



A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY OF ANTIBIOTIC ABUSE DURING ENDODONTIC TREATMENT IN DENTAL CENTERS IN INDIA

Endodontics

Dr Yumnam Pushpa Devi	MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sardar Patel Postgraduate Institute of Dental and Medical Sciences, Lucknow (UP).
Dr Shahid Ali Wani*	MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sardar Patel Postgraduate Institute of Dental and Medical Sciences, Lucknow (UP). *Corresponding Author
Dr Deepankar Dass	MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sardar Patel Postgraduate Institute of Dental and Medical Sciences, Lucknow (UP).
Dr Ritupriya	MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sardar Patel Postgraduate Institute of Dental and Medical Sciences, Lucknow (UP).

ABSTRACT

Objectives: We evaluated antibiotic prescription practices during root canal treatments among general dentists in private dental clinics in India.

Methods: A self-administered, questionnaire about antibiotics used during root canal treatment was distributed to 75 randomly selected general dental practitioners working in private dental clinics in India, between September and October 2019. The questionnaires were collected one week later.

Results: The results revealed that 60% of the dentists prescribed amoxicillin with clavulanic acid as the first choice treatment for endodontic pathosis. Clindamycin (51.6%) was the first choice for patients who were allergic to penicillin. Forty-five percent of the general practitioners prescribed antibiotics for 5 days. Approximately 83.3% of general practitioners prescribed antibiotics for acute apical abscesses. Prophylactic antibiotics were prescribed for cases with a history of infective endocarditis (65.5%), noncontrolled diabetes (60.3%), placement of a prosthetic joint in the previous 2 years (46.6%), congenital heart disease (36.2%), and kidney dialysis shunts (34.5%).

Conclusion: This study reveals antibiotic abuse in endodontic treatment practice in private dental clinics in India. General dental practitioners are lacking knowledge regarding the prescription of antibiotics in endodontic treatment and situations requiring prophylactic antibiotics.

KEYWORDS

abuse, antibiotics dental practitioners

INTRODUCTION:

Root canal infections are polymicrobial and predominantly caused by anaerobic bacteria and certain facultative bacteria.[1,2] Although oral infections can contain more than 500 species of bacteria, root canal infections involve 20-40 species, and it is not possible to determine which of these species is the "major" pathogen.[3] Endodontic microorganisms have the ability to form biofilms, which can induce apical periodontitis [4,5] and high levels of drug tolerance.[6] The role of systemic antibiotic therapy in endodontics is limited,[7,8] generally to patients with progressive, diffuse swelling; with systemic signs of infection such as fever, malaise, and lymphadenopathy; or who are medically compromised, such as history of prosthetic cardiac/heart valves and infective endocarditis for whom they are used prophylactically.[7,9] There is no evidence of the benefits of antibiotics for irreversible pulpitis, necrotic pulp, retreatment, or reducing postoperative pain.[10,11] The primary treatment for endodontic infections is removal of the intracanal infection by cleaning and shaping the root canal system during root canal procedures.[12] However, antibiotics continue to be over-prescribed in daily dental practice, without a rational justification.[13,14] Microbial antibiotic resistance and antibiotic abuse vary globally. [15,16] The purpose of this study was to identify the patterns of antibiotic prescriptions among general dental practitioners during root canal treatments in private dental centers in India.

METHODS:

A self-administered, 5-question questionnaire on antibiotic used during root canal treatment was distributed to 75 randomly selected general dental practitioners working in private dental clinics in India, between September and October 2019. A list of general dental practitioners working in private dental clinics in India was obtained from the Dental Council. This list was entered into Excel, and a random number was generated to select a random sample of 75 general dental practitioners. The questionnaires were collected personally one week later. The questionnaire collected information about gender, work experience, year of graduation, and practitioners' preferred choices regarding prescriptions for adult patients, indications for antibiotic use during root canal treatments, and identification of cases requiring prophylactic antibiotics.

To compare results of the collected data, Chi-square test was used.

Statistical analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS:

Of the 75 questionnaires that were distributed, 60 were completed and returned (response rate: 85%; 25 female and 35 male respondents). The most commonly prescribed antibiotic treatment during root canal treatments in the absence of penicillin allergy was amoxicillin with clavulanic acid (Augmentin) (60%), and the most commonly prescribed antibiotic treatment in the presence of penicillin allergy was clindamycin (51.6%) (Table 1). The durations of antibiotic use are for 3 days 18.3%, for 5 days 45%, and for 7 days 36.7%. Acute apical abscesses were the most frequently reported reason for antibiotic prescription, for 83.3% of the general practitioners (Table 2). Prophylactic antibiotic prescriptions are summarized in Table 3.

