	%	No.	%	
Normal (>100)	143	1	0.69	142	99.3	0.0001 (Highly Significant)
Abnormal (<100)	7	5	71.4	2	28.5	

Table 6 describes diagnostic abilities of two ultrasound markers of

foetal viability (normal embryonic heart rate and yolk sac) individually. Both parameters have comparable test performances, except that specificity for abnormal yolk sac parameters is poor, indicating that when yolk sac diameter falls out of the range, the pregnancy need not always be compromised. The presence of normal cardiac activity in the embryo ensures good viability and a very good chance of continuation of pregnancy.

Table 6. Diagnostic test parameters for normal embryonic heart rate and yolk sac diameters

Test Parameters	Yolk Sac (2 – 5 mm)	Embryonic Heart Rate (≥100 bpm)
Sensitivity	93.3% (90.3% - 96.3%)	98.6% (98.2% - 100.0%)
Specificity	83.3% (62.2% - 100.0%)	83.3% (62.2% - 100.0%)
Positive Predictive Value	99.2% (98.1% - 100.0%)	99.3% (98.2% - 100.0%)
Negative Predictive Value	35.7% (18.0% - 53.5%)	71.42% (62.2% - 100.0%)
Likelihood Ratio for +ve Test	5.60 (1.58 - 19.84)	5.96 (1.68 - 21.1)
Likelihood Ratio for -ve Test	0.08 (0.05 - 0.13)	0.009 (0.002 - 0.04)
Accuracy	92.9% (89.8% - 95.9%)	98% (97.2% - 99.9%)
False positive rate	16.6%	16.7%
False negative rate	6.94%	1.42%
Odd's ratio	67	355

(figures in bracket indicate 95% confidence interval)

DISCUSSION

Before placenta is fully formed, the developing embryo derives its nutrition from yolk sac. The yolk sac reaches its highest level of functional activity between 4th and 7th week of gestation and meets metabolic, endocrine, immunologic and haemopoietic needs of embryo in early stages of its development¹¹¹. The appearance of yolk sac is a marker of successfully growing gestational sac and is identified by transvaginal ultrasound between 4th and 5th week of gestation prior to appearance of foetal pole and embryonic heart¹¹². It is a circular structure and identified by its thin echogenic rim and central hollow. The initial diameter is around 3 - 4 mm and gradually it increases at the rate of 0.1 mm per day and finally undergoes atresia by 10th to 11th week of pregnancy¹¹³. Once the placental circulation is established, the blood supply to yolk sac gradually decreases and finally disappears. If a large yolk sac persists, it indicates aberrant embryonic development and high chance of miscarriage¹¹⁴. Other variations in appearance of yolk sac include calcification, very small yolk sac (<2mm) and irregular yolk sac. The calcified yolk sac almost indicates impending pregnancy loss and on the other hand irregular rim of yolk sac can be still associated with successful pregnancy outcome¹¹⁵.

Figueras F *et al.* conducted a study to find the relation between yolk sac volume and risk of spontaneous abortion¹¹⁶. They found that yolk sac volume outside the 5th to 95th percentile were associated with significant occurrence of retrochorial hematoma and subsequent pregnancy loss. In a prospective cohort study, abnormal yolk sac characteristics (yolk sac diameter outside the range of 2-5 mm, irregular shape, presence of degenerative changes, unequal number with embryo and presence of calcifications) were found in 22 first trimester ultrasound scans¹¹⁷. Abortion occurred in 14 (63.63%) compared to 3.55 % (6/169) signifying the fact that normally functioning yolk sac is vital for the survival of the embryo. Our study too confirms the same findings.

Another study from Turkey prospectively evaluated sonographic characteristics of yolk sac in 305 viable singleton pregnancies with gestational age between 6 to 9 weeks and found abnormal yolk sacs in 66 patients¹¹⁸. An irregular yolk sac was observed in 78.8% (52/66), an enlarged yolk sac in 12.1% (8/66) and echogenic yolk sac in 9.1% (6/66). It was found that abortion occurred in 37.5% (3/8) of patients with enlarged yolk sacs and interestingly abortion rates were similar to normal population in patients with irregular yolk sacs and echogenic yolk sacs (3.8% and 1.5% respectively).

