



COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF IRRIGANTS ON THE FRACTURE RESISTANCE OF ENDODONTICALLY TREATED ROOTS - AN IN VITRO STUDY

Dental Science

Dr. Vijay Ugale*	Part III MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MGVS K.B.H. Dental College and Hospital, Nashik. *Corresponding Author
Dr. Surekha Rathod	Part II MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MGVS K.B.H. Dental College and Hospital, Nashik.
Dr. Swapnil Kolhe	Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MGVS K.B.H. Dental College and Hospital, Nashik.
Dr. Gayatri Aher	Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MGVS K.B.H. Dental College and Hospital, Nashik.
Dr. Meenal Gulve	Professor & Head of the department, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MGVS K.B.H. Dental College and Hospital, Nashik.
Dr. Parag Nehete	Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MGVS K.B.H. Dental College and Hospital, Nashik.

ABSTRACT

Mechanical instrumentation produces a layer of debris on the root canal walls called the smear layer. To remove smear layer and to kill microorganisms, irrigating solutions are used. This process can cause changes in the microstructure of the dentin which affect the fracture resistance of teeth. Aim of this in vitro study to evaluate and compare the effect of final irrigants such as 5.25% sodium hypochlorite followed by 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and Chloroquick on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated roots.

Material and Methods: Thirty human single rooted mandibular premolar teeth were decoronated to standardize root length and working length was determined. Canal preparation was carried out using ProTaper Universal rotary file system upto F3 file. After biomechanical preparation, teeth were randomly divided into three groups ($n = 10$) according to the type of final irrigant used. Group I: normal saline (control); Group II: 5.25% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) + 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA); Group III: Chloroquick solution. Final irrigation was performed using respected irrigant for 5 min with syringe and needle. Thereafter, roots were obturated with ProTaper Universal F3 Guttapercha and AH Plus sealer using a single cone technique. Fracture resistance of specimen was tested using Universal Testing Machine. Force necessary to fracture each root was recorded in Newtons (N). The peak load to fracture the sample was recorded. Results were evaluated statistically with one-way analysis of variance and *post hoc* Tukey test.

Results: Group I i.e. control showed the highest fracture resistance (753 ± 174.64) followed by Group III i.e. Chloroquick (714 ± 159.18). Least fracture resistance was found with Group II i.e. 5.25% NaOCl + 17% EDTA (689 ± 109.07).

Conclusions: Chloroquick can be used as an alternative to 5.25% NaOCl + 17% EDTA with less impact on the mineral content and fracture resistance of root dentin.

KEYWORDS

Chloroquick; Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; fracture resistance; smear layer.

INTRODUCTION

Successful endodontic therapy depends on the quality of instrumentation, irrigation, disinfection and three-dimensional obturation of the root canal system.^{1,2} Biomechanical preparation is one of the most important factors for successful root canal treatment and determines the efficacy of all subsequent procedures. Mechanical instrumentation of the root canal either using hand or rotary instruments produce a layer of debris on the root canal wall called the smear layer.³ This amorphous irregular layer contains both organic and inorganic components like vital or necrotic pulp tissue, odontoblastic process, microorganisms and dentin chips.^{4,5}

Smear layer harbors bacteria which covers the prepared canal walls, occludes the orifices of the dentinal tubules and limits effective action of irrigants and intracanal medicaments, so it is generally recommended that this smear layer should be removed.⁶ Several methods have been recommended for removal of smear layer such as ultrasonic instruments, lasers and chelating agents.⁶ The use of irrigants during biomechanical preparation to clean all aspects of the root canal system, to remove smear layer, residual tissue and to kill microorganisms is central to successful endodontic treatment. Irrigation is complementary to instrumentation in facilitating the removal of pulp tissue and microorganisms.⁷

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) with a concentration ranging between 1%-5.25% is the most widely used irrigant in root canal treatment because of its antimicrobial activity and the capability to dissolve organic tissues but NaOCl alone does not effectively remove the smear layer.⁸ Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is a potent chelating agent which removes inorganic portion of smear layer. Studies have

shows that combination of 5.25% NaOCl and 17% EDTA is very effective in removal of smear layer.^{9,10}

Etidronic acid or HEBP (1-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-bisphosphonate) has been suggested as a possible alternative to EDTA.¹¹ Recently, a new combination of 18% etidronic acid with 5% NaOCl has been commercially available as "Chloroquick" which is available in 2vial system.