Table 1 - Frequency of specific antibiotic use during root canal treatment.

Antibiotic	No penicillin allergy (%)	Penicillin allergy (%)
Amoxicillin	18.3	0.0
Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid (Augmentin)	60.0	0.0
Clindamycin (Dalacin C®)	15.0	51.6
Azithromycin (Zithromax®)	1.7	20.0
Metronidazole + Spiramycin (Rodogyl®)	5.0	11.7
Erythromycin	0.0	16.7

Table 2 - Percentages of dental practitioners who prescribed antibiotics for different endodontic conditions.

Endodontic condition antibiotic	Prescription (%)
Irreversible pulpitis	6.7
Pulp necrosis	33.3
Symptomatic apical periodontitis	28.3
Asymptomatic apical periodontitis	13.3
Acute apical abscess	83.3
Chronic apical abscess	35.0
Diffused swelling	63.3

After all root canal treatments	0
During root canal treatments requiring two visits	0
During retreatment	10.0
Patient insistence	1.7

Table 3 - Percentages of patients with different medical conditions who were prescribed prophylactic antibiotics by dental practitioners.

Medical condition	Prophylactic antibiotic prescriptions (%)
History of infective endocarditis	65.5
Non-controlled diabetes	60.3
Congenital heart disease (AV shunt and cardiac valve replacement)	36.2
Prosthetic joint placement in previous 2 years	46.6
Kidney dialysis shunts	34.5

DISCUSSION:

In the present study, when no allergy to penicillin was present, amoxicillin with clavulanic acid (Augmentin) was the most frequently prescribed antibiotic (60%) for root canal treatments by practitioners in private centers in India. Although other studies have reported that amoxicillin is the first-choice antibiotic for patients with no medical allergies,[7,14,17] amoxicillin with clavulanic acid is characterized by a much broader spectrum of activity.

There were no differences in the types of antibiotics prescribed between the female and male general practitioners or based on experience; practitioners want to quickly achieve efficacious results when prescribing antibiotics for endodontic treatment. However, other studies have shown that practitioners with more experience tend to prescribe antibiotics more often than younger practitioners.[18] Clindamycin was the antibiotic of choice for patients with allergies to penicillin (51.6%). Clindamycin is also a wide-spectrum antibiotic and is therefore preferred by general practitioners. In the present study, 18.3% of the general practitioners prescribed antibiotics for 3 days, 45% for 5 days, and 36.7% for 7 days; comparatively, in other studies, general practitioners prescribed antibiotics for 6.92 days, 7.58 days, 10 and 4.26 ± 1.26 days.[19] In general, orofacial infections continue for 3-7 days.[20] and patients undergoing treatment with antibiotics for orofacial infections should be evaluated daily. When a patient's host defenses exhibit sufficient clinical evidence of infection control and the infection is being or is resolved, antibiotic therapy should be terminated;[9] furthermore, the use of antibiotics should not continue for more than 1-2 days after clinical evidence indicates that the infection is certain to resolve or is resolved.[1] The rates at which antibiotics were prescribed for endodontic pathosis varied from 1.7% in cases of patient insistence after root canal treatment to 83.3% in cases of acute apical abscess. Among the sampled practitioners in this study, 6.7% prescribed antibiotics for cases of irreversible pulpitis, which is lower than the percentages reported in other studies. [13,19,21]

This might be due to improved knowledge of general dental practitioners regarding irreversible pulpitis and the risks of antibiotic abuse. The highest rate of antibiotic prescription related to root canal treatment occurred for cases of acute apical abscess (83.3%), compared with 69% in another study.[22]

In conclusions, this study provides important data regarding the patterns of antibiotic prescription related to endodontic treatment by general dental practitioners in private dental centers in India. Antibiotics of the penicillin group remain the first choice for prescription. Furthermore, our findings suggest that antibiotic abuse occurs during routine endodontic treatment and that there are deficiencies in knowledge regarding prescribing antibiotic and appropriate prophylactic antibiotic use. Therefore, a continuing education program is essential to update practitioner knowledge about endodontic pharmacology. There is also a need for further research to evaluate improvements in antibiotic prescription practices during root canal treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Siqueira JF, Rôças IN. Microbiology and treatment of endodontic infections. In: Hargreaves KM, Cohen S, Berman LH, editors. *Cohen's pathways of the pulp*. 11th ed. St Louis: Mosby Elsevier; 2016. p. 599.
2. Dahlen G. Culture-based analysis of endodontic infections. In: Fouad AF, editor. *Endodontic microbiology*. 2nd ed. Ames (IA): Wiley-Blackwell; 2017. p. 51-81.