The development in the obstetric ultrasound 50 years ago mainly focused on documentation of embryonic heart rate in the first trimester scan to confirm viability^{118, 119}. Subsequently it was realized that slow

embryonic heart rate was associated with increased rate of spontaneous abortions^[7]. Now it is universally known that embryonic heart rate serves as one of the important predictors of imminent foetal demise^[20, 21]. Transvaginal ultrasonography has higher resolution and hence it can be used for visualization the embryonic heart beat in M mode. The embryonic heart rate can be visualized as early as 5- 6 weeks of gestation and it is known that the mean heart rate progressively increases from 6 weeks (120 to 140 bpm) to 9 weeks (145 to 170 bpm) after which it slowly stabilizes to lesser heart rate for rest of the pregnancy^[22]. It has been observed that embryonic heart rate less than 100 bpm (beats per minute) is associated with higher risk of miscarriage and the risk of embryonic demise almost touches 100 % when the rate is less than 80 bpm^[9, 23]. The rate of chromosomal abnormalities and structural abnormalities are significantly higher in surviving fetuses when they have slow heart beats^[24]. In one study, genetic amniocentesis was performed in 6 women with slow embryonic heart rates^[25]. After karyotype analysis it was found that 2 fetuses had trisomy 21, which represented significantly higher incidence (33%) of aneuploidy. In our study too, we found higher rate of abortions with reduced heart rate, however we did not perform any karyotype analysis of the abortus or genetic amniocentesis.

The results of the present study indicates that first trimester fetuses with correctly sized and normally appearing yolk sacs and embryonic heart rate above 100 bpm are associated with very good chance of ongoing successful pregnancies.

CONCLUSION

Our study suggests that a normally appearing yolk sac measuring within the range of 2mm to 5mm reasonably ensures viability of pregnancy in the first trimester. However variation outside this range does not necessarily mean adverse outcome as in more than 50% of cases, pregnancy still can continue. On the contrary the same is not true for embryonic bradycardia as it can suggest pregnancy failure in more than 4/5th of cases. Hence it is important to monitor embryonic heart rate in first trimester pregnancy complications and presence of normal cardiac heartrate may guide treating obstetrician in patient counseling and planning further management strategies. Though in our study the number of patients with spontaneous abortions appears small, sample size was significant enough to draw important conclusions. Many a times, by the time patient presents with vaginal bleeding, they would have had either failing embryonic heart or missed abortion and this can limit the sample size as our study population included low risk antenatal women without any symptoms. Ideally all patients who have missed their periods should have regular ultrasound examination right from 5th week of gestation and examination should be repeated at regular intervals if any abnormalities of yolk sac and embryonic heart rate are detected. If universal early first trimester sonographic screening is not feasible, at least those women with bad obstetric history such as recurrent abortions, previous history of congenital or chromosomal abnormalities and those with endocrine disorders should be offered routine ultrasound screening right from the first trimester of pregnancy to forecast any possible untoward adverse foetal outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Siddiqi TA, Caligaris JT, Miodovnik M, Holroyde JC, Mimouni F; Rate of spontaneous abortion after first trimester sonographic demonstration of fetal cardiac activity. *Am J Perinatol.*, 1988;5(1): 1-4.
- Timor-tritsch IE, Farine D, Rosen MG; A close look at early embryonic development with the high-frequency transvaginal transducer. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*, 1988; 159(3): 676-681.
- Bree RL, Edwards M, Böhm-vélez M, Beyler S, Roberts J, Mendelson EB; Transvaginalsonography in the evaluation of normal early pregnancy: correlation with HCG level. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, 1989; 153(1): 75-79.
- Kaur A, Kaur A; Transvaginal ultrasonography in first trimester of pregnancy and its comparison with transabdominal ultrasonography. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci.*, 2011; 3(3): 329-338.
- Kucuk T, Duru NK, Yenen MC, Dede M, Ergun A, Baser I; Yolk sac size and shape as predictors of poor pregnancy outcome. *J Perinat Med.*, 1999; 27: 316-320.
- Chittacharoen A, Herabutya Y; Slow fetal heart rate may predict pregnancy outcome in first-trimester threatened abortion. *Fertil Steril.*, 2004; 82(1): 227-229.
- Doubilet PM, Benson CB; Emergency obstetrical ultrasound. *SeminRoentgenol.*, 1998; 33: 339-450.
- Laboda LA, Eastroff JA, Benacerraf BR; First trimester bradycardia: A sign of impending foetal loss. *J Ultrasound Med.*, 1989; 8: 561-563.
- Doubilet PM, Benson CB, Chow JS; Long-term prognosis of pregnancies complicated by slow embryonic heart rates in the early first trimester. *J Ultrasound Med.*, 1999;18(8):537-41.
- Nyberg DA, Laing FC, Filly RA; Threatened abortion: Sonographic distinction of normal and abnormal gestation sacs. *Radiology.*, 1986; 158: 397-400.
- Pereda J, Niimi G; Embryonic erythropoiesis in human yolk sac: two different compartments for two different processes. *Microsc Res Tech.*, 2008; 71(12): 856-862.
- Kurtz AB, Needleman L, Pennell RG, Baltarowich O, Vilaro M, Goldberg BB; Can detection of the yolk sac in the first trimester be used to predict the outcome of pregnancy? A prospective sonographic study. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, 1992; 158(4): 843-847.

