ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER
Volume - 9 | Issue - 6 | June - 2020 | PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8179 | DOI : 10.36106/ijsr
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

A DOUBLE BLIND COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN INTRATHECAL BUPIVACAINE AND INTRATHECAL FENTANYL AS THE INITIAL DOSE OF COMBINED SPINAL EPIDURAL TECHNIQUE FOR LABOUR ANALGESIA.

Anaesthesiology

Dr. Rekha Kumari M.B.B.S., M.D. (Anaes.), Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesia, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar.

Dr. Madiha Shadab* M.B.B.S., DNB (Anaes.), Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesia, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar. *Corresponding Author

Dr. Anil Kumar Additional Professor, Department of Gen. Surgery, AIIMS, Patna, Bihar.

Dr. Shafqat Afrin Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar.

Dr. Debarshi Jana Young Scientist (DST), Institute of Post-Graduate Medical Education and Research, A.J.C. Bose Road, Kolkata-700020, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Labour analgesia techniques is the most widely practised procedures of pain management performed by an anaesthesiologist and are requested by the obstetrician colleagues as well as the parturient mothers. Presently, Combined Spinal Epidural (CSE) is the most popular and effective technique of Labour Analgesia to render the mother pain free. This technique has two parts. Our study is primarily concerned with the first part of CSE for the comparison of drugs. The aim of the study was to compare the efficacy between a small and fixed doses Fentanyl (25µgm) and Hyperbaric 0.5% Bupivacaine (2.5mg) as the initial step of CSE technique for labour analgesia.

Material and methods: This double blind study aims to compare the efficacy between a intrathecal fixed dose of lipophilic opioid i.e, Fentanyl (25µgm) and a fixed dose of local anaesthetic hyperbaric 0.5% Bupivacaine (2.5mg) as the initial step to establish labour analgesia. 60 parturient will be divided into two group. Group I will receive intrathecal Fentanyl and Group II will receive intrathecal Bupivacaine. Onset, quality and quantity of pain relief achieved following intrathecal injection of drugs will be taken into account whereas incidence of side-effects like motor paralysis, hypotension, pruritus, foetal bradycardia & respiratory depression will be noted and compared. This will indicate which drug will be more preferable as a sole drug for the initial intrathecal component of CSE technique for labour analgesia.

Results: The shortest time of onset of analgesia in group I was 60sec and in group II was 40sec which was statistically significant ($p<0.05$). The average duration to reach maximum analgesia in Group I was 5.93 ± 1.68 min and in Group II was 5.30 ± 1.31 min.Whereas the duration of analgesia was found varying widely. It was 54±15.16 minutes in Group I whereas 73.5±14.74 minutes in Group II. The duration ranged from 25 minutes to 80 minutes in Group I and 35 minutes to 100 minutes in Group II. This difference was statistically significant ($p=0.000005$).The significant side effect noted was pruritus (56.67%) in Group I and motor paralysis (80%) and hypotension (6.67%) in Group II.

Conclusion: A small dose of Fentanyl is preferable to a similar small dose of Bupivacaine for the initial intrathecal administration as a part of Combined Spinal Epidural labour analgesia technique as muscle weakness prevents the mother from taking active part in second stage of labour and hypotension is non desirable.

KEYWORDS

Analgesia, Fentanyl, Heavy bupivacaine, Labour, Motor Weakness

INTRODUCTION

Labour pain brings in a spectrum of adverse physical implications to the mother as well to the foetus. Apart from special situations, pain can complicate the delivery process to an otherwise healthy mother with normal foetus. Over the ages many techniques to relieve labour pain have been tried like physical, psychological and pharmacological means but none has been so efficacious and successful than the present day's technique of Combined Spinal Epidural (CSE) Analgesia. However, there is always a chance of further improvement. Combined Spinal Epidural technique provides effective and timely labour analgesia particularly for women in active labour. CSE technique consists of a spinal injection of a small dose of local anaesthetics and/or lipophilic opioids (usually Fentanyl or Sufentanil), followed by introduction of catheter into epidural space for maintaining of analgesia by extradural drug administration.