However, this final irrigation process can cause changes in the microstructure of the dentin and calcium to phosphorus ratio. Change in mineral content ratio may alter the original proportion of organic and inorganic components of the dentin. This can result in a reduction of modulus of elasticity and flexure strength which have a deleterious effect on the microhardness and the fracture resistance of teeth.¹²

Hence, the aim of this in-vitro study was to evaluate and compare the effect of etidronic acid-based irrigating solution with others irrigants on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated roots.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample selection

Thirty extracted human single-rooted mandibular premolar teeth for an orthodontic treatment purpose or periodontal purpose were used in this study. Teeth were stored in normal saline until further preparation.

Teeth preparation

The crown of each tooth was sectioned perpendicular to the long axis of the root below the cemento-enamel junction using a diamond disc (Summadiisk, Shofu Inc., Japan) under a water coolant so that the

length of root was adjusted to 13 mm. Canals were negotiated with stainless steel number 10 K file (Mani, Japan). Working length was established 1mm short of the apical foramen.

Canal preparation technique

The root canals were prepared using ProTaper Universal (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) rotary file system with torque controlled endodontic motor (X-smart, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer's specifications. Each canal was prepared up to an apical preparation of #F3 in a crown down manner. During biomechanical preparation, the canals were irrigated with 2 ml of 3% NaOCl (Vishal dentocare Pvt., Ltd., Gujrat, India) was used as an irrigant after each instrument change in all experimental groups. This procedure was followed by irrigation with saline solution.

Final irrigation

The final irrigating solutions were delivered through 5 ml syringe (Becton Dickinson, India) and 27-gauge needle (Aman medical, Daman, India) within 1 mm of the working length for 5 min.

All specimen teeth were randomly divided into three groups according to the type of final irrigant used.

Group I control (n=10): 10 samples were irrigated with 5 ml of 0.9% normal saline solution (Marck Biosciences Ltd., Goa, India) for 5 min.

Group II (n=10): 10 samples were irrigated with 2.5 ml of 5.25% NaOCl (Vishal Dentocare Pvt. Ltd., Gujrat, India) followed by 2.5 ml of 17% EDTA (Prime Dental Products Pvt. Ltd., Maharashtra, India) for 5 min.

Group III (n=10): 10 samples were irrigated with 5 ml of freshly prepared Chloroquick solution which is available in 2-val system (Neelkanth Dental and Surgical Factory, Jodhpur, India) for 5 min.

Obturation

The specimens were dried with #F3 paper points (Sure-endo, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) and obturated with ProTaper #F3 Guttapercha (Sure-endo, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) and AH Plus (Dentsply DeTrey, Konstanz, Germany) root canal sealer using a single cone technique. Excess coronal Guttapercha was removed with a hot ball burnisher 1 mm below canal opening and sealed with e-Temp (DiaDent). Specimens were stored in an incubator at 37°C and 100% humidity for 7 days to allow the sealer to set completely.

Fracture resistance testing

Specimens from all groups were prepared for test assembly. The apical 5 mm of roots were embedded along the long axis in self-curing acrylic blocks with 8 mm of each root exposed. Fracture resistance of the specimen was tested using a Universal Testing Machine. (Fig. 1) A custom made stainless steel loading indenter with a round tip ($r = 2$ mm) was used to deliver force directed along the long axis of the tooth. The load increased at the rate of 1 mm/min until fracture occurred. The fracture was evidenced by an audible crack and/or a sudden drop in load as seen on the graph. The peak load necessary to fracture each root was recorded in Newton (N).




Fig. 1: Samples mounted on Universal Testing Machine

Statistical analysis

The data were then analyzed statistically using one-way ANOVA and the inter group comparison of means was conducted using a *post hoc* Tukey's multiple comparison test. Significance was established at $p < 0.05$ level.