3. Rôças IN, Siqueira JF Jr. Detection of novel oral species and phylotypes in symptomatic endodontic infections including abscesses. *FEMS Microbiol Letters* 2005; 250: 279-285.
4. Ricucci D, Siqueira JF Jr. Biofilms and apical periodontitis: study of prevalence and association with clinical and histopathologic findings. *J Endod* 2010; 36: 1277-1288.
5. Nair PN. Endodontic biofilm, technology and pulpal regenerative therapy: where do we go from here? *Int Endod J* 2014; 47: 1003-1011.
6. Dufour D, Leung V, Lévesque CM. Bacterial biofilm: structure, function, and antimicrobial resistance. *Endod Topics* 2010; 22: 2-16.
7. Skuicaite N, Peculiene V, Vitkauskienė A, Machiulskienė V. Susceptibility of endodontic pathogens to antibiotics in patients with symptomatic apical periodontitis. *J Endod* 2010; 36: 1611-1616.
8. Aminoshariae A, Kulild JC. Evidence-based recommendations for antibiotic usage to treat endodontic infections and pain: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2016; 147: 186-191.
9. American Association of Endodontists. Use and abuse of antibiotics. *Endodontics: Colleagues for Excellence*. [cited 2020]. Available from URL: https://www.aae.org/uploadedfiles/publications_and_research/endodontics_colleagues_for_excellence_new_sletter/ecfewinter_12final.pdf 10. Agnihotry A, Fedorowicz Z, van Zuuren EJ, Farman AG, Al-Langawi JH. Antibiotic use for irreversible pulpitis. [cited 2020 January 27]. Available from URL: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD004969.pub4/otherversions>
11. Segura-Egea J, Gould K, Şen BH, Jonasson P, Cotti E, Mazzoni A, et al. Antibiotics in Endodontics: A review. [cited 2020 January 16]. Available from URL: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/iej.12741/abstract>
12. Martinho FC, Gomes AP, Fernandes AM, Ferreira NS, Endo MS, Freitas LF, et al. Clinical comparison of the effectiveness of single-file reciprocating systems and rotary systems for removal of endotoxins and cultivable bacteria from primarily infected root canals. *J Endod* 2014; 40: 625-629.
13. Öcek Z, Sahin H, Baksi G, Apaydin S. Development of a rational antibiotic usage course for dentists. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2008; 12: 41-47.
14. Rodríguez-Núñez A, Cisneros-Cabello R, Velasco-Ortega E, Llamas-Carreras JM, Torres-Lagares D, Segura-Egea JJ. Antibiotic use by members of the Spanish Endodontic Society. *J Endod* 2009; 35: 1198-1203.
15. Al-Ahmad A, Ameen H, Pelz K, Karygianni L, Wittmer A, Anderson AC, et al. Antibiotic resistance and capacity for biofilm formation of different bacteria isolated from endodontic infections associated with root-filled teeth. *J Endod* 2014; 40: 223-230.
16. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, Zaidi AK, Wertheim HF, Sumpradit N, et al. Antibiotic resistance-the need for global solutions. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2013; 13: 1057-1098.
17. Segura-Egea JJ, Martín-González J, Jiménez-Sánchez MdC, Crespo-Gallardo I, Saúco-Márquez JJ, Velasco-Ortega E. Worldwide pattern of antibiotic prescription in endodontic infections. *Int Dent J* 2020; 17: doi: 10.1111/idj.12287.
18. Skučaitė N, Pečiulienė V, Manelienė R, Mačiulskienė V. Antibiotic prescription for the treatment of endodontic pathology: a survey among Lithuanian dentists. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2010; 46: 806-813.
20. Garg AK, Agrawal N, Tewari RK, Kumar A, Chandra A. Antibiotic prescription pattern among Indian oral healthcare providers: a cross-sectional survey. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013; 69: 526-28.
21. Flynn TR. What are the antibiotics of choice for odontogenic infections, and how long should the treatment course last? *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2011; 23: 519-36.
22. Palmer NA, Peeling R, Ireland RS, Martin MV. A study of prophylactic antibiotic prescribing in National Health Service general dental practice in England. *Br Dent J* 2000; 189: 43-46.