Studies are available to use only a narcotic or a combination of a narcotic plus local anaesthetic for the initial intrathecal administration in the CSE technique of labour analgesia but few studies have compared the efficacy between a narcotic and a local anaesthetic alone for this purpose.This double blind study aims to compare the efficacy between a fixed dose of lipophilic opioid i.e, Fentanyl (25µgm) and local anaesthetic hyperbaric 0.5% Bupivacaine (2.5mg) as the initial step to establish analgesia given intrathecal and to compare which drug is more preferable as a sole drug for the initial intrathecal component of CSE technique for labour analgesia.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of the study was to compare the efficacy between a small and fixed doses Fentanyl (25µgm) and Hyperbaric 0.5% Bupivacaine (2.5mg) being the sole drug for intrathecal injection as the initial step of CSE technique for labour analgesia with the following objectives to compare the onset, quality and duration of their analgesic action and to compare the incidence of unwanted effects like muscle weakness, hypotension, pruritus, nausea/vomiting and foetal bradycardia by the individual drugs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This double blind randomised controlled study was done in the Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar. The sample size was calculated to be 60 on the basis of analgesia duration as the primary outcome measure from the literature search for the variation in the studied data. It was calculated that 23 subjects would be required per group in order to detect a difference of 30 min in analgesia duration with 90% power and 5% probability of Type I error. This calculation assumed a standard deviation of 30 minutes for analgesia duration. Rounding off, the recruitment target was kept at 30 subjects per group.
Inclusion criteria: ASA grade I and II Parturient undergoing delivery at CH (EC), aged between18 and 35 years.

Exclusion criteria: Patient refusal, Local infection of the back, Coagulopathy or anticoagulant therapy, Hypovolemia-haemorrhage or shock, Previous caesarean section for contracted pelvis and inadequate supervision. Other relative exclusion criteria are; pre-existing neurological disease & severe deformity of spine.

The design was a double blind study to avoid bias by the principal worker. A third party who was not directly connected to this study prepared two different intrathecal Injection, Groups of I & II. Injection of Group I contained 25µg of Fentanyl in 0.5ml of solution whereas Injection of Group II contained 2.5 mg of 0.5 ml of 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine.

The patient were divided into two groups by allocating them a random number by a computer generated table.

Principal worker was handed over either of the drug groups each time at the beginning of each case without disclosing the identity of the drug. Results was compiled under each group of the drugs and analysed finally.

The mother was explained about the concept of painless delivery of the baby and the benefits of it. Obstetrician colleagues were discussed about the case and their concurrence was obtained. Informed-consent from the patient was obtained for labour analgesia.

Labour analgesia was made available to any women who requested for pain-relief and does not exhibit a specific contra-indication. Maternal BP, Pulse, $SpaO_2$, cervical dilatation and state of labour, fetal heart rate were recorded. The mother was made comfortable in lateral position, preferable on left side. A good IV access was established and about 500ml of ringer lactate was transfused. Timing of the procedure depend on the request of the mother who was in labour. Generally, she was 3-4 cm dilated. It may be even earlier with specific indications like pre-eclampsia, in co- ordinate uterine action etc.

After taking proper aseptic precaution, 27G Quincke's spinal needle was inserted into subarachnoid space at L2-3/L3-4 intervertebral space. After return of clear CSF, patient received a single intrathecal injection(IT) of study drug. The time of the intrathecal injection was noted and the monitoring of clinical parameters for analgesia & side effects according to the study-protocol was initiated. As soon as the patient become comfortable and more co-operative, Tuohy needle was inserted into epidural space at either of the above spaces via midline approach using the loss of resistance technique and an epidural catheter was inserted 3-5 cm into the epidural space and was secured. If there was a failure to achieve desired analgesia following intrathecal injection or after getting a good relief following the injection, she again becomes uncomfortable of uterine contractions this study concludes there. She was given the epidural top up of 10-15 ml of 0.125% of Bupivacaine with 20µg of Fentanyl as standard institutional protocol and it was repeated sos till delivery of the baby. An observer (ie, principal worker) blinded to the treatment group, performed all post-intrathecal injection assessments. Onset of analgesia was enquired from the patient following the first few uterine contractions after the injection and time was recorded. She was also requested to tell when she became totally or maximum pain-free. This was also noted for comparison of the drug groups later. If she becomes totally pain-free, then duration of such period was noted. If she does not become pain-free or comfortable, then this study was terminated and first top up of conventional epidural dose of drug was administered and managed accordingly. For quantification of the pain, the conventional verbal rating scores (VRS) were employed. In VRS system of scoring; 0= No pain, 1= mild pain, 2= moderate pain, 3= severe pain, 4= intolerable pain. It was enquired verbally from the patient. VRS score 1 and anything more would merit for further supplementation of analgesia by epidural topping.