RESULTS

The mean fracture resistance and standard deviation values for all groups were listed in Table 1. Highest mean fracture resistance was recorded in the unprepared roots group, i.e., Group I (753 N). Among the tested groups the lowest mean fracture resistance was recorded in Group II (689 N) and more fracture resistance is shown by Group III (714 N). Statistically significant results were obtained. The difference in mean fracture resistance between the groups (I-II and II-III) was found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) showed in Table 2.

Table 1: Mean Fracture Resistance (n) Among Groups

GROUPS	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	MEDIAN (N)	STANDARD DEVIATION
I- CONTROL	10	753	174.64
II- 5.25% NaOCL+17% EDTA	10	689	109.07
III- Chloroquick	10	714	159.18

Table 2: Inter Group Comparison

GROUPS	p- Value	Significance
I-II	0.042	Significant
I-III	0.096	Not significant
II-III	0.032	Significant

DISCUSSION

During root canal treatment, instrumentation can create a smear layer containing microorganisms, debris, organic and inorganic materials which create obstacles in the treatment procedure by blocking the dentinal tubules. Complete removal of this smear layer causes penetration of medicaments and root canal filling materials into the dentinal tubules.¹² The main process that helps to remove this smear layer and other dentinal debris is irrigation. Combination of irrigants are recommended to remove organic and inorganic material from canal.¹⁴ Current concepts of chemomechanical preparation suggest that chelating agents should be applied on instrumented root canal surfaces to remove the inorganic components of the smear layer.¹²

Singlerooted teeth with similar dimensions were used in the study to standardize the experimental samples. Length differences were compensated for by decoronating the teeth to a standardized root length of 13 mm. Biomechanical preparation was performed with ProTaper Universal rotary files in a crown down manner as this technique allows for adequate cleaning and penetration of irrigant to the apical third of the root canal. Single cone technique of obturation was used in the study as it excluded both the wedging forces of the spreaders during lateral compaction and the excessive dentin removal required to facilitate the plugger's insertion during vertical compaction.¹⁵ To control volume and flow, all the samples were irrigated using needle and syringe technique.¹⁰

A custom made stainless steel fixture with circular tip of radius 2 mm was used to apply a compressive force in a vertical direction at the rate of 1 mm/min. Studies have reported that applying the force vertically to the long axis of the tooth transmits the force uniformly.¹² Therefore, in the present study, a single load to fracture was applied vertically to evaluate the fracture resistance of endodontically treated roots.

In this study, results showed that the Group I containing normal saline i.e. control group had the highest fracture resistance compared with other experimental groups. Saline was used as control (Group I) as it does not alter the Ca/P ratio of the root dentin.¹⁶ Hence it showed significantly higher fracture resistance.

The Group II containing 5.25% NaOCl followed by 17% EDTA had the minimal fracture resistance strength. 17% EDTA is a strong chelating agent that reacts with calcium ions in root canal dentine forming calcium chelates enhancing adaptation of filling material to root canal dentine. On other hand, it results in excessive erosion of peritubular and intertubular dentine that decreases microhardness of root dentine and it also interacts with NaOCl decreasing its antimicrobial effect through reducing the free available chlorine.¹¹ Hence Group II showed significantly least fracture resistance. According to Deus G *et al.* 17% EDTA is stronger in smear layer dissolution, but it has erosive effects on dentin.¹⁷ Cruz-Filho *et al.* also reported that EDTA solutions at varying concentrations significantly reduce the dentin hardness compared with distilled water.¹⁶

Among all other study groups, the Group III containing Chloroquick

showed the higher fracture resistance strength than Group II. 18% etidronic acid used in combination with 5% NaOCl without interfering its antimicrobial action.¹⁸ It is also weak chelating agent and have minimum effect on Ca/P ratio. Therefore large intertubular dentin surface area is available for hybridization and it is less erosive than EDTA.¹⁹ Hence Group III (Chloroquick) showed significantly higher fracture resistance than Group II. Dineshkumar MK *et al.* found that HEDP treated root dentin showed the highest microhardness and increased bond strength of resin based sealer to root canal dentin than EDTA.²⁰

CONCLUSION

Within limitations of this study, it can be concluded that Chloroquick can be used as an alternative to combination of 5.25% Na OCl+17% EDTA with less impact on the mineral content and fracture resistance of root dentin.