- Lindsay DJI, Lovett IS, Lyons EA, Levi CS, Zheng XH, Holt SC et al.; Yolk sac diameter and shape at endovaginal US: predictors of pregnancy outcome in the first trimester. *Radiology.*, 1992; 183(1): 115-118.
- Berdahl DM, Blaine J, Van Voorhis B, Dokras A; Detection of enlarged yolk sac on early ultrasound is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Fertil Steril.*, 2010; 94(4): 1535-1537.
- Tan S, İpek A, Pektaş MK, Arifoğlu M, Teber MA, Karaoğlanoğlu M; Irregular yolk sac shape: is it really associated with an increased risk of spontaneous abortion? *J Ultrasound Med.*, 2011; 30(1): 31-36.
- Figueras F1, Torrents M, Muñoz A, Comas C, Antolin E, Echevarria M et al.; Three-dimensional yolk and gestational sac volume. A prospective study of prognostic value. *J Reprod Med.*, 2003; 48(4): 252-256.
- Moradan S, Forouzesfar M; Are abnormal yolk sac characteristics important factors in abortion rates? *Int J Fertil Steril.*, 2012; 6(2): 127-130.
- Schats R, Jansen CA, Wladimiroff JW; Embryonic heart activity: appearance and development in early human pregnancy. *Br J ObstetGynaecol.*, 1990; 97(11): 989-994.
- Howe RS, Isaacson KJ, Albert JL, Coutifaris CB; Embryonic heart rate in human pregnancy. *J Ultrasound Med.*, 1991; 10(7): 367-371.
- Achiron R, Tadmor O, Mashiah S; Heart rate as a predictor of first-trimester spontaneous abortion after ultrasound-proven viability. *Obstet Gynecol.*, 1991; 78: 330-334.
- Merchiers EH, Dhont M, De sutter PA, Beghin CJ, Vandekerckhove DA; Predictive value of early embryonic cardiac activity for pregnancy outcome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*, 1991; 165(1): 11-14.
- Montenegro N, Ramos C, Matias A, Barros H; Variation of embryonic/fetal heart rate at 6-13 weeks' gestation. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.*, 1998; 11(4): 274-276.
- May DA, Sturtevant NV; Embryonic heart rate as a predictor of pregnancy outcome: a prospective analysis. *J Ultrasound Med.*, 1991; 10(10): 591-593.
- Papaioannou GK, Syngelaki A, Maiz N, Ross JA, Nicolaidis KH; Sonographic markers of aneuploidies at 6-10 weeks of gestation. *Early Hum Dev.*, 2011; 87(7): 453-456.
- Oztek D, Oztekin O, Aydal FI, Tinar S, Adibelli ZH; Embryonic heart rate as a prognostic factor for chromosomal abnormalities. *J Ultrasound Med.*, 2009; 28(5): 609-614.