Maternal hemodynamic monitoring following the injection was continued. Onset of anaesthesia was noted on abdomen and lower limb by touching with cotton and hot/cold water. Parturient was examined at intervals for any motor paralysis by Modified Bromage scale and recorded. (Modified Bromage scale (0–3) 0- able to straight leg raise (SLR) and flex both feet and knees; 1- unable to SLR, able to flex knees and feet; 2- unable to SLR or flex knees, able to flex feet; and 3-unable to move legs or feet.)

Occurrence of maternal hypotension and bradycardia were noted and treated with IV fluids, vasopressors or vagolytic drugs. Fetal bradycardia was treated by giving oxygen to mother, avoiding aorto-caval compression and switching off oxytocin drip etc. Other common side effects like nausea and vomiting, were noted and treated with IV Ondansetron. Pruritus, if excessive was treated with Hydrocortisone 100 mg IV.

Parameters Studied
1. Onset of action (sec).
2. Time to reach maximum analgesia (min)
3. Duration of analgesia
4. Systolic BP/ mean BP(mmHg).
5. Maternal and Fetal Heart Rate(/min).
6. Motor weakness.
7. Other complications (if any)

STATISTICALANALYSIS

Data from all 60 parturient were analysed. The statistical analysis was done by Software Statistica version 6 [Tulsa, Oklahoma: StatSoft Inc., 2001]. Non-parametric data were analysed using the chi-square or Mann-Whitney U tests, and parametric data were analysed with the Student's t test.

RESULTS

The table 1 shows the maternal demographic of parturient of both group. The parturient demographic were comparable in terms of Age, weight, parity and ASA grade.

The state of cervical dilatation (cm) at the time of intrathecal administration of the drug in both the groups was between 3-5cm (Table 2). No statistical difference was found between the two groups ($p=0.26$).

The VRS score at the time of intrathecal administration of the drug was found from verbal enquiry of patients. The average VRS score in group I was 3.3 ± 0.59 and in group II was 3.4 ± 0.57 (Table 3). There was no statistically significant difference between two group ($p=0.33$).

The speed of onset of analgesia (sec) in study groups was noted from lessening in howling of mother and from enquiring her regarding initiation of reduction in their perception of pre-existing pain using VRS, following administration of the study drug. The shortest time of onset found were 60 sec with Group I and 40 sec in group II (Table 4, Fig 1). The average speed of onset was early in group II. This difference was statistically significant ($p = 0.000001$) by Mann-Whitney U Test ($p< 0.05$).

The time to reach maximum analgesia (in minutes) was found from the maximum reduction in the pain score in individual patient after administration of intrathecal drug. This was observed between 4 to 10 minutes after administration of either drug in all patients (Table 5). The average duration was 5.93 ± 1.68 min in group I and 5.30 ± 1.31 min in group II. The difference between time to reach maximum analgesia between two groups was not statistically significant ($p=0.12$). Whereas the duration of analgesia was found varying widely. It was 54±15.16 minutes in Group I whereas 73.5±14.74 minutes in Group II (Table 6). The duration ranged from 25 minutes to 80 minutes in Group I and 35 minutes to 100 minutes in Group II. This difference of duration of analgesia between two groups was statistically significant ($p=0.000005$) by Student's unpaired t test ($p< 0.05$).

The side effects encountered were noted with either group of drugs and did not require any active management. The table 7 summarises the side effects encountered in this study. The incidence of pruritus in group I was 17 (56.67%) whereas it is none in group II. There was significant statistical difference in pruritus between two groups ($p<0.001$) by Fisher's exact test 2-tailed. The incidence of motor weakness in group II was 24 (80%) whereas none in group I and was statistically significant ($p< 0.001$) by Chi square test 9 with yate's correction. Other side effects difference between two groups were not statistically significant.