REFERENCES

1. Sayin TC, Serper A, Cehreli ZC, Otlu HG. The effect of EDTA, EGTA, EDTAC and tetracycline-HCl with and without subsequent NaOCl treatment on the microhardness of root canal dentin. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2007;104:418-24.
2. Dineshkumar MK, Vinothkumar TS, Arathi G, Shanthisree P, Kandaswamy D. Effect of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, MTA, and HEBP as a final rinse on the microhardness of root dentin. *J Conserv Dent* 2012;15:170-3.
3. Wu L, Mu Y, Deng X, Zhang S, Zhou D. Comparison of the effect of four decalcifying agents combined with 60°C 3% sodium hypochlorite on smear layer removal. *J Endod* 2012;38:381-4.
4. Sen BH, Ertürk O, Piskin B. The effect of different concentrations of EDTA on instrumented root canal walls. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2009;108:622-7.
5. Taneja S, Kumari M, Anand S. Effect of QMix, peracetic acid and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on calcium loss and microhardness of root dentine. *J Conserv Dent* 2014;17:155-8.
6. Scelza MF, Pierro V, Scelza P, Pereira M. Effect of three different time periods of irrigation with EDTA-T, EDTA, and citric acid on smear layer removal. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2004;98:499-503.
7. Haapasalo M, Endal U, Zandi H, Coil JM. Eradication of endodontic infection by instrumentation and irrigation solutions. *Endod Topics* 2005;10:77-102.
8. Sena NT, Gomes BP, Vianna ME, Berber VB, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, et al. In vitro antimicrobial activity of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine against selected single species biofilms. *Int Endod J* 2006;39:878-85.
9. Hülsmann M, Heckendorff M, Lennon A. Chelating agents in root canal treatment: mode of action and indications for their use. *Int Endod J* 2003;36:810-30.
10. Patil PH, Gulve MN, Kolhe SJ, Samuel RM, Aher GB. Efficacy of new irrigating solution on smear layer removal in apical third of root canal: A scanning electron microscope study. *J Conserv Dent* 2018;21:190-3.
11. Zehnder M, Schmidlin P, Sener B, Waltimo T. Chelation in root canal therapy reconsidered. *J Endod* 2005;31:817-20.
12. Bhandary S, Kakamari S, Srinivasan R, Chandrappa MM, Nasreen F, Junjanna P. A comparative evaluation of the effect of 8% and 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid exposure for 1 min and 10 min on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated roots: An in vitro study. *J Conserv Dent* 2017;20:21-4.
13. Violich DR, Chandler NP. The smear layer in endodontics: a review. *Int Endod J* 2010;43:2-15.
14. Kandaswamy D, Venkateshbabu N. Root canal irrigants. *J Conserv Dent* 2010;13:256-64.
15. Ghoneim AG, Lutfy RA, Sabet NE, Fayyad DM. Resistance to fracture of roots obturated with novel canal filling systems. *J Endod* 2011;37:1590-2.
16. Cruz-Filho AM, Sousa-Neto MD, Saquy PC, Pécora JD. Evaluation of the effect of EDTAC, CDTA, and EGTA on radicular dentin microhardness. *J Endod* 2001;27:183-4.
17. De-Deus G, Paciornik S, Mauricio MH. Evaluation of the effect of EDTA, EDTAC and citric acid on the microhardness of root dentine. *Int Endod J* 2006;39:401-07.
18. Girard S, Paqué F, Badertscher M, Sener B, Zehnder M. Assessment of a gel type chelating preparation containing 1-hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-bisphosphonate. *Int Endod J* 2005;38:810-6.
19. De-Deus G, Zehnder M, Reis C, Fidel S, Fidel RA, Galan J Jr, et al. Longitudinal co-site optical microscopy study on the chelating ability of etidronate and EDTA using a comparative single-tooth model. *J Endod* 2008;34:71-5.
20. Dineshkumar MK, Vinothkumar TS, Arathi G, Shanthisree P, Kandaswamy D. Effect of ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid, MTAD™, and HEBP as a final rinse on the microhardness of root dentin. *J Conserv Dent* 2012;15:170-3.