Table -1 : Demographic Data

Parameters	Group I	Group II	P value
Age (Years)	24.36±3.02	24.26±2.62	0.89
Body Weight (Kg)	60.16±5.37	61.73±6.06	0.29
Parity (Primi-Multi)	24:6	26:4	0.731
ASA grade (I:II)	28:2	28:2	1.00

Table -2 : State of cervical dilatation (cm) at the time of intrathecal administration of drug

Group	n	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
I	30	3	5	3.6	0.72
II	30	3	5	3.33	0.54

Table -3 : State of VRS score at the time of intrathecal administration of drug

Group	n	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
I	30	2	4	3.0	0.59
II	30	2	4	3.5	0.57

Table – 4 : The speed of onset of analgesia (in sec.) distribution in study group

Group	n	Shortest (Minimum) time of onset	Delayed (Maximum) time of onset	Mean Time of onset	Median	Std. Dev.
I	30	60	150	96.66	90.0	29.51
II	30	40	100	60.33	60.0	15.86

Table – 5 : The time of reach maximum analgesia (in minutes) : distribution in study group

Group	n	Shortest time (Min mum)	Longest time (Maximum)	Mean	Median	Std. Dev.
I	30	4	10	5.93	5.00	1.68
II	30	4	10	5.30	5.00	1.31

Table-6: Duration of analgesia (minutes) in study groups

Group	n	Shortest time (Min mum)	Longest time (Maximum)	Mean	Std. Dev.
I	30	25	80	54	15.16
II	30	35	100	73.5	14.74

Table-7: Comparison of foeto-maternal complications

	Group I (N=30)	Group II (N=30)
*Pruritus	17 (56.67%)	0
**Nausea/vomiting	3(10%)	2(6.67%)
***Motor weakness	0	24(80%)
Shivering	0	0
Pdph	0	0
****Hypotension	0	2(6.67%)
*****Respiratory depression	0	0
Foetal bradycardia	0	0

DISCUSSION

Labour analgesia techniques, at the present time, are some of the most widely practised procedures performed by an anaesthesiologist and are requested by the obstetrician colleagues as well as the parturient mothers from the labour room. The newer methods of pain relief has not only brought joy and pleasure to the mother but also bring in a host of advantages and safety to her as well as to her offspring. This has become possible because of the most modern technique of Combined Spinal Epidural analgesia and using very minute dose of potent drugs by which some of the drawbacks are avoided while taking the advantages of their analgesic properties. The most popular among the drug groups used now a days for the purpose of labour analgesia are the potent lipophilic narcotics like Fentanyl / Sufentanyl and long-acting local anaesthetics like Bupivacaine / Ropivacaine. Both these group of drugs are known to produce rapid onset of analgesic action. But their inherent side effects are the matter of concern. This study is done to compare the onset, quality and duration of analgesic action between the initial intrathecal injection of Bupivacaine and Fentanyl during CSE labour analgesia. It also compares the incidence of unwanted effect.

Both the Groups had similar baseline pain scores i.e mean VRS before intrathecal administration of the drug and results are comparable to each other. All the mothers in each group experienced lessening of pain quickly as evidenced by decrease in the volume of their screams and admitted the relief of pain on enquiry. This beginning of the relief was recorded as onset of analgesia.

The average time of onset of analgesia was significantly early with Bupivacaine at 60.33 seconds compared to Fentanyl which was 96.66 seconds. This statistically significant difference was however not significant clinically because a difference of about a half-a-minute was very short interval in a painful state.

The time of reaching maximum analgesia was considered when VRS attended '0' in each case. The mean time to reach maximum analgesia was 5.86 minute in Fentanyl Group compared to 5.30 minute in Bupivacaine and the difference was not very significant. Thus both the group provides good quality of analgesia as parturient was pain free. In the study done by Gary M stocks et al, onset time of '0'(VRS) analgesia was attended in 8.8 minute in parturient receiving 2.5 mg Bupivacaine IT and was 8.6 min in parturient receiving 2.5 mg Bupivacaine plus 25µgm Fentanyl. The study done by B.B. Lee et al found onset was within 5-10 min in parturient receiving 2.5 mg Bupivacaine plus 25µgm Fentanyl and receiving 1.25 mg Bupivacaine plus 25µgm Fentanyl IT. The study done by Craig M. Palmer found that pain score was significantly lower at 2.5 min in the different groups receiving different doses of fentanyl and bupivacaine IT. So, the present study result were also comparable to other studies.

Duration of analgesia was the time period from the onset of analgesia after intrathecal administration of the drug till the first epidural top-up required by the mother. This difference in duration found in present study was significant and in favour of Bupivacaine. The study done by Evangeline H.L.Lim et al found duration of analgesia was 100.1 ± 57.2 minute in parturient receiving 25µg Fentanyl with normal saline, 129.5 ±48.5 min in 25µg Fentanyl plus 1.25 mg heavy Bupivacaine and 100.4 ± 50.0 min in 25µg Fentanyl plus 1.25 mg plain Bupivacaine. In the study done by Buvanendran Asokumar et al the duration after the IT dose was longer with the combination for 25µg of Fentanyl + 2.5 mg of Bupivacaine (94.5 min) Group than compared with groups of single dose of fentanyl and bupivacaine IT. The study done by Lt Daniel C. Celeski et al found that duration was 95.62 ± 43.3 minute in group receiving 25µg Fentanyl, 105.78 ± 46.8 minute in group receiving 37.5µg Fentanyl and 99.24 ±42.6 min in group receiving 50µg Fentanyl. Another study done by Craig M. Palmer et al found duration of analgesia in the 25µg Fentanyl- Bupivacaine 2.5mg group was 108 ± 20 min which was longer than the groups receiving 25µg Fentanyl-only (92 ± 23 min) and 25µg Fentanyl- Bupivacaine 1.25 (94±25 min). Thus, in the present study difference in duration of analgesia between two groups were significant and similar differences were also found in other studies.

REFERENCES

1. Ayman Rofaeel, Suzanne Lilker, Shafagh Fallah, Eric Goldszmidt, Jose Carvalho. Intrathecal plain vs hyperbaric Bupivacaine for labour analgesia: efficacy and side effects. Can J Anesth 2007;54:15–20.
2. B. B. Lee, W. D. Ngan Kee, V. Y. S. Hung, E. L. Y. Wong. Combined spinal-epidural analgesia in labour: comparison of two doses of intrathecal bupivacaine with fentanyl. Br J Anaesth 1999;83:868-71.
3. Buvanendran Asokumar, L. Michael Newman, Robert McCarthy, Anthony D. Ivankovich, Kenneth J. Tuman. lntrathecal bupivacaine reduces pruritus and prolongs duration of fentanyl Analgesia during labor:A Prospective, Randomized Controlled Trial. Anesth Analg 1998;87:1309-15.
4. C. Loubert, A. Hinova, R. Fernando. Update on modern neuraxial analgesia in labour: a review of the literature of the last 5 years. Anaesthesia 2011;66:191–212.
5. Clarke VT, Smiley RM, Finster M: Uterine hyperactivity after intrathecal injection of Fentanyl for analgesia during labor: A cause of fetal bradycardia? Anesthesiology 1994; 81:1083.
6. Collis RE, Baxandall ML, Srikantharajah ID, Edge G, Kadim MY, Morgan BM. Combined spinal epidural (CSE) analgesia: technique, management, and outcome of 300 mothers. International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia 1994;3:75–81.
7. Collis RE, Davies DW, Aveling W. Randomised comparison of combined spinal-epidural and standard epidural analgesia in labour. Lancet 1995;345:1413–6
8. Craig M. Palmer, Gretchen Van Maren, Wallace M. Nogami, Diane Alves, R. N. Bupivacaine augments intrathecal fentanyl for labor analgesia. Anesthesiology 1999; 91:84-9.
9. Evangeline H. L. Lim, Alex T.H. Sia, Kahoe Wong, Hsiao Ming Tan. Addition of bupivacaine 1.25 mg to fentanyl confers no advantage over fentanyl alone for intrathecal analgesia in early labour. Can J Anesth 2000;49:57–61.
10. Gary M. Stocks, Stephen P. Hallworth, Roshan Fernando, Adrian J. England, Malachy O. Columb, Gordon Lyons. Minimum local analgesic dose of intrathecal bupivacaine in labor and the effect of intrathecal fentanyl. Anesthesiology 2001;94:593–8.
11. Lt Daniel. C. Celeski, Cdr Lewis Heindel, Cdr Charles Vacchiano. Effect of intrathecal fentanyl dose on the duration of labor analgesia. AANA journal 1999;67:239-244.
12. Norris MC. Are combined spinal-epidural catheters reliable? International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia 2000;9:3–6.
13. Palmer CM, Cork RC, Hays R, Van Maren G, Alves D: The dose-response relation of intrathecal fentanyl for labor analgesia. Anesthesiology 1998;88:355–61.
14. Smith CA, Collins CT, Cyna AM, Crowther CA. Complementary and alternative therapies for pain management in labour. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2006;18:CD003521.